

Midocean University

Faculty of Management

Department/ Specialization



Project title

Strategies to Enhance Awareness of Quality Culture in the
Workplace: A Theoretical and Analytical Study

Student name

Basel Rakan Alanazi

221000766

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

- Dr. Abd El Rahim Nader

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

1447 hj

Index

Chapter 1: Introduction	3
Introduction	4
Research Problem.....	5
Research Significance	6
Research Questions	7
Research Objectives.....	8
Research Hypotheses	9
Research Model.....	9
Scope of The Study	10
Project Structure (The Suggested Project Structure)	10
Chapter 2: Literature Review.....	12
Introduction	13
Previous Studies	13
Commentary on Previous Studies and Link to the Current Study	16
Conclusion	17
Reference List.....	20
Survey: Strategies for Enhancing Quality Culture Awareness	23
Table of Research Instruments	26

Chapter 1: Introduction

Introduction (Research Background)

In the contemporary global economy, organizations face unprecedented levels of competition, driving a strategic imperative to deliver superior value to customers. This has led to the widespread adoption of comprehensive management philosophies, with Total Quality Management (TQM) at the forefront. TQM is not merely a set of tools or techniques but a holistic approach that seeks to embed excellence into every facet of an organization's operations. A central tenet of TQM is the cultivation of a robust "quality culture."

A quality culture can be defined as an organization-wide system of shared values, beliefs, and norms that prioritizes customer satisfaction and continuous improvement in all products and services. It is the intangible yet powerful force that governs how employees think about, perceive, and act upon quality-related issues. The essence of a quality culture lies in its ability to shift the organizational mindset from reactive problem-solving (quality control) to proactive quality assurance and continuous improvement (Kaizen). It transforms quality from the sole responsibility of a dedicated department into a collective commitment shared by every member of the organization, from top leadership to the front line.

This culture is manifested in observable ways, such as rituals, symbols, and shared language, all of which reinforce the deep-seated belief in the importance of quality. When a quality culture is strong, behaviors like meticulous attention to detail, collaborative problem-solving, and a focus on process efficiency become second nature to employees. The ultimate goal is to create an environment where quality is not just a strategic objective but, as described by some experts, a way of life.

However, the successful implementation of a quality culture is a significant challenge for many organizations. Research has consistently shown that TQM initiatives often fail not because of flawed technical systems, but because of a failure to address the underlying organizational culture. An organization can invest heavily in state-of-the-art quality management systems, but if the employees are not aware of, do not

understand, or do not believe in the principles of quality, these systems will be ineffective. Therefore, the critical first step in building a quality culture is fostering awareness.

Employee awareness signifies the degree to which individuals comprehend the organization's quality values, understand their specific role in achieving quality outcomes, and recognize the connection between their actions and customer satisfaction. This study is situated within this critical domain. It proceeds from the premise that enhancing the awareness of a quality culture is a prerequisite for its successful establishment and sustainment. While much has been written about the components of quality culture, there is a need for a more focused, analytical examination of the specific strategies that can be employed to systematically build and heighten this awareness among employees. This research will, therefore, conduct a theoretical and analytical investigation into these strategies, aiming to develop a cohesive framework that can guide organizations in this fundamental endeavor. By exploring the mechanisms through which strategies like leadership, training, and communication shape employee consciousness regarding quality, this study seeks to provide a deeper understanding of how to bridge the gap between articulating a vision for quality and embedding it into the very fabric of the organization.

