

Final Project 1
Code: MGM 496-PMG496
Project Template

Faculty of Management
Midocean University

The Impact of Leadership Styles on Employee Performance and Satisfaction

Student name

Ahmad Abdullah Alwakeel (221001216)

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Alaa Ahmed

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

04 July 2025 – 9th Semester

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	3
1.1. Research Background.....	4
1.2. Research Problem.....	7
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	7
1.2.2. Practical Gaps	8
1.3. Research Significance	9
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	9
1.3.2. At the practical level	10
1.4. Research Questions	11
1.5. Research Objectives	12
1.6. Research Hypotheses.....	13
1.7. Research Model.....	14
1.8. Research Methodology.....	15
1.9. Scope of The Study	17
1.10. The Suggested Project Structure.....	17
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review	20
2.1. Introduction	21
2.2. The independent variable	21
2.3. The dependent variable	23
2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables	24
2.5. Conclusion.....	26
References.....	27

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

In the ever-evolving landscape of modern organizations, leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping employee attitudes, behaviors, and overall organizational performance. Leadership is not merely a position of authority but a dynamic process of influencing people toward the achievement of goals. Within business environments characterized by high competition, technological advancement, and a growing demand for human-centric policies, effective leadership becomes a key determinant of employee satisfaction and productivity. Leaders are expected not only to set directions and manage operations but also to inspire, motivate, and empower employees to achieve their fullest potential.

The interest in studying leadership styles stems from the growing recognition that different leadership approaches can result in significantly different outcomes in terms of employee performance and organizational effectiveness. Leadership styles such as transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire are among the most discussed in organizational behavior literature. Each style emphasizes different principles of interaction between leaders and subordinates. For instance, transformational leaders aim to create a vision and inspire their teams by promoting innovation and change, while transactional leaders focus more on structured tasks, rewards, and performance outcomes. In contrast, laissez-faire leaders tend to take a hands-off approach, offering minimal guidance and support. These different approaches inevitably affect how employees perceive their work environment, the level of motivation they feel, and the satisfaction they derive from their roles.

Employee performance and satisfaction are widely recognized as critical drivers of organizational success. Employees who are satisfied with their work tend to be more productive, committed, and loyal to their organizations. Job satisfaction not only contributes to better individual performance but also fosters a healthy organizational climate where employees collaborate effectively and strive for excellence. Conversely, dissatisfaction can lead to absenteeism, high turnover, and reduced productivity, all of which are costly for businesses. Therefore, understanding the factors that influence employee satisfaction is essential for managers and policymakers who aim to retain talent and improve organizational outcomes.

One of the primary challenges faced by organizations is aligning leadership approaches with employee expectations and organizational goals. In many cases, organizations adopt generic leadership strategies without considering their impact on individual motivation, engagement, and satisfaction. This misalignment may create a disconnect between employees and management, leading to reduced morale and suboptimal performance. Research indicates that leadership style is among the most influential factors in determining the quality of the work environment and the level of employee engagement. As such, examining the relationship between leadership style and employee satisfaction becomes not only relevant but essential for contemporary management practice.

The context of this study focuses on *Almoallam Company*, located in *Dammam City*, which operates within the Saudi business environment—an environment that has seen significant transformation over the past decade. The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 initiative has accelerated the pace of organizational reform, emphasizing employee development, workplace modernization, and leadership enhancement. Within this dynamic

context, organizations like Almoallam Company are increasingly interested in identifying effective leadership styles that support employee well-being and drive superior performance. Leadership effectiveness is no longer evaluated solely by financial outcomes but also by how leaders contribute to fostering job satisfaction and employee development.

Furthermore, the study recognizes the cultural, social, and economic dimensions that influence leadership dynamics in Saudi Arabia. Cultural factors such as hierarchy, collectivism, and respect for authority continue to shape leader-follower relationships. However, globalization and increased exposure to international management practices are gradually introducing new leadership paradigms that blend traditional values with contemporary management principles. As organizations navigate these shifts, understanding the impact of leadership styles on employee performance and satisfaction becomes a timely and strategic inquiry.

