

عنوان البحث
ادارة الأزمات خلال جائحة كورونا في المملكة العربية السعودية

إعداد الطالب/
المهندس عبدالرحمن خالد باعباد
المهندس فيصل سليمان المقبل

إشراف/
د. الفيصل عبدالحميد محمد
د. فايز علي جراد

العام الدراسي:
2024

Table of Content

Introduction	3
Research Problem	5
Research Importance	10
Research Objectives	11
Research Enquiries.....	12
Research Hypotheses	14
Literature Review.....	16
Theoretical Framework.....	16
Global Perspectives on Crisis Management during Covid-19	17
Crisis Management in Saudi Arabia.....	19
Pre-Covid-19 Crisis Management Framework.....	19
Government Response to Covid-19	19
Role of the Saudi Ministry of Health	20
Impact of Religious Events	21
Comprehensive Economic Support Packages	21
Public Communication Strategies.....	22
Vaccine Rollout and Immunization Campaign	23
Coordination with International Organizations	23
Critical Challenges in Saudi Arabia's Crisis Management.....	25
Lessons Learned and Recommendations	28
Research Methodology.....	30
Research Tools.....	31
Research Limitations.....	33
References	34

Introduction

COVID-19 originated at the end of 2019 and soon impacted a global population, including Saudi Arabia, resulting in a severe health issue. The Kingdom faced some challenges due to the unpredictability of the spread of infections across the demography, geography, and households. Although the government and the Ministry of Health tried to contain the disease through various campaigns, which included digital health interventions, social restrictions, closures, and censorship of movement, the disease spread rapidly but was tamed by these approaches. The systematic rollout of these interventions based on infection rates, mortality, and recovery played a significant role in mitigating the effects of this pandemic all across the country.

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Saudi Arabia was not only on the country's healthcare system but also on the family and social relations as a result of quarantine measures and restrictions in interpersonal communication. While steps such as quarantine, lockdown, and curfew proved effective as containment measures, they brought a lot of stress and tension within families. In addition, the pandemic's economic, social, and community impacts remain huge, still receiving evaluation and updates from the Ministry of Health.

The nation of Saudi Arabia covers a vast geographical area, and its population is so diverse that there are challenges in containing the deadly virus. The country, divided into five planning regions, 13 administrative areas, and 118 governorates, had the difficult task of governing a population of 35.3 million, consisting of native-born citizens and immigrants, throughout the territory of 2.2 million square kilometers. The built environment, established to promote communal living, was instrumental in the speedy transmission of the virus. However, efforts to curb the spread of the virus were still challenging due to the floating population, just like what was witnessed in the MERS-CoV virus that mainly affected the Saudi Arabia region. Nevertheless, the

presented strategic interventions and mitigation measures aligned with the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030. They demonstrated that the country was ready for such a scenario and immediately responded to the crisis.

Against this backdrop, this research aims to perform a systematic review of the crisis management that occurred during COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia. In doing so, the study seeks to establish lessons learned and best practices from the analysis of the various measures undertaken by the government and their success rate. This analysis aims to inform policymakers, medical practitioners, and researchers to address better health concerns in Saudi Arabia and other relevant settings.

Research Problem

COVID-19 was an unprecedented event that has shown how important it is for a country like Saudi Arabia to develop and implement suitable crisis management strategies. Due to its high transmission rate, it was crucial to have prompt and extensive intervention strategies to address the virus's effects. Socio-economic impacts highlighted the need for a coordinated approach to deal with a pandemic with health, social, and economic implications (Josephson et al., 2021). In Saudi Arabia, the measures put in place to mitigate the effects of the pandemic highlighted weaknesses across different industries. They called for improved approaches that would enable organizations to address such disruptions in the future.

The health crisis was among the first and most pressing, as the coronavirus outbreak affected multiple regions in the Kingdom and stressed the healthcare infrastructure. Hospitals received an increased influx of patients, which put pressure on the healthcare system for sufficient PPE, ICU capacity, and human resources (Ameen et al., 2020). These front-line human assets, who were already overworked, experienced high turnover rates and had to meet high patient volumes and care for themselves and their patients. There was, therefore, the need to come up with fast responses that outlined elaborate details on how specialized health facilities could cope with such disasters.

The social impact of the pandemic was immense as there were considerable changes to the normative way of life, with people getting locked in and curfews and social distancing measures in place (El Keshky et al., 2020). While these measures helped flatten the curve of the virus's transmissibility, there were many effects on the mental health of individuals, family roles, and society in general. It proved to be a significant causative factor in stress, anxiety, and depression rates across societies. Households witnessed increased levels of stress because of forced

togetherness and cramped living situations that inevitably translate to increased rates of marital conflict and, in some cases, partner abuse. Limitations on interpersonal communication and collective function compromised social relations and stressed the community fabric, hence the importance of extended mental healthcare and community toughness plans (Yezli & Khan, 2020).

From the economic viewpoint, the pandemic was catastrophic when it triggered layoffs, business closings, and even economic declines all across the globe. The pandemic impacted most sectors, mainly when people are in close contact with many people, such as retail, hospitality, and tourism (Havrlant et al., 2020). This economic pressure proved the need for adequate support for ailing businesses or individuals. Continued economic commitment and structures of economic recovery surfaced as critical to mitigate the pandemic and establish solid economic frameworks. These strategies involved understanding short-term and long-term goals that could help in response planning and replenishment to support the economies during such losses.

