

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323797001>

Leadership Styles & Using Appropriate Styles in Different Circumstances

Article · April 2016

CITATIONS

2

READS

68,358

1 author:



[Yusra Kaleem](#)

National University of Sciences and Technology

4 PUBLICATIONS 2 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Working on Optics: Laser [View project](#)



Spectrum Sensing in Cognitive Radio [View project](#)

Leadership Styles & Using Appropriate Styles in Different Circumstances.

Yusra Kaleem (2013-TE-058), Sana Asad (2013-TE-090), Hiba Khan (2013-TE-064)
Sir Syed University of Engineering and Technology, Karachi-PAKISTAN

Abstract

The article highlights some of the common approaches to leadership styles and explores the pros and cons of each. Specifies visionary thinking and bringing about change, instead of management processes that are designed to maintain and improve performances. Leadership means diverse things to various individuals around the globe, and distinctive things in various circumstances. For instance, it could identify with group administration, religious authority, political scenarios, and initiative of battling gatherings. From mother Teresa to John Kennedy, Mahatma Gandhi and Winston Churchill to Martin Luther King and Steve Jobs, there can be as many ways to lead people as there are leaders. Besides describing the basic leadership styles there is no one right way to lead that fits all situations, it depends on one's own skills, experience, team and task trying to achieve.

Keywords: Leadership, Leadership styles.

Introduction

Administration alludes to capacity of one individual to impact others and to change their conduct towards accomplishing a common objective. As a rule initiative includes;

- Being ready to inspire and coordinate others.
- Taking obligation regarding the heading and activities of a group.
- Setting targets.
- Organizing and rousing others.
- Taking the initiative.
- Persevering when things are not working out.
- Taking an inspirational state of mind to disappointment/disappointment.
- Accepting obligation regarding botches/wrong choices.
- Being adaptable: arranged to adjust objectives in the light of evolving circumstances ¹.

There are various initiative styles which pioneers follow in any expert association, contingent upon the division and circumstance. A decent pioneer will utilize an assortment of styles of initiative as per the circumstance while awful pioneers tend to fall into only one style. By and by, most pioneers use both undertaking focused and individuals arranged styles of administration. E.g. a fire officer managing a genuine flame should be definitive, settling on immediate arrangements and woofing out summons, yet when preparing staff, a participative style will be more viable. Truth be told, picking the right style, at the perfect time in the right circumstance is a key component of pioneer viability ².

Leadership Styles

Following are the styles of leadership one adopts. People as a leader adopt different styles for different kind of jobs according to the circumstances. The styles are briefly described below

Autocratic Leadership (The Boss)

Unequivocal initiative is the place choices are taken rapidly and halfway by one individual. Choices seem to be directions for others to accomplish a general point. Basic in the military, dictatorial initiative conveys commands and the group believing the pioneer without inquiry. Practically zero interviews happen with the group with this style. One of the famous examples for an authoritarian is of Napoleon Bonaparte.

This leading style is more averse with a chance to be compelling on.

The new generation is more independent and easygoing, not tolerating to be in control.

People search for sense of self satisfactions starting with their occupations.

Transformation about climbing desires changed the mentality of the people.

Leaders like this can achieve results quickly. In exploration done on this gatherings, individuals were observed to be most beneficial under despotic, however there are some negative perspectives too, if the pioneer gets absent work ceased. Group doesn't pick up from innovativeness and information of individuals, so benefits of collaboration are lost. Staff

can't enhance their employment fulfillment and might hate the way they are dealt with prompting high absenteeism and staff turnover. Serious limitations are there but still much used.

Democratic Leadership (All-Inclusive)

This style of authority depends on the group being counseled and their feelings being esteemed. The pioneer might ask for information from colleagues as he/she regards their specialized ability, and obliges it to settle on the most ideal choice. Fair administration can be a test when there are solid identities in the gathering. Here the pioneer needs to make it clear they will take a definitive choice. All things considered, this authority style is comprehensive in its inclination and in that capacity, destined to bring the group through testing times, as the greater part will have concurred the game-plan.

