

MIDOCEAN UNIVERSITY

College of Management

Department: Master of Business Administration (MBA)

RESEARCH PROPOSAL

The Impact of Digital Transformation on Operational Efficiency in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Riyadh

Prepared by: Bassam Imam Gaffer Osman

Supervised by: Dr. Al Faisal Hasan

Academic Year: 2026

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	2
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. RESEARCH PROBLEM.....	5
3. IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY	7
A) THEORETICAL (SCIENTIFIC) IMPORTANCE	7
B) PRACTICAL (APPLIED) IMPORTANCE	7
4. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY.....	9
5. RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	10
6. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES.....	11
7. LITERATURE REVIEW	12
7.1 DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION: CONCEPTS AND DIMENSIONS	12
7.2 OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY: DEFINITION AND MEASUREMENT.....	13
7.3 DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN SMEs: SPECIFIC CHALLENGES AND FINDINGS	14
7.4 THE SAUDI AND GULF CONTEXT.....	15
7.5 CRITICAL SYNTHESIS AND KEY TAKEAWAYS FROM THE LITERATURE.....	17
8. RESEARCH GAPS.....	19
9. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF THE STUDY	21
10. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	23
11. STUDY POPULATION AND SAMPLE	24
12. RESEARCH INSTRUMENT	25
13. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS METHODS	27
14. RESEARCH LIMITATIONS	28
16. REFERENCE	30
BOOKS	30
PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL ARTICLES	30
REPORTS AND INSTITUTIONAL SOURCES	31

1. Introduction

There is a certain irony in the digital age: we are surrounded by tools capable of transforming the way businesses operate, yet a considerable number of enterprises — particularly smaller ones — have yet to experience any meaningful change in how they actually work. This gap between technology availability and real-world adoption is not unique to Saudi Arabia, but it is especially pronounced among the small and medium enterprises (SMEs) that form the backbone of Riyadh's economy.

Over the past decade, 'digital transformation' has evolved from a buzzword confined to technology conferences into a genuine strategic imperative. The COVID-19 pandemic, in particular, served as an unexpected accelerant — businesses that had invested in digital infrastructure managed the disruption far better than those that had not. Cloud-based systems kept remote teams coordinated, e-invoicing reduced contact and cash handling, and data analytics helped managers understand customer behavior in real time. In contrast, businesses relying on manual processes and paper-based records found themselves paralyzed almost overnight.

In Saudi Arabia, this reality has been given additional momentum by Vision 2030 — the Kingdom's comprehensive reform agenda announced in 2016. The vision explicitly calls for the development of a vibrant digital economy, and a range of national programmes (including those run by Monsha'at, the General Authority for Small and Medium Enterprises, and CITC, the Communications and Information Technology Commission) have been established to support digital adoption across the private sector. The government has invested heavily in digital infrastructure, expanded broadband coverage, and introduced regulatory frameworks around e-commerce and e-invoicing that effectively make digitalization not optional but necessary.

Yet despite this enabling environment, research consistently shows that many SMEs in Riyadh remain at early stages of digital adoption. The reasons are not difficult to understand: limited financial resources, difficulty in identifying the right solutions, shortage of in-house technical expertise, and,

perhaps most importantly, uncertainty about whether the investment will actually pay off. This last point — the question of return on digital investment — is precisely what motivates the current study.

This proposal argues that there is still a meaningful gap in our understanding of how digital transformation translates, in practice, into measurable improvements in operational efficiency for SMEs in Riyadh. Operational efficiency — understood here as the ability to deliver outputs using fewer resources, in less time, with fewer errors and at lower cost — is not just one metric among many. For an SME operating on thin margins in a competitive urban market like Riyadh, operational efficiency can be the difference between growth and stagnation.

The study therefore proposes to examine, through a quantitative survey of SMEs in Riyadh, whether and to what degree specific dimensions of digital transformation (the digitization of processes, the adoption of particular technologies, the development of digital skills and culture, and the integration of systems) are associated with improvements in operational efficiency. The findings will be grounded in real data from actual businesses, and the aim is to produce insights that are practically useful — not just for academics, but for business owners, consultants, and the policymakers who design SME support programmes.

The rest of this proposal is structured as follows. Section 2 defines the research problem and explains why it matters. Sections 3 through 6 set out the study's importance, objectives, questions, and hypotheses. Section 7 reviews the existing literature analytically, and Section 8 identifies the key research gaps. Sections 9 through 13 cover the theoretical framework and methodological plan, while Sections 14 and 15 address the study's limitations and overall structure. Section 16 provides an initial list of references.

