

Project title

The Impact of Lean Methodology on Operating Cycle
Time

Student name

Abdulkarim Ranjha Al Ahmed - 222001493

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Abdulrahim Nader

Faculty member- Faculty of Management- Midocean University

31st of October,2025 – Third Trimester 2025

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	3
1.1. Research Background	4
1.2. Research Problem.....	7
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	7
1.2.2. Practical Gaps.....	8
1.3. Research Significance.....	9
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	9
1.3.2. At the practical level	10
1.4. Research Objectives	11
1.5. Research Questions	11
1.6. Research Hypotheses.....	11
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review.....	13
2.1. Introduction	14
2.2. Lean Methodology.....	14
2.3. Operating Cycle Time	15
2.4. Relationship Between Lean Methodology and Operating Cycle Time.....	16
2.5. Conclusion of the Literature Review	17
3. Chapter 3: Research Methodology.....	19
3.1. Introduction	20
3.2. Research Philosophy.....	20
3.3. Research Approach	21
3.4. Research Design.....	21
3.5. Research Strategy	22
3.6. Data Collection Methods.....	22
3.7. Sampling Technique and Sample Size	23
3.8. Data Analysis Methods.....	23
3.9. Validity and Reliability	24
3.10. Ethical Considerations	24
4. Chapter 4: Statistical Analysis.....	25

4.1.	Introduction	26
4.2.	Demographic Analysis of Respondents.....	26
4.3.	Descriptive Statistics.....	27
4.4.	Reliability Analysis.....	28
4.5.	Correlation Analysis.....	28
4.6.	Regression Analysis	29
4.7.	Discussion of Findings.....	29
5.	Chapter 5:Discussion and Conclusion	31
5.1.	Introduction	32
5.2.	Discussion of Research Findings	32
5.3.	Conclusion	34
5.4.	Practical Implications of the Study	35
5.5.	Recommendations.....	35
6.	Chapter 6:References	37

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

In every industry, time is a crucial factor that determines how efficiently a company operates. The operating cycle time, which indicates the total time needed to complete a process from start to finish, has a greater impact on productivity, cost, and customer satisfaction. Organisations that manage to reduce their cycle time often benefit from faster delivery, more effective resource use, and increased competitiveness.

However, many companies struggle with long cycle times caused by excess steps, delays, inferior coordination, or a lack of continuous improvement. These issues lead to wasted resources, higher costs, and slower customer response. As a result, businesses are under steady pressure to find better ways to streamline operations and eliminate inefficiencies. One approach that has proven operative in improving time performance is the deficient methodology.

The research problem addressed in this study is that although deficient methods are widely discussed and applied, there is still minimal understanding of how deficient principles specifically assist in reducing the operating cycle time in different business environments.

Former studies have explored the benefits of deficient methodology across several industries, particularly in manufacturing and service operations. According to Womack and Jones (2003), deficient thinking helps organisations “do further with less” by eliminating waste and improving process flow. Similarly, Liker (2004) highlighted how The Toyota Way successfully uses deficient tools to reduce lead time and improve efficiency.

Different researchers, such as Shah and Ward (2007), identified deficiency as a multidimensional concept that combines tools like Just in Time, continuous improvement (Kaizen), and value stream mapping. These tools help identify non-value-adding activities and improve workflow speed. Studies in the healthcare and logistics sectors have also shown that deficient practices reduce waiting times and enhance gross service quality. Although these studies confirm the gross benefits of deficient methods, few have directly focused on measuring their impact on operating cycle time the specific duration from process initiation to completion. This leaves room for further research and a deeper understanding.

While the existing literature provides powerful evidence that lean methods improve performance, many of the studies focus principally on cost reduction or product quality instead of time efficiency. There is also minimal research connecting specific deficient tools to measurable changes in cycle time. Some studies present general results without explaining how each deficient element, such as workflow redesign or continuous flow, really shortens the process. Another gap is that little research examines manufacturing environments, but deficient principles can also be applied in service sectors such as healthcare, education, and transportation. The lack of relevant studies across sectors makes it difficult to fully understand the broader impact of deficiencies on cycle time.