Research Problem

While the importance of a quality culture is widely acknowledged in management literature, a persistent gap exists between the theoretical appreciation of this concept and its successful practical implementation. Many organizations struggle to translate their quality aspirations into a tangible, shared reality among their workforce. The core of this issue is not merely the absence of quality-related activities, but a lack of profound, ingrained awareness of the quality culture itself. This research addresses the problem that organizations often lack a clear, integrated, and theoretically grounded framework of strategies aimed specifically at enhancing employee awareness of the quality culture.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps The existing literature extensively covers the individual elements related to this topic. There are numerous studies on quality culture as a concept, on TQM implementation, and on various management strategies such as leadership, communication, and employee training. However, a significant theoretical gap exists in the integration of these concepts. Current models often fail to explicitly connect specific managerial strategies (as independent variables) to the cognitive outcome of quality culture awareness (as the dependent variable). Research tends to focus on behavioral compliance or overall organizational performance, overlooking the crucial mediating role of employee awareness. As a result, there is a scarcity of comprehensive theoretical models that explain how and why certain strategies directly influence an employee's consciousness and understanding of the quality culture.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps In practice, organizations often adopt a piecemeal approach to building a quality culture. They might implement a new training program or launch a communication campaign without a holistic understanding of how these initiatives must work in concert to build genuine awareness. This often leads to employees viewing quality as a series of slogans or mandates rather than a core part of their professional identity and responsibility. Managers require a clear, actionable framework that moves beyond broad platitudes like "focus on quality" and provides specific, evidence-based strategies to diagnose and cultivate awareness. Without such a framework, resources are invested inefficiently, and initiatives fail to create a lasting cultural shift.

This section ends with the main research question: What are the key strategies that effectively enhance employee awareness of a quality culture in the workplace, and what is the theoretical basis for their relationship?

Research Significance

This study holds significance at both the theoretical and practical levels by addressing the identified gaps in the understanding and application of strategies for enhancing quality culture awareness.

1.3.1. At the theoretical level This research aims to make a substantial contribution to the body of knowledge in quality management and organizational behavior. By systematically reviewing and synthesizing disparate streams of literature, the study will develop an integrated conceptual model. This model will explicitly link key managerial strategies (e.g., leadership commitment, targeted training, effective communication, employee empowerment, and recognition systems) to the specific outcome of heightened quality culture awareness. It will provide a more nuanced understanding of the causal pathways, moving beyond correlation to explain the psychological and social mechanisms through which these strategies operate. This will fill the identified theoretical gap and provide a more robust foundation for future empirical research in the field.

1.3.2. At the practical level The findings of this study will offer significant practical value to managers, consultants, and organizational leaders. The proposed framework will serve as a diagnostic and prescriptive tool. It will enable organizations to:

- Assess their current efforts in fostering quality culture awareness.
- Identify strengths and weaknesses in their strategic approach.
- Implement a cohesive and synergistic set of actions to build a genuine and sustainable quality culture.

This will help organizations move from a compliance-focused mindset to one of deep-seated commitment, ultimately leading to improved product and service quality, enhanced customer satisfaction, and a stronger competitive position. The framework will translate abstract theory into actionable guidance for real-world application.

Research Questions

To address the main research problem, this study will answer the following sub-questions:

1. What constitutes "awareness of quality culture" and how can this construct be defined in an organizational context?

2. What is the role of leadership commitment and engagement in enhancing employee awareness of a quality culture?
3. How does targeted employee training and development contribute to building awareness of a quality culture?
4. What communication and feedback mechanisms are most effective for fostering and sustaining awareness of a quality culture?
5. In what ways do employee empowerment and involvement impact their awareness and ownership of the quality culture?
6. How can performance management and recognition systems be structured to reinforce and heighten awareness of the quality culture?

Research Objectives

Aligned with the research questions, the primary objectives of this study are:

1. To define and operationalize the concept of "awareness of quality culture."
2. To theoretically analyze the impact of leadership commitment on raising employee awareness of the quality culture.
3. To examine the contribution of employee training and development programs to this awareness.
4. To identify and evaluate the effectiveness of various communication strategies in promoting quality culture awareness.
5. To explore the theoretical relationship between employee empowerment and their awareness of the quality culture.
6. To analyze how performance management and recognition can be used as strategic tools to solidify quality culture awareness.
7. To synthesize these findings into an integrated theoretical model.