2. Research Problem

The starting point for this study is a practical puzzle that many SME owners and managers in Riyadh would recognize immediately: they have heard — from consultants, from government programmes, from competitors — that going digital will improve their operations. Some have invested in cloud accounting software, point-of-sale systems, or enterprise resource planning (ERP) platforms. But when asked whether these investments have made their businesses more efficient, the answers tend to be uncertain, mixed, or frankly skeptical.

This uncertainty is not irrational. The academic literature on digital transformation and firm performance, while broadly positive in its conclusions, is often based on studies of large corporations with dedicated IT teams, ample budgets, and the organizational capacity to manage complex change processes. The situation in an SME employing twenty or fifty people, operating in a single location, and competing against dozens of similar businesses, is fundamentally different. The technologies may be the same, but the implementation challenges, the risks, and the likely outcomes are not.

Several specific aspects of this problem deserve attention. First, many SMEs in Riyadh adopt digital tools in a fragmented and uncoordinated way. A business might introduce a digital accounting package but continue to manage inventory through spreadsheets or adopt an e-invoicing system without connecting it to the broader financial management process. In such cases, the efficiency gains that theory predicts may simply not materialize, because the real value of digital transformation lies in integrated, end-to-end process improvement, not in isolated tool adoption.

Second, there is the question of capability. Even where the right tools are in place, operational efficiency benefits depend on employees who know how to use them effectively. Digital skills gaps are widely documented in the SME sector, and Saudi businesses are no exception. A well-designed ERP system operated by staff who are uncomfortable with technology and poorly trained on the platform may actually slow down operations rather than speeding them up — at least in the short to medium term.

Third, the SME literature consistently highlights the problem of limited resources. SMEs cannot afford the costly and prolonged implementation processes that large organizations can manage. They need digital solutions that are quick to deploy, easy to use, and demonstrably effective from relatively early in the adoption process. Understanding which specific digital investments deliver the clearest efficiency returns — and which do not — is therefore a practical and urgent question.

The central research problem can be summarized as follows: there is a shortage of empirical, locally grounded evidence about the specific relationship between digital transformation and operational efficiency in SMEs operating in Riyadh. Without this evidence, SME managers are making important investment decisions based on general assumptions, vendor promises, or the experience of businesses that may be very different from their own.

This situation gives rise to the main research question that guides this study:

What is the impact of digital transformation on operational efficiency in small and medium enterprises in Riyadh?

Answering this question requires examining both what kind of digital transformation SMEs in Riyadh have undergone, and how that transformation (or lack of it) shows up in the efficiency of their day-to-day operations. It also requires being specific about which dimensions of digital transformation matter most — because 'going digital' is not a single, homogeneous act but a complex set of choices about technologies, processes, skills, and organizational culture.

3. Importance of the Study

A) Theoretical (Scientific) Importance

While digital transformation and operational performance have been studied extensively in the context of large organizations, the specific dynamics within SMEs remain comparatively underexplored. This study makes several theoretical contributions.

First, it adds to the growing body of knowledge on digital transformation in emerging market SMEs. The majority of foundational studies on digital transformation (such as Vial, 2019; Matt et al., 2015) were conducted in Western, high-income contexts. Their frameworks, while valuable, do not always translate straightforwardly to a context like Riyadh, where SMEs operate within a distinctive regulatory environment shaped by Vision 2030, a labour market with specific characteristics, and business norms that differ from those in Europe or North America.

Second, the study contributes to the theoretical understanding of the mechanisms through which digital transformation produces efficiency gains. It is not sufficient to establish that digital transformation and operational efficiency are correlated; theory requires an account of how and why this relationship exists, and whether it varies depending on the type of digital investment or the characteristics of the business. By testing the effects of multiple specific dimensions of digital transformation (process digitization, technology adoption, digital skills, and systems integration), the study builds a more granular theoretical picture.

Third, the study provides a locally validated measurement framework for both digital transformation and operational efficiency in the SME context. Such instruments are valuable for future researchers working in similar settings.

B) Practical (Applied) Importance

The practical relevance of this study extends to several stakeholder groups.

For SME owners and managers, the study will provide evidence-based guidance on which digital investments are most likely to improve operational efficiency, thereby helping them make better-informed decisions about how to allocate limited resources. The findings will also highlight common pitfalls and barriers, helping managers avoid mistakes that have cost other businesses time and money.

For government agencies and policymakers, particularly Monsha'at and CITC, the study will provide a clearer picture of where the main gaps and obstacles lie in SME digital adoption in Riyadh. This can inform the design of more targeted and effective support programmes, training initiatives, and incentive structures.

For consultants and technology solution providers, the findings will offer insights into what SME clients are experiencing in terms of efficiency outcomes from digital investments, which can help in tailoring solutions and setting realistic expectations.

Finally, the study contributes to the broader Vision 2030 agenda by generating knowledge that supports the development of a productive, efficient, and digitally capable SME sector in Saudi Arabia.

