

عنوان البحث
ادارة الأزمات خلال كوفيد-19 في المملكة العربية السعودية

إعداد الطالب:
المهندس عبدالرحمن خالد باعباد
المهندس فيصل سليمان المقبل

إشراف:
د. الفيصل عبدالحميد
د. فايز جراد

العام الدراسي:
2024

Table of Content

Abstract.....	6
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	7
Introduction	8
Problem Statement	9
Study Purposes.....	14
Study Importance.....	15
Research Objectives.....	16
Study Questions.....	17
Research Hypotheses.....	19
Theoretical Model	20
Systems Theory	20
Health Belief Model (HBM)	21
Diffusion of Innovations Theory	22
Integrating Theories for Comprehensive Analysis.....	22
Application of Theoretical Model to Saudi Arabia's COVID-19 Response	23
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	24
Introduction	25
Dependent Variable	26
Infection Rates	26
Mortality Rates	26
Healthcare System Capacity	27
Public Compliance with Health Measures.....	27
Economic Stability	28
Social and Mental Health Outcomes.....	28
Independent Variable	29
Introduction to Independent Variables	29
Government Interventions	29
Public Health Campaigns	29
Technological Innovations	30
Socio-Economic Measures.....	30
Impact of Independent Variables	31
Summary of Past Studies.....	31

Crisis Management in Saudi Arabia.....	31
Pre-Covid-19 Crisis Management Framework.....	31
Government Response to Covid-19	32
Role of the Saudi Ministry of Health	32
Impact of Religious Events.....	33
Comprehensive Economic Support Packages	34
Public Communication Strategies	35
Vaccine Rollout and Immunization Campaign	35
Coordination with International Organizations	36
Critical Challenges in Saudi Arabia's Crisis Management	36
Lessons Learned	39
Theoretical Framework	40
Global Perspectives on Crisis Management during Covid-19	42
Introduction	45
Research Design	46
Qualitative Component	46
Quantitative Component	47
Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Data.....	47
Population of the study.....	48
Demographic and Regional Distribution.....	48
Healthcare and Economic Impact.....	48
Representation and Relevance.....	49
Sample Characteristics	49
Composition of the Sample.....	50
Ensuring Representativeness and Reliability	50
Sample Size Determination.....	51
Determining the Sample Size	51
Qualitative Component Sample Size	51
Ensuring Adequate Representation	52
Data Collections procedures	52
Quantitative Data Collection	53
Qualitative Data Collection	53
Integration of Data Collection Methods	54

Ensuring Data Quality and Reliability.....	54
Ethical Considerations.....	55
Study Determinants.....	55
Temporal Boundaries	55
Spatial Boundaries	56
Objective Boundaries	56
Method of Analysis.....	57
Descriptive Statistics	57
Inferential Statistics	57
Time-Series Analysis.....	58
Thematic Analysis for Qualitative Data	58
CHAPTER FOUR: PRACTICAL STUDY	60
Introduction	61
Data Analysis.....	61
Demographic Breakdown	62
Government Policies and Communication	62
Healthcare Services	62
Economic Impact and Support.....	63
Comparative Analysis.....	63
Research Findings	64
Demographic Overview	64
Effectiveness of Government Policies.....	64
Public Communication and Information	65
Accessibility and Quality of Healthcare Services	66
Economic Impact and Support Measures	66
Comparative Analysis with Previous Studies	67
Research Recommendations	69
Enhancing Economic Support Measures	69
Strengthening Healthcare Infrastructure	70
Improving Public Communication Strategies.....	70
Ensuring Continuous Support for Healthcare Professionals.....	71
Addressing Regional Disparities	71
Leveraging Technology for Health and Communication.....	71

APPENDIXES	73
References	77

Abstract

This research assesses the response to the Saudi Arabian crisis related to COVID-19, examining policy and public opinion regarding government actions, communication, healthcare, and economic relief programs. The strength-based practice approach focuses on strengths and development areas derived from the analysis of survey results. A rating of the government policies shows that, especially in the Healthcare sector, the management is very good, while the economic support measures are mixed. These disparities refer to the unequal distribution of healthcare services or delivery in different regions, making having equal healthcare services delivered all over the regions primordial. Some suggestions are improving the economically concerned support modalities, building health care systems in the identified, under-serviced areas, improving communication for public health concerns, and providing constant support and education for the involved caretakers. Also, improving digital health technologies and including regional differences in design can increase the country's potential for crisis management. Looking at these observations, it is important for policymakers to use these lessons to enhance future preparedness and response to future health crises so that future health and economic consequences in Saudi Arabia are more favorable.

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

Introduction

COVID-19 originated at the end of 2019 and soon impacted a global population, including Saudi Arabia, resulting in a severe health issue. The Kingdom faced some challenges due to the unpredictability of the spread of infections across the demography, geography, and households. Although the government and the Ministry of Health tried to contain the disease through various campaigns, which included digital health interventions, social restrictions, closures, and censorship of movement, the disease spread rapidly but was tamed by these approaches. The systematic rollout of these interventions based on infection rates, mortality, and recovery played a significant role in mitigating the effects of this pandemic all across the country.

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Saudi Arabia was not only on the country's healthcare system but also on the family and social relations as a result of quarantine measures and restrictions in interpersonal communication. While steps such as quarantine, lockdown, and curfew proved effective as containment measures, they brought a lot of stress and tension within families. In addition, the pandemic's economic, social, and community impacts remain huge, still receiving evaluation and updates from the Ministry of Health.

The nation of Saudi Arabia covers a vast geographical area, and its population is so diverse that there are challenges in containing the deadly virus. The country, divided into five planning regions, 13 administrative areas, and 118 governorates, had the difficult task of governing a population of 35.3 million, consisting of native-born citizens and immigrants, throughout the territory of 2.2 million square kilometers. The built environment, established to promote communal living, was instrumental in the speedy transmission of the virus. However, efforts to curb the spread of the virus were still challenging due to the floating population, just like what was witnessed in the MERS-CoV virus that mainly affected the Saudi Arabia region. Nevertheless, the

presented strategic interventions and mitigation measures aligned with the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030. They demonstrated that the country was ready for such a scenario and immediately responded to the crisis.

Against this backdrop, this research aims to perform a systematic review of the crisis management that occurred during COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia. In doing so, the study seeks to establish lessons learned and best practices from the analysis of the various measures undertaken by the government and their success rate. This analysis aims to inform policymakers, medical practitioners, and researchers to address better health concerns in Saudi Arabia and other relevant settings.

Problem Statement

COVID-19 was an unprecedented event that has shown how important it is for a country like Saudi Arabia to develop and implement suitable crisis management strategies. Due to its high transmission rate, it was crucial to have prompt and extensive intervention strategies to address the virus's effects. Socio-economic impacts highlighted the need for a coordinated approach to deal with a pandemic with health, social, and economic implications (Josephson et al., 2021). In Saudi Arabia, the measures put in place to mitigate the effects of the pandemic highlighted weaknesses across different industries. They called for improved approaches that would enable organizations to address such disruptions in the future.