Communication and public compliance were also identified as critical areas of crisis management. Disinformation, people's distrust of the virus, and the measures taken to prevent it became an issue for the authorities. Keeping the population in check regarding practices like physical distancing, face masks, and vaccination was crucial in managing the pandemic (Yousif & Bawhab, 2023). Thus, communication activities and awareness campaigns were critical for ensuring adherence and confidence in government measures. One component of the crisis management strategy was supplying accurate information quickly to counteract false rumors.

Healthcare systems and resource distribution are also critical concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia. As the number of patients with COVID-19 skyrocketed, the healthcare system became overwhelmed, including hospitals, intensive care units, doctors, and nurses. It became necessary to ramp up testing and ensure sufficient and timely PPEs, ventilators,

and supplies (Yusuf & Shesha, 2021). Further, the pandemic unveiled the fact that there are geographical discrepancies in the accessibility and quality of the Kingdom's healthcare services (Aljishi & Almshahrawi, 2019). More importantly, closing such gaps and ensuring that resources are fairly distributed will be critical in any crisis response.

Saudi Arabia's healthcare system readiness before the pandemic showed that it had capabilities and limitations in managing a massive health crisis. The Kingdom had ministers, modern hospitals, and technologies, and as a result of investment in healthcare, it was ready to respond to the pandemic. However, the scale at which COVID-19 unfolded revealed weaknesses in resource distribution, including the procurement and availability of medical equipment and human resources in the health sector. The sudden rise in cases underscored the necessity of elastic infrastructures that can facilitate fluctuations in the number of patients (Menoni & Schwarze, 2020). This experience revealed that it was necessary for the health sector to invest in preparedness and that contingency planning cannot be overemphasized. There is a need to assess the state of readiness of health facilities to inform the nations of their readiness to handle more pandemics and other health crises.

Moreover, Saudi Arabia has many economic, and geopolitical roles, which make it different from other countries experiencing similar challenges, and, therefore, Saudi Arabia has a lot to teach the world. Mentioning the Kingdom's experience in the current COVID-19 outbreak, it can be suggested that the lessons learned can be valuable for other countries in coping with crises in the context of similar socio-economic and cultural development. This means that the results of this research may help define policies, strategies, and coordination concerning crisis management at the international level.

Another key lesson learned from the crisis and mismanagement of the COVID-19 crisis is that crisis management requires the integration of different layers of government and sectors of society. Therefore, national and regional authorities in Saudi Arabia, healthcare institutions, businesses, and the general population need to respond collectively to the threats posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. This dynamic and analysis of the potential for improvement in this allocation could contribute to developing more efficient activity scenarios in subsequent emergencies.

Furthermore, the repercussions of the pandemic on Saudi Arabia have profound evidence that the Saudi economy needs to be diversified and that the role of reliance on exports needs to be minimized. Two such emergent weaknesses can be seen from the dual crises of escalating public health risks and economic slowdown exposed structural IMF-related vulnerabilities in the Kingdom's economy. Therefore, it will be of great value to dissect these economic ailments and employ any corrective measures to ascertain how a more economically robust and diverse economy might be created to buffer itself from world events effectively.

The Saudi government has put into practice various crisis management measures to reduce the spread of the virus and its effects. This included full community lockdowns, restriction on movement, digitization of health and education advertising, and comprehensive public health promotion of COVID-19. The closure of facilities and certain hours of the day also proved helpful in slowing down the spread of the virus due to minimized contact among people. Utilizing innovative technologies, including contact tracing applications and telemedicine, strengthened digital health platforms in managing and tracking potential cases. Prevention also received a push-up through campaigns that ensured the public complied with set health standards. Though these interventions were effective in curbing the further spread of the outbreak, it was seen that some

lessons, such as coordination and collaboration between different government organizations and focusing on proper social consideration for people in need, were learned.

Other sociopolitical outcomes of the pandemic in Saudi Arabia include the following: Tough economic times were characterized by high unemployment rates, loss of businesses, and poor financial positions of many people. Community-wise, it led to the worsening of mental health disorders, family conflicts, and interruption of communal activities (Agboola et al., 2021). Due to monetary and psychological aid and community assistance programs, crisis management was significant in economic stabilization and post-crisis social assistance. When identifying the common conclusions about COVID-19 crisis management, one can conclude that improvements in managing future health crises are needed to enhance crisis management strategies. This research is helpful in the study of crisis management as it examines Saudi Arabia's response to the pandemic. The insights derived from the study could help inform policy decisions, review public health responses to the pandemic, and improve crisis preparedness in comparable contexts.

Analyzing the measures shown most effective in handling the COVID-19 crisis, one can conclude that there is a need for ongoing learning and improvement in crisis management to cope with future health challenges. Consequently, this study provides insights that help refine the approach to effective crisis management in the case of the Saudi Arabian response to the pandemic and contribute to formulating future public policies related to pandemic preparedness. When considering the events during the COVID-19 outbreak, Saudi Arabia can enhance its preparedness for critical situations and prevent devastating outcomes for its people.

Research Importance

Critical disaster response during pandemics has extensive cross-sectoral implications, influencing all spheres of life. When a government manages to contain a health crisis, it can minimize both the death rate and illnesses caused by the disease, thus embracing the health of its people. Crisis management also helps sustain healthcare facilities' operations so they do not become congested and can operate optimally. Other than health, competent handling reduces economic losses, preserving commerce, jobs, private wealth, and financial security. This multifaceted approach maintains social stability, increases people's confidence in the authorities, and promotes national cooperation during periods of high anxiety and perceived threat.

In the case of Saudi Arabia, realizing the lessons and enhancing the approaches towards crisis management during COVID-19 can have a great impact. Saudi Arabia is considered a significant player in the world economy because of oil exportation and is one of the important religious centers in the world. Managing crises correctly will help maintain those essential sectors' stability (Parveen, 2020).