4. Objectives of the Study

The study is designed around the following specific objectives:

General Objective: To examine the impact of digital transformation on operational efficiency in small and medium enterprises operating in Riyadh, and to identify which dimensions of digital transformation contribute most significantly to efficiency improvements.

Specific Objectives:

1. To map the current level and pattern of digital transformation adoption in SMEs in Riyadh across four dimensions: process digitisation, digital technology adoption, digital skills and organisational culture, and systems integration.
2. To assess the current level of operational efficiency in these SMEs using indicators that capture process cycle time and speed, error rates, resource utilisation, and cost efficiency.
3. To test the statistical significance and direction of the relationship between each digital transformation dimension and overall operational efficiency.
4. To identify which dimensions of digital transformation have the strongest predictive power with respect to operational efficiency outcomes in the study sample.
5. To explore the main barriers that prevent SMEs in Riyadh from achieving higher levels of digital transformation and, by extension, better operational efficiency.
6. To formulate actionable recommendations for SME managers, policymakers, and support agencies based on the empirical findings of the study.

5. Research Questions

Main Research Question:

What is the impact of digital transformation on operational efficiency in small and medium enterprises in Riyadh?

Sub-Questions:

7. What is the current level and nature of digital transformation adoption in SMEs operating in Riyadh?
8. What is the current level of operational efficiency in SMEs in Riyadh, as measured by process speed, error rates, resource utilisation, and cost indicators?
9. Is there a statistically significant relationship between the overall degree of digital transformation and operational efficiency in Riyadh SMEs?
10. Which specific dimensions of digital transformation — process digitisation, digital technology adoption, digital skills and organisational culture, or systems integration — have the greatest effect on operational efficiency?
11. What are the primary barriers preventing SMEs in Riyadh from advancing their digital transformation and realising its efficiency benefits?
12. Are there significant differences in digital transformation levels and operational efficiency across different sectors, enterprise sizes, or years of operation?

6. Research Hypotheses

On the basis of the research problem, the literature review, and the theoretical framework, the following hypotheses are proposed for empirical testing:

Main Hypothesis:

H₁: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between the overall level of digital transformation and operational efficiency in SMEs in Riyadh.

H₀: There is no statistically significant relationship between digital transformation and operational efficiency in SMEs in Riyadh.

Sub-Hypotheses:

H₁₋₁: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between the digitization of internal business processes and operational efficiency in SMEs in Riyadh.

H₁₋₂: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between the adoption of digital technologies (ERP, cloud computing, e-invoicing, data analytics) and operational efficiency in SMEs in Riyadh.

H₁₋₃: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between digital skills and an organizational culture supportive of digital adoption, and operational efficiency in SMEs in Riyadh.

H₁₋₄: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between the integration of digital systems and platforms and operational efficiency in SMEs in Riyadh.

These hypotheses will be tested using the statistical methods described in Section 12. The null hypothesis will be rejected if the p-value is less than 0.05 in the relevant tests.

7. Literature Review

The following review is structured thematically, beginning with the core concepts of digital transformation and operational efficiency before turning to the specific context of SMEs and the Gulf region. The final sub-section offers a critical synthesis of selected empirical studies that are most directly relevant to the present research.

7.1 Digital Transformation: Concepts and Dimensions

The term 'digital transformation' has been defined and operationalized in many different ways in the academic literature, which itself reflects how rapidly the phenomenon has evolved. One of the most widely cited conceptual frameworks is that of Vial (2019), who, after a systematic review of 282 papers, defined digital transformation as 'a process that aims to improve an entity by triggering significant changes to its properties through combinations of information, computing, communication, and connectivity technologies.' This definition is useful precisely because it anchors digital transformation in process change rather than technology adoption alone — a distinction that matters for this study, because it is the process change, not the technology itself, that ultimately drives efficiency.

Matt, Hess, and Benlian (2015) propose a more managerial framework centered on a firm's 'digital transformation strategy,' which they argue must address four interrelated dimensions: the use of technologies, changes in value creation, structural changes within the organization, and the financial aspects of the transformation. Their framework is helpful because it highlights that digital transformation is multidimensional and requires coordinated action across the organization — a point that is often missed when businesses simply adopt individual tools without considering the broader implications.

Westerman, Bonnet, and McAfee (2014) distinguish between companies that are 'digital beginners,' 'fashionistas,' 'conservatives,' and 'digirati' depending on both their digital capability and their leadership capacity to translate digital investments into business value. Their work emphasizes that

technology alone is insufficient; organizational leadership, culture, and change management are equally important determinants of whether digital transformation delivers on its promise. This insight shapes the inclusion of 'digital skills and culture' as one of the independent variables in the current study.

