

MIDOCEAN

[illegible]

Output:

```
GET
  FILE='C:\Users\ranak\Downloads\correlation (6).sav'.
DATASET NAME DataSet1 WINDOW=FRONT.
DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet0.
DATASET CLOSE DataSet1.
GET
  FILE='C:\Users\ranak\Downloads\correlation (4).sav'.
DATASET NAME DataSet2 WINDOW=FRONT.
GET
  FILE='C:\Users\ranak\Downloads\Ex1. Variable and data.sav'.
DATASET NAME DataSet3 WINDOW=FRONT.
DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet0.
DATASET CLOSE DataSet2.
COMPUTE Risk_Management=MEAN(RI1,RI2,RI3,RA1,RA2,RP1,RM1,RM2).
EXECUTE.
COMPUTE Project_Success=MEAN(PS1,PS2,PS3).
EXECUTE.
DESCRIPTIVES VARIABLES=Risk_Management Project_Success
  /STATISTICS=MEAN STDDEV.
```

Descriptives

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Overall Risk Management Score	10	3.91	.844
Overall Project Success score	10	3.93	.886
Valid N (listwise)	10		

RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=RI1 RI2 RI3 RA1 RA2 RM2 RM1 RP1
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA.
```

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	10	33.3
	Excluded ^a	20	66.7
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.949	8

RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=RI1 RI2 RI3 RA1 RA2 RM2 RM1 RP1
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA.
```

Statistic
VARIABLE
Process
ility Stati
VARIABLE
Process
ility Stati
r Kappa
eement
is

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	10	33.3
	Excluded ^a	20	66.7
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.949	8

```
FLEISS MULTIRATER KAPPA  PS1 PS2 PS3
/CRITERIA  IGNORE_CASE=FALSE
/COMPRESS  COMPRESS=OFF
```

Fleiss Multirater Kappa

Don

Overall Agreement^a

	Kappa	Asymptotic			Asymptotic 95% Confidence Interval	
		Standard Error	z	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Overall Agreement	.054	.114	.472	.637	.047	.061

a. Sample data contains 10 effective subjects and 3 raters.

CORRELATIONS

```
/VARIABLES=Risk_Management Project_Success
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.
```

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	6.901	1	6.901	333.952	.000 ^b
	Residual	.165	8	.021		
	Total	7.067	9			

a. Dependent Variable: Overall Project Success score

b. Predictors: (Constant), Overall Risk Management Score

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	-.127	.227		-.560	.591
	Overall Risk Management Score	1.038	.057	.988	18.274	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Overall Project Success score

SAVE OUTFILE='C:\Users\ranak\Downloads\saramohammed1.sav'
/COMPRESSED.

Statistic

VARIABLE

Processability Stat

VARIABLE

Processability Stat

Kappa

reement

is

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Overall Risk Management Score ^b		Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Overall Project Success score

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.988 ^a	.977	.974	.144

a. Predictors: (Constant), Overall Risk Management Score

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	6.901	1	6.901	333.952	.000 ^b
	Residual	.165	8	.021		
	Total	7.067	9			

a. Dependent Variable: Overall Project Success score

Correlations

Correlations

		Overall Risk Management Score	Overall Project Success score
Overall Risk Management Score	Pearson Correlation	1	.988**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	10	10
Overall Project Success score	Pearson Correlation	.988**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	10	10

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

REGRESSION

```

/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT Project_Success
/METHOD=ENTER Risk_Management.

```



Output1sara.spv



saramohammed1.sav

The results showed a very strong positive and statistically significant relationship between risk management and project success ($r = 0.988$, $p < 0.001$, $N = 10$). The regression analysis also showed that the model was statistically significant ($F = 333.952$, $p < 0.001$). Risk management had a positive and statistically significant regression coefficient ($B = 1.038$, $\beta = 0.988$, $t = 18.274$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that higher levels of risk management are associated with higher levels of project