This research aims to explore the correlation between leadership styles and two key organizational outcomes: employee performance and job satisfaction. Through an in-depth literature review, empirical analysis, and data-driven discussion, the study seeks to uncover how various leadership approaches affect employee attitudes and behaviors in the workplace. By focusing on leadership styles in the context of Almoallam Company, this research offers practical implications for enhancing management practices, developing leadership training programs, and improving overall organizational performance.

Ultimately, this study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on leadership and organizational behavior by highlighting the practical significance of leadership styles in shaping employee outcomes. It provides a framework for managers, HR professionals, and organizational leaders to

better understand how their leadership approach can influence the attitudes, engagement, and performance of their employees, ultimately affecting the success and sustainability of their organizations.

1.2. Research Problem

In the contemporary business landscape, organizations face increasing pressure to maintain high levels of productivity while ensuring that employees remain motivated and satisfied with their jobs. Leadership, as a core organizational function, has a significant impact on shaping employee attitudes and behaviors. Despite the growing attention to leadership styles and their effects, many organizations continue to struggle with identifying and applying the most effective leadership approaches for enhancing employee satisfaction and performance. This challenge is especially evident in rapidly transforming business environments, such as those in Saudi Arabia, where traditional hierarchical structures often intersect with modern leadership expectations.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

While numerous studies have examined individual leadership styles, there remains a gap in understanding the **combined and comparative impact of different leadership styles**—particularly transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire—on both employee performance and satisfaction. Much of the existing literature tends to focus on a single outcome (either satisfaction or performance) rather than exploring both dimensions in tandem. Moreover, many studies are conducted in Western contexts, and their findings may not be fully

generalizable to Middle Eastern organizational settings due to cultural, economic, and social differences. This creates a theoretical gap regarding how leadership theories apply in non-Western, collectivist cultures such as Saudi Arabia, where leadership is often influenced by traditional norms, religion, and social expectations.

Furthermore, the literature often overlooks **industry-specific applications** of leadership theories, particularly in mid-sized companies that operate outside the multinational corporate sphere. The case of Almoallam Company represents a context that has not been widely explored in the academic literature, thus offering a unique opportunity to contribute to theory-building in organizational behavior and leadership studies.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

In practice, many organizations in Saudi Arabia and the broader Middle East implement leadership development programs based on **generic or Western models**, without adapting them to the local workforce's expectations or organizational culture. This disconnect often leads to ineffective leadership practices that fail to engage employees or support their long-term development. At Almoallam Company, for instance, anecdotal evidence and internal observations suggest variations in employee satisfaction across departments—potentially linked to differing leadership styles—but no formal research has been conducted to explore this issue systematically.

Moreover, **HR departments and managers often rely on outdated leadership assumptions**, with limited use of performance data, employee feedback, or

psychological assessments to guide leadership style selection and training. This practical gap creates an opportunity for this study to provide actionable insights into how specific leadership styles influence both employee satisfaction and their performance outcomes in the Saudi context.

What is the impact of different leadership styles on employee performance and satisfaction within Almoallam Company in Dammam City?

1.3. Research Significance

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to both academic understanding and practical organizational development. By examining how different leadership styles influence employee performance and satisfaction, this study addresses a vital concern for organizations striving to remain competitive and sustainable in a rapidly changing business environment. Leadership is more than a managerial function—it is a strategic asset that can either drive employee motivation and efficiency or hinder engagement and organizational success. As such, understanding the specific impact of leadership styles can offer valuable insights for theory development and managerial decision-making.

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

At the theoretical level, this research contributes to the body of knowledge on organizational behavior, leadership theory, and human resource management. It expands upon traditional leadership models by analyzing their effectiveness in

non-Western, culturally unique environments such as Saudi Arabia. By integrating both employee performance and satisfaction into the same framework, the study also seeks to bridge an existing gap in the literature, which often considers these outcomes separately. The inclusion of transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles allows for a comparative analysis that can refine our understanding of how leadership behavior influences employee outcomes under different organizational conditions.