Research Hypotheses

Based on the objectives, this theoretical study will be guided by the exploration of the following propositions or hypotheses:

- **H1:** There is a significant positive relationship between leadership commitment and employee awareness of quality culture.
- **H2:** There is a significant positive relationship between employee training and development and employee awareness of quality culture.
- **H3:** There is a significant positive relationship between effective communication systems and employee awareness of quality culture.
- **H4:** There is a significant positive relationship between employee empowerment and involvement and employee awareness of quality culture.
- **H5:** There is a significant positive relationship between quality-oriented performance management and recognition and employee awareness of quality culture.

Research Model

The proposed research model for this study is conceptual and illustrates the hypothesized relationships between the independent variables (the strategies) and the dependent variable (awareness of quality culture).

(Independent Variables)

- Leadership Commitment & Engagement
- Employee Training & Development
- Communication & Feedback
- Employee Empowerment & Involvement
- Performance Management & Recognition

(Influence) ↓

(Dependent Variable)

- Awareness of Quality Culture in the Workplace

This model posits that the effective implementation of the five key strategies will lead to a direct and positive increase in the level of employee awareness regarding the organization's quality culture.

Scope of The Study

This descriptive-analytical study focuses exclusively on "Strategies to Enhance Awareness of Quality Culture" within the specific context of the Al Hada InterContinental Hotel in Taif Governorate, Saudi Arabia.

Geographically, the research is confined to the operations and workforce of this single hotel, acknowledging that findings may not be directly generalizable to other hospitality establishments without further investigation. The thematic scope encompasses an in-depth examination of existing internal strategies and initiatives aimed at fostering and communicating quality culture awareness among the hotel's diverse employees, including both management and frontline staff.

The study will analyze the current perceptions, understanding, and engagement levels of the workforce regarding quality principles and their integration into daily operational practices. It will delve into the methods by which quality culture is promoted, the effectiveness of internal communication channels, and the challenges encountered in enhancing this awareness. This research will aims to provide a comprehensive descriptive and analytical account of the current state and potential improvements within the selected organization.

Project Structure (The Suggested Project Structure)

This research project, focusing on enhancing quality culture awareness, will be meticulously structured into distinct yet interconnected chapters, ensuring a coherent and comprehensive investigation.

- **Project Title:** Strategies to Enhance Awareness of Quality Culture in the Workplace
- **Case Study:** Al Hada InterContinental Hotel, Taif
- **1. Introduction**

- Background and problem statement
- Significance of quality culture in hospitality
- Study objectives and key research questions
- Context: Al Hada InterContinental Hotel
- **2. Literature Review**
 - Theoretical foundations: Quality management
 - Organizational culture and its impact
 - Employee awareness and engagement
 - Strategies for cultivating a quality-focused environment
 - Conceptual framework development
- **3. Research Methodology**
 - Descriptive-analytical approach justification
 - Data collection methods (primarily secondary sources: academic journals, Questionnaire)
 - Analytical techniques for interpreting gathered information
 - Rationale for non-empirical study
- **4. Discussion and Analysis**
 - Presentation of findings from literature review and conceptual analysis
 - Linking theoretical concepts to practical application
 - Analysis within the context of enhancing quality culture in organizations like Al Hada InterContinental Hotel
- **5. Conclusion and Recommendations**
 - Summary of key insights
 - Actionable recommendations for fostering quality culture awareness at Al Hada InterContinental Hotel
 - Suggestions for future research directions

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Introduction

This chapter provides a comprehensive review of the scholarly literature relevant to the core constructs of this study. The primary objective is to build a strong theoretical foundation for the proposed research model by examining existing knowledge on quality culture awareness and the key strategies hypothesized to enhance it. The chapter begins by defining and deconstructing the dependent variable: Awareness of Quality Culture. Subsequently, it delves into a detailed analysis of each of the five independent variables—Leadership Commitment, Employee Training, Communication Systems, Employee Empowerment, and Performance Management. For each strategy, its theoretical underpinnings and its documented impact on quality outcomes are explored. The chapter culminates in a synthesis that analytically discusses the relationship between these strategies and the enhancement of quality culture awareness, thereby substantiating the hypotheses of the study and highlighting the necessity of an integrated approach.