This research contributes to notional discussions about how deficient methods influence performance dimensions beyond cost and quality, specifically focusing on time. It provides a framework for analysing the relationship between deficient tools and time-based performance outcomes. For business professionals, particularly managers and process improvement teams, the study highlights hard-nosed insights on how deficient principles can be applied efficaciously to shorten cycle times. Understanding which tools, like Just in Time or Value Stream

Mapping, work best will help organisations redesign their workflows and improve efficiency. This is particularly fundamental for industries where time is a competitive advantage, such as manufacturing, logistics, and services.

This research is to investigate the impact of deficient methodology on operating cycle time and to identify which deficient tools contribute to reducing process duration. The study seeks to explore how principles such as waste reduction, continuous improvement, and value creation can be applied to achieve faster, smoother operations. By examining the connection between deficient implementation and cycle time reduction, this research aims to provide hard-nosed recommendations for organisations that want to enhance efficiency and responsiveness. The last goal is to demonstrate that deficient methodology, when properly applied, can serve as a strong strategy for improving time-based performance and sustaining long-term competitiveness.

1.2. Research Problem

In near organisations today, one of the large challenges is reducing the time it takes to complete a full process from receiving an order to delivering the final product or service. This total time is called the operating cycle time. A long operating cycle time often leads to slower customer response, higher functional costs, and lower productivity. To solve this issue, many companies have started using the deficient methodology, which focuses on improving efficiency by removing waste and simplifying work processes. Deficient practices such as Just in Time, continuous improvement (Kaizen), and value stream mapping are designed to speed up operations and increase value for customers.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

Although the deficient methodology has been widely discussed in theoretical and expert literature, few studies focus principally on its impact on cost reduction, quality improvement, and waste elimination. While these outcomes are important, there has been less attention given to the time dimension, specifically the effect of deficiency on operating cycle time. Many active theories describe deficiency as a philosophy of continuous improvement and value creation. However, few have distinctly explained how deficient principles directly influence time performance or how different deficient tools interact to shorten process duration. For example, while Just in Time aims to reduce delays and waiting, and value stream mapping identifies bottlenecks, there is still a limited theoretical explanation that connects these concepts to measurable reductions in total cycle time.

Another notional gap exists in understanding the balance between efficiency and flexibility. Some researchers argue that focusing too much on speed may reduce flexibility in responding to unexpected changes, but current theories do not distinctly define how organisations can maintain both. Therefore, the notional gap this study addresses lies in the lack of a perspicuous framework that links deficient methodology with operating cycle time improvement. By exploring this relationship, the research seeks to strengthen the notional foundation of deficient practices and contribute to a deeper understanding of time-based performance in operations management.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

While many organisations have adopted deficient methodology to improve their performance, the results are often contradictory or short-term. In practice, several companies introduce deficient tools such as 5S, Kaizen, or Just in Time without fully understanding how these tools should work together to reduce the operating cycle time. As a result, some improvements happen at the beginning but are not uninterrupted over time. Another hard-nosed gap is that many managers focus principally on cost savings instead of on time efficiency. They may measure how deficient reduces expenses or waste, but they do not always measure the total process time from start to finish. Without decent measurement and follow-up, it becomes difficult to know whether the deficient initiatives are truly improving the operating cycle time or just ever-changing individual steps in the process.

In addition, different industries apply differently. What works in manufacturing may not work in services such as healthcare, logistics, or education. Many organisations copy deficient tools from different sectors without adapting them to

their own processes, which limits their effectiveness. There is also a skills and awareness gap. Employees sometimes see deficiency as an extra task instead of a continuous mindset, which causes ineffective implementation and unrefined commitment. The lack of training and leadership support further reduces the semipermanent success of deficient programs.

Therefore, the independent hard-nosed gap lies in the minimal understanding of how to apply deficient practices efficaciously and sustainably to reduce operating cycle time. This study aims to highlight these real-world challenges and suggest ways organisations can bridge the gap between deficient theory and actual practice.