Enhancing the general contention for handling crises is also beneficial for Saudi Arabia in the long run as more robust public health and economic systems are created. The COVID-19 pandemic revealed areas of dismal health system readiness, epidemic response, and default, both environmentally and economically. By diagnosing such weaknesses, Saudi Arabia can seek to build more robust mechanisms to support the country in any other crises. The upgrade is evident in the improved usage of available resources, formulation of better public health policies, and incorporation of new and better technologies to treat patients. This not only proves helpful in cases of pandemics in the Kingdom but also helps improve the public health system and the economy, improving its health and economy as a nation.

Research Objectives

This research will focus on assessing the crisis management in Saudi Arabia during the Covid-19 pandemic. Therefore, this evaluation seeks to determine the steps the Saudi government used to contain the spread of this virus, enhance the well-being of its population, and ensure the stability of the country's economy. As a result, the research aims to evaluate the impact and findings of the strategies and pinpoint the moments when the response was efficient or could have been enhanced. This objective is essential as it provides an overview of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's approach to managing this unprecedented global health threat.

Another important goal is to determine the main difficulties that Saudi Arabia encountered during the Covid-19 pandemic. COVID-19 is a complex threat that has challenged healthcare institutions, economic frameworks, and the country's administration. Since the research goals are set systematically, they are intended to reveal the barriers hamper crisis management. They include internalized healthcare infrastructure, economic, communication, and operational concerns such as the scalability of public health interventions. It is critical to comprehend these challenges in their entirety and nuances to design specific approaches to mitigate them in future emergencies.

In addition to assessing previous approaches and identifying the problems in Saudi Arabia, this research presents recommendations for future crisis management. The research will recommend improving the country's preparedness and response measures for future epidemics/pandemics. These recommendations will cover various topics such as improving the healthcare system, better coordination between government departments, public engagement and information, and other communication technologies and economic sustainability. Altogether, the research aimed to make practical suggestions for enhancing the formal and systematic crisis management architecture in Saudi Arabia.

Research Enquiries

To provide an extensive analysis of the Saudi Arabian management of the COVID-19 crisis, the following research questions will be pertinent to this study: Firstly, the question is: “What were the major crisis management approaches in Saudi Arabia in the context of COVID-19?” This question seeks to determine the specific actions and interventions the KSA government took to address the crisis. Such approaches may include health promotion campaigns, financial support measures, awareness creation, and technology-based solutions. By describing these strategies, this research will clearly show how the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia responded to the pandemic and the preventive and curative measures to contain the virus and its effects.

The second question is, “To what extent were these strategies effective in reducing the effect of the pandemic?” this inquiry aims to assess the degree to which the applied crisis management strategies met their goals. It involves processing information on infection rates, fatality rates, healthcare system capabilities, and economic conditions, as well as regarding compliance with health orders. This question will also address other dimensions of effectiveness, which are difficult to quantify, including the popularity of the measures among the populace and their confidence in the government’s action, the ability of the measures to change their form or intensity in response to the situation’s development, and the stability of the healthcare and economic infrastructure. In this context, the research expects to identify what strategies have successfully been adopted and where interventions will be required.

Moreover, the research will examine the question, “What challenges were encountered, and how were they addressed?” This question establishes the major issues Saudi Arabia had to maneuver in tackling the COVID-19 crisis and the measures to overcome this hurdle. Such obstacles might include those affecting the healthcare resources such as distribution, financial

difficulties caused by the decrease in the oil price, the populace's reluctance to take specific health measures, or operational aspects of mass gatherings, for instance, organizing hajj during the pandemic. Thus, analyzing these challenges and their solutions, the research seeks to identify the major lessons and effective practices that may be followed. It is, therefore, essential to understand all these challenges in detail to be in a better position to work on strategies to defend against similar calamities.

Research Hypotheses

Two main research hypotheses inform this study. The first research proposition (H1) assumes that the measures aimed at mitigating the effects of the crisis in Saudi Arabia minimized the impact of COVID-19. This hypothesis will be ascertained by comparing different factors, including infection rate, mortality rate, healthcare system capabilities, and economic factors before and after adopting the strategies. It will also examine specific interventions, including quarantine measures, restrictions on contact, the administration of vaccines, and public health communication strategies. The research should seek to verify whether the Saudi government's response has been effective as it compares the above-stated outcomes with the expected situations if no such interventions were implemented.

The second hypothesis of this study (H2) proposes severe obstacles impeding crisis management during the pandemic in Saudi Arabia. The relevant factors that may have hindered the crisis management processes will be determined and compared to test this hypothesis. Such challenges could be the problems arising from the distribution of health care commodities and professional workforce, reduced oil revenue that affects the economy, people's reluctance to adhere to health measures, and challenges in containing events such as pilgrimage that might attract numerous people. The study will outline how these challenges impacted the efficiency of the executed crisis management strategies and the solutions implemented to solve them. Through these hypotheses, the study will help establish a detailed understanding of not only the triumphant and the faults of the Saudi Arabian response to the pandemic but also in creating more efficient ways to manage crises in the future.

The third hypothesis of this study (H3) proposes that some of the problems encountered in crisis management include displacement, public cooperation, and availability of resources. The

COVID-19 pandemic was a major challenge for Saudi Arabia, and the country faced several obstacles in managing the situation. Challenges emerged due to the necessity of fast delivery of medical and personal protective equipment, the enforcement of the lockdown policies, and large-scale testing and vaccination campaigns. Another major issue was public compliance since monitoring citizens' compliance with health protocols and restrictions remained challenging due to the populace's heterogeneity.