The health crisis was among the first and most pressing, as the coronavirus outbreak affected multiple regions in the Kingdom and stressed the healthcare infrastructure. Hospitals received an increased influx of patients, which put pressure on the healthcare system for sufficient PPE, ICU capacity, and human resources (Ameen et al., 2020). These front-line human assets, who were already overworked, experienced high turnover rates and had to meet high patient volumes

and care for themselves and their patients. There was, therefore, the need to come up with fast responses that outlined elaborate details on how specialized health facilities could cope with such disasters.

The social impact of the pandemic was immense as there were considerable changes to the normative way of life, with people getting locked in and curfews and social distancing measures in place (El Keshky et al., 2020). While these measures helped flatten the curve of the virus's transmissibility, there were many effects on the mental health of individuals, family roles, and society in general. It proved to be a significant causative factor in stress, anxiety, and depression rates across societies. Households witnessed increased levels of stress because of forced togetherness and cramped living situations that inevitably translate to increased rates of marital conflict and, in some cases, partner abuse. Limitations on interpersonal communication and collective function compromised social relations and stressed the community fabric, hence the importance of extended mental healthcare and community toughness plans (Yezli & Khan, 2020).

From the economic viewpoint, the pandemic was catastrophic when it triggered layoffs, business closings, and even economic declines all across the globe. The pandemic impacted most sectors, mainly when people are in close contact with many people, such as retail, hospitality, and tourism (Havrlant et al., 2020). This economic pressure proved the need for adequate support for ailing businesses or individuals. Continued economic commitment and structures of economic recovery surfaced as critical to mitigate the pandemic and establish solid economic frameworks. These strategies involved understanding short-term and long-term goals that could help in response planning and replenishment to support the economies during such losses.

Communication and public compliance were also identified as critical areas of crisis management. Disinformation, people's distrust of the virus, and the measures taken to prevent it

became an issue for the authorities. Keeping the population in check regarding practices like physical distancing, face masks, and vaccination was crucial in managing the pandemic (Yousif & Bawhab, 2023). Thus, communication activities and awareness campaigns were critical for ensuring adherence and confidence in government measures. One component of the crisis management strategy was supplying accurate information quickly to counteract false rumors.

Healthcare systems and resource distribution are also critical concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia. As the number of patients with COVID-19 skyrocketed, the healthcare system became overwhelmed, including hospitals, intensive care units, doctors, and nurses. It became necessary to ramp up testing and ensure sufficient and timely PPEs, ventilators, and supplies (Yusuf & Shesha, 2021). Further, the pandemic unveiled the fact that there are geographical discrepancies in the accessibility and quality of the Kingdom's healthcare services (Aljishi & Almshahrawi, 2019). More importantly, closing such gaps and ensuring that resources are fairly distributed will be critical in any crisis response.

Saudi Arabia's healthcare system readiness before the pandemic showed that it had capabilities and limitations in managing a massive health crisis. The Kingdom had ministers, modern hospitals, and technologies, and as a result of investment in healthcare, it was ready to respond to the pandemic. However, the scale at which COVID-19 unfolded revealed weaknesses in resource distribution, including the procurement and availability of medical equipment and human resources in the health sector. The sudden rise in cases underscored the necessity of elastic infrastructures that can facilitate fluctuations in the number of patients (Menoni & Schwarze, 2020). This experience revealed that it was necessary for the health sector to invest in preparedness and that contingency planning cannot be overemphasized. There is a need to assess the state of

readiness of health facilities to inform the nations of their readiness to handle more pandemics and other health crises.

Moreover, Saudi Arabia has many economic and geopolitical roles, which makes it different from other countries experiencing similar challenges. Therefore, Saudi Arabia has a lot to teach the world. Mentioning the Kingdom's experience in the current COVID-19 outbreak, it can be suggested that the lessons learned can be valuable for other countries in coping with crises in the context of similar socio-economic and cultural development. This means that the results of this research may help define policies, strategies, and coordination concerning crisis management at the international level.

Another key lesson learned from the crisis and mismanagement of the COVID-19 crisis is that crisis management requires the integration of different layers of government and sectors of society. Therefore, national and regional authorities in Saudi Arabia, healthcare institutions, businesses, and the general population need to respond collectively to the threats posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. This dynamic and analysis of the potential for improvement in this allocation could contribute to developing more efficient activity scenarios in subsequent emergencies.

Furthermore, the repercussions of the pandemic on Saudi Arabia have profound evidence that the Saudi economy needs to be diversified and that the role of reliance on exports needs to be minimized. Two such emergent weaknesses can be seen from the dual crises of escalating public health risks and economic slowdown exposed structural IMF-related vulnerabilities in the Kingdom's economy. Therefore, it will be of great value to dissect these economic ailments and employ any corrective measures to ascertain how a more economically robust and diverse economy might be created to effectively buffer itself from world events.

The Saudi government has put into practice various crisis management measures to reduce the spread of the virus and its effects. This included full community lockdowns, restriction on movement, digitization of health and education advertising, and comprehensive public health promotion of COVID-19. The closure of facilities and certain hours of the day also proved helpful in slowing down the spread of the virus due to minimized contact among people. Utilizing innovative technologies, including contact tracing applications and telemedicine, strengthened digital health platforms in managing and tracking potential cases. Prevention also received a push-up through campaigns that ensured the public complied with set health standards. Though these interventions were effective in curbing the further spread of the outbreak, it was seen that some lessons, such as coordination and collaboration between different government organizations and focusing on proper social consideration for people in need, were learned.

Other sociopolitical outcomes of the pandemic in Saudi Arabia include the following: Tough economic times were characterized by high unemployment rates, loss of businesses, and poor financial positions of many people. Community-wise, it led to the worsening of mental health disorders, family conflicts, and interruption of communal activities (Agboola et al., 2021). Due to monetary and psychological aid and community assistance programs, crisis management was significant in economic stabilization and post-crisis social assistance. When identifying the common conclusions about COVID-19 crisis management, one can conclude that improvements in managing future health crises are needed to enhance crisis management strategies. This research is helpful in the study of crisis management as it examines Saudi Arabia's response to the pandemic. The insights derived from the study could help inform policy decisions, review public health responses to the pandemic, and improve crisis preparedness in comparable contexts.

Analyzing the measures shown most effective in handling the COVID-19 crisis, one can conclude that there is a need for ongoing learning and improvement in crisis management to cope with future health challenges. Consequently, this study provides insights that help refine the approach to effective crisis management in the case of the Saudi Arabian response to the pandemic and contribute to formulating future public policies related to pandemic preparedness. When considering the events during the COVID-19 outbreak, Saudi Arabia can enhance its preparedness for critical situations and prevent devastating outcomes for its people.

Study Purposes

The main purpose of this research is to undertake a systematic assessment of the Saudi Arabian crisis management during the COVID-19 pandemic. Through methodical analysis of different government initiatives, the study highlights major implications and successes which would help in the subsequent management of health crises. This analysis aims to present insights that can be used by policymakers, healthcare professionals, and scholars to improve future responses to similar health threats. The aim is to prepare Saudi Arabia and possibly other countries in similar contexts more for the future pandemic.

