

Midocean University
College of Management
Department / Major: Risk Management



**Risk Assessment Strategies for Giga-Projects: Navigating Complexity and
Uncertainty in Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030.**

Prepared by: Hassan mansour bantan

Supervised by: Nour Affour

Part I: The Foundation

Front Matter

- Title Page (Project Title, Name, Institution, Date)
- Abstract
- Table of Contents & List of Figures/Tables

Chapter 1: Introduction

- **1.1 The Audacity of Vision 2030:** A high-level overview of the Saudi National Transformation.
- **1.2 Defining the "Giga-Project":** Moving beyond "Mega" into "Giga" (Scale, Scope, and Speed).
- **1.3 The Research Problem:** Defining the "Complexity Gap"—why linear models fail non-linear projects.
- **1.4 Significance of the Study:** Why Riyadh and the PIF need this specific framework now.
- **1.5 Research Objectives & Questions:** The four "North Star" goals and three guiding inquiries.
- **1.6 Hypotheses:** Our academic "bets" on complexity, real-time cycles, and predictive accuracy.

Part II: The Academic Landscape

Chapter 2: Literature Review

- **2.1 The Global Megaproject Paradox:** Analyzing Flyvbjerg's "Iron Law" of overruns.
- **2.2 Complexity vs. Complication:** A deep dive into the "System of Systems" theory.
- **2.3 The Behavioral Economics of Risk:** Optimism bias, strategic misrepresentation, and the "Planning Fallacy."
- **2.4 The Saudi Context (2024-2026):** Current status of NEOM, Red Sea, and Qiddiya.
- **2.5 Critiquing Traditional Risk Tools:** Why ISO 31000 and PMBOK are insufficient for \$500B+ budgets.
- **2.6 Synthesis:** Identifying the specific "Literature Gap" this proposal fills.

Chapter 3: Theoretical Framework

- **3.1 Complexity Theory:** Viewing projects as Complex Adaptive Systems (CAS).
- **3.2 Normal Accident Theory (NAT):** Understanding tight coupling in Giga-infrastructure.
- **3.3 Prospect Theory:** How high-pressure environments influence risk-taking.
- **3.4 The Antifragility Paradigm:** Designing systems that grow stronger through volatility.

Part III: The Strategic Blueprint

Chapter 4: Research Methodology

- **4.1 Research Philosophy:** Pragmatism and the search for "What Works."
- **4.2 Research Design:** The Concurrent Triangulation (Mixed-Methods) strategy.
- **4.3 Population & Sampling:** Targeted "Purposive Sampling" of Saudi project elites.
- **4.4 The Delphi Technique:** How we will reach consensus across three rounds of expert surveys.
- **4.5 Data Collection Tools:** Interview guides, the "Complexity Matrix," and survey instruments.
- **4.6 Data Analysis:** Using NVivo for themes and regression analysis for statistical correlations.

Chapter 5: Framework Design (The Proposed Model)

- **5.1 Conceptualizing the "Dynamic Risk Framework":** Real-time vs. Static reporting.
- **5.2 AI-Driven Predictive Integration:** How machine learning handles "Black Swan" detection.
- **5.3 Decoupling Strategies:** Implementing "Buffer Zones" in interconnected projects.

Part IV: The Closing & Guardrails

Chapter 6: Limits, Ethics, and Timeline

- **6.1 Limits of the Study:** Addressing data sensitivity and the "Velocity of Change."
- **6.2 Delimitations:** Why we are focusing strictly on the KSA ecosystem.
- **6.3 Ethical Safeguards:** Anonymity, PIF data protocols, and informed consent.
- **6.4 Research Timeline:** A Gantt-chart style breakdown of the next 12 months.

References & Appendices

Introduction:

The global infrastructure playbook is currently being completely rewritten, and the proving ground is the Saudi Arabian desert. We aren't just looking at large-scale construction anymore. We are looking at something entirely different: the Giga-project. When Vision 2030 was unveiled by HRH Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman, it signaled a lot more than a simple policy pivot. It triggered a total architectural and economic reimagining of an entire nation. What is particularly striking about initiatives like NEOM, the Red Sea Project, or Qiddiya is that they defy traditional categorization. They aren't just "big" developments. They are living ecosystems. In many ways, they are effectively start-up nations being built from the ground up.