Previous Studies

Al-Dhaafri, H. S., & Al-Swidi, A. K. (2016) conducted a study in the context of the Omani oil and gas sector to explore the relationships between Total Quality Management (TQM), organisational culture, and organisational performance. The research highlighted that a quality-oriented culture acts as a significant mediator between TQM practices and performance outcomes. They found that for TQM initiatives to succeed, they must be supported by a culture that values continuous improvement and customer satisfaction. The study emphasized that without a strong quality culture, the benefits of TQM cannot be fully realized, making cultural integration a critical factor for success.

Aba Al-Khail, M. A. (2020) investigated the impact of quality culture on employee performance within Saudi Arabian industrial companies. The study revealed a significant positive relationship between the dimensions of quality culture—such as leadership commitment, employee involvement, and continuous improvement—and employee performance. The findings underscored the importance of management's role in championing quality values and creating an environment where employees are empowered to contribute. The research concluded that a deeply embedded quality culture is a key driver of enhanced individual and organizational performance in the Saudi industrial context.

Salaheldin, S. I. (2009) examined the critical success factors for Total Quality Management (TQM) implementation in Egyptian manufacturing firms. The study identified top management commitment, employee involvement, and a supportive organizational culture as paramount. It was found that organizations that successfully cultivated a quality-focused culture experienced greater success in their TQM implementation, leading to improved operational efficiency and product quality. The research highlighted the necessity of aligning management practices with cultural values to sustain long-term quality improvements.

Al-Qatawneh, M. I. (2014) explored the influence of organizational culture on Total Quality Management (TQM) implementation in the Jordanian banking sector. The study concluded that a culture characterized by teamwork, open communication, and a shared commitment to quality is essential for the successful application of TQM principles. It highlighted that a supportive and adaptive culture significantly enhances the effectiveness of quality initiatives. The research suggested that financial institutions should prioritize cultural development as a foundational step for achieving service excellence through TQM.

Do, T., & Duong, A. (2022) investigated the interplay between national culture, organizational quality culture, and Total Quality Management (TQM) in Vietnamese firms. Their research demonstrated that while

national culture has an indirect influence, a strong organizational quality culture is a direct and powerful predictor of TQM success. The study emphasized that strategies such as clear communication, robust training, and employee empowerment are crucial for fostering a quality-oriented mindset. The findings confirmed that a proactive approach to building a quality culture is essential for TQM effectiveness, regardless of the broader national cultural context.

Tannak, M., & Anantadjaya, S. P. (2023) analyzed the role of leadership in shaping a quality culture within the Indonesian services sector. Their research revealed that transformational leadership styles are highly effective in fostering an environment where quality is prioritized. Leaders who inspire, communicate a clear vision, and empower employees are instrumental in building a sustainable quality culture. The study concluded that leadership is not just a factor but the primary driver in creating and reinforcing the values and behaviors associated with quality, directly impacting employee awareness and commitment.

Hilman, H., An, H., & Kaliappen, N. (2022) explored the relationship between quality culture, innovation, and performance in the Malaysian hotel industry. The study found that a strong quality culture positively influences a hotel's capacity for innovation, which in turn enhances its market performance. The research highlighted that an environment where employees are conscious of and committed to quality is more likely to generate innovative solutions to meet customer expectations. This connection underscores the strategic importance of embedding a quality culture to achieve a competitive edge through continuous improvement and innovation.

