

College of Management Department/Major.

Midocean University



research proposal

Title: Agile Leadership in Managing Continuous Change in Healthcare

Prepared by:

Supervised by:

Dr. Faisal Abdul Hamid

Dr. Fayez Jarad

Academic Year:

2025

Introduction:

Modern healthcare organizations are branded by cumulative difficulty and rapid changes pushed by continuous technological progressions, instable regulatory environments, and altering patient needs. The managerial methods from earlier times are typically not adequate to begin these challenges. Agile leadership approaches that highlight collaboration, suppleness, and continuous improvement offer talented ways in which healthcare leaders might more efficiently circumnavigate ongoing alteration and recover outcomes for patients.

In addition to all of these ongoing difficulties, today's healthcare organizations effectively operate in environments where they feel under constant stress. It can be challenging at times to keep up with the fast growth of technology, as everything becomes more complex. These days, health systems have to deal with abrupt changes in regulations, growing digitization, personnel shortages, and patient demands for faster and more individualized care. All of this makes traditional administrative methods which depend on rigid rules, rigid hierarchies, and slow decision-making ineffective. They are finding it difficult to keep up with the pace at which things are changing, especially in the health care industry, which is changing at a rate never seen before.

Agile leadership is often regarded as an important step forward for leaders who want to maintain high performance in the face of unpredictability, as well as a workable and optimistic solution to these expanding problems. Agile leadership encourages cooperation, quick adaptation, flexibility, and continual progress. It allows leaders to improve staff well-being, encourage new ideas, and maintain care quality while adapting to the unpredictable nature of healthcare systems.

On the other hand, agile leadership encourages freedom for staff members, shared decision-making, empowerment, and the growth of adaptive groups that can adjust their strategies when circumstances change.

Therefore, this study looks at how agile leadership can improve communication channels, increase employee engagement, improve organizational preparedness for change, and eventually lead to better patient care results. The study intends to demonstrate the importance of agile leadership in fostering sustainable transformation, lowering resistance to change, and equipping businesses to operate successfully in unpredictable and extremely demanding contexts by examining it in medical environments.

Problem Statement

Rigid constructions, the hostility of staff, and a lack of leadership sprightliness stand in the way of healthcare organizations attainment sustained change. These challenges reduction receptivity, mediocre the quality of care, consequence in inefficiencies, and principal to exhaustion amongst the staff. Agile competencies are motionless mostly overlooked in leadership growth, which is energetic to attain continuous change.

Many healthcare firms still use the same restrictive organizational structures and conventional management approaches, despite the fact that the healthcare sector is becoming increasingly complex every year. These outdated approaches often create obstacles that prevent workers from fully engaging in change projects and cause adaption to be unequal and slow. This could lead to leaders' unwillingness to support their teams during difficult times, employee resistance, and confused communication.

One of the main problems is a lack of leadership agility the ability to quickly adjust strategies, respond to fresh knowledge, and mentor staff members through constant change.

Lack of agility causes operational inefficiencies, lower care quality, slower reaction times, and increased employee fatigue in organizations.

Agile leadership is still neglected in the healthcare industry, despite its increased reputation in industries like business and technology. Many healthcare professionals are not prepared to handle ongoing change because leadership development programs rarely focus on developing agile competencies. This disparity emphasizes how crucial it is to create methods based on research that modify agile leadership concepts especially for healthcare environments.

Importance of the Study

This research will additionally advance leadership theories by mixing agile leadership in healthcare settings and will notify leadership training programs. It will deliver practical strategies that healthcare organizations can follow to improve receptiveness, employee engagement, and patient gratification, allowing the formation of hardy and adaptive healthcare systems (Mohanty et al., 2024; Alotaibi, 2023).

Additionally, this work makes both theoretical and practical contributions to the larger domains of healthcare management and leadership. By incorporating agile principles which are sometimes ignored in standard management discussions into healthcare situations, it advances current leadership theory academically. It provides an increased awareness of leadership success in changing contexts by deepening the understanding of how agility interacts with organizational culture, employee engagement, and patient outcomes.