Literature Review

Theoretical Framework

Crisis management refers to how an organization handles an event that is disruptive outside the normal operations of an organization and could negatively affect the firm and its stakeholders (Tagarev & Ratchev, 2020). Crises can be managed by preventive measures, intervention, recovery and rebuilding processes, and the prevention of similar occurrences. These include preparedness, which concerns the planning and training for a particular calamity, which constitutes the actions taken during the occurrence of a catastrophe; recovery, which concerns the processes of bringing things to normalcy after a calamity; and lastly, mitigation, which involves the activities taken to minimize the impact of future disasters (Madhav et al., 2019). To effectively and swiftly handle crises, these aspects have to be managed by organizations to develop an effective means whereby crises can be efficiently managed, and the further spread of the collapse prevented.

Applying crisis management theories to the pandemic highlights that these processes require high-amalgamate approaches to contain health crises. Unlike other crises, pandemics rely on collective action from various systems that affect people's health, including health institutions, governments, and producers. Such methods focus on addressing crisis management during the pandemic and stress early identification, communication, and mobilization of response resources to slow the contagion rate (Organization, 2023). They also emphasize staying ready for any surge in cases and the need to engage in practices like social distancing, quarantine, and vaccination. All these strategies are crucial to stop the virus from spreading further, prevent the disease from becoming complicated for the high-risk groups, and ensure the community's confidence in the leadership in dealing with the outbreak.

The following models and theories are most relevant to crisis management in pandemics: The Incident Command System (ICS) is a set approach applied to the command, control, and coordination of distress management so that coordination of many interacting agencies can occur. Specifically, the information that emanates from the CERC model seeks to provide directions on how to communicate during an emergency while focusing on the issues of accuracy, time, and transparency to retain the people's trust and obedience. Adaptive Management is also essential as an emerging strategy based on learning and constant changes according to new information and conditions. This model is beneficial in a pandemic, which turns into a new phase every other day, and action flexibility is needed. Thus, integrating these models and theories would allow the creation of holistic approaches to crisis management that would enhance the organizations' capability to address pandemic crises effectively.

Global Perspectives on Crisis Management during Covid-19

Measures and actions during the COVID-19 crisis differed from one country to another due to healthcare systems, political structures, and health practices. Whereas began, China took some of the most severe measures, including total lockdown, testing, and isolation. The Chinese government quickly and effectively responded to the outbreak to prevent the virus from spreading across its borders by implementing strict quarantines and deploying its healthcare systems (Zanin et al., 2020). On the other hand, Italy was hit early in the pandemic and had problematic early days because of collapsing healthcare and delayed actions taken. Nevertheless, strict nationwide lockdowns and requirements for social distancing were implemented later in Italy, and such measures flattened the curve (Sanfelici, 2020).

The United States' approach to combating COVID-19 was decentralized, with policymakers and even governors implementing and enforcing different measures. Some states

closed their borders and enforced stay-home orders from the start, causing a disconnect in the containment strategies. The US also encountered issues such as public compliance and the politicization of health interventions (Greer et al., 2022). On the other hand, South Korea seemed to have applied a highly tech-savvy approach and appeared to have tested and traced cases aggressively. South Korea succeeded in minimizing the infection rates due to early and massive testing, proper isolation, and timely information dissemination to the public (You, 2020). These case studies depict how various countries have responded differently to the crisis and its overall success levels.

A comparison of these global responses shows several important lessons and common issues that any country should consider for its future responses to crises. Measures like prompt action, such as the lockdown implemented in late January in China and mass testing in South Korea, are the best practices that reduce the transmission rate of the virus. The fifth factor is communication, or the ability to convey information to the public, which was well exemplified by South Korea, whose governments remained transparent and consistent in their communications, which enhanced public compliance. On the other hand, questions like overburdened healthcare systems, as in Italy, and irregular policies, as in the United States, also remind us of the need to be ready and act in unison. Technology in crisis management is also very relevant, particularly in using apps for contact tracing and dissemination of crucial information by South Korea, among other countries.

Crisis Management in Saudi Arabia

Pre-Covid-19 Crisis Management Framework

Before the current COVID-19 outbreak, Saudi Arabia had in place a strategic crisis management system for dealing with calamities such as natural disasters, terrorism, and diseases. This framework entailed a top-down control structure commanded by the National Risk Council, where actions unified different arms of government (Jaziri & Miralam, 2021). Moreover, the Saudi government has focused on developing an effective emergency response system with units within the Ministry of Health and other related offices. These units were well furnished with sophisticated technologies and a professionally skilled workforce for efficiently handling such mishaps (Hassanain, 2017). To mitigate this, drills and simulations were conducted to prepare, and reserves such as medical supplies and other essentials were stocked up strategically to enable a quick response to any tragedy.

Government Response to Covid-19

To contain the virus and ensure the population's health in the COVID-19 situation, the Saudi government made efforts. Some of them are; a ban on social gatherings, cancelling of flights, and closure of boards to prevent the spread of the virus into the country. Domestically, it enveloped core city areas, paralyzing mobility and effectively halting almost all but the most critical forms of expenditures (Bashir et al., 2021). Concerted testing and contact tracing were conducted along with this lockdown to ensure that infected persons were kept away. The following sanitation measures were taken; all those with symptoms similar to COVID-19 were quarantined and treated.

Other actions towards promoting health and disease prevention of communicable diseases include other broad activities that the government of Saudi took in the health sector to strengthen the Saudi Arabian health complex. This was done by raising bed capacities, particularly in the

areas of Intensive Care Units (ICUs) apart from building Central and Field Hospitals for several other patients with Covid 19 (AlFattani et al., 2021). The government also acquired many PPEs and ventilators to attend to patients and minimize their interaction with the virus. Furthermore, prevention of the population measures was proclaimed, namely wearing masks, washing hands, and using physical distancing methods.