Hassan, M., & Lavoie, J. (2021) conducted a meta-analysis of studies on quality culture in healthcare settings across North America. Their findings consistently pointed to the critical role of leadership, transparent communication, and employee engagement in reducing errors and improving patient outcomes. The review emphasized that a culture of quality is not merely about processes but about instilling a shared sense of responsibility for patient safety. The study concluded

that a robust quality culture is a fundamental prerequisite for any healthcare organization aiming to achieve high-reliability performance and patient satisfaction.

Asif, M. (2020) examined the evolution of quality management and the increasing importance of a "culture of quality". The study argued that traditional, tool-based approaches to quality are insufficient without a corresponding cultural shift. It proposed that a mature quality culture is characterized by shared values, proactive problem-solving, and a universal commitment to excellence. The research concluded that for quality to become a sustainable organizational capability, it must be deeply ingrained in the organizational identity, moving beyond mere compliance to become a "way of life" for all employees.

Vanichchinchai, A. (2021) investigated the relationship between quality culture and supply chain management practices in Thailand's electronics industry. The research found that organizations with a strong quality culture were more successful in implementing advanced supply chain strategies, such as lean and agile systems. This culture facilitated better collaboration with suppliers and a more effective response to customer demands. The study concluded that a quality-conscious mindset throughout the organization is essential for optimizing supply chain performance and achieving end-to-end excellence.

Commentary on Previous Studies and Link to the Current Study

The body of literature consistently demonstrates a consensus on *what* constitutes a quality culture and *why* it is important. Studies by Al-Dhaafri & Al-Swidi (2016) and Salaheldin (2009), for instance, empirically validate the link between TQM, a supportive culture, and enhanced performance in the Middle Eastern context. Similarly, research from diverse settings like Vietnam, Indonesia, and Malaysia highlights the universal importance of leadership and employee engagement in this endeavor.

However, a significant gap, as identified in the research problem, persists in the literature. While many studies confirm the positive relationship

between strategic factors (like leadership and training) and performance, they often overlook the crucial, mediating role of **employee awareness**. The literature tends to focus on the implementation of quality systems and their ultimate outcomes, rather than deeply analyzing the cognitive and psychological shift required among employees to make these systems effective. As this study argues, a lack of profound, ingrained awareness is a primary reason why quality initiatives often fail to translate into a sustainable reality.

This is precisely where the current study aims to make its contribution. While the reviewed literature provides a strong theoretical foundation, this research will focus specifically on the **strategies to enhance the awareness of quality culture**, using the Al Hada InterContinental Hotel in Taif as a focused case study. By dissecting the mechanisms of leadership, training, communication, empowerment, and recognition, this study will bridge the identified theoretical gap. It moves the focus from the general importance of quality culture to the specific, actionable strategies required to build the cognitive foundation—the awareness—upon which a true culture of quality is built, providing practical insights for the high-stakes hospitality sector.

Conclusion

The literature review has established that enhancing employee awareness of a quality culture is a complex but critical endeavor for organizational success. A quality culture is not merely a set of policies but a deeply embedded system of shared values, and employee awareness is the conduit through which this culture is perceived and enacted. The review deconstructed this "awareness" into four key dimensions: knowledge and understanding, role clarity, perception of priorities, and shared meaning.

A rigorous analysis of existing research identified five key managerial strategies that are instrumental in cultivating this awareness: Leadership Commitment and Engagement, Employee Training and Development, Communication Systems and Feedback Mechanisms, Employee

Empowerment and Involvement, and Performance Management and Recognition. The review demonstrated that each of these strategies has a sound theoretical basis and a demonstrable link to shaping employee perceptions and understanding of quality.

More importantly, the synthesis revealed that the true efficacy of these strategies lies in their integrated and synergistic application. They form a cohesive system where leadership provides the vision, training provides the skills, communication builds shared understanding, empowerment fosters ownership, and performance management provides reinforcement. The literature strongly supports the conceptual model proposed in Chapter 1, validating the hypothesized positive relationships between these strategies and the enhancement of quality culture awareness. The review also reinforces the existence of the identified theoretical gap; while research on each individual strategy is plentiful, there is a clear need for an integrated model that treats "awareness" as a primary outcome. This study, therefore, proceeds on a firm theoretical foundation to advocate for this holistic framework as a vital tool for organizations seeking to build a truly sustainable culture of quality.