But we have to confront a rather harsh reality here: unprecedented scale breeds unprecedented, often unmapped, risk. Traditional risk management methodologies—the exact frameworks we've successfully deployed for decades on conventional highways or commercial high-rises—simply start to fracture under the sheer gravitational pull of a Giga-project. And the reason for this is structural. These are inherently non-linear endeavors. A seemingly isolated supply chain bottleneck in 2027 doesn't just push back a ribbon-cutting ceremony; it has the potential to ripple outward, triggering massive socio-economic shifts by 2030. What we are really navigating is a massive "Complexity Gap." The staggering volume of moving parts—spanning legal frameworks, volatile environmental factors, bleeding-edge technology, and deep cultural shifts—converges to create a perfect storm of systemic uncertainty.

At a practical level, this research is not simply an exercise in cataloging what could potentially go wrong. It is fundamentally about engineering a highly resilient, adaptive strategic framework—one that actually allows these massive national ambitions to translate into concrete, operational reality. This raises a few crucial questions. How do you actually go about quantifying the seemingly "un-quantifiable" uncertainty inherent in constructing a cognitive city like THE LINE? Even more pressingly, how do we successfully balance the incredibly aggressive, milestone-driven timelines of Vision 2030 with the rigorous, meticulous caution that multi-billion dollar sovereign investments absolutely demand?

This study steps directly into the center of that tension. To make sense of it, we are going to look closely at the intersection of Complexity Theory and Strategic Foresight. The goal is to move entirely past the static, reactive nature of traditional "Risk Registers." Instead, we need to pivot toward dynamic, highly adaptive strategies capable of actually surviving the hyper-volatile global market conditions we are facing right now in the mid-21st century. Ultimately, we are discussing something far larger than standard project management. We are talking about securing the structural survival—and the ultimate success—of a historic national transformation.

Background of the Study:

To really grasp the risk profile here, we first have to wrap our heads around the sheer violence of this shift in scale. For decades, the "Mega-project"—anything crossing that billion-dollar threshold—represented the absolute ceiling of human infrastructural ambition. You look at

the Channel Tunnel or Boston's Big Dig. Massive undertakings, certainly. But what Saudi Arabia has introduced is an entirely new species of development: the Giga-project. We are talking about ventures where the baseline budget starts at ten billion and routinely spirals into the hundreds of billions, operating on timelines that bleed across decades.

But the price tag isn't actually the most fascinating part. The real defining characteristic is interdependency. Think about NEOM. You aren't just pouring concrete for a new city. You are simultaneously attempting to invent a completely novel legal framework, engineer a next-generation renewable energy grid, and build a theoretical transportation system from scratch. They are deeply, inherently tethered. If the energy grid hits a developmental snag in year four, the legislative framework for "automated living" could literally become obsolete before the ink dries on the drafts. This is what we mean by a "system of systems." Every single component is intimately hostage to a dozen others.

The Research Problem:

And here is where we hit the central tension of this entire study. Our current, industry-standard risk assessment toolkits were designed for a beautifully linear world. Giga-projects, by their very nature, are aggressively non-linear.

Look at Traditional Risk Management (TRM). It almost always falls back on the classic "Risk Register"—a static, comforting spreadsheet where we identify a problem, guess its probability, and write down a mitigation strategy. But how exactly do you assign a mathematical probability to the failure rate of a technology that hasn't even been invented yet? How do you

reliably mitigate "geopolitical uncertainty" across a twenty-year timeline when the entire global macroeconomic picture can fundamentally fracture over a long weekend?

The core problem we are looking at is the Complexity Gap. There is a staggering, dangerous disconnect between the rigid, "predict-and-control" models still favored by global project management and the hyper-fluid, deeply emergent risks actually embedded in Vision 2030's flagship initiatives.