Role of the Saudi Ministry of Health

The Ministry of Health in Saudi Arabia also had significant responsibility for organizing the pandemic supervising and overseeing all the health-related processes in the country. In response, the Ministry created a COVID-19-specific command and control center to coordinate the operations. This center was responsible for compiling data and information on the virus within the society and the patterns of its spread in particular days or weeks for strategizing actions (Alsharif, 2020). The Ministry also embarked on a nationwide Testing Campaign to ensure that citizens and residents in the country could go for testing as and when they wanted. On the other hand, drive-through testing centers and mobile testing units were put in place to cover a large population, while a contact tracing app was launched to enhance the tracing of the virus.

The Ministry of Health also paid much attention to the issue of the readiness of health facilities to attend to patients who contracted Covid-19. Procedures and standards for handling COVID-19 patients and their conditions emerged, and the healthcare practitioners underwent two weeks of training to handle this ravaging virus. The WHO worked with the Ministry, flowing its procedures and standards to set up high standards and monitor current advances in managing and controlling the spread of COVID-19. Also, the Ministry was involved in administering the vaccines, beginning with identifying target populations, and after that, the vaccines were distributed across the country.

Impact of Religious Events

The decision for Saudi Arabia was to control the religious activities, mainly the Hajj pilgrimage, which is annually held in Mecca, whereby millions of Muslims from various parts of the world gather for the event. The possibility of organizing such huge meetings while taking no risks of turning them into superspreader events required thorough planning and implementation of measures that were unprecedented in many ways. In modern history, the Saudi government significantly reduced the hajj in 2020, and only thousands of residents were allowed to perform the hajj, while millions typically perform it yearly (Abalkhail & Al Amri, 2022). This decision was made to protect pilgrims and the rest of the population from catching the ailments.

The government implemented health and safety measures to perform the scaled-down hajj. The pilgrims also had to be tested before and after the religious journey and isolated once they arrived at their destinations and before returning home. Measures such as social distancing and hand-washing points were taken during these rituals. The government was also tasked with health monitoring and quick access to emergency medical services in the event of any health complications during the event. These steps enabled the spirit of performing BBI while avoiding the spread of COVID-19.

Comprehensive Economic Support Packages

Realizing the effects of COVID-19 on the country's economy, the Saudi government has put in place several economic stimulus measures to reduce losses among businesses and individuals. They comprised cash subsidies, deductions, and easing repayment terms for loans for the affected industry. The government also introduced wage subsidies to support a part of the wage costs of companies in sectors most affected by the impact of the pandemic to retain employment (Alharbi, 2022). Further, due to the need to maintain liquidity in the banking sector, the Saudi

Arabian Monetary Authority (SAMA) adopted new policies to credit the business and individual borrowers as needed.

The economic support measures were accompanied by incentive policy stimuli promoting digitalization and innovative processes. The government stepped up efforts to carry forward its Vision 2030 development agenda, which seeks to make the country gainfully employ persons in sectors other than oil and oil-related businesses. The government hoped this would lead to new economic growth points and future-proof the economy against shocks. The pandemic also revealed the role of the digital environment, so the efforts to increase the availability of the Internet and support work-from-home and distance learning grew.

Public Communication Strategies

Communication was one of the most critical actions that Saudi Arabia undertook during the Covid-19 crisis response. High levels of awareness were created through public education programs programmed by the government to equip the population with information that would enable them to reduce the likelihood of contracting the virus. These campaigns involved using TV, Radio, social media, and ‘text’ to ensure that as many people as possible were reached (Alanezi et al., 2020). The main messages included using masks, social distancing, washing hands, and adherence to the state directives.

They also organized a COVID-19 website and information hotline to disseminate information and promptly meet the public’s demand. Leaders maintained press briefings to inform the public about the virus's progression and the government’s actions towards containment. These briefings were significant in ensuring the public was told and had faith in the administration. Thus, the government strived to keep the population knowledgeable about the pandemic so there would be no issues with people ignoring or propagandizing false ways of preventing the virus.

Vaccine Rollout and Immunization Campaign

When the vaccines were available, the government of Saudi Arabia started implementing the vaccination program aimed at providing all people with an immune system against COVID-19. In the early phases of the program, the Ministry of Health targeted first-line healthcare workers, the elderly, and people with some comorbidities. Permanent and temporary vaccination centers were developed in every region, and mobile clinics were organized for people with limited access to transportation (Raja et al., 2020). The government also already had several systems in place to support the registration and appointment of people for vaccines, which further aided its functioning.

Education was also an aspect since public health was instrumental in carrying out various tasks that involved vaccinating the masses. The government also began ensuring that the community's people were informed about the vaccines being developed and the efficiency of these vaccines to fight the influx of misinformation. Some strategies used include public awareness, sensitization, and mobilization, whereby people, mainly from religious and other leadership group organizations, were encouraged to take the vaccines. It was to raise the immunity levels that would help prevent future epidemics and provide the setting that may contribute to returning to everyday life.

Coordination with International Organizations

During the pandemic, Saudi Arabia was keen on collaborating with international organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO) and the G20, of which it was a chairman in 2020. These organizations worked with the government to disseminate information, implement what other countries did, and fight the virus. Saudi Arabia also highlighted its political commitment to health solidarity by offering financial and medical support to other needy countries.

International organizations proved helpful in addressing domestic challenges stemming from COVID-19 and enhancing the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's role in responding to the virus in the global arena. Thus, through international cooperation, the government could use the expertise and support of other countries and contribute to the problem's solution within the framework of the united global effort to overcome the pandemic.