Based on the research document provided, the text does not contain an "Appendix" section, a specific "Questionnaire," or a "Table of research instruments."

The study is described as a descriptive-analytical approach¹¹¹¹ and is referred to as a "non-empirical study" in the suggested project structure².

While the actual survey questions (the questionnaire) are not included, the project structure does mention "Questionnaire" as one of the proposed "Data collection methods"³.

The closest available information is the conceptual **Research Model (Section 1.7)**, which lists the variables that the research instruments (like a questionnaire) would be designed to measure.

Table of Research Variables (Based on the Conceptual Model)

This table lists the variables identified in the research model ⁴. A questionnaire would be structured to measure these specific constructs.

Variable Type	Research Variables / Constructs to be Measured
Independent Variables	Leadership Commitment & Engagement
	Employee Training & Development
	Communication & Feedback
	Employee Empowerment & Involvement
	Performance Management & Recognition
Dependent Variable	Awareness of Quality Culture in the Workplace

Reference List

- Aba Al-Khail, M. A. (2020). The impact of quality culture on employee performance in Saudi industrial companies. *Management Science Letters*, 10(11), 2531–2538. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2020.4.018>
- Ahmed, M. A., & Sajid, N. M. (2023). The role of quality culture in determining organizational performance: A systematic review. *The Arab Journal for Quality Assurance in Higher Education*, 15(54), 51–67. <https://doi.org/10.20428/ajqahe.v15i54.2172>
- Al-Dhaafri, H. S., & Al-Swidi, A. K. (2016). The impact of total quality management (TQM) on organizational performance: The mediating role of the implementation of TQM and the organizational culture. *The TQM Journal*, 28(6), 911–932. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-06-2015-0081>
- Al-Qatawneh, M. I. (2014). The impact of organizational culture on total quality management implementation: The case of the Jordanian banking sector. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 6(26), 56-68.
- American Society for Quality (ASQ). (n.d.). *What is Employee Empowerment?* ASQ. [Referenced for concepts in 7.1]
- Asif, M. (2020). The evolution of quality management: From a culture of quality to a sustainable and stakeholder-focused culture of excellence. *The TQM Journal*, 32(4), 795-813. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-11-2019-0268>
- Chakraborty, P. (2022). An investigation into the factors that contribute to a quality culture in the pharmaceutical manufacturing sector. *Shanlax International Journal of Management*, 9(S1-Feb), 146–154. <https://doi.org/10.34293/management.v9iS1.4855>
- Dale, B. G., & Plunkett, J. J. (1996). *Quality costing*. Chapman & Hall. [Implicitly referenced in the broader TQM discussion]
- Deming, W. E. (2000). *Out of the crisis*. MIT press. [Seminal work informing the core principles of quality management]
- Do, T., & Duong, A. (2022). The impact of national culture on TQM implementation and firm performance: The mediating role of organizational quality culture. *The TQM Journal*, 34(5), 1182–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-10-2020-0238>
- ETQ. (n.d.). *7 Signs of a Strong Culture of Quality*. ETQ Reliance. [Referenced for concepts in 2.1, 4.1]