Another important aim of this study is to examine the Saudi government's efforts to prevent the virus from spreading and manage the effects of the COVID-19 outbreak. A range of factors, such as infection rates, mortality, the capacity of the healthcare systems, and the strength of economies, will be compared before and after implementing these measures. Thus, the research compares these factors in the context of specific interventions, including quarantine measures, restrictions on individuals' movement, vaccination, and interventions within the framework of public health communication. As part of this evaluation, it will be essential to identify the strengths that could have been done better.

This research also examines the most critical issues faced by Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 outbreak and the measures taken to solve them. The COVID-19 crisis also created many challenges in delivering healthcare facilities, addressing economic impacts, maintaining public health compliance, and handling mass events like the Hajj pilgrimage. Looking into these challenges will help the research identify the problems encountered in properly implementing crisis management plans and formulate solutions to address them. It will also help develop specific plans to avoid or address similar problems in any future crisis.

Lastly, the study recommends how Saudi Arabia can enhance its preparedness and countermeasures for future epidemics or pandemics. These recommendations will focus on improving the healthcare system, streamlining government departments, raising public awareness, and making it financially feasible. Consequently, as highlighted in the research proposal, the study will help create a more effective and structured crisis management framework for Saudi Arabia and other countries that wish to enhance their health crisis management systems. These findings will help develop future public policies on pandemics and crisis containment.

Study Importance

Critical disaster response during pandemics has extensive cross-sectoral implications, influencing all spheres of life. When a government manages to contain a health crisis, it can minimize both the death rate and illnesses caused by the disease, thus embracing the health of its people. Crisis management also helps sustain healthcare facilities' operations to stay calm and operate optimally. Other than health, competent handling reduces economic losses, preserving commerce, jobs, private wealth, and financial security. This multifaceted approach maintains social stability, increases people's confidence in the authorities, and promotes national cooperation during periods of high anxiety and perceived threat.

Realizing the lessons and enhancing the approaches to crisis management during COVID-19 can have a great impact on Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabia is considered a significant player in the world economy because of its oil exports and is one of the important religious centers in the world. Managing crises correctly will help maintain the stability of those essential sectors (Parveen, 2020).

Enhancing the general contention for handling crises is also beneficial for Saudi Arabia in the long run as more robust public health and economic systems are created. The COVID-19 pandemic revealed areas of dismal health system readiness, epidemic response, and default, both environmentally and economically. By diagnosing such weaknesses, Saudi Arabia can seek to build more robust mechanisms to support the country in any other crises. The upgrade is evident in the improved usage of available resources, formulation of better public health policies, and incorporation of new and better technologies to treat patients. This not only proves helpful in cases of pandemics in the Kingdom but also helps improve the public health system and the economy, improving its health and economy as a nation.

Research Objectives

This research will focus on assessing the crisis management in Saudi Arabia during the Covid-19 pandemic. Therefore, this evaluation seeks to determine the steps the Saudi government used to contain the spread of this virus, enhance the well-being of its population, and ensure the stability of the country's economy. As a result, the research aims to evaluate the impact and findings of the strategies and pinpoint the moments when the response was efficient or could have been enhanced. This objective is essential as it provides an overview of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's approach to managing this unprecedented global health threat.

Another important goal is to determine the main difficulties that Saudi Arabia encountered during the Covid-19 pandemic. COVID-19 is a complex threat that has challenged healthcare institutions, economic frameworks, and the country's administration. Since the research goals are set systematically, they are intended to reveal the barriers hamper crisis management. They include internalized healthcare infrastructure, economic, communication, and operational concerns such as the scalability of public health interventions. It is critical to comprehend these challenges in their entirety and nuances to design specific approaches to mitigate them in future emergencies.

In addition to assessing previous approaches and identifying the problems in Saudi Arabia, this research presents recommendations for future crisis management. The research will recommend improving the country's preparedness and response measures for future epidemics/pandemics. These recommendations will cover various topics such as improving the healthcare system, better coordination between government departments, public engagement and information, and other communication technologies and economic sustainability. Altogether, the research aimed to make practical suggestions for enhancing the formal and systematic crisis management architecture in Saudi Arabia.

Study Questions

To provide an extensive analysis of the Saudi Arabian management of the COVID-19 crisis, the following research questions will be pertinent to this study: Firstly, the question is: “What were the major crisis management approaches in Saudi Arabia in the context of COVID-19?” This question seeks to determine the specific actions and interventions the KSA government took to address the crisis. Such approaches may include health promotion campaigns, financial support measures, awareness creation, and technology-based solutions. By describing these

strategies, this research will clearly show how the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia responded to the pandemic and the preventive and curative measures to contain the virus and its effects.

The second question is, “To what extent were these strategies effective in reducing the effect of the pandemic?” this inquiry aims to assess the degree to which the applied crisis management strategies met their goals. It involves processing information on infection rates, fatality rates, healthcare system capabilities, and economic conditions, as well as regarding compliance with health orders. This question will also address other dimensions of effectiveness, which are difficult to quantify, including the popularity of the measures among the populace and their confidence in the government’s action, the ability of the measures to change their form or intensity in response to the situation’s development, and the stability of the healthcare and economic infrastructure. In this context, the research expects to identify what strategies have successfully been adopted and where interventions will be required.

Moreover, the research will examine the question, “What challenges were encountered, and how were they addressed?” This question establishes the major issues Saudi Arabia had to manoeuvre in tackling the COVID-19 crisis and the measures to overcome this hurdle. Such obstacles might include those affecting the healthcare resources such as distribution, financial difficulties caused by the decrease in the oil price, the populace’s reluctance to take specific health measures, or operational aspects of mass gatherings, for instance, organizing hajj during the pandemic. Thus, analyzing these challenges and their solutions, the research seeks to identify the major lessons and effective practices that may be followed. It is, therefore, essential to understand all these challenges in detail to be in a better position to work on strategies to defend against similar calamities.

Research Hypotheses

Two main research hypotheses inform this study. The first research proposition (H1) assumes that the measures aimed at mitigating the effects of the crisis in Saudi Arabia minimized the impact of COVID-19. This hypothesis will be ascertained by comparing different factors, including infection rate, mortality rate, healthcare system capabilities, and economic factors before and after adopting the strategies. It will also examine specific interventions, including quarantine measures, restrictions on contact, the administration of vaccines, and public health communication strategies. The research should seek to verify whether the Saudi government's response has been effective as it compares the above-stated outcomes with the expected situations if no such interventions were implemented.

The second hypothesis of this study (H2) proposes severe obstacles impeding crisis management during the pandemic in Saudi Arabia. The relevant factors that may have hindered the crisis management processes will be determined and compared to test this hypothesis. Such challenges could be the problems arising from the distribution of health care commodities and professional workforce, reduced oil revenue that affects the economy, people's reluctance to adhere to health measures, and challenges in containing events such as pilgrimage that might attract numerous people. The study will outline how these challenges impacted the efficiency of the executed crisis management strategies and the solutions implemented to solve them. Through these hypotheses, the study will help establish a detailed understanding of not only the triumphant and the faults of the Saudi Arabian response to the pandemic but also in creating more efficient ways to manage crises in the future.