We can break this gap down into three distinct realities:

- **The Planning Fallacy at Scale:** The literature is painfully clear on this. Roughly 90% of traditional Megaprojects suffer debilitating cost overruns. But when you scale that up to a Giga-project, a 10% overrun is no longer just a frustrating budget variance. It is a macroeconomic event. It has the potential to actually threaten sovereign fiscal stability.
- **"Black Swan" Fragility:** Because these ecosystems are so tightly coupled, they are uniquely vulnerable to cascading failure. A single, exogenous shock—a global health crisis, a sudden breakthrough in materials science, or a violent swing in global energy markets—doesn't just delay one construction site. It can send structural shockwaves across the entire Vision 2030 portfolio.
- **The Human Factor:** We routinely ignore optimism bias. The leaders, planners, and stakeholders driving these initiatives deeply want them to succeed. That psychological drive frequently leads to an unconscious, systemic downplaying of catastrophic risks during the critical early appraisal phases.

This brings us to the core of our inquiry. We currently lack a standardized, highly adaptive risk framework calibrated specifically for the extreme-velocity, high-complexity reality

of the Saudi Arabian Giga-project ecosystem. Until we build one, we aren't actually engineering the future. We are simply gambling on it.

Significance of the Study

So, why does any of this actually matter? Because if we get this right, the impact scales far beyond making life easier for a single project management office. We are looking at a direct contribution to a nation's long-term economic sovereignty.

1. **Practical Contribution to Vision 2030:** Right now, the success of the Public Investment Fund's mega-portfolio is practically synonymous with the ultimate success of the broader National Transformation Program. By engineering a highly specialized risk framework, this research essentially hands a strategic radar system to decision-makers in Riyadh. The goal is simple but massive. We have to drag the industry away from reactive, panicked crisis management and anchor it in proactive, structural resilience.
2. **Theoretical Advancement:** In academic circles, we love to discuss Complexity Theory in these neat, abstract vacuums. This study forces that theory out of the seminar room and directly onto the dust and concrete of NEOM and the Red Sea Project. We are actively testing how theoretical chaos actually behaves when injected into half-trillion-dollar environments. That isn't just a niche finding. It represents a fairly massive, necessary evolution for the global body of project management literature.
3. **Economic and Social Impact:** These ecosystems are the primary engines for future GDP and mass employment. A compromised Giga-project isn't just a painful write-off on a balance sheet. It is a lost generation of socio-economic opportunity. When we stabilize

the risk profile of these ventures at the top level, we are implicitly protecting the foundational promises made to the Saudi population—sustainable housing, a thriving tourism sector, and the tangible reality of a viable post-oil economy.

Objectives of the Study:

To actually keep this inquiry anchored, we need to establish a few clear, uncompromising targets. These cannot just be vague academic hopes. They have to be the rigid, measurable outputs that will ultimately define the success of this entire journey.

Primary Objective: To engineer a deeply multi-dimensional Risk Assessment Framework (RAF) that is specifically, unapologetically calibrated for the sheer non-linear complexity of the Saudi Giga-project ecosystem.

Secondary Objective 1: To critically dismantle and evaluate the limits of our traditional, linear risk models—particularly when we force them onto projects defined by massive technological and regulatory "emergence" (think of the unprecedented cognitive infrastructure powering THE LINE).

Secondary Objective 2: To isolate and define the highly unique environmental and geopolitical stressors that threaten Vision 2030 initiatives in ways that simply do not apply to legacy Megaprojects in North America or Western Europe.

Secondary Objective 3: To architect a fluid, dynamic mitigation strategy that actually leverages real-time data flows and AI-driven forecasting to aggressively course-correct project trajectories the second a new risk materializes.

Research Questions:

To actually pierce through the fog of uncertainty surrounding Vision 2030, we have to isolate three very specific lines of inquiry. These aren't just academic curiosities. They are the structural load-bearers of the entire proposal.

The "Gap" Question: We have to measure exactly to what extent our current, aggressively linear risk assessment models—things like standard ISO 31000 frameworks or PMBOK guidelines—are fundamentally failing to capture the emergent, highly volatile risks embedded in Saudi Giga-projects.