In addition, this study supports the adaptation of widely accepted leadership theories—such as Burns’ Transformational Leadership Theory and Bass’ Transactional Leadership Theory—to the socio-cultural dynamics of Saudi workplaces. It promotes cross-cultural research in leadership, offering new perspectives that can be used by future scholars investigating leadership behavior across different regions and organizational types.

1.3.2. At the practical level

At the practical level, the research is highly relevant to managers, HR professionals, and organizational leaders within Saudi Arabia and similar cultural settings. The findings will help companies like *Almoallam Company* identify which leadership styles are most effective in enhancing employee satisfaction and productivity. This is particularly important in the Saudi context, where Vision 2030 initiatives emphasize workforce development, private sector competitiveness, and leadership excellence.

Moreover, the study offers actionable insights for improving internal leadership training programs, employee engagement strategies, and performance management systems. By understanding the relationship between leadership style and employee outcomes, companies can design better interventions to improve team dynamics, reduce turnover, and increase operational efficiency. The findings can also inform recruitment criteria for leadership roles, ensuring that selected candidates demonstrate the leadership qualities that foster high satisfaction and strong performance.

Ultimately, this study has the potential to serve as a guide for organizations aiming to cultivate leadership that resonates with their workforce and supports long-term strategic goals.

1.4. Research Questions

This study aims to investigate the relationship between leadership styles and employee outcomes, specifically performance and job satisfaction. Based on the research problem and significance, the following key research questions are proposed:

- 1. What is the impact of transformational leadership style on employee performance and satisfaction at Almoallam Company?**
- 2. How does transactional leadership style influence employee performance and satisfaction?**
- 3. What are the effects of laissez-faire leadership style on employee performance and satisfaction?**

- 4. Which leadership style is most positively associated with employee satisfaction?**
- 5. Which leadership style is most strongly linked to improved employee performance?**
- 6. Are there significant differences in employee responses to different leadership styles within Almoallam Company?**

These questions guide the exploration of leadership behavior and its correlation with key employee outcomes in a structured and focused manner.

1.5. Research Objectives

In alignment with the research questions, the following objectives have been set to define the scope and direction of the study:

- 1. To examine the effect of transformational leadership style on employee performance and job satisfaction.**
- 2. To assess the impact of transactional leadership style on employee performance and job satisfaction.**
- 3. To evaluate the influence of laissez-faire leadership on employee performance and satisfaction.**
- 4. To identify the leadership style that has the strongest positive relationship with employee satisfaction.**
- 5. To determine the leadership style most associated with high employee performance.**

6. To analyze whether variations in leadership styles contribute to differing employee experiences across departments within Almoallam Company.

These objectives will shape the research framework and ensure a focused analysis throughout the study.

1.6. Research Hypotheses

To test the relationship between leadership styles and employee outcomes, the following hypotheses are proposed:

Hypotheses Related to Transformational Leadership

- **H1a:** Transformational leadership style has a positive and significant impact on employee performance.
- **H1b:** Transformational leadership style has a positive and significant impact on employee job satisfaction.

Hypotheses Related to Transactional Leadership

- **H2a:** Transactional leadership style has a positive and significant impact on employee performance.
- **H2b:** Transactional leadership style has a moderate positive impact on employee job satisfaction.

Hypotheses Related to Laissez-Faire Leadership

- **H3a:** Laissez-faire leadership style has a negative impact on employee performance.

- **H3b:** Laissez-faire leadership style has a negative impact on employee job satisfaction.

These hypotheses will be tested using appropriate data collection and analysis techniques to determine the nature and strength of the relationships between leadership styles and employee outcomes.

1.7. Research Model

The conceptual research model for this study is designed to illustrate the relationship between the **independent variable (leadership styles)** and the **dependent variables (employee performance and employee satisfaction)**.