The third hypothesis of this study (H3) proposes that some of the problems encountered in crisis management include displacement, public cooperation, and availability of resources. The

COVID-19 pandemic was a major challenge for Saudi Arabia, and the country faced several obstacles in managing the situation. Challenges emerged due to the necessity of fast delivery of medical and personal protective equipment, the enforcement of the lockdown policies, and large-scale testing and vaccination campaigns. Another major issue was public compliance since monitoring citizens' compliance with health protocols and restrictions remained challenging due to the populace's heterogeneity.

Theoretical Model

The COVID-19 pandemic has forced the need for effective crisis management in nations with diverse demographic and geographic structures, such as Saudi Arabia. The theoretical frameworks for this research include several models that can effectively explain crisis management in health emergencies. These frameworks are the Systems Theory, Health Belief Model (HBM), and Diffusion of Innovations Theory. These theories provide the theoretical foundation for understanding various aspects of managing the health crisis at the organizational, group, and individual levels and the diffusion of innovations.

Systems Theory

Systems Theory is a concept that deals with the relationship between several sub-systems and a large complex system. Similarly, in the framework of the pandemic, Systems Theory can be very valuable for expanding the understanding of the authors or the subject as a whole; it also demonstrates the interconnectedness of healthcare in crises. These combined elements can also be used to analyze the experience of Saudi Arabia in handling the COVID-19 pandemic response involving the concerns of hospitals, public health units, the Ministry of Health and other governmental departments and organizations, Community Health facilities, and other organizations involved in the pandemic response.

Crisis communication also consists of many interdependent components based on the Systems Theory. This strategy is beneficial as it helps determine constraints and, therefore, specifies the areas that need enhancement. For instance, the healthcare sector in Saudi Arabia, like many organizations worldwide, expanded throughout the COVID-19 crisis as many patients sought treatment. This is another example of why organizations need to manage resources and talent to efficiently meet society's needs. Thus, applying the system's theory in the framework of this research, it would be possible to evaluate the coordinative general productivity of Saudi Arabia's crisis management and outline some possible improvements to the existing practices in future health crises.

Health Belief Model (HBM)

HBM is a psychological model used to understand and estimate specific behaviors and inactions related to health practice due to certain beliefs and attitudes. It is instrumental in understanding how the Saudi Arabian population interacted with the government's health communication strategies during COVID-19 (Shmueli, 2021). This model comprises several key components: concern, perceived seriousness of cancer, perceived benefit and harm of the screening, stimulus-response and perceived capability.

Perceived susceptibility is the level at which a person feels endangered by the disease. Several message themes for Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 pandemic were goal-directed at promoting awareness of the risk of infection and thus inspiring preventive behaviors. Perceived severity includes the individual's attitude concerning the severity of the disease if affected or the possible outcome of getting infected (González-Castro et al., 2021). The inability to wear a face mask and other health measures and explain the severity of the virus's effects, such as mortality

rates and long-term consequences, was essential in enhancing cooperation and adherence towards health protocols.

Perceived benefits and perceived barriers are the evaluations made by an individual about the gains accruable from a health action compared to the hindrances to executing such an action. In Saudi Arabia, stressing the positive aspects of using masks, social distancing and vaccines while addressing the negative side, including fake news and other accessibility concerns, were the determinants that made the people fall in line. The prompts to act, like reminders and public health messages and self-efficacy, or the ability to accomplish the necessary acts, also emerged as critical influencers of people's behavior in a pandemic.

Diffusion of Innovations Theory

In Saudi Arabia, the diffusion of innovations can be apparent with the fast adoption of digital health interventions, including telemedicine and contact tracing applications. These innovations could work only if the population adopted them on a large scale. The theory comes in handy to explain how these technologies were brought to the public, plus the factors that played the roles of acceptance and the implementation challenges (Abdulaziz Ahmed Abdulaziz et al., 2023). Relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability are the critical variables that explain the adoption rate of innovations.

Integrating Theories for Comprehensive Analysis

Concerning theory application, this study employs Systems Theory for the study, Health Belief Model for the explanations, and Diffusion of Innovations Theory in the prescriptions. Each theory contributes a unique perspective: Systems Theory helps one analyze how the health care system works in terms of coordination and functionality; HBM, on the other hand, assists one in understanding how individuals in the community respond to preventive health measures; and the

Diffusion of Innovations Theory can help further understand how new health interventions can be introduced in the community.

Thus, in this study, the use of Systems Theory, the Health Belief Model, and the Diffusion of Innovations Theory is expected to help comprehensively evaluate the approaches used by Saudi Arabia in responding to the COVID-19 crisis. Each theory contributes a unique perspective: Systems Theory directs understanding to the management and efficiency of the healthcare system; HBM increases knowledge concerning the behavior and perception of health practices among individuals, while the Diffusion of Innovations Theory enhances knowledge about implementing new health practices.

Application of Theoretical Model to Saudi Arabia's COVID-19 Response

In this paper, applying the integrated theoretical model describing Saudi Arabia's response to COVID-19 implies a critical analysis of the multiple facets of the crisis management processes. For instance, the study will integrate different government and health institutions in organizing the pandemic response, including possible weaknesses. The study will also establish the community's compliance with health measures. This includes investigating factors that may have a widespread effect on individuals, including perceived risks/benefits and campaign effects.

Furthermore, the study will look at the factors affecting diffusion and usage of new technologies to improve the health of people in Saudi Arabia; assessment of the effectiveness of the digital health promotion interventions. Such analysis will contribute to the identification of the most suitable strategic courses of action that could be taken in the future while implementing new technologies in the systems of healthcare and also, determine the steps that have to be taken in order to improve the popularity of such technologies among the public.

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

The literature review, plays a significant role in any research study since it involves an extensive analysis of research literature studies. In the research works synthesis part of the paper, the author integrates numerous previous research works to highlight their outcomes, theoretical/postulations/frames, and research approaches/methods employed. The next section will reveal the existing literature, evaluate some works to be included in the assessment, and argue why the topic of the current study needs more attention.

The first component of the review is to determine the dependent variable because it is the variable under investigation in the current study. This section will, therefore, review relevant literature on the subject area as a way of finding out various studies that have used this particular variable and an analysis of the definition, measurement, and or analysis that has been done of the variables used in any study. This discussion will also show some considerations that go into determining this variable and will paint a clear picture of its role and significance in the field. Then, in the literature review, the authors will define the independent variable, its role, and its effect on the dependent variable. This shall involve a description of the study's theoretical standpoint and a presentation of the literature that guided the choice of these variables.

The final section of the literature review will highlight previous research studies and set the theoretical backdrop for the research being conducted at present. In identifying the research gap and the study's objectives, this section will also elaborate on what has been done in the previous research and what has yet to be done. It will also help define the state of the art of the current research while outlining the potential contributions of the current study in terms of the theoretical framework. This proposal will not only show the coherence and importance of the research topic in doing so, but it will also aid in formulating research questions and hypotheses for the study.

Dependent Variable

For Saudi Arabia's crisis management concerning the COVID-19 outbreak, the dependent variables are the impacts brought by the independent variables of the government actions and responses, extensive public health promotional efforts, technological advancement, and socio-economic initiatives. These dependent variables include infection rates, mortality rates, healthcare facilities' status, public compliance levels with health precautions, and economic stability. These dependent variables can be analyzed to determine the efficiency of the executed crisis management and identify further enhancement potential.