Critical Challenges in Saudi Arabia's Crisis Management

The COVID-19 outbreak revealed several deficits in the healthcare facilities in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, except for its advancements in the healthcare field. Despite a relative increase in focus on the health sector, the cases of COVID-19 imposed tremendous stress on hospitals, cardiac wards, and health professionals. Some of the issues that have been revealed concern the lack of PPE, ventilators, and numerous other medical equipment, showing that it was difficult to expand the healthcare capacity quickly (Alkathlan et al., 2023). Furthermore, the Middle expansion witnessed disparities in healthcare ability between urban and rural regions that emerged from inadequate acquisition by far-flung areas of required supplies and assistance. These problems have exposed weaknesses in the earlier established health care systems and underscore the necessity of the progressive and elastic health care system, which is efficient for treating large-scale health hazardous events.

Economic threats were one of the other significant risks Saudi Arabia continued to face during the COVID-19 outbreak. The country's economy suffered from two main factors with the oil price: the drop in demand for oil and the decline in the price of oil. It established an economic quandary that required the government to weigh the necessity of primary healthcare intervention against the requirements for maintaining financial stability. The effect was more pronounced in the non-oil segment, such as travel and subsidiaries, tourism, and restaurants, as they endured prolonged closures resulting from lockdown restrictions and less consumption (Raj & Bozonelos, 2020). Small and medium businesses were the most brutal hit as many struggled to stay afloat, and some ended up closing their businesses altogether. The government's two economic support packages were significant. Still, they had to cover both the rescue and rehabilitation measures to

stimulate the nation's economy, a big challenge that the ministers realized when managing an economy in crisis.

Evaluating the general public's adherence to health measures was another primary concern due to several factors, including fake news and differing confidence in government guidelines. These factors added dynamism to the diffusion of misinformation on the virus and protective measures, leading to confusion and non-cooperation among some population groups. These included the use of social media, where those in charge of spreading the information found themselves in a position of sharing the misinformation, thereby hampering the fight for compliance. These distorted narratives had to be addressed at some point by the government through its communication strategies by offering correct, timely, and transparent information. Despite multiple months of information campaigns, it remained challenging to maintain compliance with such measures as masks, distance, or vaccination, which indicates more substantial trust and information-sharing failings.

The logistics issues were further complicated by the questions of constant changes, such as varying steepness of the curve or shifting scientific consensus about the virus. However, as with most highly centralized systems, this method had problems coordinating and executing local work rapidly and efficiently. Circumstances such as these highlighted the importance of seamless and reactive coordination of crisis management systems.

The arrangements of these religious functions, especially the Hajj, added to the number of problems in crisis management in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The thought of conducting such a big meeting was always powerful; the possibility of turning into a Covid spreader event was always looming, requiring a lot of planning and measuring. Limiting the number of hajj pilgrims and implementing practices that would improve the health of Pilgrims were choices that were

impactful but challenging. These measures included getting a COVID test before and after the trip, conducting all meetings virtually, being quarantined for two weeks, refraining from touching during rituals, and taking body temperature consistently. These measures brought out the implementation problems likely to shape modalities in mass gatherings and events during a pandemic, which can only be managed flexibly and proactively in management and operations responding to crises.

Lessons Learned and Recommendations

Analyzing the factors affecting Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 pandemic offers several lessons in crisis management. The first lesson that should be learned is that it is imperative to have a solid and flexible healthcare system. COVID-19 brought to the limelight the deficits in the healthcare system, chiefly in allocating resources and preparedness to deal with increased caseloads. This highlighted the importance of flexibility and the preparedness to ramp up operations in case of any health threats. Furthermore, the current pandemic proved that a unified effort is required from various industries and governing bodies at different levels to ensure the optimal use and direction of all available and coordinated forces. Clear communication and public cooperation were also mentioned as essential approaches that should be emphasized to provide people with clear guidelines and encourage them to adhere to the rules to prevent the spread of contagious diseases.

Several measures learned from managing the pandemic can help Saudi Arabia improve its handling of future crises. Accurate and immediate reaction, proven by effective interventions in other nations, is critical for stopping the further transmission of communicable diseases. Saudi Arabia's lockdowns and restrictions on travel at the beginning were a good strategy that must always be observed as part of the protocol. The use of technology, for instance, in contact tracing and administering vaccination, requires adopting innovative approaches, which should continue. Technology can enhance its effectiveness and extend the scope of public health. Furthermore, developing trust with the public by being open with information and continually educating people can increase the likelihood of following the guidelines of health measures, making crisis initiatives better.

The policy implications for enhancing Saudi Arabia's crisis management policies and tactics cover several areas. Firstly, there is the need for investment in health facilities. This includes augmenting the capacity of hospital beds, ICUs, and available stocks of medicines and PPE. Improving the training and readiness of healthcare workers is also imperative. Second, it is necessary to enhance public communication strategies. Creating an organized approach to information sharing can assist in the reduction of fake news and improve the level of cooperation among the population.

Similarly, more robust approaches to coordination and logistics are also required at the heart of the crisis management structure. There is a need to connect the dots between different areas of government responsibility and develop more effective executive coordination at the national level to enhance the implementation of extensive social programs in health protection. Such measures can help check whether everyone involved is ready and can act shortly and adequately. Lastly, adopting lessons identified from the manifestation of religious events like the Hajj pilgrimage can help improve the preparedness for massive assemblies. Setting standardized protocols for executing a safe event will be important amidst a crisis. If implemented, these recommendations will prepare Saudi Arabia for future crisis management, thus improving the country's capacity to handle any future health crises.