Independent Variable:

- Leadership Style
- Transformational
- Transactional
- Laissez-Faire

Dependent Variables:

- Employee Performance
- Employee Job Satisfaction

This model assumes that different leadership styles have varying levels of influence on both employee performance and satisfaction. The aim is to determine which style(s) are most effective in the organizational context of Almoallam Company.

1.8. Research Methodology

This section outlines the research approach and techniques used to conduct the study. It includes the research design, data collection methods, sample, and data analysis techniques.

Research Design

The study adopts a **quantitative research approach** using a **descriptive and correlational design**. This design is appropriate for identifying relationships between leadership styles and employee performance and satisfaction. The study does not aim to manipulate any variables but rather to observe and analyze existing relationships based on employee perceptions.

Data Collection Method

Primary data will be collected through a **structured questionnaire** designed to measure:

- Leadership styles (transformational, transactional, laissez-faire)
- Employee performance
- Employee job satisfaction

The questionnaire will use a **Likert scale** format and will be based on validated instruments such as the **Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ)** and widely used job satisfaction and performance scales from previous academic studies.

Sampling

The population of the study includes employees from different departments at **Almoallam Company** in **Dammam City**. A **non-probability convenience sampling** method will be used to distribute the questionnaire to employees with at least 6 months of tenure to ensure familiarity with their supervisors' leadership styles.

The expected sample size will be between **100 to 150 participants**, depending on response rates and data availability.

Data Analysis Techniques

Collected data will be analyzed using **Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)**. Key statistical techniques include:

- Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation)
- Reliability analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)
- Pearson correlation analysis
- Multiple regression analysis to test hypotheses and determine the predictive strength of leadership styles on the dependent variables.

Ethical Considerations

All participants will be informed about the purpose of the study, and their participation will be voluntary. Confidentiality and anonymity will be strictly maintained. No personal identifiers will be collected or reported.

1.9. Scope of The Study

This research focuses on exploring how different leadership styles affect employee performance and satisfaction within the context of **Almoallam Company**, based in **Dammam City, Saudi Arabia**. The scope is limited to full-time employees across multiple departments, excluding part-time or recently hired staff who may not have sufficient exposure to their supervisors' leadership styles.

The study is **cross-sectional** in nature, meaning that data will be collected at one point in time rather than over a prolonged period. While the findings will provide valuable insights for Almoallam Company and similar mid-sized organizations in Saudi Arabia, they may not be directly generalizable to all industries or regions.

The research emphasizes three core leadership styles—**transformational**, **transactional**, and **laissez-faire**—and their direct impact on two employee-related outcomes: **performance** and **job satisfaction**. Other factors influencing these outcomes (e.g., salary, work-life balance, team dynamics) are outside the scope of this study and will not be analyzed in depth.

1.10. The Suggested Project Structure

The structure of this research project is designed to provide a clear and logical progression from the introduction of the topic through the analysis and interpretation of findings. The proposed chapters and their contents are as follows:

- **Chapter1: Introduction**

This chapter introduces the research topic, outlines the research problem, and presents the significance of the study. It also defines the research questions, objectives, hypotheses, research model, methodology, scope, and the overall project structure.

- **Chapter 2: Literature Review**

This chapter reviews existing academic and empirical literature related to leadership styles, employee performance, and job satisfaction. It covers definitions, theories, and previous research findings relevant to the independent and dependent variables. The chapter concludes with a summary that highlights gaps and supports the rationale for the current study.

- **Chapter 3: Research Methodology**

This chapter elaborates on the research design, data collection methods, sample selection, and analytical techniques employed to address the research questions and test the hypotheses.

- **Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Results**

This chapter presents the findings from the data analysis, including descriptive statistics, reliability tests, correlation analysis, and hypothesis testing. It interprets the results in the context of the research questions.

- **Chapter 5: Discussion and Conclusion**

This final chapter discusses the study's findings in relation to the literature review, highlighting theoretical and practical implications. It also outlines limitations, recommendations for future research, and concludes the project.