Infection Rates

The infectious and fatality rate of COVID-19 is among the main dependent variables of this study. Case fatality is a simple measure of the lethality of the virus and depends on official measures and health awareness campaigns. In Saudi Arabia, the measures included lockdowns, curfews, and social distancing to contain the virus replication rate. Other communication interventions common in influencing community behaviors during the campaign included public health promotions encouraging behaviors that would reduce dissemination (Suleimany et al., 2022). Thus, this research will be able to evaluate the effectiveness of the independent variables in preventing new infections, having compared the respective rates before and after the implementation of such measures.

Mortality Rates

Mortality rates are another set of notable dependent variables operationalized as the rate of the people who have died from COVID-19 infection. This variable shows the actions of the health care facilities for the concerned countries, the available medical equipment, and the treatment programs that would likely be suggested to combat the outbreak. The effort applied by the Saudi

Arabian government aimed at expanding the number of intensive care unit (ICU) beds for sufficient PPE stock and mobilization of the health workers was oriented to one of the goals of the Ministry of Health, which is decreasing death rates (Dwiedienawati et al., 2021). Mortality data could assess the extent of preparedness of the health system in handling the outbreak and how the intervention studies helped enhance the mortality.

Healthcare System Capacity

The healthcare system's capacity to further augment COVID-19 cases is a crucial component of the dependent variable. These are the number of hospital beds, specialized care facilities such as Intensive Care Units [ICU], mechanical ventilators, and health works force. It also revealed how weak the world's health systems had become at their cores, which is why a robust healthcare system was necessary. These have been very effective in managing patient turnover through the fast expansion of the facilities and procurement of requisite essential hospital equipment in Saudi Arabia, which has positively impacted the general health system. It is also significant that when assessed during the pandemic, the strength, capacities, and state of the different and varied healthcare systems can be considered as serving the purpose of determining the prepared and robust benchmarks of the healthcare facilities when managing disasters of such proportions.

Public Compliance with Health Measures

Compliance, particularly with health measures, is one of the key dependent variables and directly influences crisis management outcomes. The main factors that fall under this category include public health campaigns, government regulation, and the perceived credibility of messages disseminated to the public. The Ministry of Health of Saudi Arabia has also launched extensive public health campaigns to create awareness regarding precautionary measures like the use of

masks, social distancing, etc. Measuring how effective these campaigns are in guaranteeing public compliance with health requirements depends on the extent to which compliance was achieved and the subsequent effects on disease and death rates.

Economic Stability

The economy's stability during the Covid pandemic is an important dependent variable influenced by socio-economic protocols put forward by the government. The consequences of the pandemic affected the economic sphere, such as a high unemployment rate, shutdown of business ventures, and economic insecurity, which triggered government interference. Saudi Arabia passed different economic plans to slow the economic slowdown and aid affected individuals and businesses. The efficiency of such measures in preserving economic stability can be evaluated based on the analysis of such parameters as employment rate, business resilience, and overall economic performance throughout and after the pandemic.

Social and Mental Health Outcomes

The effects on the social and mental well-being of the population also form part of the dependent variables captured the extent of the impact of the pandemic. It contains the virus and restricts people's contact, which helps in increasing stress, anxiety, and depression levels among people. This paper seeks to explore the impact of the independent variables, specifically health promotion and socio-economic factors, in these results. Evaluating the pandemic's social and mental health effects contributes to elaborating effective crisis management plans to provide contingency measures for the populace's health and well-being.

Independent Variable

Introduction to Independent Variables

When analyzing the response to the Saudi Arabian crisis during COVID-19, the independent variables refer to the indicators that determine the factors affecting the outcomes of the identified response strategies. Such independent variables include government policies, health measures, technology interventions and socio-economic factors. All these variables had a big influence on the transmission of COVID-19 and the measures put in place to control the contagion. Breaking down these factors, the present study shall determine the factors that went into managing the pandemic and those that were circumvented.

Government Interventions

One of the main independent variables is government interferences, which are policies and measures that some governments undertook to prevent the spread of COVID-19. In the case of Saudi Arabia, such interventions included lockdowns, curfews, movement restrictions, and social distancing measures. There were also lockdown measures, restrictions on movement, and closure of learning institutions to contain the virus spread. These measures were helpful when it came to containing the spread of the virus due to the restriction of human contact. The effectiveness of such interventions was influenced by the time of undertaking these interventions, the cooperation of the public, and support by the relevant authorities.

Public Health Campaigns

Another important independent variable that will be analyzed is public health campaigns. Such campaigns were intended to raise awareness about COVID-19, its prevention, and adherence to health protocols. In Saudi Arabia, for instance, the Ministry of Health developed and implemented different campaigns through print, electronic, and online means and word of mouth.

Such campaigns targeted raising awareness of covering the face with a mask, washing hands, and following the distance measures. They also educated the masses when there was fake news concerning the virus to demystify the same. These campaigns' effectiveness was determined by how they extended to the people, how clear the message was, and how much people trusted the information.

Technological Innovations

Technology became a strategic leader mobilized for managing the COVID-19 pandemic as an independent variable. Here, Saudi Arabia employed several digital health innovations to support its efforts in fighting this pandemic. Such innovations included telemedicine services for diagnosing and consulting COVID-19 cases, contact tracing applications, and social media accounts that provided updates about the virus and vaccines. The Tawakkalna app, for instance, enabled citizens and residents to get updates on COVID-19 infections in real time, get movement passes during periods of lockdowns, and check symptoms. The impact that most of these technological interventions could have depended on the ease with which they could be used, how easily people could access them, and the extent to which they used them.

Socio-Economic Measures

Government actions concerning socioeconomic changes were also useful in defining a broad set of independent variables. Ever since the break of the pandemic, operations in the economy have been greatly affected, resulting in the closure of businesses, loss of employment, and severity in the financial sector. To investigate the impact of the consequences, the Saudi government provided the following economic support action plan: financial subsidies, unemployment compensations, and support for employers to keep employees. They consisted of measures to restore economic stability, help those affected segments, and maintain the existing

infrastructure. The effectiveness of these socio-economic measures depended on the scale and timely implementation of the corresponding actions.

Impact of Independent Variables

The changes and fluctuation in these independent variables consequently greatly influenced the general response to the COVID-19 outbreak in Saudi Arabia. Measures in the form of government sanitation policies, health and economic-related awareness programs, modification of technology, and socio-economic factors all contributed to the nature of the crisis in the country. For instance, to the success of government interventions, the health sector always created awareness on the part of the public. Likewise, there was effective use and over-sight of these intercessions using technological advancement. The socio-economic measures offered appropriate stimuli to the affected individuals and businesses by sustaining social stability during the pandemic.