Participative alternately democratic leaders decentralize power. It's characterized after consulting with the subordinates and their investment in the plan from claiming arrangements and policies. The leader urges cooperation in choice making. He heads those subordinates mostly through influence and example rather than opposed fear and force. At times those leaders serve as a mediator of the plans and suggestions from his group. *McGregor labels this style as 'principle Y'.*

In this way the employees feel that management is interested in them, additionally in their thoughts and suggestions. They will, therefore, put their suggestions for change.

Favorable circumstances to democratic are

- Higher inspiration and will enhance morale.
- Expanded co-operation with the management.
- Enhanced employment execution.
- Diminished of grievances.
- Decrease of absenteeism and worker turnover.

Laissez-faire or Free rein Leadership (Chilled-out)

This initiative style is frequently connected when the group is extremely fit, very much inspired and composed. Less impedance and decreased direct guideline is ordinary with this initiative style. However this ought not to be confused for the pioneer showing an absence of hobby. Depending on great collaboration, exceptionally motivational and helpful inventive thoughts are produced.

Free-rein leadership avoids energy and obligation, also takes a least activity for organization. The leader provides for no bearing and permits the group should build its own objectives and resolve its own issues. The leader plays the least role. His idea may be that every part of the assembly when left to them will set onward as much best exert and the most extreme

outcomes can be achieved in this way. Law based authority will be less averse to win the devotion of the one assembly³.

Bureaucratic Leadership

Bureaucratic pioneers take after guidelines thoroughly, and guarantee that their kin take after methodology absolutely. This is suitable for work including genuine dangers, or with huge aggregates of cash. Bureaucratic authority is likewise helpful for overseeing representatives who perform routine assignments. This style is a great deal less successful in groups and associations that depend on adaptability, imagination, or advancement. It might be not best style for building up another item or re-characterizing a brand where an out-of-the-crate methodology is include.

Charismatic Leadership (Motivational)

Charismatic pioneers are the driving force behind their group. They create eagerness in their group by inspiring employees and helping them to stay persuaded at work. The risk with this style is an excess of inspiration without activity. Charming pioneers might have overconfidence as opposed to investigating the realistic capacity of their group to take a venture to finishing.

Paternalistic leadership (Family oriented)

Under this style the leader expects that as much capacity is understand or fatherly. The relationship between leader and group is same as of the head of the family and members of the family. The leader guides and protects his employees as members of his family. He gives his subordinates for great attempting states and border profits. It may be expected that employees will work harder and will be more productive.

However, this paternalistic methodology is unlikely to work with grown-up employees, a large number of whom don't like their diversions on a chance to be gazed after by a "godfather." As opposed to gratitude, it may produce opposition hatred in the subordinates.

Transactional Leadership

This style begins with the thought that colleagues consent to comply with their pioneer when they acknowledge an occupation. The exchange as a rule includes the association paying team consequently for their exertion and consistence on a transient undertaking. The pioneer has a privilege to punish colleagues if their work doesn't meet a standard.

Value-based administration is available in numerous business authority circumstances, and it offers a few advantages. For instance, it clears up everybody's parts and obligations. The drawback of this style is that, all alone, it can be chilling and flippant, and it can prompt high staff turnover. It likewise has genuine confinements for learning based or inventive work. Thus, colleagues can frequently do little to enhance their employment satisfaction.

Transformational Leadership

The transformational leadership style is one of the most implemented styles. It has integrity, defines clear goals, encourages clear steps to communication and expressive. This style of leadership encourages, motivates and supports employees, often involves recognizing and rewarding people for their good work. This type of leader inspires their team to work together towards a common target. Transformational leaders combine the best practices and are leaders who are trusted and can motivate others.

In practice they are supported by transactional leaders who work as managers, making sure tasks are completed and achieved.