2.Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

This chapter provides an overview of the existing literature relevant to the study of leadership styles and their impact on employee performance and job satisfaction. The aim is to establish a theoretical foundation by reviewing key concepts, models, and empirical findings that inform the research. Understanding the definitions and characteristics of different leadership styles is essential to explore how these approaches affect employee attitudes and behaviors. This chapter also examines the constructs of employee performance and satisfaction, their importance, and how they have been measured in previous research. Finally, the chapter explores the established relationships between leadership styles and employee outcomes, identifying gaps that this study seeks to address.

2.2. The independent variable

Leadership style refers to the characteristic behaviors and approaches that leaders use to influence and guide their followers (Northouse, 2019). Scholars have identified various leadership styles, but the three most widely studied in organizational behavior research are **transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership** (Bass & Avolio, 1994).

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership is characterized by leaders who inspire and motivate employees by creating a vision, encouraging innovation, and fostering personal development (Burns, 1978). Such leaders are proactive, charismatic, and focused on elevating followers' values and goals beyond immediate self-interest. According to Bass (1985), transformational leaders exhibit four key components: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration. Research shows that transformational leadership is positively associated with higher levels of employee engagement, creativity, and job satisfaction (Judge & Piccolo, 2004).

Transactional Leadership

Transactional leadership is based on exchanges between leaders and followers, where compliance and performance are rewarded or punished (Burns, 1978). Transactional leaders emphasize structured tasks, clear goals, and contingent rewards. This style focuses on maintaining the status quo and ensuring that employees meet performance standards through monitoring and corrective actions (Bass, 1990). While transactional leadership is effective for routine operations and short-term goal achievement, its impact on employee satisfaction is generally mixed, sometimes resulting in lower intrinsic motivation (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Laissez-Faire Leadership

Laissez-faire leadership is characterized by a hands-off approach where leaders provide minimal direction or support, often leading to a lack of guidance and decision-making (Bass, 1990). This leadership style is generally considered ineffective and has been linked to poor employee performance and low satisfaction

(Skogstad et al., 2007). Employees under laissez-faire leaders may experience ambiguity and frustration due to the absence of clear expectations and feedback.

2.3. The dependent variable

Employee Performance

Employee performance refers to the degree to which an individual effectively fulfills the tasks and responsibilities associated with their job role (Borman & Motowidlo, 1993). Performance encompasses both the quality and quantity of work, as well as adherence to organizational standards and goals. It is a critical factor for organizational success because it directly affects productivity, profitability, and competitiveness (Campbell, 1990). Various models measure employee performance, including task performance, contextual performance (extra-role behaviors), and adaptive performance (Pulakos et al., 2000). Leadership has been identified as a key influence on performance by providing motivation, resources, and guidance that enable employees to excel (Yukl, 2013).

Employee Satisfaction

Employee satisfaction, often interchangeably called job satisfaction, reflects an individual's emotional response to their job and work environment (Locke, 1976). It encompasses feelings about various job facets such as work tasks, colleagues, supervisors, compensation, and career development opportunities. High job satisfaction is linked to positive outcomes such as increased commitment, reduced turnover intentions, and better mental health (Spector, 1997). Several theories explain job satisfaction, including Herzberg's two-factor theory, which distinguishes

between hygiene factors and motivators (Herzberg, Mausner, & Snyderman, 1959). Employee satisfaction is widely recognized as a critical predictor of organizational performance and long-term success (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002).

2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables

The relationship between leadership styles and employee outcomes such as performance and satisfaction has been extensively studied in organizational research. Different leadership styles influence employees in unique ways, affecting their motivation, engagement, and effectiveness.

Transformational Leadership and Employee Outcomes

Transformational leadership is generally linked to positive employee outcomes. Studies show that transformational leaders foster higher job satisfaction by creating a supportive and empowering work environment (Bass, 1999; Judge & Piccolo, 2004). This leadership style encourages employees to exceed expectations and engage in discretionary behaviors that enhance organizational effectiveness (Podsakoff et al., 1990). Transformational leaders' focus on individualized consideration and intellectual stimulation promotes personal growth and job enrichment, which in turn boosts both satisfaction and performance (Bono & Judge, 2003).