From a practical standpoint, the study offers healthcare organizations concrete and actionable strategies for implementing agile leadership. These strategies can contribute to heightened organizational responsiveness, encouraged staff engagement, improving patient satisfaction, and an improved capacity of the system to adjust to ongoing changes. The study

provides a valuable guideline for developing leaders who can navigate teams through complexity and continuous change by pinpointing the essential skills and organizational conditions needed for agile leadership. Ultimately, these contributions help to create healthcare systems that are more adaptable, creative, and centered around patients.

Objectives

- Inspect the relationship between agile leadership capabilities and incessant change management efficiency. This includes an exploration of the impact of agile leadership skills on the overall efficacy of continuous change management in healthcare environments.
- Identify organizational organizers and barriers to agile leadership acceptance. This includes studying how agile leadership abilities impact the general effectiveness of ongoing change management in healthcare settings.
- Assess how agile leadership is affecting employee engagement and adaptive capacity. This involves evaluating the effects of agile leadership on psychological safety, employee engagement, and staff adaptability throughout continuous change.
- Assess the effects of agile leadership on patient care consequences. This requires looking into the connection between agile leadership and patient care outcomes, including returning patients, satisfaction, and service effectiveness.
- Grow a framework that attaches agile leadership, organizational willingness, and outcomes. Building an idea structure that connects agile leadership to team preparation and overall performance outcomes is part of this.
- Control agile competencies obligatory of healthcare leaders' supervision change. This involves describing the essential skills and knowledge that medical professionals require in order to successfully handle ongoing change.

Research Questions

- How does the occurrence of agile leadership capabilities vary across dissimilar health leader settings?
- What is the relationship between agile leadership repetition and incessant change organizational success?
- Which organizational factors will ease or hinder agile leadership adoption?
- How does agile leadership impact employee appointment and psychological safety in aeras of change?
- What are the devices by which agile leadership is related to better healthcare outcomes?
What are the competencies that describe a real agile healthcare leader?

Hypotheses

H1: Advanced agile leadership is related with better alteration management.

H2: Employee engagement arbitrates the relationship amid agile leadership and change success.

H3: Organizational willingness mediates the agile leadership-change management relationship.

H4: There is better agile practice adoption in dispersed leadership models.

H5: Agile leadership training improves leaders' and their teams' flexibility.

H6: Agile leadership predicts better patient satisfaction, competence, and retention.

H7: A learning-oriented culture definitely effects agile leadership effectiveness.

Previous Studies

Agile leadership improves team performance, employee satisfaction, and responsiveness in healthcare settings, according to existing research. Agile management improves organizational responsiveness and patient care quality, although there are still implementation challenges, according to Saleh et al. (2024).

In addition, it has been shown that transformational leadership which is thought to be similar to agile leadership improves healthcare quality (Mohanty et al., 2024). Fagerdal et al. (2022) claim that when leaders encourage adaptability, hospital teams are better able to handle complicated problems. While more research in medical environments is required, these studies collectively show how flexible leadership can improve staff performance and patient outcomes.

Theoretical Foundations

This project builds upon:

- Transformational Leadership Theory: Stimulates change finished vision and adapted provision.
- Adaptive Leadership Theory: This is a leadership method that inspires dispersed problem-solving among multifaceted challenges.
- Organizational Change Theory: Plans stages and processes for fruitful transformation.
- Complexity and Systems Theory: Healthcare has been documented as a complex, adaptive system that calls for supple leadership.
- Social Cognitive Theory: Leadership effects motivation, self-efficacy, and conduct (Bandura, 1997).

- Institutional Theory: The theory equilibria, throughout change, the weights that come from outdoor with interior legality.

Methodology and Research Methods

This study will employ a mixed-methods sequential descriptive approach in order to ensure a full understanding of how agile leadership functions at different phases of healthcare companies. The survey will be given to 400–500 frontline employees and healthcare leaders to start the quantitative phase. This large sample size aims to gather a wide range of opinions and experiences about organizational change willingness, employee engagement, agile leadership capabilities, and patient-related outcomes.