"Nothing so needs reforming as other people's habits" — Mark Twain

The transformational style requires a number of different skills. And its primary focus is to make change happen in our self, others, groups, and organizations.

Servant Leadership

This sort of pioneer has no official title and is not formally perceived as a pioneer, but rather has a part accepted by a man at any level in the association that leads a group since they appear to address the issues of the group. This specific style is near majority rule initiative.

A servant pioneer style depends on picking up force, which may not work in a profoundly focused circumstance. This style lays on an arrangement of presumptions. It is not the pioneer who advantages most, it is the adherents. We have pioneers not acting childishly, but rather socially. The methodology underlines certain positive values, for example, trust, honesty, fairness and it can prompt high confidence among colleagues. This style additionally requires some serious energy to apply accurately: it's ill-suited to circumstances where you need to meet tight due dates.

"The Roots Of Our Problems Are: Wealth Without Work, Pleasure Without Conscience, Knowledge Without Character, Commerce Without Morality,

Science Without Humanity, Worship Without Sacrifice, Politics Without Principles." – Mohandas K. Gandhi

Entrepreneurship leadership styles

An overwhelming undertaking introduction consolidates with an immediate methodology in offering guidelines to representatives. An alluring identity, that inspires others to work with them. Emphatically hate bureaucratic tenets and regulations.

The Coaching Style

A great mentor is a pioneer who likewise has a remarkable ability to instruct and train. They prep individuals to enhance both information and skills.

Cross-Cultural / Diverse Leadership

Adapting the initiative styles expected in a different society either the culture is hierarchical or national ⁴.

The Leader Exchange Style

Now and then known as pioneer part trade, the style includes the trading of favors between two people. Trade can be hierarchical between the bosses or between two people of equivalent status. For this administration style to work, you have to know how to create, keep up and repair connections.

Situational Leadership

A decent pioneer will change intuitively between styles according to the general population and work they are managing.

Strategic Leadership

This is practiced by the military administrations and vast organizations. It focuses on the aggressive way of running an association and having the capacity to out fox and outmaneuver the opposition ⁵.

Facilitative Leadership

This is an extraordinary style that any individual who runs a meeting can utilize. Instead of being mandate, one utilizing the facilitative authority style uses various backhanded correspondence ⁶.

The Participative Leadership Style

It's difficult to order and demand somebody to be inventive, execute as a group, look after complex issues, enhance quality, and provide remarkable client administration. The participative style displays a

cheerful medium between over controlling (micromanaging) and not being locked in, and has a tendency to be found in associations that should advance to thrive ⁷.

The Visionary Leadership Style

If you can't envision the future, you can't shape it, form it or make it. A clear and enabling vision focuses on clarity about these three vital segments.

- An organization's long term purpose, methodologies to satisfy it and future analysis.
- It's working logic.
- It's fleeting execution objectives and needs ⁸.

Using the Appropriate Style of Leadership in Different Circumstances

Authority includes overseeing, planning and managing, assuming liability for individuals; coordinating, sorting out and persuading them. A decent pioneer will utilize an assortment of styles of initiative as indicated by the circumstance while terrible pioneers tend to fall into only one style. By and by, most pioneers use both errands situated and individuals arranged styles of initiative.

At college, a participative style might be generally fitting, while a generation director in a processing plant might need to utilize a procedural or legitimate style much or the time. So you have to consider the type of the task.

Think about the following;

- The skills, experience and states of mind of your colleagues.
- Whether the work is normal or inventive.
- Your own favored or normal style.

Exhibit 1: Leadership that Gets Result (From Goleman, Daniel., 2000) ⁹.

Theories on leadership styles

McGregor's Theory

Theory X: They distrust people and believe in close supervision and tight control over the subordinates.

Theory Y: They are participative, trust subordinates and involve them in decision making.