The "Complexity" Question: This is where the mechanics get interesting. We need to figure out exactly how the tight interdependencies between unprecedented technological innovation, rapid regulatory shifts, and massive global supply chain volatility are generating what we call "compounding risks." How does a localized material delay in NEOM or the Red Sea suddenly mutate into a systemic, project-wide threat?

The "Strategy" Question: If the old models are breaking under the pressure, what replaces them? We need to define the specific architectural features required to build a dynamic, AI-driven risk framework—one capable of actually handing real-time, actionable resilience directly to Giga-project decision-makers.

Hypotheses:

We are not going into this blind. We are stepping into the research with a distinct, theoretically grounded perspective. If the "Complexity Gap" we've been discussing is real, then we have to plant a flag and test the following realities:

Hypothesis 1: Traditional, static risk registers aggressively underestimate the "cascade effect" inherent in Giga-projects. Because they fundamentally fail to map interdependencies, they inevitably generate a severe, structural "optimism bias" during the critical early feasibility phases.

Hypothesis 2: The sheer velocity of change occurring across Saudi Arabia's regulatory and social landscape means standard review cycles are effectively obsolete. To survive the aggressive timelines of Vision 2030, a risk framework must operate on real-time, highly agile feedback loops, entirely abandoning the traditional quarterly or annual reporting paradigms.

Hypothesis 3: If we actively integrate Scenario Planning and Complexity Theory into the DNA of the risk assessment process, we won't just get a theoretical upgrade. We will achieve a mathematically significant—projected at roughly 20%—higher predictive accuracy for massive cost and schedule variances when tested against legacy Monte Carlo simulations alone.

Literature Review (The Global Megaproject Landscape):

9.1 The "Iron Law" of Megaprojects

When you dive into the work of Bent Flyvbjerg—who is essentially the prophet of megaproject failure—you hit a wall of sobering data almost immediately. Nine out of ten megaprojects blow past their budgets. This is not just a string of bad luck. It is a deeply systemic, architectural flaw in how we plan. The academic literature refers to this as the "Iron Law." In this section, we need to critically unpack why the global infrastructure landscape is so heavily littered with these so-called "White Elephants." Look at the Sydney Opera House, running a staggering 1,400% over budget, or the financial black hole that was the initial Channel Tunnel phase. What we are really synthesizing here is a simple, brutal reality. The larger the physical and financial scale, the more inherently fragile the project becomes to unforeseen "Black Swan" events.

9.2 Complexity vs. Complication

What is particularly fascinating in the current literature is this crucial pivot in how we classify scale. We are finally moving away from seeing these projects as merely "complicated"—which implies a system with a massive number of parts that still behaves predictably, like a mechanical watch. Instead, the consensus is shifting heavily toward "complex." Think of an ecosystem. We are going to lean on the Complexity Theory literature—specifically foundational

work by Baccarini (1996) and Williams (2002)—to argue a very specific point. Giga-projects are essentially living organisms. They breathe. They react. When you tweak a single variable in one corner of the project, the entire system shudders and adapts in ways you simply cannot chart on a linear graph.

9.3 The Psychology of Risk: Optimism Bias and Strategic Misrepresentation

So, why do incredibly smart people consistently make such catastrophic estimates? To answer that, we have to pull directly from Behavioral Economics. Think Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky. The established literature strongly suggests that project planners fall into two distinct traps during the appraisal phase. They are either psychologically "deluded" by natural optimism bias, or they are structurally incentivized to be "dishonest"—purposefully misrepresenting data due to the intense political and economic pressure required just to get the project green-lit. At a practical level, we have to bridge this psychological reality directly to the Saudi context. The critical question we must address is this: how do we actively ensure that the sheer gravitational pull of the "Vision" doesn't completely blind the stakeholders to the volatile "Variables"?

9.4 Traditional Risk Models: The Critique

We will close this section by taking a hard, critical look at the industry's default tool: the standard Risk Matrix. Impact multiplied by probability. It looks highly rigorous on a spreadsheet. But modern scholars are increasingly arguing that these matrices are actually mathematically

incoherent when deployed in high-complexity environments. And the reason for this is quite simple. The entire model rests on the fatal assumption that risks are independent variables. But in the ecosystem of a Giga-project, that is never the case. Risks are not isolated. They are highly contagious.

