

Project Management Office Effectiveness and Project Performance in Saudi Public Organizations In Riyadh

Student Name

Abdullatif Abdullah Almosa

Final Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Abdulrahim Nader

Faculty Member- Faculty of Management- Midocean University

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	2
1.1. Research Background.....	3
1.2. Research Problem.....	4
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	4
1.2.2. Practical Gaps	4
1.3. Research Significance	5
1.3.1. At the theoretical level.....	5
1.3.2. At the practical level	5
1.4. Research Questions	5
1.5. Research Objectives	6
1.6. Research Hypotheses.....	6
1.7. Research Model.....	6
1.8. Research Methodology.....	7
1.9. Scope of The Study	7
1.10. The Suggested Project Structure.....	7
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review	9
2.1. Introduction	10
2.2. The independent variable	10
2.3. The dependent variable	10
2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables	11
2.5. Conclusion.....	11
References.....	12

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

Organizations today depend on projects to deliver new services, improve operations, and support national development programs. In many sectors, projects are no longer isolated activities; they are the main way to implement strategy. When projects fail, the results are serious: delays, higher costs, weak quality, and low stakeholder satisfaction. For this reason, organizations search for ways to improve how projects are planned, monitored, and delivered.

One common solution is to establish a Project Management Office (PMO). A PMO is a unit that supports project work by providing standards, tools, reporting systems, training, and governance. In practice, a PMO can create a shared project language, improve coordination across departments, and make project information clearer for decision makers. In addition, the PMO can help projects align with organizational priorities, especially when resources are limited and many projects compete for the same people and budgets.

In Saudi Arabia, public organizations manage large portfolios of projects, including infrastructure, digital transformation, and service improvement initiatives. These projects often involve multiple stakeholders, strict compliance requirements, and high public expectations. Therefore, governance and control become critical. A PMO can play an important role in this context by improving visibility, supporting risk management, and strengthening decision making through consistent reporting and performance tracking.

However, the effectiveness of a PMO is not always guaranteed. Some PMOs are perceived as administrative units that add paperwork rather than value. Others focus on control but do not provide enough support to project teams. The literature also shows different PMO types and roles, which means that one PMO model may work well in one organization but not in another. As a result, many organizations struggle to measure PMO effectiveness and to justify the PMO's cost and structure.

This project focuses on PMO effectiveness as an independent variable and project performance as a dependent variable. The purpose is to understand how an effective PMO can contribute to better project outcomes in Saudi public organizations in Riyadh. By clarifying the problem, identifying research gaps, and highlighting the significance of the study, this project provides a clear basis for a later empirical study that can support practical improvements in PMO design and governance.

1.2. Research Problem

Although many public organizations in Riyadh have established a Project Management Office, there is still uncertainty about whether the PMO is effective and how it contributes to project performance. Some organizations report improvements in reporting and coordination, while others experience slow approvals, unclear roles, and limited impact on project results. This creates a practical need to evaluate PMO effectiveness and to understand its relationship with project performance.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

The research literature provides different definitions of PMO effectiveness and different ways to measure it. Some studies focus on PMO functions (such as standards and reporting), while others focus on PMO maturity or strategic alignment. Because of this variety, there is no single, simple framework that explains which PMO practices are most strongly connected to project performance.

In addition, previous studies often examine PMOs across mixed industries and countries. There is limited academic work that focuses on Saudi public organizations and the Riyadh context. This limits the ability to generalize findings to local conditions such as governance requirements, public accountability, and large-scale programs.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

From a practical perspective, many organizations do not use clear key performance indicators to assess the PMO. PMO performance is sometimes judged based on activities (number of reports, meetings, or templates) rather than outcomes (improved time, cost, or quality performance).

Also, decision makers may change the PMO structure frequently without evidence-based evaluation. This can reduce stability and limit learning. Organizations therefore need clearer guidance on how to evaluate PMO effectiveness and how to link PMO work to project performance indicators.

Main Research Question: What is the impact of Project Management Office effectiveness on project performance in Saudi public organizations in Riyadh?