Summary of Past Studies

Crisis Management in Saudi Arabia

Pre-Covid-19 Crisis Management Framework

Before the current COVID-19 outbreak, Saudi Arabia had in place a strategic crisis management system for dealing with calamities such as natural disasters, terrorism, and diseases. This framework entailed a top-down control structure commanded by the National Risk Council, where actions unified different arms of government (Jaziri & Miralam, 2021). Moreover, the Saudi government has focused on developing an effective emergency response system with units within the Ministry of Health and other related offices. These units were well furnished with sophisticated technologies and a professionally skilled workforce for efficiently handling such mishaps (Hassanain, 2017). To mitigate this, drills and simulations were conducted to prepare, and reserves

such as medical supplies and other essentials were stocked up strategically to enable a quick response to any tragedy.

Government Response to Covid-19

The Saudi government tried to contain the virus and ensure the population's health in COVID-19. Some of them are a ban on social gatherings, cancelling of flights, and closure of boards to prevent the spread of the virus into the country. Domestically, it enveloped core city areas, paralyzing mobility and effectively halting almost all but the most critical forms of expenditures (Bashir et al., 2021). Concerted testing and contact tracing were conducted along with this lockdown to ensure that infected persons were kept away. The following sanitation measures were taken; all those with symptoms similar to COVID-19 were quarantined and treated.

Other actions towards promoting health and disease prevention of communicable diseases include other broad activities that the government of Saudi took in the health sector to strengthen the Saudi Arabian health complex. This was done by raising bed capacities, particularly in the areas of Intensive Care Units (ICUs) apart from building Central and Field Hospitals for several other patients with Covid 19 (AlFattani et al., 2021). The government also acquired many PPEs and ventilators to attend to patients and minimize their interaction with the virus. Furthermore, prevention of the population measures was proclaimed, namely wearing masks, washing hands, and using physical distancing methods.

Role of the Saudi Ministry of Health

The Ministry of Health in Saudi Arabia also had significant responsibility for organizing the pandemic supervising and overseeing all the health-related processes in the country. In response, the Ministry created a COVID-19-specific command and control Centre to coordinate the operations. This center was responsible for compiling data and information on the virus within

the society and the patterns of its spread in particular days or weeks for strategizing actions (Alsharif, 2020). The Ministry also embarked on a nationwide Testing Campaign to ensure that citizens and residents in the country could go for testing as and when they wanted. On the other hand, drive-through testing centers and mobile testing units were put in place to cover a large population, while a contact tracing app was launched to enhance the tracing of the virus.

The Ministry of Health also paid much attention to the issue of the readiness of health facilities to attend to patients who contracted Covid-19. Procedures and standards for handling COVID-19 patients and their conditions emerged, and the healthcare practitioners underwent two weeks of training to handle this ravaging virus. The WHO worked with the Ministry, flowing its procedures and standards to set up high standards and monitor current advances in managing and controlling the spread of COVID-19. Also, the Ministry was involved in administering the vaccines, beginning with identifying target populations, and after that, the vaccines were distributed across the country.

Impact of Religious Events

The decision for Saudi Arabia was to control the religious activities, mainly the Hajj pilgrimage, which is annually held in Mecca, whereby millions of Muslims from various parts of the world gather for the event. The possibility of organizing such huge meetings while taking no risks of turning them into superspreader events required thorough planning and implementation of measures that were unprecedented in many ways. In modern history, the Saudi government significantly reduced the hajj in 2020, and only thousands of residents were allowed to perform the hajj, while millions typically perform it yearly (Abalkhail & Al Amri, 2022). This decision was made to protect pilgrims and the rest of the population from catching the ailments.

The government implemented health and safety measures to perform the scaled-down hajj. The pilgrims also had to be tested before and after the religious journey and isolated once they arrived at their destinations and before returning home. Measures such as social distancing and hand-washing points were taken during these rituals. The government was also tasked with health monitoring and quick access to emergency medical services in the event of any health complications during the event. These steps enabled the spirit of performing BBI while avoiding the spread of COVID-19.

Comprehensive Economic Support Packages

Realizing the effects of COVID-19 on the country's economy, the Saudi government has put in place several economic stimulus measures to reduce losses among businesses and individuals. They comprised cash subsidies, deductions, and easing repayment terms for loans for the affected industry. The government also introduced wage subsidies to support a part of the wage costs of companies in sectors most affected by the impact of the pandemic to retain employment (Alharbi, 2022). Further, due to the need to maintain liquidity in the banking sector, the Saudi Arabian Monetary Authority (SAMA) adopted new policies to credit the business and individual borrowers as needed.

The economic support measures were accompanied by incentive policy stimuli promoting digitalization and innovative processes. The government stepped up efforts to carry forward its Vision 2030 development agenda, which seeks to make the country gainfully employ persons in sectors other than oil and oil-related businesses. The government hoped this would lead to new economic growth points and future-proof the economy against shocks. The pandemic also revealed the role of the digital environment, so the efforts to increase the availability of the Internet and support work-from-home and distance learning grew.

Public Communication Strategies

Communication was one of the most critical actions that Saudi Arabia undertook during the Covid-19 crisis response. High levels of awareness were created through public education programs programmed by the government to equip the population with information that would enable them to reduce the likelihood of contracting the virus. These campaigns involved using TV, Radio, social media, and ‘text’ to ensure that as many people as possible were reached (Alanezi et al., 2020). The main messages included using masks, social distancing, washing hands, and adherence to the state directives.

They also organized a COVID-19 website and information hotline to disseminate information and promptly meet the public’s demand. Leaders maintained press briefings to inform the public about the virus's progression and the government’s actions towards containment. These briefings were significant in ensuring the public was told and had faith in the administration. Thus, the government strived to keep the population knowledgeable about the pandemic so there would be no issues with people ignoring or propagandizing false ways of preventing the virus.

Vaccine Rollout and Immunization Campaign

When the vaccines were available, the government of Saudi Arabia started implementing the vaccination program aimed at providing all people with an immune system against COVID-19. In the early phases of the program, the Ministry of Health targeted first-line healthcare workers, the elderly, and people with some comorbidities. Permanent and temporary vaccination centers were developed in every region, and mobile clinics were organized for people with limited access to transportation (Raja et al., 2020). The government also already had several systems in place to support the registration and appointment of people for vaccines, which further aided its functioning.

Education was also an aspect since public health was instrumental in carrying out various tasks that involved vaccinating the masses. The government also began ensuring that the community's people were informed about the vaccines being developed and the efficiency of these vaccines to fight the influx of misinformation. Some strategies used include public awareness, sensitization, and mobilization, whereby people, mainly from religious and other leadership group organizations, were encouraged to take the vaccines. It was to raise the immunity levels that would help prevent future epidemics and provide the setting that may contribute to returning to everyday life.

Coordination with International Organizations

During the pandemic, Saudi Arabia was keen on collaborating with international organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO) and the G20, of which it was a chairman in 2020. These organizations worked with the government to disseminate information, implement what other countries did, and fight the virus. Saudi Arabia also highlighted its political commitment to health solidarity by offering financial and medical support to other needy countries.

International organizations proved helpful in addressing domestic challenges stemming from COVID-19 and enhancing the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's role in responding to the virus in the global arena. Thus, through international cooperation, the government could use the expertise and support of other countries and contribute to the problem's solution within the framework of the united global effort to overcome the pandemic.