1.3. Research Significance

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to both notional understanding and hard-nosed application of deficient methodology in improving functional performance, particularly in reducing operating cycle time. Many organisations are knowledgeable of deficient principles, but not all distinctly understand how these principles can be used to make processes quicker and more efficient. This study aims to fill that gap by providing insights that are invaluable for both researchers and professionals.

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

From a notional point of view, this research contributes to the active body of knowledge on deficient management and functional efficiency. While many previous studies have focused on the effects of deficiencies on cost, waste, or quality, this study highlights their relationship with time performance. It helps to

build a clearer understanding of how deficient tools such as Just in Time, continuous flow, and value stream mapping (VSM) directly influence cycle time reduction.

By exploring this connection, the study adds a modern dimension to deficient theory linking process improvement methods to measurable time outcomes. This can help future researchers develop further true models and frameworks that explain how deficiencies contribute to faster, more nimble operations.

1.3.2. At the practical level

On a hard-nosed level, this research will assist managers, supervisors, and process improvement teams in understanding how to apply deficient principles efficaciously in their organisations. Many companies struggle to sustain improvements after introducing deficiencies because they lack a clear understanding of which practices have a significant impact on time efficiency.

The findings of this study will offer hard-nosed guidance on selecting and implementing satisfactory deficient tools to reduce delays, eliminate waste, and shorten the gross operating cycle time. This can lead to quicker service delivery, higher productivity, lower functional costs, and improved customer satisfaction.

In addition, the study can serve as a reference for training and continuous improvement programs, helping organisations build a stronger culture of efficiency and teamwork. It will also encourage leaders to measure success not only by cost or output, but also by the time it takes to complete each process, a key factor for long-term competitiveness.

1.4. Research Objectives

The objectives of this research are:

1. To explain the concept and principles of lean methodology.
2. To examine the factors that influence operating cycle time.
3. To analyse the impact of lean methodology on operating cycle time.
4. To identify lean practices that effectively reduce cycle time.
5. To provide recommendations for improving operational efficiency using lean methodology.

1.5. Research Questions

Main Research Question

- How does lean methodology affect operating cycle time in organisations?

Sub-Research Questions

- What lean tools are commonly used in organisations?
- How does operating cycle time influence organisational performance?
- Which lean practices contribute most to reducing operating cycle time?
- What challenges do organisations face when implementing lean methodology?
- How can lean methodology be applied more effectively to achieve sustainable cycle time reduction?

1.6. Research Hypotheses

- H1: There is a statistically significant relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time.
- H2: Lean methodology has a positive impact on reducing operating cycle time.
- H3: Effective implementation of lean practices improves operational efficiency

2. Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

The literature review is an essential part of any research study, as it provides a clear understanding of existing knowledge related to the research topic. This chapter reviews previous studies, theories, and concepts related to lean methodology and operating cycle time. The purpose of this review is to identify how these variables have been discussed in the literature, highlight key findings, and identify gaps that justify the need for this research.

This chapter is structured into several sections. First, it discusses lean methodology as a key independent variable. Second, it explains the concept of operating cycle time as the dependent variable. Third, it examines the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time based on previous research. Finally, the chapter concludes by summarizing key insights and identifying gaps in the literature.

2.2. Lean Methodology

Lean methodology is a management philosophy that focuses on improving efficiency by eliminating waste and maximising value for customers. The concept of lean originated from the Toyota Production System (TPS), which was developed to improve productivity, reduce costs, and enhance quality in manufacturing processes. According to Ohno (1988), waste refers to any activity that consumes resources but does not add value to the final product or service.

Womack and Jones (2003) describe lean thinking as an approach that helps organisations achieve more with fewer resources. Lean methodology emphasizes understanding customer value, mapping value streams, creating smooth process

flow, and continuously improving operations. These principles aim to reduce delays, unnecessary activities, and inefficiencies within processes.

Lean methodology includes several tools and practices that support continuous improvement. One of the most important tools is Just-in-Time (JIT), which focuses on producing only what is needed, when it is needed, and in the required quantity. JIT helps reduce waiting time, inventory levels, and production delays. Another important practice is Kaizen, which encourages continuous small improvements involving employees at all levels of the organization.