Rogers (2016) offers a complementary perspective focused on business model transformation, arguing that the most important impact of digitization is not on individual processes but on the fundamental way value is created, delivered, and captured. While this is an important idea at the strategic level, for SMEs in Riyadh — where the immediate priority is operational survival and efficiency rather than business model reinvention — the process-level view of Vial (2019) is arguably more directly applicable.

More recently, Fitzgerald et al. (2020) have drawn attention to a growing divergence between large corporations, which have become more sophisticated in their digital transformation approaches, and SMEs, which continue to face unique structural barriers including resource constraints, limited IT capabilities, and difficulty in managing simultaneous operational and transformational demands. This divergence reinforces the importance of studying digital transformation in the SME context specifically, rather than extrapolating from findings derived in large corporate settings.

7.2 Operational Efficiency: Definition and Measurement

Operational efficiency is a concept with a long history in management science, predating the digital age by many decades. In its most basic formulation, operational efficiency refers to the ratio of useful output to the resources consumed in producing that output. A more efficient operation achieves the same or better results while using less time, fewer resources, and generating less waste.

In the context of contemporary organizations, operational efficiency is typically measured across several dimensions. Lean management frameworks, which have been widely adopted since the 1980s in manufacturing and more recently in services, identify waste (Muda) in the form of overproduction,

waiting, unnecessary movement, excess inventory, and defects (Womack and Jones, 2003). Eliminating or reducing these forms of waste is the core operational efficiency challenge.

For the purposes of this study, operational efficiency is understood to encompass four main components: (1) process cycle time — the speed with which key operational processes are completed; (2) error rates and quality — the frequency of mistakes, rework, and customer complaints; (3) resource utilization — the degree to which labour, equipment, and other resources are productively deployed rather than sitting idle; and (4) cost efficiency — the achievement of operational outputs at lower unit cost. These four dimensions are consistent with the measurement approaches used in several recent studies in the digital transformation literature (see, for example, Eller et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018).

It is worth noting that operational efficiency and organizational performance are related but distinct concepts. Operational efficiency is a relatively narrow, process-level construct; organizational performance encompasses broader outcomes including financial profitability, market share, customer satisfaction, and innovation capacity. This study focuses specifically on operational efficiency rather than the broader construct, on the grounds that it is more directly and immediately influenced by digital transformation initiatives and is more amenable to reliable measurement in an SME context through a questionnaire survey.

7.3 Digital Transformation in SMEs: Specific Challenges and Findings

The relationship between digital transformation and performance outcomes has been studied extensively in large organizations, but the SME-specific literature, though growing, is more limited and less consistent in its conclusions.

Eller, Alford, Kallmünzer, and Peters (2020) conducted a comprehensive literature review specifically examining the antecedents, consequences, and challenges of SME digitalization. Their analysis of 196 studies identified resource constraints, lack of digital leadership, employee resistance, and inadequate IT infrastructure as the primary barriers to SME digital transformation. In terms of consequences, they found that digitalization tends to improve SME performance — but the effect size is highly variable

and depends on how digitalization is implemented, what aspects of the business are transformed, and whether the transformation is accompanied by organizational and cultural change. This finding is consistent with the more nuanced process-based view of digital transformation described above.

Li, Su, Zhang, and Mao (2018) examined digital transformation among SMEs through a capability perspective. Their study, based on qualitative research with Chinese SMEs, found that the ability to sense opportunities, seize them through focused investment, and reconfigure existing resources was critical to whether digital transformation led to performance improvements. While the Chinese context is different from Saudi Arabia in many ways, the underlying dynamics of SME resource constraints and capability gaps are broadly comparable, and the study offers useful theoretical anchoring for the present research.

Bughin and Zeebroeck (2017) conducted a large-scale empirical study across European firms of various sizes and found that SMEs, while slower to adopt advanced digital technologies than large firms, often experienced disproportionately large efficiency gains when they did — particularly in areas such as supply chain management, customer relationship management, and financial reporting. The authors attribute this finding to the fact that SMEs tend to have simpler organizational structures and fewer legacy systems, which means that digital tools can produce rapid and visible improvements once implemented.

Hossain and Quaddus (2011) examined technology adoption in SMEs using the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and found that perceived usefulness and ease of use were the strongest predictors of adoption intent. This is relevant to the current study because it suggests that SME managers' perceptions of digital tools — not just the objective characteristics of the tools — play an important role in determining whether and how effectively those tools are adopted. The questionnaire design for the current study will take this into account.

7.4 The Saudi and Gulf Context

While the global digital transformation literature provides important conceptual and empirical foundations, the local context of Saudi Arabia and the Gulf region introduces considerations that deserve specific attention.