In order to measure concepts like adaptability, communication quality, psychological safety, willingness for transformation, and overall efficacy of implemented changes, the survey will employ validated Likert-scale instruments. The quantitative phase will allow the researcher to find patterns, associations, and differences in agility levels across environments by gathering numerical data from multiple departments, including nursing, administration, emergency services, surgical units, and quality management.

The study will go into the qualitative phase, which includes focus groups and detailed semi-structured interviews with a purposefully chosen group of 40–50 individuals, after the quantitative analysis. These people will be chosen based on a variety of leadership roles, departmental backgrounds, and degrees of involvement with agile methods. They will be gathered from the previous survey sample. The purpose of the qualitative component is to investigate the underlying mechanisms, individual views, and lived experiences that are not properly conveyed by numerical data.

Through open-ended questions, participants will talk about imagined challenges to agility, communication difficulties, sources of resistance, real-world instances of leadership actions, and elements that either support or compromise organizational adaptability. By documenting group dynamics, common issues, and collective insights into how agile leadership is applied or ignored in healthcare settings, the focus groups will only further improve the data. When combined, the two stages of the mixed-methods design offer a thorough, multifaceted understanding of agile leadership and how it affects the performance of healthcare organizations.

Research Instruments

- Agile Leadership Capability Assessment (Likert scales)
- Organizational Willingness for Alteration Scale
- Employee Appointment Survey
- Psychological Care Index
- Alteration Application Effectiveness Scale
- Health Care Delivery Consequences (patient satisfaction, wait times, turnover)
- Semi-Structured Interview Leader

Limitations

Of course, limitations comprise the incapability to conclude connection from cross-sectional data, likely response biases, volunteer sampling bias, background effects such as that of the pandemic, developing concepts of agile leadership, and challenges in dividing leadership belongings from system issues.

The strong focus on self-reported survey and interview data, which might not always accurately reflect participants' actual experiences, is one major disadvantage. Because of workplace pressure, social acceptance, fear of criticism, or misunderstanding of the survey items, healthcare employees may unintentionally over or understate their involvement, communication quality, or leadership views. This may affect how reliable and precise the results are.

The differences between various healthcare settings present another difficulty. In emergency rooms, surgical units, outpatient clinics, administrative offices, or basic healthcare settings, agile leadership may operate differently. The study's findings might not be entirely applicable to all healthcare facilities due to the stark differences in pace, workload, culture, and hierarchy between various environments, especially when it comes to different nations or healthcare systems with differing operational or cultural norms.

The complicated nature of healthcare systems, where leadership is just one of many variables influencing results, further restricts the study. Adaptability and patient outcomes may be impacted by factors like staffing shortages, budgetary limitations, organizational complexities, technological platforms, and laws and regulations. It is difficult to figure out exactly what is required of agile leadership because these root causes are hard to control completely.

References

1. Akkaya, B., & Bagieńska, A. (2022). Agile leadership and perceived career success. *Int. J. Environ Res Public Health*, 19(7), 4065.
2. Alotaibi, F. (2023). Application of agile methodology in healthcare management. *IRASD Journal of Management*, 5(3), 147-160.
3. Bass, B. M. (1990). From transactional to transformational leadership. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(3), 19-31.
4. Fagerdal, B., Silén, C., Aronsson, M., & Nordström, G. (2022). Leaders enabling adaptive capacity in hospital teams. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22, 900.
5. Heifetz, R. A., & Linsky, M. (2002). *Leadership on the line*. Harvard Business School Press.
6. Mohanty, R., et al. (2024). Impact of transformational leadership on healthcare quality improvement. *Health Leadership and Quality of Life*, 3, 365.
7. Plsek, P. E., & Wilson, T. (2001). Complexity, leadership, and management in healthcare. *BMJ*, 323(7315), 746-749.
8. Saleh, H. H., Ali, Z., & Latif, N. (2024). Agile management in healthcare. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(5), 633-649.
9. Wasti, S. P., Tan, S. M., Chong, Y. S., & Yap, P. (2022). Mixed-methods research in evidence-based practice. *Nursing Forum*, 57(2), 231-242.
10. DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147-160.