Research Methodology

This study uses quantitative and qualitative data to provide an extensive understanding of how crisis management in the COVID-19 situation was done in Saudi Arabia. The quantitative analysis entails collecting numerical data from government documents, health statistics, and economic data. With this information, it will be possible to ascertain the efficacy of the crisis management activities conducted by the Saudi government by comparing statistical data, incidences of infection, death rates, and economic performance benchmarks before and after introducing these measures. Also, improving the data has quantitative comparison: questionnaires filled out by healthcare professionals, policymakers, and members of the general public will reveal the respondents' impressions of the state's effectiveness in combating the pandemic and public adherence to related restrictions.

The qualitative part encompasses interviews with investigative action actors involved in managing the crisis, including officials from the Ministry of Health, economic policymakers, and stakeholders from all industries affected by the pandemic. Such interviews help to collect more specific information about the problem and factors such as the strategies used and the experience obtained. The qualitative data will also entail an analysis of the materials opened to the public, such as press releases, public health promotional information, and even social media pages and posts, to determine the effectiveness of the communication strategies laid down. Combining these qualitative findings with quantitative knowledge, the study will afford a significant conception of the crisis management system in Saudi Arabia, accompanied by success stories and lessons learned. This approach helps to eliminate biases, provide a complete view of the country's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, and consider All the points of view of the respondents.

Research Tools

Several research instruments will be used to conduct an extensive and credible analysis of Saudi Arabia's crisis management during the COVID-19 pandemic. Quantitative data sources mainly comprise government databases, health records, and economic reports. These sources will give statistics like infection rates, mortality rates, healthcare system utilization, and economic performance indices. With these databases, one can analyze how effective the government's interventions have been. However, cross-sectional surveys with standardized questionnaires will also be administered among health care practitioners, policymakers, and the population. These surveys will provide quantitative data on the perceptions and experiences of the pandemic response, focusing on a large and diverse sample.

Semi-structured interviews will be administered to collect qualitative data with the relevant stakeholders involved in the crisis management efforts. Such persons include Ministry of Health officials, policymakers within the economic docket, the entire workforce within the healthcare sector, and individuals from affected sectors. The topics for the guidance of semi-structured interviews will be consistent while at the same time offering some flexibility to return to specific issues to get more details. The interviews will allow capturing detailed information on the particular decision-making processes, issues, and approaches used during the pandemic. They will also identify and share personal and professional events of those on the frontline as part of the crisis management framework.

The other identifiable research method shall be content analysis of public communication materials. This involves a process whereby several press releases, public health campaigns, government statements, and tweets are analyzed to decipher the communicative strategies the Saudi government has applied. The research can further categorize these materials to discover

fundamental trends, tags, messages, and the public's perception of such press releases. This analysis will help make recommendations to the government on whether it has been efficient in disseminating public and fake information in a crisis to control the citizenry during a crisis.

Sophisticated analytical tools will enable the blending qualitative and quantitative data into one comprehensive analysis. Quantitative survey data will be analyzed using statistical analysis software like SPSS or R to corroborate or contradict hypotheses about the efficacy of the various systems of Crisis Management and public views about it. Concerning qualitative data, programs such as NVivo shall categorize interviews and content from public channels. This software helps identify patterns and themes, enhancing the capability of qualitative data analysis. By employing the above research tools, the study guarantees a comprehensive analysis of Saudi Arabia's crisis response to the COVID-19 pandemic, leaving no room for guesswork, generalizations, and assumptions as the qualitative data is complemented by quantitative data.

Research Limitations.

As with any social science research endeavor, this study acknowledges certain limitations, despite its aim to comprehensively assess Saudi Arabia's crisis management during the COVID-19 pandemic. A noteworthy constraint is the dependence on data predominantly obtained from governmental sources, which could potentially create biases. Furthermore, ecological and genetic data on the species studied could be incomplete or inaccurate, influencing the study outcomes. Additionally, certain portions may be more descriptive than analytical due to limits in data coverage, particularly with regard to temporal and spatial features. Lastly, the study's reliance on questionnaires introduces another limitation, as responses may be affected by reporting bias, where participants may provide socially acceptable answers or withhold information.

References

- Abalkhail, A. A. A., & Al Amri, S. M. A. (2022). Saudi Arabia's Management of the Hajj Season through Artificial Intelligence and Sustainability. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 14142. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114142>
- Agboola, M. O., Bekun, F. V., & Balsalobre-Lorente, D. (2021). Implications of Social Isolation in Combating COVID-19 Outbreak in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Its Consequences on the Carbon Emissions Reduction. *Sustainability*, 13(16), 9476. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169476>
- Alanezi, F., Aljahdali, A., Alyousef, S., Alrashed, H., Alshaikh, W., Mushcab, H., & Alanzi, T. (2020). Implications of Public Understanding of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia for Fostering Effective Communication Through Awareness Framework. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00494>
- AlFattani, A., AlMeharish, A., Nasim, M., AlQahtani, K., & AlMudraa, S. (2021). Ten public health strategies to control the Covid-19 pandemic: the Saudi Experience. *IJID Regions*, 1, 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijregi.2021.09.003>
- Alharbi, R. K. (2022). Saudi Arabia's small and medium enterprises (SMES) sector post-Covid-19 recovery: stakeholders' perception on investment sustainability. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoa-10-2021-2993>
- Aljishi, M., & Almshahrawi, M. (2019). *Socio-Economic impact of COVID-19 in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and how to Build Back Better*. <https://aialibrary.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/PHD-MAALI-ALI-2022-FINAL.pdf>