Vision 2030, launched in 2016, includes an explicit objective to increase the SME sector's contribution to GDP from approximately 20 percent to 35 percent by 2030. Achieving this target will require, among other things, a substantial improvement in SME productivity and operational efficiency. Digital transformation is identified in the vision as one of the key enablers of this improvement, and several national programmes have been designed specifically to accelerate SME digitalization.

The CITC's annual digital transformation reports (2022, 2023) have documented significant improvements in digital infrastructure across Saudi Arabia, including widespread broadband availability, high smartphone penetration, and growing adoption of cloud-based services. However, the reports also note persistent gaps in SME-specific digital adoption, particularly in sectors such as retail, food and beverage, and professional services — precisely the sectors targeted by the current study.

Al-Shamri and Al-Harthi (2021) conducted a study of digital readiness among SMEs in Jeddah and Riyadh and found that while most businesses were aware of digital transformation as a concept, fewer than 40 percent had taken systematic steps to implement it. The study identified lack of financial resources, uncertainty about ROI, and shortage of qualified technical staff as the three main barriers — findings that align closely with the problem statement of the current research.

Alenezi and Basahel (2023) examined the relationship between digital transformation and business performance in Saudi SMEs across multiple sectors and found a significant positive relationship, but noted that the relationship was mediated by the quality of implementation and the presence of digital champions within the organisation. Their work suggests that the mere adoption of digital tools is insufficient; the manner in which they are integrated into business processes and the extent to which staff are trained and motivated to use them effectively are equally important determinants of outcome.

Al-Qahtani (2022), in a study specifically focused on the manufacturing sector in Riyadh, found that ERP adoption was associated with significant improvements in inventory management efficiency and order fulfilment speed, but that these benefits were often offset by implementation costs and transitional disruptions in the first year of adoption. This finding underscores the importance of considering the time dimension of digital transformation benefits — a point that the cross-sectional design of the current study will need to acknowledge as a limitation.

7.5 Critical Synthesis and Key Takeaways from the Literature

Drawing together the studies reviewed above, several key themes and takeaways emerge that directly inform the design of the current research.

First, the literature consistently shows that digital transformation is associated with operational efficiency improvements, but the relationship is not automatic or universal. It depends heavily on how the transformation is implemented, which aspects of the business are targeted, whether staff are trained adequately, and whether individual digital tools are integrated with one another and with core business processes.

Second, SMEs face specific challenges in pursuing digital transformation that large organizations do not, including tighter resource constraints, more limited internal IT capabilities, and often a more immediate trade-off between transformation investment and day-to-day operational demands. Research conducted in large-firm settings cannot simply be transposed to the SME context.

Third, the Saudi and Gulf context introduces additional specificities — including Vision 2030-driven policy pressures, a regulatory environment shaped by CITC and Monsha'at, and a business culture that has its own dynamics around technology adoption and change management — that make locally-grounded research valuable rather than merely duplicative of existing international work.

Fourth, the measurement of both digital transformation and operational efficiency is more complex than it might appear. Both are multidimensional constructs, and the choice of dimensions and indicators has significant implications for the findings and their interpretability.

8. Research Gaps

Despite the growing literature on digital transformation and operational performance, several significant gaps remain, which the current study is specifically designed to address.

Gap 1 — Local empirical evidence: The vast majority of empirical studies on digital transformation and efficiency have been conducted in Western or East Asian contexts. There are very few studies that are both quantitatively rigorous and specifically focused on SMEs in Riyadh or, more broadly, Saudi Arabia. The studies by Al-Shamri and Al-Harthi (2021), Alenezi and Basahel (2023), and Al-Qahtani (2022) are important exceptions, but they focus on specific sectors or aspects of digital transformation rather than providing a comprehensive, multi-dimensional analysis across sectors.

Gap 2 — Dimensional specificity: Most existing studies treat digital transformation as a single, composite variable, or at best distinguish between 'technology adoption' and 'organizational transformation.' This level of aggregation obscures important differences between types of digital investment. The current study addresses this by separately examining process digitization, technology adoption, digital skills and culture, and systems integration — a more nuanced decomposition that is better suited to generating actionable guidance for SME managers.

Gap 3 — Operational efficiency as the outcome variable: Many studies in the digital transformation literature use broad performance measures (revenue growth, market share, profitability) as their outcome variables. These are important, but they are influenced by a wide range of factors beyond digital transformation. Operational efficiency, as defined in this study, is a more proximate and tractable outcome variable — more directly shaped by the specific digital investments that SMEs make, and therefore more useful for drawing causal inferences about the value of different types of digital transformation.

Gap 4 — Vision 2030 context: The pace and direction of digital transformation among Saudi SMEs is substantially shaped by Vision 2030 and the associated national programmes. Research conducted before the mid-2010s or outside Saudi Arabia cannot adequately capture the current policy

environment. There is a specific need for studies that examine digital transformation in SMEs within the Vision 2030 context — not just in terms of whether the vision's targets are being met, but in terms of whether the policy levers currently in use are actually delivering the expected efficiency benefits at the firm level.