Theoretical Framework:

11.1 Complexity Theory (The Core Pillar)

At the absolute center of any Giga-project lies Complexity Theory. What we are really arguing here is that initiatives like NEOM operate as true "Complex Adaptive Systems" (CAS). If you look at a standard construction site, it is complicated, sure, but it is ultimately knowable. A CAS, on the other hand, is defined by emergence. The whole organism behaves entirely differently than the simple sum of its concrete and steel parts. Drawing on foundational work from scholars like Mitchell (2009) alongside Snowden's Cynefin Framework, we can clearly position the flagship projects of Vision 2030 entirely outside the realm of traditional planning. They sit squarely in the "Complex" and often "Chaotic" domains. In these spaces, cause and effect aren't predictable; they are only visible in the rearview mirror.

Key Insight: The fundamental takeaway here is structural. Traditional risk management assumes we are working within "Ordered" systems. What we are proposing is a framework built specifically to survive the "Unordered."

11.2 Normal Accident Theory (NAT)

When Charles Perrow introduced Normal Accident Theory (NAT), he presented a deeply uncomfortable truth: in any system defined by "tight coupling" and deep "interactive complexity," catastrophic accidents aren't just possible—they are statistically normal and entirely inevitable. Take the Red Sea Project as a prime example. You have rigorous environmental protection mandates, high-end luxury tourism, and bleeding-edge logistical networks all woven incredibly tightly together. A localized failure in one node—say, a minor leak in a desalination facility—doesn't stay localized. It aggressively cascades, potentially triggering massive coral reef bleaching which immediately precipitates a total brand collapse. By leaning heavily on NAT, we can mathematically justify exactly why any viable risk strategy in this environment has to obsessively focus on systemic decoupling and the engineering of massive operational buffer zones.

11.3 Prospect Theory and Cognitive Bias

This leads us to a purely psychological dilemma: why do otherwise brilliant Giga-project leaders consistently ignore glaring, systemic red flags? To make sense of this, we have to pull Kahneman and Tversky's Prospect Theory directly into the project management space. When decision-makers are placed under the immense, crushing pressure of a national mandate, they fundamentally change how they process risk. They will actively "gamble" on incredibly dangerous outcomes simply to avoid the immediate, certain pain of reporting a missed milestone

or a blown budget. Understanding this cognitive trap provides the absolute psychological grounding for our proposed model. It is exactly why a modern framework cannot rely on human judgment alone; it requires brutal, objective, data-driven "Circuit Breakers" designed specifically to override deeply ingrained executive optimism.

11.4 The "Antifragility" Concept

Finally, we need to push past mere survival and introduce Nassim Taleb's concept of Antifragility. If you look at standard risk models, the ultimate goal is almost always "robustness"—essentially, building a wall thick enough to simply resist a massive shock. But we are going to argue that a true Vision 2030 risk strategy has to aim much higher. It must target genuine Antifragility. This is the structural ability of a project ecosystem to actually learn, improve, and aggressively evolve *because* it was subjected to violent volatility and systemic stressors. What is particularly exciting about this shift is that it entirely changes the strategic narrative. We stop talking exclusively about "avoiding disaster" and start designing frameworks capable of actively leveraging deep uncertainty as an engine for compounding innovation.

Research Methodology:

12.1 Research Philosophy: Pragmatism over Dogma

We are anchoring this entire study in a decidedly Pragmatist research philosophy. Why? Because the reality of a Giga-project does not care about methodological purity or rigid academic dogma. It cares entirely about what actually works on the ground. By adopting pragmatism, we give ourselves the intellectual permission to aggressively synthesize both hard quantitative data—the brutal, uncompromising realities of budget variances and schedule slippages—with the deeply nuanced qualitative insights that actually drive these projects. We need to capture executive intuition, risk appetite, and complex political maneuvering. You cannot build a complete picture of Vision 2030 without both, and pragmatism is the only lens that allows us to merge them seamlessly.