- Alkathlan, M. S., Alsuyufi, Y. A., Alresheedi, A. F., Khalil, R., Parveen Anjum Sheiq, Alotaieq, S. S., Almithn, A. A., Alissa, I. I., Alayyaf, H. F., Alharbi, R. M., Alkhamis, I. A., & Osama Al-Wutayd. (2023). *Healthcare adjustments and concerns: a qualitative study exploring the perspectives of healthcare providers and administrative staff during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia*. 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.961060>
- Alsharif, A. (2020). A Framework for E-Health Strategy for managing pandemics in Saudi Arabia: in the context of COVID-19 (Preprint). *JMIR Medical Informatics*. <https://doi.org/10.2196/19524>
- Ameen, F., Amna, T., Alghamdi, A. A. A., AlKahtani, M. D. F., & AlYahyaie, S. A. (2020). Covid-19 pandemic outburst in Saudi Arabia: A glimpse. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.07.026>
- Bashir, S., Alabdulkarim, N., Altwaijri, N., Alhaidri, N., Hashim, R., Nasim, E., Mir, A., Albaradie, R., Habib, S. S., & Abualait, T. (2021). The battle against the COVID-19 pandemic- a perspective from Saudi Arabia. *One Health*, 12, 100229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2021.100229>
- Dahlan, K. R., Badawi, A. A., & Megahed, A. (2022, June 21). *Perspective Chapter: Data as Currency - On the Impact of ICTs and Data on the Saudi Economy and Industrial Sector*. Wwww.intechopen.com; IntechOpen. <https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/82313>
- El Keshky, M. E. S., Basyouni, S., & Al Sabban, A. (2020). The psychological and social impacts on personal stress for residents quarantined for COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2020.09.008>
- Greer, S. L., Rozenblum, S., Falkenbach, M., Löblová, O., Jarman, H., Williams, N., & Wismar, M. (2022). Centralizing and decentralizing governance in the COVID-19 pandemic: The

- politics of credit and blame. *Health Policy*, 126(5).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2022.03.004>
- Hassanain, M. (2017). An Overview of the Performance Improvement Initiatives by the Ministry of Health in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing*, 54(1), 004695801770787.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0046958017707872>
- Havrlant, D., Darandary, A., & Muhsen, A. (2020). Early estimates of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on GDP: a case study of Saudi Arabia. *Applied Economics*, 53(12), 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2020.1828809>
- Jaziri, R., & Miralam, M. S. (2021). The impact of crisis and disasters risk management in COVID-19 times: Insights and lessons learned from Saudi Arabia. *Ethics, Medicine and Public Health*, 18, 100705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemep.2021.100705>
- Josephson, A., Kilic, T., & Michler, J. D. (2021). Socioeconomic impacts of COVID-19 in low-income countries. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01096-7>
- Madhav, N., Oppenheim, B., Gallivan, M., Prime Mulembakani, Rubin, E., & Wolfe, N. (2019). Pandemics: Risks, Impacts, and Mitigation. *Nih.gov*, 17(3). <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0527-1/pt5.ch17>
- Menoni, S., & Schwarze, R. (2020). Recovery during a crisis: facing the challenges of risk assessment and resilience management of COVID-19. *Environment Systems and Decisions*, 40(2), 189–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10669-020-09775-y>
- Organization, W. H. (2023). Managing epidemics: key facts about major deadly diseases. In *Google Books*. World Health Organization.

<https://books.google.co.ke/books?hl=en&lr=&id=daMOEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=+Pandemics+are+unlike+other+types+of+crises+because+they+are+defined+as+the+occurrence+of+epidemics+within+a+short+time+across+a+large+population+or+across+different+geographical+regions>

- Parveen, M. (2020). Challenges Faced by Pandemic Covid 19 Crisis: A Case Study in Saudi Arabia. *Challenge*, 63(6), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/05775132.2020.1822659>
- Raj, R., & Bozonelos, D. (2020). COVID-19 Pandemic: Risks Facing Hajj and Umrah. *International Journal of Religious Tourism and Pilgrimage*, 8(7). <https://doi.org/10.21427/awkb-8f25>
- Raja, A. T., Alshamsan, A., & Al-jedai, A. (2020). Current COVID-19 vaccine candidates: Implications in the Saudi population. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2020.10.019>
- Sanfelici, M. (2020). The Italian Response to the COVID-19 Crisis: Lessons Learned and Future Direction in Social Development. *The International Journal of Community and Social Development*, 2(2), 191–210. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2516602620936037>
- Tagarev, T., & Ratchev, V. (2020). A Taxonomy of Crisis Management Functions. *Sustainability*, 12(12), 5147. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12125147>
- Yezli, S., & Khan, A. (2020). COVID-19 social distancing in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Bold measures in the face of political, economic, social and religious challenges. *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease*, 37(2), 101692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101692>
- You, J. (2020). Lessons From South Korea's Covid-19 Policy Response. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 50(6-7), 801–808. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020943708>

- Yousif, S., & Bawhab, O. (2023, June 22). *The healthcare system in Saudi Arabia: evolution, transformation and the COVID-19 experience*. Www.elgaronline.com; Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://www.elgaronline.com/edcollchap/book/9781786439673/book-part-9781786439673-17.xml>
- Yusuf, N., & Shesha, L. S. (2021). Economic Role of Population Density during Pandemics—A Comparative Analysis of Saudi Arabia and China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(8), 4318. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084318>
- Zanin, M., Xiao, C., Liang, T., Ling, S., Zhao, F., Huang, Z., Lin, F., Lin, X., Jiang, Z., & Wong, S.-S. (2020). The public health response to the COVID-19 outbreak in mainland China: a narrative review. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*, 12(8), 4434–4449. <https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd-20-2363>