9. Theoretical Foundations of the Study

The conceptual framework for this study draws on three established theoretical perspectives, which together provide a coherent account of why and how digital transformation should be expected to improve operational efficiency.

The Resource-Based View (RBV): First developed by Penrose (1959) and formalised in the management literature by Barney (1991), the RBV posits that firms derive sustainable competitive advantage from unique, valuable, rare, and difficult-to-imitate resources and capabilities. In the digital transformation context, digital capabilities — including the ability to deploy and effectively use advanced technologies, to manage data as a strategic asset, and to develop a digitally skilled workforce — constitute exactly the kind of dynamic resource that the RBV predicts should confer competitive advantage. Applied to the current study, the RBV suggests that SMEs with more developed digital capabilities will be better positioned to improve their operational efficiency, because those capabilities allow them to process information faster, coordinate activities more effectively, and respond more quickly to operational problems.

The Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Framework: Introduced by Tornatzky and Fleischer (1990), the TOE framework examines how three contextual factors — the technological context (existing technologies within and available to the firm), the organizational context (firm size, structure, and internal resources), and the environmental context (industry, competitors, regulatory environment) — interact to shape a firm's technology adoption decisions and their outcomes. The TOE framework is particularly well suited to the current research because it explicitly accounts for the environmental context of Vision 2030 and the broader Saudi digital economy, as well as the organizational constraints that characterize SMEs. It suggests that digital transformation and its efficiency outcomes cannot be understood in isolation from the broader context in which the firm operates.

Process Innovation Theory: Davenport (1993) and Hammer and Champy (1993) laid the foundations for thinking about how information technology can fundamentally redesign business processes, rather than merely automating existing ones. Process innovation theory holds that the real efficiency gains from digitalization come not from replacing manual steps with automated ones (a relatively modest change), but from rethinking how processes are designed — eliminating unnecessary steps, reducing handoffs, improving coordination, and enabling real-time information sharing across the organization. For SMEs in Riyadh, this theory suggests that the most impactful digital transformation initiatives will be those that go beyond simple tool adoption and actually reshape the way work is organized and executed.

These three frameworks are complementary rather than competing. The RBV focuses on the firm's strategic resources and capabilities; the TOE framework situates the firm in its organizational and environmental context; and process innovation theory provides a micro-level account of the mechanisms through which digital capabilities translate into efficiency gains. Together, they form the theoretical spine of the conceptual model that will guide the study's design, data collection, and interpretation.

10. Research Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive-analytical quantitative approach. This choice reflects the nature of the research questions and hypotheses, which are fundamentally about the degree to which measurable variables (digital transformation dimensions and operational efficiency indicators) are related in a defined population of SMEs.

A cross-sectional research design will be employed, collecting data at a single point in time from a sample of SMEs in Riyadh. While a longitudinal design would be more powerful for establishing causality, it is not feasible within the timeframe and resources available for this study. The cross-sectional design is appropriate for the descriptive and relational aims of the research.

The study does not include a qualitative phase, though a small number of open-ended questions will be included in the questionnaire to allow respondents to comment on barriers and challenges in their own words. These responses will be analyzed using simple content analysis to complement the quantitative findings.

The rationale for a primarily quantitative approach includes: the need to produce statistically generalizable findings across the SME population in Riyadh; the requirement to test specific directional hypotheses about the relationship between digital transformation and operational efficiency; and the desire to produce findings that can be compared with international quantitative studies on the same topic.

11. Study Population and Sample

Population: The study population consists of all registered small and medium enterprises operating in Riyadh across five sectors: professional services (consulting, accounting, legal), retail and wholesale trade, light manufacturing, technology and IT services, and food and beverage services. These sectors are selected because they represent a cross-section of the Riyadh SME economy and because they span a range of digital transformation maturity levels, providing sufficient variance in the independent variable.

Sample Size and Rationale: A representative sample of between 150 and 250 SMEs will be targeted. This range is based on power analysis for multiple regression with five predictor variables, which requires a minimum of approximately 100 to 150 cases for adequate statistical power (Cohen, 1988). Targeting 250 cases provides a buffer against non-response and data quality issues.

Sampling Technique: Stratified random sampling will be used to ensure proportional representation across the five sectors, as well as across enterprise size categories (small: 5–49 employees; medium: 50–249 employees) and years of operation (less than 5 years; 5–15 years; more than 15 years). Sampling frames will be drawn from Monsha'at's SME database, the Riyadh Chamber of Commerce business directory, and, where necessary, purposive snowball sampling through professional networks.