The Blake-Mouton Managerial Grid

The Blake-Mouton Managerial Grid was published in 1964, and it highlights the most appropriate style to

use, based on your concern for your people and your concern for production/tasks.

With a people-oriented style, you focus on organizing, supporting, and developing your team members. This participatory style encourages good teamwork and creative collaboration.

With task-oriented leadership, you focus on getting the job done. You define the work and the roles required, put structures in place, and plan, organize, and monitor work.

According to this model, the best style to use is one that has both a high concern for people and a high concern for the task – it argues that you should aim for both, rather than trying to offset one against the other.

Path-Goal Theory

Leader's job is to use structure, support and reward to create a work environment that helps employees reach the organization's goal. You may also have to think about what your team members want and need. This is where Path-Goal Theory – published in 1971 – is useful.

For example, highly-capable people, who are assigned to a complex task, will need a different leadership approach from people with low ability, who are assigned to an ambiguous task. (The former will want a participative approach, while the latter need to be told what to do).

With Path-Goal Theory, you can identify the best leadership approach to use, based on your people's needs, the task that they're doing, and the environment that they're working in.

Flamholtz and Randle's Leadership Style Matrix

First published in 2007, Flamholtz and Randle's Leadership Style Matrix shows you the best style to use, based on how capable people are of working autonomously, and how creative or "programmable" the task is.

The matrix is divided into four quadrants – each quadrant identifies two possible styles that will be effective for a given situation, ranging from autocratic/benevolent autocratic to consensus/laissez-faire, servant leadership and transactional leadership.

Trait Theory

It focuses on individual characteristics of successful leaders who possess a set of traits, which make them distinct from followers.

Behavioral Theory

Main focus is behaviors of actual leaders. Determines how various kinds of specific behavior affect the performance and satisfaction of followers.

Contingency theory

Behavior of leader depends upon characteristics of situation leader is in. Implies under what conditions employee oriented leadership will be effective and under what types of conditions production oriented leadership more effective. The effectiveness of leadership depends upon motivational style and favorableness of situation¹⁰.

In spite of this some sayings to understand best of leadership are mentioned as;

Harry Selfridge quotes

- The boss drives his men; the leader coaches them.
- The boss depends upon authority; the leader on good will.
- The boss inspires fear; the leader inspires enthusiasm.
- The boss says 'I'; the leader, 'we.'
- The boss fixes the blame for the breakdown; the leader fixes the breakdown.
- The boss says 'Go'; the leader says 'Let's go!' ¹¹.

“Leadership is inspiring others to pursue your vision within the parameters you set, to the extent that it becomes a shared effort, a shared vision, and a shared success.”(Zeitchik)

“Leadership is a process of social influence, which maximizes the efforts of others, towards the achievement of a goal.” (Kruse)

“If your actions inspire others to dream more, learn more, do more, and become more, you are a leader.” (John Quincy Adams)

“A leader is like a shepherd. He stays behind the flock, letting the most nimble go out ahead, whereupon the others follow, not realizing that all along they are being directed from behind.” (Nelson Mandela)

“A leader is a dealer in hope.” (Napoleon Bonaparte)

“He who has never learned to obey cannot be a good commander.” (Aristotle)

“Leadership is the art of getting someone else to do something you want done, because he wants to do it.” (Dwight D. Eisenhower)¹².

Conclusion

It is concluded that the words leader and leadership are frequently utilized inaccurately to depict individuals who are really overseeing. These people might be exceptionally talented, great at their employments, and profitable to their associations – yet that just makes them fantastic chiefs or managers, not leaders. There might be no leadership without any stretch of the imagination, with nobody setting a dream and nobody being enlivened or inspired. With an individual's situated style, one concentrates on arranging, supporting, and building up one's effort. With the help of this article best style to utilize is one that has both a high sympathy toward individuals and a high sympathy toward the undertaking.