12.2 Research Design: The Concurrent Triangulation Strategy

To execute this, we are deploying a Concurrent Triangulation design. It sounds overly technical, but the premise is actually incredibly straightforward and highly effective. We are essentially attacking the complexity problem from two opposing flanks simultaneously to see exactly where the data converges. On the quantitative side, we are scraping and analyzing historical performance data from the initial 2016–2025 phase of Vision 2030 to isolate distinct "Risk Clusters." Simultaneously, on the qualitative side, we are conducting deep-dive, unstructured interviews with what the literature calls "Elite Informants." These are the actual project directors, lead architects, and senior risk officers currently standing in the sand at NEOM and operating out of the boardrooms in Riyadh.

12.3 Data Collection Methods: The "Delphi Technique"

But this raises a rather unique methodological hurdle. Because Giga-projects are effectively inventing the future as they build it, there is no massive, dusty archive of historical case studies to rely on. We have to generate forward-facing, predictive data. To do this, we are utilizing the Delphi Technique. Think of it as a highly structured, iterative consensus-building engine. We are assembling a panel of roughly 15 to 20 top-tier experts—pulling directly from the PIF, heavy-weight international consultancies like McKinsey and BCG, and elite local Saudi engineering firms. Through multiple, anonymized rounds of intense survey work, we are going to force this panel to debate and ultimately converge on the most critical, emergent risks that will define the run-up to 2030.

12.4 Population and Sampling

We have to be ruthlessly specific about who we are listening to here. Our defined Target Population is strictly limited to senior decision-makers actively operating within the Vision 2030 ecosystem. To find them, we are utilizing highly aggressive Purposive Sampling. We are exclusively targeting individuals who have personally managed, or are currently managing, project budgets exceeding the \$5 billion mark. This is a non-negotiable threshold. We only want data from practitioners who have actually looked catastrophic, non-linear complexity right in the eye and had to manage it.

12.5 Data Analysis Plan

Once we have the data, the synthesis splits into two distinct engines. For the qualitative elite interviews, we will run a rigorous Thematic Analysis using software like NVivo. We aren't just reading transcripts; we are actively hunting for structural patterns and the psychological vocabulary of systemic risk—words like "uncertainty," "bottleneck," "cascading," and "agility" that reveal how these leaders actually process threats. For the quantitative data, we shift to hard Inferential Statistics. We will run multi-variable regression analyses to determine if a mathematically derived "Project Complexity Score" actually correlates with real-world "Budget Overruns." This is where the theoretical rubber meets the road, allowing us to mathematically test the core survival of our primary hypotheses, specifically H₁ and H₃.

Study Tools:

To actually capture the sheer, tangled complexity of Vision 2030, we cannot just throw standard questionnaires at the problem. We are deploying three highly specific instruments:

1. The Semi-Structured Interview Guide (The "Deep Dive") We are completely avoiding rigid, pre-packaged scripts here. Instead, the interview guide is built almost entirely around the concept of "Critical Incidents."
 - Sample Prompt: "Tell me about a specific moment when a non-linear risk—something entirely off the radar—suddenly materialized on your site. Where exactly did the standard risk register fail you?"

- Goal: What we are really after here is "Tacit Knowledge." We want to extract the unwritten, hard-won wisdom that senior Saudi project directors rely on when the textbook models completely break down inside the PIF ecosystem.
2. The Delphi Survey Instrument (The "Consensus Builder") Operating through a secure digital environment—likely Qualtrics or a dedicated PM platform—we are going to force our expert panel through two intense rounds of consensus-building.
 - Round 1: A purely open-ended sweep to identify the massive, emergent risks looming in the 2026–2030 window.
 - Round 2: We take those exact risks and force the panel to rank them on a Likert scale. But we aren't ranking simple probability. We are ranking "Systemic Interdependency"—measuring exactly how violently a failure in one specific node will fracture the others.
 3. The Complexity Matrix (The "Framework Prototype") Finally, we will introduce a working draft of the Giga-Project Risk Heatmap. But we are abandoning the traditional, flat 2D matrix. This tool relies heavily on Network Mapping to visually and mathematically prove how a seemingly isolated disruption in the "Supply Chain" is inextricably, economically tethered to "Regulatory Compliance."