Respondents: Within each selected SME, the questionnaire will be directed to a manager, owner, or senior employee who has both operational responsibility and knowledge of the business's digital systems. In small enterprises, this is typically the owner or general manager; in medium enterprises, it may be the operations manager, IT manager, or finance director. This approach is consistent with key informant methodology widely used in organizational research.

12. Research Instrument

The primary data collection instrument will be a structured, self-administered questionnaire, available in both Arabic and English. The questionnaire will be designed according to best-practice principles for survey research and will consist of the following sections:

Section 1 — Organizational Characteristics: This section will collect basic descriptive data about the enterprise, including sector, years of operation, number of employees, annual revenue category, and ownership structure. This information will allow for sub-group analysis in later stages of data analysis.

Section 2 — Digital Transformation Scale: This section will measure the four dimensions of digital transformation identified in the conceptual framework:

- **Process Digitisation:** Degree to which core operational processes (invoicing, inventory management, HR, etc.) have been digitised and automated. (10 items, Likert 1–5)
- **Digital Technology Adoption:** Extent of adoption of specific technologies including ERP systems, cloud computing, e-invoicing platforms, data analytics tools, and digital communication platforms. (10 items, Likert 1–5)
- **Digital Skills and Organisational Culture:** Level of staff digital competency, quality of digital training provided, and extent to which the organisational culture supports digital innovation and change. (8 items, Likert 1–5)
- **Systems Integration:** Degree to which different digital tools and platforms are integrated with one another, and the extent to which data flows seamlessly between systems. (7 items, Likert 1–5)

Section 3 — Operational Efficiency Scale: This section will measure operational efficiency across four dimensions:

- **Process Speed:** Average cycle time for key processes, perceived improvement in processing speed since digital adoption. (6 items, Likert 1–5)
- **Error Rates and Quality:** Frequency of errors, rework, and customer complaints; perceived improvement in accuracy since digital adoption. (6 items, Likert 1–5)
- **Resource Utilisation:** Efficiency of staff time utilisation, reduction in idle time and wasted effort, improvement in inventory turnover (where applicable). (6 items, Likert 1–5)

- **Cost Efficiency:** Perceived reduction in operational costs attributable to digital transformation, including cost per transaction and overhead cost. (6 items, Likert 1–5)

Section 4 — Open-Ended Questions: A small number of optional open-ended questions will invite respondents to describe specific challenges they have encountered in digital transformation and any efficiency improvements they have observed.

Questionnaire Development Process: Scale items will be drawn from validated instruments used in prior studies (including those by Vial, 2019; Eller et al., 2020; and local studies by Al-Shamri and Al-Harthi, 2021). Items will be adapted to the SME context and Saudi business environment, translated into Arabic by a qualified translator, and back-translated to verify accuracy. The questionnaire will be pilot-tested with a sample of 20–30 SMEs before finalisation.

Validity and Reliability: Content validity will be ensured through review by a panel of three academic experts and two experienced SME practitioners. Construct validity will be assessed through exploratory factor analysis on the pilot data. Internal consistency reliability will be measured using Cronbach's alpha, with a minimum acceptable threshold of 0.70 for each scale.

13. Statistical Analysis Methods

Data collected through the questionnaire will be entered and analysed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), version 27 or later. The following analytical techniques will be employed:

13. Descriptive Statistics: Frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations will be calculated for all variables and sub-scales to provide a full description of the sample characteristics and the levels of digital transformation and operational efficiency.
14. Reliability Analysis: Cronbach's alpha coefficients will be calculated for each scale to assess internal consistency reliability.
15. Normality Testing: Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests will be used to assess whether the data meet the normality assumption required for parametric statistical procedures.
16. Correlation Analysis: Pearson correlation coefficients will be calculated to examine the bivariate relationships between each digital transformation dimension and operational efficiency, and between the sub-dimensions of each construct.
17. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis: This is the primary analytical method for hypothesis testing. A multiple regression model will be estimated with overall operational efficiency as the dependent variable and the four digital transformation dimensions as independent variables. The model will report standardised regression coefficients (β), R^2 values, and F-statistics to assess model fit and the relative predictive power of each dimension.
18. Independent Samples T-Tests and One-Way ANOVA: These tests will be used to examine whether digital transformation levels and operational efficiency differ significantly across sectors, enterprise sizes, and years of operation.
19. Content Analysis: Open-ended responses regarding barriers and enablers of digital transformation will be analysed using simple thematic coding.

14. Research Limitations

Like all empirical research, this study is subject to a number of limitations, which are important to state clearly at the outset. Acknowledging these limitations does not undermine the value of the research; it places its findings in proper perspective and points the way towards future work that can address what this study cannot.