Critical Challenges in Saudi Arabia's Crisis Management

The COVID-19 outbreak revealed several deficits in the healthcare facilities in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, except for its advancements in the healthcare field. Despite a relative increase in focus on the health sector, the cases of COVID-19 imposed tremendous stress on

hospitals, cardiac wards, and health professionals. Some of the issues that have been revealed concern the lack of PPE, ventilators, and numerous other medical equipment, showing that it was difficult to expand the healthcare capacity quickly (Alkathlan et al., 2023). Furthermore, the Middle expansion witnessed disparities in healthcare ability between urban and rural regions that emerged from inadequate acquisition by far-flung areas of required supplies and assistance. These problems have exposed weaknesses in the earlier established health care systems and underscore the necessity of the progressive and elastic health care system, which is efficient for treating large-scale health hazardous events.

Economic threats were one of the other significant risks Saudi Arabia continued to face during the COVID-19 outbreak. The country's economy suffered from two main factors with the oil price: the drop in demand for oil and the decline in the price of oil. It established an economic quandary that required the government to weigh the necessity of primary healthcare intervention against the requirements for maintaining financial stability. The effect was more pronounced in the non-oil segment, such as travel and subsidiaries, tourism, and restaurants, as they endured prolonged closures resulting from lockdown restrictions and less consumption (Raj & Bozonelos, 2020). Small and medium businesses were the most brutal hit as many struggled to stay afloat, and some ended up closing their businesses altogether. The government's two economic support packages were significant. Still, they had to cover both the rescue and rehabilitation measures to stimulate the nation's economy, a big challenge that the ministers realized when managing an economy in crisis.

Evaluating the general public's adherence to health measures was another primary concern due to several factors, including fake news and differing confidence in government guidelines. These factors added dynamism to the diffusion of misinformation on the virus and protective

measures, leading to confusion and non-cooperation among some population groups. These included the use of social media, where those in charge of spreading the information found themselves in a position of sharing the misinformation, thereby hampering the fight for compliance. These distorted narratives had to be addressed at some point by the government through its communication strategies by offering correct, timely, and transparent information. Despite multiple months of information campaigns, it remained challenging to maintain compliance with such measures as masks, distance, or vaccination, which indicates more substantial trust and information-sharing failings.

The logistics issues were further complicated by constant changes, such as the varying steepness of the curve or shifting scientific consensus about the virus. However, as with most highly centralized systems, this method needed help coordinating and executing local work rapidly and efficiently. Circumstances such as these highlighted the importance of seamless and reactive coordination of crisis management systems.

The arrangements of these religious functions, especially the Hajj, added to the number of problems in crisis management in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The thought of conducting such a big meeting was always powerful; the possibility of turning into a Covid spreader event was always looming, requiring a lot of planning and measuring. Limiting the number of hajj pilgrims and implementing practices that would improve the health of Pilgrims were choices that were impactful but challenging. These measures included getting a COVID test before and after the trip, conducting all meetings virtually, being quarantined for two weeks, refraining from touching during rituals, and taking body temperature consistently. These measures brought out the implementation problems likely to shape modalities in mass gatherings and events during a

pandemic, which can only be managed flexibly and proactively in management and operations responding to crises.

Lessons Learned

Analyzing the factors affecting Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 pandemic offers several lessons in crisis management. The first lesson that should be learned is that it is imperative to have a solid and flexible healthcare system. COVID-19 brought to the limelight the deficits in the healthcare system, chiefly in allocating resources and preparedness to deal with increased caseloads. This highlighted the importance of flexibility and the preparedness to ramp up operations in case of any health threats. Furthermore, the current pandemic proved that a unified effort is required from various industries and governing bodies at different levels to ensure the optimal use and direction of all available and coordinated forces. Clear communication and public cooperation were also mentioned as essential approaches that should be emphasized to provide people with clear guidelines and encourage them to adhere to the rules to prevent the spread of contagious diseases.

Several measures learned from managing the pandemic can help Saudi Arabia improve its handling of future crises. Accurate and immediate reaction, proven by effective interventions in other nations, is critical for stopping the further transmission of communicable diseases. Saudi Arabia's lockdowns and restrictions on travel at the beginning were a good strategy that must always be observed as part of the protocol. The use of technology, for instance, in contact tracing and administering vaccination, requires adopting innovative approaches, which should continue. Technology can enhance its effectiveness and extend the scope of public health. Furthermore, developing trust with the public by being open with information and continually educating people

can increase the likelihood of following the guidelines of health measures, making crisis initiatives better.

The policy implications for enhancing Saudi Arabia's crisis management policies and tactics cover several areas. Firstly, there is the need for investment in health facilities. This includes augmenting the capacity of hospital beds, ICUs, and available stocks of medicines and PPE. Improving the training and readiness of healthcare workers is also imperative. Second, it is necessary to enhance public communication strategies. Creating an organized approach to information sharing can assist in the reduction of fake news and improve the level of cooperation among the population.

Similarly, more robust approaches to coordination and logistics are also required at the heart of the crisis management structure. There is a need to connect the dots between different areas of government responsibility and develop more effective executive coordination at the national level to enhance the implementation of extensive social programs in health protection. Such measures can help check whether everyone involved is ready and can act shortly and adequately. Lastly, adopting lessons identified from the manifestation of religious events like the Hajj pilgrimage can help improve the preparedness for massive assemblies. Setting standardized protocols for executing a safe event will be important amidst a crisis. If implemented, these recommendations will prepare Saudi Arabia for future crisis management, thus improving the country's capacity to handle any future health crises.

Theoretical Framework

Crisis management refers to how an organization handles an event that is disruptive outside an organization's normal operations and could negatively affect the firm and its stakeholders (Tagarev & Ratchev, 2020). Crises can be managed by preventive measures, intervention, recovery

and rebuilding processes, and the prevention of similar occurrences. These include preparedness, which concerns the planning and training for a particular calamity, which constitutes the actions taken during the occurrence of a catastrophe; recovery, which concerns the processes of bringing things to normalcy after a calamity; and lastly, mitigation, which involves the activities taken to minimize the impact of future disasters (Madhav et al., 2019). To effectively and swiftly handle crises, these aspects have to be managed by organizations to develop an effective means whereby crises can be efficiently managed, and the further spread of the collapse prevented.

Applying crisis management theories to the pandemic highlights that these processes require high-amalgamate approaches to contain health crises. Unlike other crises, pandemics rely on collective action from various systems that affect people's health, including health institutions, governments, and producers. Such methods focus on addressing crisis management during the pandemic and stress early identification, communication, and mobilization of response resources to slow the contagion rate (Organization, 2023). They also emphasize staying ready for any surge in cases and the need to engage in practices like social distancing, quarantine, and vaccination. All these strategies are crucial to stop the virus from spreading further, prevent the disease from becoming complicated for the high-risk groups, and ensure the community's confidence in the leadership in dealing with the outbreak.