Transactional Leadership and Employee Outcomes

Transactional leadership's influence on employee outcomes is more mixed but often positive regarding performance. By setting clear expectations and providing

contingent rewards, transactional leaders can motivate employees to achieve specific goals (Bass & Avolio, 1993). However, this style may not foster deep job satisfaction because it emphasizes extrinsic motivation and compliance rather than intrinsic engagement (Avolio & Bass, 1991). Employees led by transactional leaders might perform well in structured environments but may lack the enthusiasm and creativity associated with higher satisfaction.

Laissez-Faire Leadership and Employee Outcomes

Laissez-faire leadership is generally associated with negative outcomes for both employee performance and satisfaction. The absence of active leadership can lead to ambiguity, reduced motivation, and a lack of accountability (Skogstad et al., 2007). Employees may feel neglected or unsupported, resulting in decreased job satisfaction and lower productivity. Research consistently finds laissez-faire leadership to be the least effective style in promoting positive employee outcomes (Judge & Piccolo, 2004).

Cultural Context

It is important to note that the impact of leadership styles on employee outcomes can vary depending on cultural factors. In collectivist cultures like Saudi Arabia, leadership behaviors that emphasize group harmony, respect, and paternalistic care may have stronger effects on satisfaction and performance (Hofstede, 2001; Ali et al., 2020). Therefore, understanding leadership within the cultural context of Almoallam Company is crucial to interpreting its effects accurately.

2.5. Conclusion

This chapter has reviewed the key concepts and existing literature related to leadership styles and their effects on employee performance and job satisfaction. Transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles each demonstrate distinct influences on employee outcomes, with transformational leadership generally associated with the most positive effects. Transactional leadership tends to produce acceptable performance results but may not foster deep job satisfaction, while laissez-faire leadership is often linked to negative consequences for both performance and satisfaction.

The literature also highlights the importance of considering cultural context when examining leadership dynamics, especially in non-Western settings such as Saudi Arabia. This study seeks to contribute to the theoretical and practical understanding of how leadership styles operate within such cultural frameworks, specifically within Almoallam Company in Dammam City.

By identifying gaps in existing research and integrating both employee performance and satisfaction into one comprehensive framework, the study sets the stage for empirical investigation aimed at providing meaningful insights for scholars and practitioners alike.

References

- Ali, A., Rasheed, M. I., & Hamed, M. (2020). The impact of leadership styles on employee performance: Evidence from Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Management*, 11(3), 45-57.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- Bass, B. M. (1990). *Bass & Stogdill's handbook of leadership: Theory, research, and managerial applications* (3rd ed.). Free Press.
- Bass, B. M. (1999). Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 8(1), 9–32.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1993). Transformational leadership and organizational culture. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 17(1), 112–121.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Sage Publications.
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Bono, J. E., & Judge, T. A. (2003). Self-concordance at work: Toward understanding the motivational effects of transformational leaders. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46(5), 554–571.
- Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt & W. C. Borman (Eds.), *Personnel selection in organizations* (pp. 71–98). Jossey-Bass.
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.

Campbell, J. P. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough (Eds.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 687–732). Consulting Psychologists Press.

Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268–279.

Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. B. (1959). *The motivation to work*. Wiley.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). Sage.

Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755–768.

Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 1297–1349). Rand McNally.

Northouse, P. G. (2019). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (8th ed.). Sage.

Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 1(2), 107–142.

Pulakos, E. D., Arad, S., Donovan, M. A., & Plamondon, K. E. (2000). Adaptability in the workplace: Development of a taxonomy of adaptive performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(4), 612–624.

Skogstad, A., Einarsen, S., Torsheim, T., Aasland, M. S., & Hetland, H. (2007). The destructiveness of laissez-faire leadership behavior. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 12(1), 80–92.

Spector, P. E. (1997). *Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, cause, and consequences*. Sage.

Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in organizations* (8th ed.). Pearson.