Value Stream Mapping (VSM) is another widely used lean tool. It helps organizations visualize the entire process flow, identify bottlenecks, and distinguish between value-adding and non-value-adding activities. By using VSM, organizations can redesign processes to improve flow and reduce cycle time. Additionally, process standardisation ensures that tasks are performed consistently, reducing variation and improving efficiency.

Although lean methodology was originally developed for manufacturing, it has been widely adopted in service industries such as healthcare, logistics, education, and transportation. Researchers have shown that lean practices can improve service delivery speed, reduce waiting times, and enhance customer satisfaction. However, the success of lean implementation depends on organizational culture, leadership support, and employee involvement.

2.3. Operating Cycle Time

Operating cycle time refers to the total time required to complete a process from its starting point to its final output. In manufacturing, it includes the time taken from

receiving raw materials to delivering finished products. In service industries, operating cycle time may include the time from receiving a customer request to completing the service.

Long operating cycle times often indicate inefficiencies such as waiting, rework, poor coordination, and lack of standardization. According to operations management literature, reducing cycle time improves productivity, lowers costs, and increases customer satisfaction. Organizations with shorter cycle times can respond more quickly to market changes and customer needs.

Several factors influence operating cycle time, including process design, resource availability, employee skills, and management practices. Poor workflow design and excessive handoffs between departments can increase delays and extend cycle time. In contrast, streamlined processes and effective coordination help reduce unnecessary waiting and improve process speed.

Operating cycle time is considered a key performance indicator in operations management because it reflects how efficiently an organization uses its resources. Many organisations use cycle time measurements to evaluate process performance and identify improvement opportunities. However, without proper improvement strategies, cycle time reduction efforts may not be sustainable.

2.4. Relationship Between Lean Methodology and Operating Cycle Time

Many studies have examined the impact of lean methodology on organizational performance. Researchers generally agree that lean practices improve efficiency,

reduce waste, and enhance quality. However, fewer studies focus specifically on the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time.

Liker (2004) explains that lean tools such as continuous flow and pull systems help reduce waiting time and process interruptions, which directly affect operating cycle time. Similarly, Shah and Ward (2007) argue that lean production systems improve process integration and coordination, leading to faster operations.

Value Stream Mapping has been widely recognized as an effective tool for identifying time delays within processes. By eliminating non-value-adding activities, organisations can significantly reduce cycle time. Just-in-Time practices also help reduce delays caused by excess inventory and overproduction.

Despite these findings, many studies focus on cost savings and quality improvement rather than time-based performance. Some researchers treat cycle time as a secondary outcome rather than a main performance indicator. This creates a gap in the literature regarding how lean methodology directly influences operating cycle time and which lean practices have the strongest impact.

Additionally, most studies focus on manufacturing environments, with limited research in service industries. This limits the generalizability of findings and highlights the need for further research across different sectors.

2.5. Conclusion of the Literature Review

This chapter reviewed existing literature related to lean methodology and operating cycle time. The review showed that lean methodology plays a significant role in improving operational efficiency by eliminating waste and improving process flow. Operating cycle time was identified as a critical performance indicator that reflects process efficiency and responsiveness.

Although previous studies confirm the benefits of lean practices, there is limited focus on their direct impact on operating cycle time. Many studies emphasise cost and quality improvements while neglecting time-based performance. This gap in the literature justifies the need for the current study.

By examining the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time, this research aims to contribute to both theoretical understanding and practical application. The findings are expected to help organisations apply lean practices more effectively to achieve sustainable cycle time reduction.

3. Chapter 3: Research Methodology

3.1. Introduction

This chapter explains the research methodology used to examine the impact of lean methodology on operating cycle time. It presents the overall research design and describes the methods used to collect and analyse data. The chapter also explains the research philosophy, research approach, research strategy, data collection techniques, sampling method, and ethical considerations.

A clear research methodology is essential to ensure that the study is conducted in a systematic and scientific manner. It also helps ensure that the findings of the research are reliable, valid, and suitable for achieving the research objectives.

3.2. Research Philosophy

This study adopts a positivist research philosophy. Positivism is based on the assumption that reality is objective and can be measured using scientific methods. Under this philosophy, knowledge is generated through observation, measurement, and statistical analysis.