Factors that influence which leadership style to use; The manager's personal background: What personality, knowledge, values, and experiences does the manager have? What does he/she think will work? Staff being supervised: Staff individuals with different personalities and backgrounds; the leadership style used will vary depending upon the individual staff and what he/she will respond best to. The organization: The traditions, values, philosophy, and concerns of the organization influence how a manager acts.

References

1. Bruce Woodcock B.E. (2011) '*How to Find out Your Style of Leadership?*' Available at: <http://www.kent.ac.uk/careers/sk/leadership.html> (Accessed: 11 February 2016).
2. Advice Manufacturing '*Leadership for Engineers.*' Available at: http://www.advice-manufacturing.com/Engineering-Leadership-Styles.html#leadership_styles (Accessed: 10 February 2016).
3. Chand, C (1994) '*4 Different Types of Leadership*' *Business Management*: (2550), your article library [Online]. Available at: <http://www.yourarticlelibrary.com>.
4. Lewin K et al. (1939) 'Twenty Leadership Styles.' *Journal of Social Psychology*, 10, pp. 271-301, Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created social climates [Online]. Available at: <https://www.legacee.com/types-of-leadership-styles/> (Accessed: 11 February 2016).
5. Garfinkle, J (2005) 'Leadership Styles Articles.', garfinkle executive coaching [Online]. Available at:

<http://www.garfinkleexecutivecoaching.com>.

6. Hunt, J. (2010) 'Leadership Style Orientations of Senior Executives in Australia.' *Journal of the American Academy of Business Cambridge*, 16(1), pp. 207-217.
7. Wolf motivation 'Creating a Clear Version of the Future'. Available at: <http://www.wolfmotion.com/programs/creating-a-clear-vision-of-the-future> (Accessed: 10 February 2016).
8. Dr. Carmen Harra Ph.D. (2013) *Decoding Your Destiny. Conscious Living, Manifestation* [Online]. Available at: <http://www.consciouslifenews.com/create-vision-future/1161392/>
9. Goleman, Daniel and Boyatzis (2000) 'Leadership that Gets Result', in *Prime Leadership*, pp. 82-83.
10. Mindtools'Leadership Styles.' Available at: https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newLDR_84.htm (Accessed: 11 February 2016).
11. Donald Clark (2015) 'Concepts of Leadership' [Online]. Available at: <http://www.nwlink.com/~donclark/leader/leadcon.html> (Accessed: 11 February 2016).
12. James Manktelow & Amy Carlson (2015) *What is Leadership?* [Online] Available at: https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newLDR_41.htm (Accessed 23 February 2016)

Figures and Tables

	Commanding	Visionary	Affiliative	Democratic	Pacesetting	Coaching
The leader's modus operandi	Demands immediate compliance	Mobilizes people toward a vision	Creates harmony and builds emotional bonds	Forges consensus through participation	Sets high standards for performance	Develops people for the future
The style in a phrase	"Do what I tell you."	"Come with me."	"People come first."	"What do you think?"	"Do as I do, now"	"Try this."
Underlying emotional intelligence competencies	Drive to achieve, initiative, self-control	Self-confidence, empathy, change catalyst	Empathy, building relationships, communication	Collaboration, team leadership, communication	Conscientiousness, drive to achieve, initiative	Developing others, empathy, self-awareness
When the style works best	In a crisis, to kick start a turnaround, or with problem employees	When changes require a new vision, or when a clear direction is needed	To heal rifts in a team or to motivate people during stressful circumstances	To build buy-in or consensus, or to get input from valuable employees	To get quick results from a highly motivated and competent team	To help an employee improve performance or develop long-term strengths
Weakness	Members can feel stifled as they are not asked for an opinion.	Lacks the ability to help team members understand how they get to a vision.	Confrontation and emotionally distressing positions can be avoided.	Can be lots of listening but very little effective action.	Can lack emotional intelligence.	Can come across as micromanaging

Table1: Leadership that Gets Result (From Goleman, Daniel., 2000)⁹.