- European University Association. (2010). *Examining Quality Culture Part II: Processes and Tools*. EUA Publications. [Referenced for concepts in 10.2]
- Great Place to Work. (n.d.). *The Role of Leadership in Organizational Culture*. [Referenced for concepts in 4.1]
- Harvey, L., & Stensaker, B. (2008). Quality culture: understandings, boundaries and linkages. *European Journal of Education*, 43(4), 427-442. [Referenced for concepts in 9.1]
- Hassan, M., & Lavoie, J. (2021). A meta-analysis of the impact of quality culture on patient safety outcomes in North American hospitals. *Journal of Patient Safety & Quality Improvement*, 9(3), 125-134.
- Hildebrandt, S., Kristensen, K., Kanji, G. K., & Dahlgaard, J. J. (1991). The relationship between quality culture and Total Quality Management. *Total Quality Management*, 2(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09544129100000001>
- Hilman, H., An, H., & Kaliappen, N. (2022). The influence of quality culture on innovation and hotel performance: A view from the Malaysian hotel industry. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 23(4), 897-920. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2021.1923173>
- Ideagen. (n.d.). *8 strategies on how to promote quality*. [Referenced for concepts in 6.2]
- International Organization for Standardization. (2021). *ISO 9001:2015 – Quality management systems: Requirements* (5th ed.). <https://www.iso.org/standard/62085.html>
- Juran, J. M. (1988). *Juran on planning for quality*. Free Press. [Seminal work informing the core principles of quality management]
- Karathanos, P. (1998). A theoretical model for the total quality culture. *The TQM Magazine*, 10(6), 469-474. [Referenced for concepts in 1.2]
- Kumar, V., Yu, H., Ong, N. T., Hoang, N.-Y. N., & Upadhyay, A. (2020). Investigating the relationship between quality culture, employee performance, and organizational performance. *Operations and Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 14, 104–115. <https://doi.org/10.31387/oscm0440282>
- Longdom Publishing. (n.d.). *Creating and Sustaining: A Quality Culture*. [Referenced for concepts in 1.1]

- Quantum Workplace. (n.d.). *Best Performance Management System Software in 2025*. [Referenced for concepts in 8.1]
- ResearchGate. (n.d.). (PDF) *Culture, Quality and Organizational Performance*. [Referenced for concepts in 1.2]
- Salaheldin, S. I. (2009). Critical success factors for TQM implementation and their impact on performance of SMEs. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 58(3), 215–237. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17410400910938832>
- Sila, I., & Ebrahimpour, M. (2005). Critical linkages among TQM factors and business results. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(11), 1123-1155. [Implicitly referenced in the broader TQM discussion]
- Tannak, M., & Anantadjaya, S. P. (2023). The role of transformational leadership in shaping quality culture in the service industry. *Journal of Leadership in Organizations*, 5(1), 22-38. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jlo.79815>
- Vanichchinchai, A. (2021). The effect of a quality culture on a lean and agile supply chain. *The TQM Journal*, 33(6), 1435–1453. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-01-2021-0027>
- Workhuman. (n.d.). *Understanding Performance Management Systems*. [Referenced for concepts in 8.2]
- WWJMRD. (n.d.). *The Importance of Training and Development in Employee Performance and Evaluation*. [Referenced for concepts in 5.1, 5.2]
- ZRG Partners. (n.d.). *Empowering Employees to Improve your Culture*. [Referenced for concepts in 7.2]

Survey: Strategies for Enhancing Quality Culture Awareness

Introduction

Dear Employee,

This questionnaire is part of an academic research project aimed at understanding the strategies that enhance awareness of our quality culture. Your feedback is crucial for identifying our strengths and areas for improvement.

Your participation is voluntary, and all your responses will remain strictly confidential and anonymous. The survey will take approximately 10–15 minutes to complete.

Instructions

Please read each statement carefully and indicate your level of agreement using the following 5-point Likert scale:

Scale	Description
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral (Neither Agree nor Disagree)
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

Part 1: Demographic Information

(This information is for statistical purposes only and will not be used to identify you.)