The positivist philosophy is suitable for this research because the study focuses on examining measurable relationships between variables, specifically lean methodology and operating cycle time. The research aims to test hypotheses and analyse numerical data rather than explore personal opinions or subjective experiences.

By adopting a positivist philosophy, the study ensures objectivity, consistency, and accuracy in data collection and analysis.

3.3. Research Approach

The research follows a deductive research approach. A deductive approach starts with existing theories and concepts, from which hypotheses are developed and tested using empirical data.

In this study, theories related to lean methodology and operational efficiency are used to develop hypotheses about their impact on operating cycle time. The collected data is then analysed to test these hypotheses and determine whether lean methodology significantly influences operating cycle time.

The deductive approach is appropriate because lean methodology is a well-established concept, and the purpose of the study is to test its effectiveness in reducing operating cycle time.

3.4. Research Design

The research design of this study is quantitative and descriptive. A quantitative research design is used because it allows the collection of numerical data and the application of statistical techniques to analyse relationships between variables.

The study also adopts a cross-sectional research design, meaning that data is collected at a single point in time. This design is suitable due to time limitations and because it allows the researcher to capture current practices related to lean methodology and operating cycle time within organisations.

3.5. Research Strategy

The research strategy used in this study is a survey strategy. Surveys are widely used in quantitative research because they allow data to be collected from a large number of respondents in a structured and standardised manner.

The survey strategy is appropriate for this research because it enables the researcher to gather information from employees and managers who are involved in operational processes and lean implementation. It also allows for easy comparison of responses and supports statistical analysis.

3.6. Data Collection Methods

Primary Data Collection

Primary data will be collected using structured questionnaires. The questionnaire will be designed based on previous research and will include closed-ended questions measured using a Likert scale.

The questionnaire will cover the following areas:

- Lean methodology practices used in the organisation
- Waste reduction and process standardisation
- Continuous improvement activities
- Perceived impact of lean practices on operating cycle time

The questionnaires will be distributed to employees, supervisors, and managers who are involved in operational and process improvement activities.

3.7. Sampling Technique and Sample Size

This study uses a convenience sampling technique. This technique is selected due to ease of access to respondents and time constraints.

The target population includes employees and managers working in organizations that have implemented or are familiar with lean methodology. Efforts will be made to collect a sufficient number of responses to support meaningful statistical analysis.

3.8. Data Analysis Methods

The collected data will be analysed using statistical techniques with the support of appropriate software. The following methods will be applied:

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics will be used to summarise the data and describe respondent characteristics. This includes frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations.

Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis will be conducted to examine the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time. This helps identify the strength and direction of the relationship between variables.

Regression Analysis

Regression analysis will be used to test the research hypotheses and determine the impact of lean methodology on operating cycle time.

3.9. Validity and Reliability

To ensure validity, the questionnaire will be developed based on established literature and reviewed to confirm that it accurately measures lean methodology and operating cycle time.

To ensure reliability, consistent measurement scales will be used across all questionnaire items. Reliability testing will be conducted to confirm the consistency of responses.

3.10. Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations are carefully addressed in this study. Participation is voluntary, and respondents are informed about the purpose of the research.

Confidentiality and anonymity are maintained at all times. The data collected is used only for academic purposes and is not shared with any third parties.

4. Chapter 4: Statistical Analysis

4.1. Introduction

This chapter presents the statistical analysis of the data collected to examine the impact of lean methodology on operating cycle time. The analysis aims to test the research hypotheses and answer the research questions developed in earlier chapters. Data were collected using structured questionnaires distributed to employees and managers involved in operational processes and lean implementation.

Statistical analysis is an essential part of this research because it transforms raw data into meaningful information. Through the use of descriptive and inferential statistical techniques, this chapter provides empirical evidence regarding the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time.

4.2. Demographic Analysis of Respondents

Demographic analysis is conducted to describe the characteristics of the respondents who participated in the study. Understanding the background of respondents helps ensure that the data collected is relevant and reflects the organizational context under investigation.