1.3. Research Significance

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

At the theoretical level, this study supports the academic discussion about the value of PMOs by focusing on the relationship between PMO effectiveness and project performance. It helps clarify the main dimensions of PMO effectiveness (for example, governance support, standardization, reporting quality, and capability development) and how these dimensions may connect to project outcomes.

The study also adds context-specific knowledge by focusing on Saudi public organizations in Riyadh. This may support future comparative studies and help researchers understand how national context can influence PMO roles and outcomes.

1.3.2. At the practical level

At the practical level, the results can help senior managers and PMO leaders improve how the PMO is designed, staffed, and positioned. If the study identifies specific PMO practices that support better project performance, organizations can prioritize these practices and reduce activities that do not add value.

The study may also support better decision making about PMO investment by providing a clearer view of the PMO's contribution to time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction. This is important for public organizations that must demonstrate effective use of resources and strong governance.

1.4. Research Questions

Main Research Question:

What is the impact of Project Management Office effectiveness on project performance in Saudi public organizations in Riyadh?

Sub-questions:

1. How does PMO governance and standardization relate to project time performance?
2. How does PMO monitoring and reporting relate to project cost performance?
3. How does PMO support for risk management relate to project quality and stakeholder satisfaction?
4. Which PMO effectiveness dimensions are perceived as most valuable by project practitioners?

1.5. Research Objectives

- To examine the impact of PMO effectiveness on overall project performance in Saudi public organizations in Riyadh.
- To identify the key dimensions of PMO effectiveness (governance, standardization, monitoring/reporting, and capability support).
- To assess how PMO effectiveness is associated with project performance indicators (time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction).
- To provide practical recommendations for improving PMO design and operations based on the findings.

1.6. Research Hypotheses

H1: PMO effectiveness has a positive and significant relationship with overall project performance.

H2: PMO governance and standardization have a positive and significant relationship with project schedule (time) performance.

H3: PMO monitoring and reporting have a positive and significant relationship with project cost performance.

H4: PMO support for risk management and learning has a positive and significant relationship with project quality and stakeholder satisfaction.

1.7. Research Model

Independent Variable (IV): PMO Effectiveness

- Governance and standardization
- Monitoring and reporting
- Support and capability development (training, tools, coaching)
- Risk and issue support

Dependent Variable (DV): Project Performance

- Time (schedule) performance
- Cost performance
- Quality performance
- Stakeholder satisfaction

1.8. Research Methodology

This project is designed as a quantitative study using a survey method. The survey approach is suitable because it allows collecting perceptions and experiences from a larger group of project practitioners and then analyzing patterns statistically.

Population and sample: The target population includes project managers, PMO staff, and project team leaders working in Saudi public organizations in Riyadh. A convenience or purposive sampling approach may be used depending on access. The planned sample size is approximately 80–150 respondents to support basic statistical analysis.

Data collection instrument: A structured questionnaire will be developed based on the literature. It will include Likert-scale items to measure PMO effectiveness dimensions (for example, standardization, reporting quality, governance support, and training) and project performance indicators (time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction).

Data analysis: Descriptive statistics will summarize responses. Reliability testing (such as Cronbach's alpha) will be used to check internal consistency. Correlation analysis will test relationships, and regression analysis can be used to examine the impact of PMO effectiveness on project performance.

Ethical considerations: Participation will be voluntary. No personal identifiers will be required. Data will be used only for academic purposes and stored securely.

1.9. Scope of The Study

Geographical scope: This study focuses on Saudi public organizations located in Riyadh.

Subject scope: The study focuses on PMO effectiveness and its relationship with project performance. It does not evaluate individual employee performance or compare different industries outside the public sector.

Time scope: The study is planned to be conducted during the current academic semester. The survey will capture respondents' experience with recent projects (for example, within the last 12–24 months).

1.10. The Suggested Project Structure

- Chapter 1: Introduction (background, problem, significance, questions, objectives, hypotheses, model, methodology, scope, and structure).
- Chapter 2: Literature Review (concepts of PMO effectiveness, project performance, and prior evidence about their relationship).
- Chapter 3: Research Methodology (research design, instrument, sampling, data collection, and analysis plan).

- Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Results (descriptive results, reliability, correlations, and hypothesis testing).
- Chapter 5: Discussion, Conclusion, and Recommendations (interpretation, implications, limitations, and future research).

2.Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

This chapter reviews the main ideas and previous studies related to Project Management Offices (PMOs), PMO effectiveness, and project performance. The purpose of the literature review is to build a clear theoretical base for this research and to explain the key concepts used in the study.

First, the chapter explains the independent variable, which is PMO effectiveness, and describes the main dimensions that researchers use to evaluate how effective a PMO is. Then, it discusses the dependent variable, project performance, and how it is commonly measured in organizations.

Finally, the chapter summarizes what previous studies say about the relationship between PMO effectiveness and project performance. This review helps identify research gaps and supports the development of the research model and hypotheses for this project.

2.2. The independent variable

The independent variable in this study is PMO effectiveness. PMO effectiveness refers to how well the PMO achieves its intended purpose and adds value to project delivery. Researchers describe PMOs as units that can provide governance, standards, and support functions (Hobbs & Aubry, 2010). However, PMOs differ in authority, scope, and services, so effectiveness is often linked to the PMO's role, capability, and alignment with organizational needs.

In this study, PMO effectiveness is discussed through four practical dimensions that appear frequently in the literature:

- 1) Governance and standardization: The PMO may create templates, procedures, and standard reporting to reduce inconsistency and improve control. Standardization can support better planning and clearer accountability.
- 2) Monitoring and reporting: The PMO may track progress, costs, risks, and issues and provide dashboards to decision makers. Good reporting improves visibility and supports timely corrective actions.
- 3) Support and capability development: The PMO may train project managers, provide tools, and coach teams. Capability support can raise project management maturity over time.
- 4) Risk and issue support: The PMO may help with identifying, logging, escalating, and resolving risks and issues, which can reduce surprises and rework.

2.3. The dependent variable

The dependent variable is project performance. Project performance is commonly assessed using time, cost, and quality indicators and can also include stakeholder satisfaction and benefits. In public organizations, performance is important because projects must deliver value using limited resources and under strong accountability.

In this study, project performance is represented through: schedule performance (on-time delivery), cost performance (cost control), quality performance (meeting requirements and reducing defects), and stakeholder satisfaction (acceptance of outcomes and confidence in progress).

2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables

The literature generally suggests that an effective PMO can improve project performance by strengthening governance and providing consistent methods. Clear standards can reduce planning errors, and reporting systems can improve transparency across departments. Early visibility of issues supports faster corrective actions, which can reduce delays and cost overruns.

At the same time, the literature also warns that PMOs may create bureaucracy if they focus only on compliance and paperwork. When the PMO is not aligned with business needs, it may slow down decisions and reduce flexibility. Therefore, the relationship between PMO effectiveness and project performance depends on balance: effective governance combined with practical support for project teams.

Based on these arguments, this study expects a positive relationship between PMO effectiveness and project performance in Saudi public organizations in Riyadh.

2.5. Conclusion

This chapter explained the key concepts of PMO effectiveness and project performance and discussed why these variables may be related. The review shows that PMOs can add value through governance, reporting, and capability support, but effectiveness depends on design and alignment with organizational needs. The next chapter will present the research methodology and explain how the variables will be measured and analyzed.

References

- Aubry, M., Hobbs, B., & Thuillier, D. (2008). Organisational project management: An historical approach to the study of PMOs. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(1), 38–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2007.08.009>
- Hobbs, B., & Aubry, M. (2010). The project management office (PMO): A quest for understanding. *Project Management Journal*, 41(1), 5–19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.20159>
- Müller, R., Glückler, J., & Aubry, M. (2013). A relational typology of project management offices. *Project Management Journal*, 44(1), 59–76. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21321>
- Project Management Institute. (2021). A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK® guide) (7th ed.). Project Management Institute.
- Too, E. G., & Weaver, P. (2014). The management of project management: A conceptual framework for governance. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(8), 1382–1394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.07.006>