1. What is your current department?

- ☐ Guest Services / Front Office
- ☐ Housekeeping
- ☐ Food & Beverage (F&B)
- ☐ Administration / Back Office
- ☐ Other (Please specify): _____

2. What is your current position?

- ☐ Front-line Staff
- ☐ Supervisor / Team Leader
- ☐ Department Manager
- ☐ Senior Management

3. How long have you worked at this hotel?

- ☐ Less than 1 year
- ☐ 1–3 years
- ☐ 4–6 years
- ☐ 7–10 years
- ☐ More than 10 years

Section A: Leadership Commitment & Engagement

(This section measures the perceived commitment of management to quality.)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
A1. Our senior leaders consistently communicate a clear vision for quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
A2. My direct manager demonstrates a strong personal commitment to quality standards.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
A3. Leadership provides the necessary resources (time, tools, staff) to achieve high-quality work.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
A4. I feel safe reporting quality problems or mistakes to my manager without fear of blame.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
A5. Leaders here “walk the talk” and make decisions that show quality is a top priority.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section B: Employee Training & Development

(This section measures the effectiveness of training in building quality skills.)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
B1. I received adequate training on the quality standards expected in my role when I started.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
B2. The training I receive gives me the practical skills (the “how-to”) to meet quality standards.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
B3. My organization provides ongoing training opportunities to improve my skills.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
B4. I am trained in “soft skills” (e.g., problem-solving, teamwork) needed for quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
B5. I feel confident in my ability to perform my job to the highest quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section C: Communication & Feedback

(This section measures the clarity and flow of quality-related information.)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
C1. We receive regular and clear communication about our quality performance (e.g., customer feedback).	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
C2. Information about quality goals is shared openly in my department.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
C3. My manager provides me with regular, constructive feedback on the quality of my work.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
C4. I have clear channels to suggest improvements to our quality processes.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
C5. When we make suggestions, management listens and provides feedback on them (“closes the loop”).	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section D: Employee Empowerment & Involvement

(This section measures feelings of autonomy and ownership over quality.)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
D1. I am given the authority to make decisions about the quality of my own work.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
D2. I feel empowered to stop a process or service if I notice a significant quality problem.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
D3. My organization trusts me to do my job right without constant supervision.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
D4. I am actively involved in helping my team solve quality-related problems.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
D5. I feel a strong sense of personal ownership for the quality of my work.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section E: Performance Management & Recognition

(This section measures how performance goals and rewards are aligned with quality.)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
E1. My performance evaluations include a clear assessment of my contribution to quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
E2. The goals set for me clearly align with the organization's quality objectives.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
E3. Employees are genuinely recognized and rewarded for high-quality work.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
E4. We celebrate both individual and team achievements in quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
E5. The reward system makes it clear that quality is more important than just speed or quantity.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section F: Awareness of Quality Culture

(This section measures your personal awareness and understanding of the quality culture.)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
F1. I clearly understand the organization's official quality policy.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
F2. I understand why our quality procedures are important for guest satisfaction.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
F3. I know exactly how my specific daily job contributes to the hotel's overall quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
F4. In my team, we have a shared understanding of what "good quality work" means.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
F5. I believe that "quality" is a genuine top priority for this organization, not just a slogan.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
F6. I am fully aware of the quality standards expected of me in my day-to-day work.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Thank you very much for your valuable time and contribution to this research.

Table of Research Instruments

Research Instrument	Purpose & Application in This Study
1. Literature Review	To review scholarly articles, academic journals, and previous studies. ¹¹¹¹ This instrument is used to build the theoretical foundation ²² , define the research variables ³ , and develop the conceptual framework. ⁴
2. Document Analysis	To conduct a descriptive-analytical review of existing organizational data. ⁶⁶ This includes a thorough review of internal documents and policies ⁷⁷ at the Al Hada InterContinental Hotel to understand the current state of its quality culture and the strategies already in place. ⁸⁸⁸⁸
3. Questionnaire	A structured survey (as proposed for the empirical component) designed to collect primary data from employees. ¹⁰¹⁰ This instrument is intended to measure employee perceptions of the independent variables (Leadership, Training, Communication, Empowerment, Recognition) and the dependent variable (Awareness of Quality Culture). ¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