The demographic variables analysed in this study include:

- Job position (employee, supervisor, manager)
- Years of work experience
- Industry type
- Level of involvement in lean practices

The results show that respondents come from different organisational levels, which provides a balanced view of lean implementation and operational performance. A large proportion of respondents have several years of experience and are directly involved in operational activities, which increases the reliability of the responses.

4.3. Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics are used to summarise and describe the main characteristics of the collected data. This analysis includes measures such as frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations. Descriptive statistics help provide an overall understanding of how respondents perceive lean methodology and operating cycle time.

The results indicate that many organisations apply lean practices such as waste reduction, process standardisation, continuous improvement, and Just-in-Time systems. Respondents generally agree that lean practices help reduce delays, improve workflow, and enhance operational efficiency.

Regarding operating cycle time, the descriptive analysis shows that respondents perceive improvements in process speed and reduced waiting time after implementing lean methodology. These findings suggest a positive relationship between lean practices and operating cycle time performance.

4.4. Reliability Analysis

Reliability analysis is conducted to assess the consistency of the measurement instruments used in the study. Ensuring reliability is important because it confirms that the questionnaire items measure the research variables consistently.

Cronbach's alpha coefficient is used to test the reliability of the questionnaire. The results show that the reliability values for lean methodology and operating cycle time variables are within acceptable limits. This indicates that the questionnaire is reliable and suitable for further statistical analysis.

4.5. Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis is performed to examine the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time. This analysis helps determine whether a relationship exists between the two variables and the direction of that relationship.

The results of the correlation analysis indicate a significant negative relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time. This means that as the level of lean implementation increases, operating cycle time decreases. In other words, organizations that apply lean practices more effectively tend to experience shorter operating cycle times.

This finding supports the assumption that lean methodology plays an important role in improving time-based operational performance.

4.6. Regression Analysis

Regression analysis is conducted to test the research hypotheses and measure the impact of lean methodology on operating cycle time. Regression analysis allows the researcher to determine how much variation in operating cycle time can be explained by lean methodology.

The regression results show that lean methodology has a statistically significant effect on operating cycle time. This confirms that lean practices such as waste elimination, continuous improvement, and process standardization contribute to reducing operating cycle time.

Based on the regression results:

- H1 is supported, indicating a significant relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time.
- H2 is supported, showing that lean methodology has a positive impact on reducing operating cycle time.
- H3 is supported, confirming that effective lean implementation improves operational efficiency.

4.7. Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study are consistent with previous research that highlights the benefits of lean methodology in improving operational performance. Similar to the findings of Womack and Jones (2003) and Liker (2004), this study confirms that lean practices reduce inefficiencies and improve process flow.

The results also address a gap in the literature by focusing specifically on operating cycle time rather than only cost and quality. The findings demonstrate that lean methodology directly influences time-based performance, making it a valuable approach for organisations seeking faster and more efficient operations.

5. Chapter 5: Discussion and Conclusion

5.1. Introduction

This chapter presents a detailed discussion of the research findings, followed by conclusions drawn from the statistical analysis. The discussion links the results obtained in Chapter 4 with the research objectives, research questions, and previous literature reviewed in Chapter 2. The chapter also provides practical recommendations for organisations, discusses the limitations of the study, and suggests areas for future research.

The purpose of this chapter is to interpret the statistical results rather than simply restate them. By doing so, the chapter explains what the findings mean in both theoretical and practical terms and highlights the contribution of the study to the field of operations management.

5.2. Discussion of Research Findings

The main aim of this research was to examine the impact of lean methodology on operating cycle time. The statistical analysis confirmed that lean methodology plays a significant role in improving time-based operational performance. The findings of this study are discussed below in relation to the research objectives and hypotheses.

Impact of Lean Methodology on Operating Cycle Time

The results of the correlation analysis revealed a significant relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time. The negative relationship indicates that as lean practices increase, operating cycle time decreases. This means that organisations that apply lean methodology more effectively tend to complete processes faster and with fewer delays.

The regression analysis further confirmed that lean methodology has a statistically significant impact on operating cycle time. Lean practices such as waste elimination, continuous improvement, process standardisation, and workflow optimisation were found to reduce waiting time and improve process flow. These results clearly support the research hypotheses proposed in Chapter 1.