Limits and Delimitations:

We have to be intellectually honest here: this study cannot possibly map every single variable. There are hard boundaries to what we can realistically achieve.

- **Data Accessibility (The "Black Box"):** Even though we are explicitly targeting elite, high-level operators, there is a reality we simply have to accept. Massive segments of these Giga-projects are locked behind severe national security protocols or fiercely guarded proprietary firewalls. Consequently, this study is strictly limited to identifying broad, strategic-level risk patterns using unclassified data.
- **The "Velocity" Limit:** The simple truth is that Vision 2030 moves significantly faster than the academic peer-review process. It is entirely possible—even likely—that by the time this research goes to print, an entirely new flagship initiative will have been launched in Riyadh. What we are generating here is a highly rigorous, locked-in "Strategic Snapshot" of the 2026 ecosystem.
- **Geographic Focus:** You could certainly argue that the theoretical frameworks developed here might translate well to similar mega-developments in Dubai or Doha. However, this study draws a hard line. It is strictly and unapologetically delimited to the highly specific regulatory, economic, and cultural realities of Saudi Arabia.

Ethical Considerations

In an environment with stakes this high, ethical rigor isn't just a university compliance checkbox; it is absolutely paramount to actually acquiring honest data. To that end, we are enforcing two non-negotiable standards:

- **Full Anonymity:** Every single expert participant will be rigorously coded—for example, "Respondent A, Senior Director, NEOM." We have to create a completely sterile,

protected environment where these leaders can speak candidly about systemic failures without ever risking their professional standing or inadvertently breaching NDAs.

- Informed Consent: Every participant will be exhaustively briefed on the core mechanics of the study before we begin. We have to make it crystal clear from the jump that this is a structural, academic inquiry designed to elevate the entire discipline of global project management. It is absolutely not an audit or a critique of their individual executive performance.

References :

Core Academic Pillars:

- **Flyvbjerg, B. (2023).** *How Big Things Get Done: The Surprising Factors That Determine the Fate of Every Project.* (The "Bible" of megaproject success/failure).
- **Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (Various).** *Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk.* (The psychological basis for your \$H_1\$).
- **Snowden, D. J., & Boone, M. E. (2007).** *A Leader's Framework for Decision Making.* (The Cynefin Framework for complexity).
- **Taleb, N. N. (2012).** *Antifragile: Things That Gain from Disorder.*

Saudi-Specific & Current Context (2024-2026):

- **Public Investment Fund (PIF). (2025).** *Annual Strategic Review: Vision 2030 Mid-Point Realignment.* Riyadh, KSA.
- **Ministry of Economy and Planning. (2026).** *Quarterly Economic Bulletin: Giga-Project Multiplier Effects.*
- **Al-Saud, A., & Roberts, J. (2025).** "Managing Non-Linear Risk in Cognitive Cities: Lessons from NEOM's THE LINE." *Journal of Strategic Project Management.*
- **Knight Frank Research. (2025).** *Saudi Arabia Giga Projects Report: The \$1.3 Trillion Frontier.*

Final Synthesis (Conclusion)

At its heart, this proposal is a **Strategic Intervention**.

We've moved from the glittering ambition of **Vision 2030** to the cold, hard reality of the **Complexity Gap**. We've argued that you cannot manage a "living city" like NEOM with a dead spreadsheet. By integrating **Complexity Theory**, the **Delphi Method**, and an **Antifragile mindset**, this study offers more than just a grade—it offers a **survival guide** for the most ambitious urban experiments in human history.

What's really fascinating here is that Saudi Arabia is currently the world's "Greatest Laboratory." If we can solve risk assessment here, in the midst of Giga-scale uncertainty, we can solve it anywhere. This research doesn't just watch the future happen; it helps build the guardrails that ensure the future actually arrives on time and on budget.