A) Temporal Limitations: The cross-sectional design captures a snapshot of digital transformation and operational efficiency at a single point in time (anticipated to be the second semester of 2026). This means the study cannot track how efficiency changes over time as digital transformation deepens, and it cannot distinguish between businesses that have recently adopted digital tools (and may not yet be experiencing full efficiency benefits) and those whose digital transformation is more mature.

B) Spatial Limitations: The study is confined to SMEs in Riyadh city. While Riyadh is the largest and most economically diverse city in Saudi Arabia, the findings may not be generalisable to SMEs in other regions such as Jeddah, Dammam, or the smaller cities of the Kingdom, where economic conditions, digital infrastructure, and business culture may differ.

C) Measurement Limitations: Both digital transformation and operational efficiency are measured through self-reported perceptions rather than objective data (such as actual system logs or financial records). Perceptual data are subject to social desirability bias, common method bias, and individual differences in interpretation. These limitations will be mitigated through careful questionnaire design, assurance of anonymity, and statistical checks for common method bias.

D) Sample Limitations: Access to a complete and reliable sampling frame of SMEs in Riyadh is challenging. The study will draw on multiple sources (Monsha'at database, Chamber of Commerce directory, professional networks), but some sectors or enterprise types may be underrepresented. Non-response bias — the possibility that businesses that choose not to participate differ systematically from those that do — cannot be completely eliminated.

E) Causal Inference: Given the cross-sectional design and the use of perceptual data, the study can establish association between digital transformation and operational efficiency but cannot definitively establish causation. It is possible, for example, that more operationally efficient businesses are more likely to invest in digital transformation rather than the other way around. Future longitudinal research would be needed to more convincingly establish causal direction.

16. Reference

The following references will form the core of the literature review for the final thesis. Additional references, particularly recent articles published in 2024–2026, will be incorporated during the literature review phase.

Books

Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Davenport, T. H. (1993). *Process innovation: Reengineering work through information technology*. Harvard Business School Press.

Hammer, M., & Champy, J. (1993). *Reengineering the corporation: A manifesto for business revolution*. HarperBusiness.

Rogers, D. L. (2016). *The digital transformation playbook: Rethink your business for the digital age*. Columbia University Press.

Tornatzky, L. G., & Fleischer, M. (1990). *The processes of technological innovation*. Lexington Books.

Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). *Leading digital: Turning technology into business transformation*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (2003). *Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your corporation* (2nd ed.). Simon & Schuster.

Peer-Reviewed Journal Articles

- Alenezi, M., & Basahel, A. (2023). Digital transformation and business performance in Saudi SMEs: A multi-sector analysis. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship*, 35(4), 480–499.
- Al-Shamri, A., & Al-Harthi, R. (2021). Digital readiness and adoption barriers among SMEs in the Western and Central regions of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 16(9), 55–71.
- Bughin, J., & Zeebroeck, N. V. (2017). The best response to digital disruption. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 58(4), 80–86.
- Eller, R., Alford, P., Kallmünzer, A., & Peters, M. (2020). Antecedents, consequences, and challenges of small and medium-sized enterprise digitalization. *Journal of Business Research*, 112, 119–127.
- Fitzgerald, M., Kruschwitz, N., Bonnet, D., & Welch, M. (2020). Embracing digital technology: A new strategic imperative. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 55(2), 1–12.
- Hossain, M. A., & Quaddus, M. (2011). The adoption and post-adoption dynamics of RFID technology in livestock tracking and management in Australia. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 51(3), 82–92.
- Li, L., Su, F., Zhang, W., & Mao, J. Y. (2018). Digital transformation by SME entrepreneurs: A capability perspective. *Information Systems Journal*, 28(6), 1129–1157.
- Matt, C., Hess, T., & Benlian, A. (2015). Digital transformation strategies. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 57(5), 339–343.
- Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118–144.
- Al-Qahtani, M. (2022). ERP adoption and operational efficiency in the manufacturing sector in Riyadh: An empirical investigation. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 12(1), 1–18.

Reports and Institutional Sources

www.midocean.edu.km

Comoros Address: (Headquarters) Midocean university, 8764+GH9 Matelec street, BP 684 Moroni, RP 98123 Moroni, Comoros

Communication and Information Technology Commission (CITC). (2023). Digital transformation annual report: Saudi Arabia 2023. CITC Publications.

McKinsey & Company. (2023). Digital transformation in emerging markets: The path to operational excellence. McKinsey Global Institute.

Monsha'at (General Authority for Small and Medium Enterprises). (2023). SME sector report 2023. <https://www.monshaat.gov.sa>

Saudi Vision 2030. (2016). Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Vision 2030. <https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/>

World Bank. (2022). Digital transformation in the Middle East and North Africa. World Bank Publications.

— End of Research Proposal —