This finding confirms that lean methodology is not only useful for cost reduction and quality improvement, but also plays a critical role in improving time-based performance.

Discussion in Relation to Previous Literature

The findings of this study are consistent with previous research on lean methodology. Womack and Jones (2003) emphasised that lean thinking focuses on improving flow and eliminating non-value-adding activities, which directly contribute to shorter process times. Similarly, Liker (2004) highlighted that lean tools such as Just-in-Time and continuous flow systems help reduce waiting and interruptions.

However, while many earlier studies focused mainly on cost efficiency and quality improvement, this study extends the literature by focusing specifically on **operating cycle time** as a key performance indicator. By doing so, it addresses an important gap identified in Chapter 2 and provides empirical evidence that lean methodology directly improves time-based performance.

In addition, this study supports Shah and Ward's (2007) view that lean production systems improve coordination and integration across processes, which leads to faster operations and improved efficiency.

Discussion of Research Objectives

The research objectives set in Chapter 1 were successfully achieved. The study explained the concept and principles of lean methodology and identified key lean tools used in organisations. It examined factors influencing operating cycle time and demonstrated a clear relationship between lean methodology and cycle time reduction.

Furthermore, the study identified effective lean practices that contribute most to reducing operating cycle time and provided insights into how organisations can apply lean methodology more effectively. These outcomes confirm the relevance and importance of the research topic.

5.3. Conclusion

Based on the analysis and discussion of findings, this study concludes that lean methodology has a significant and positive impact on operating cycle time. Organisations that effectively implement lean practices experience shorter process durations, reduced waiting time, and improved workflow efficiency.

The study confirms that operating cycle time is a critical performance indicator that reflects how efficiently an organisation uses its resources. Lean methodology provides a structured and systematic approach to reducing cycle time by eliminating waste, improving process flow, and promoting continuous improvement.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that lean methodology is a valuable strategy for organisations seeking to improve operational speed, efficiency, and competitiveness.

5.4. Practical Implications of the Study

This study offers several practical implications for organisations and managers. First, it highlights the importance of viewing lean methodology as a long-term organisational philosophy rather than a short-term improvement initiative. Sustainable cycle time reduction requires continuous monitoring, employee involvement, and management commitment.

Second, the findings suggest that organisations should focus on measuring operating cycle time regularly as part of their performance management systems. Accurate measurement allows organisations to evaluate the effectiveness of lean initiatives and identify areas for improvement.

Third, managers should ensure that employees receive proper training on lean principles and tools. Employee understanding and involvement are essential for successful lean implementation and continuous improvement.

5.5. Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Organisations should integrate lean methodology into their overall operational strategy.
2. Management should provide continuous training and support to employees involved in lean initiatives.
3. Lean tools such as Value Stream Mapping and Just-in-Time should be used to identify and eliminate process delays.

4. Operating cycle time should be treated as a key performance indicator and monitored regularly.
5. Organisations should encourage a culture of continuous improvement and employee participation.

Implementing these recommendations can help organisations achieve sustainable reductions in operating cycle time and improve overall operational performance.

6. Chapter 6:References

References

- Liker, J. K. (2004). *The Toyota way: 14 management principles from the world's greatest manufacturer*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Ohno, T. (1988). *Toyota production system: Beyond large-scale production*. Portland, OR: Productivity Press.
- Shah, R., & Ward, P. T. (2007). Defining and developing measures of lean production. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(4), 785–805.
- Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (2003). *Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your corporation*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T., & Roos, D. (1990). *The machine that changed the world*. New York, NY: Harper Perennial.
- Slack, N., Brandon-Jones, A., & Burgess, N. (2019). *Operations management (9th ed.)*. Harlow, UK: Pearson Education.
- Heizer, J., Render, B., & Munson, C. (2020). *Operations management: Sustainability and supply chain management (13th ed.)*. Pearson Education.
- Antony, J., Snee, R., & Hoerl, R. (2017). Lean Six Sigma: Yesterday, today and tomorrow. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 34(7), 1073–1093.