The following models and theories are most relevant to crisis management in pandemics: The Incident Command System (ICS) is a set approach applied to the command, control, and coordination of distress management so that coordination of many interacting agencies can occur. Specifically, the information that emanates from the CERC model seeks to provide directions on how to communicate during an emergency while focusing on the issues of accuracy, time, and transparency to retain the people's trust and obedience. Adaptive Management is also essential as

an emerging strategy based on learning and constant changes according to new information and conditions. This model is beneficial in a pandemic, which turns into a new phase every other day, and action flexibility is needed. Thus, integrating these models and theories would allow the creation of holistic approaches to crisis management that would enhance the organizations' capability to address pandemic crises effectively.

Global Perspectives on Crisis Management during Covid-19

Measures and actions during the COVID-19 crisis differed from one country to another due to healthcare systems, political structures, and health practices. Whereas began, China took some of the most severe measures, including total lockdown, testing, and isolation. The Chinese government quickly and effectively responded to the outbreak to prevent the virus from spreading across its borders by implementing strict quarantines and deploying its healthcare systems (Zanin et al., 2020). On the other hand, Italy was hit early in the pandemic and had problematic early days because of collapsing healthcare and delayed actions taken. Nevertheless, strict nationwide lockdowns and requirements for social distancing were implemented later in Italy, and such measures flattened the curve (Sanfelici, 2020).

The United States' approach to combating COVID-19 was decentralized, with policymakers and even governors implementing and enforcing different measures. Some states closed their borders and enforced stay-home orders from the start, causing a disconnect in the containment strategies. The US also encountered issues such as public compliance and the politicization of health interventions (Greer et al., 2022). On the other hand, South Korea seemed to have applied a highly tech-savvy approach and appeared to have tested and traced cases aggressively. South Korea succeeded in minimizing the infection rates due to early and massive testing, proper isolation, and timely information dissemination to the public (You, 2020). These

case studies depict how various countries have responded differently to the crisis and its overall success levels.

A comparison of these global responses shows several important lessons and common issues that any country should consider for its future responses to crises. Measures like prompt action, such as the lockdown implemented in late January in China and mass testing in South Korea, are the best practices that reduce the transmission rate of the virus. The fifth factor is communication, or the ability to convey information to the public, which was well exemplified by South Korea, whose governments remained transparent and consistent in their communications, which enhanced public compliance. On the other hand, questions like overburdened healthcare systems, as in Italy, and irregular policies, as in the United States, also remind us of the need to be ready and act in unison. Technology in crisis management is also very relevant, particularly in using apps for contact tracing and dissemination of crucial information by South Korea, among other countries.

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Introduction

This research uses a broad methodological approach to analyze Saudi Arabia's crisis management during the COVID-19 pandemic. The work is based on a mixed research design as it includes both qualitative and quantitative methods for identifying the efficacy of the interventions that have been done. It is designed to employ a deductive approach to assess the influence of the independent variables, namely government interference, campaign, technology, and socio-economic factors, on the subject-dependent variables of infection rate, mortality rate, healthcare status, public cooperation, and economic steadiness.

The qualitative aspect of the study comprises an extensive literature review and a content analysis of the policy reports, press releases, and media to gain insight into the context and how various crisis management measures have been implemented. The interviews with the target beneficiaries, including health professionals, policymakers, and public health care officials, are vital to understanding the experiences during the pandemic. Such interviews are useful for determining the non-quantitative nature of the response, including public opinion, the impact of communication, and the integration of various government and healthcare agencies.

The quantitative part is based on the primary data analysis concerning COVID-19 infection and death rates, healthcare infrastructures, and economic factors. This information has been obtained from government records, healthSTATs and any economic records as referenced. Quantitative data is applied to evaluate the effectiveness of the established crisis management measures through changes in pre- and post-intervention statistics. Instead, the study aims to offer a holistic assessment of Saudi Arabia's management of the COVID-19 pandemic and the lessons that could be learnt and applied in any future situations of this nature.

Research Design

This research uses quantitative and qualitative approaches to effectively assess the Saudi Arabian case concerning the COVID-19 crisis response. By applying both quantitative and qualitative data collection tools, the research will gain a better perspective of the measures put in place to address the crises and their efficiency. The research design is constructed to ensure that the independent variables of government interferences, public health-promoting information and communications, technology advancement, and socio-economic policies are examined and dissected to understand their effects on the dependent variables such as infection rate, death rate, health care system performance, level of compliance, and stability of the economy. Thus, the multifaceted crisis management efforts are aptly captured by blending and balancing the methodologies.

Qualitative Component

Part of the quantitative research study is the theoretical framework combining an extensive literature review and content analysis to establish context for the crisis management strategies in Saudi Arabia. These involve policy documents, health guidelines, and newspaper articles to establish the chronology and transition of government interference and public health campaigns. Further, qualitative and semi-structured interviews are conducted with medical practitioners, government officials and other public healthcare workers to assess the programmatic and organizational best practices and failures during the pandemic. These interviews are for gaining qualitative information about crisis management, including the citizens' attitude towards it, the communication and the cooperation between two or more agencies.

Through the analysis of these interview transcripts and documents, patterns and themes of response strategies can be identified, making understanding these strategies more comprehensive.

Thematic analysis is used to analyze the qualitative data, and in so doing, the strengths and weaknesses of the various crisis management approaches are identified. This primary, qualitative assessment helps explain the quantitative results and promotes a broader awareness of the major forces affecting the success of the crisis management plans.

Quantitative Component

The quantitative aspect of the study entails data gathering and analysis of numerical information concerning COVID-19 in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Data including infection, death, healthcare consumption rates, healthcare system readiness, and economic data are obtained from the country's government databases, health and economic organizations, and the World Health Organization. The following results are obtained through statistical techniques to determine the significance of the independent variables on dependent variables. For example, the time-series analysis method compares before and after numbers of infections or deaths controlling for some key interventions, and regression analysis examines the association between government measures and the public's compliance with the measures.

Quantitative research tools will also seek to establish how effective the various crisis management strategies are. Since the study is conducted through statistical data analysis, it can also analyze factors of success or failure of certain measures. This is because the study uses a very technical analytical style, which guarantees that results and conclusions are firmly anchored with data and well-supported.

Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Data

In this study, the combination of quantitative and qualitative data allows building on the surveys of the observed organization to compare them to its performance in crisis management. The interviews complement the numerical results, and the literature analysis offers more

information, description, and meaning to the research. For instance, the quantitative data may extract information such as the number of sicknesses coming down after a specific measure has been staged. On the other hand, the qualitative data can speak more volumes of the populace's reaction to the intervention, in this case, if they embraced the implementation.

This is done using triangulation methods whereby qualitative and quantitative data are collected and compared to check the similarities and contradictions. This way, the studies include the respondents' perspectives and are likely to reflect real-life experiences in health crisis management. Therefore, by integrating quantitative and qualitative research, the study will evaluate Saudi Arabia's response to COVID-19 extensively but exhaustively.

Population of the study

Demographic and Regional Distribution

The study's population is a broad sample of Saudi Arabia's inhabitants, representing all age populations, male and female participants, participants with or without formal education, and those from different regions. The ages of the respondents are evenly distributed in the age group 18–44 years, with male respondents forming the majority (70 %) of the sample while female respondents formed 30 % of the sample. Educational level is also essential in the population, where 60% have a Bachelor's degree, 30% have a Master's degree and 10% high school degree. The assessment also targets people from strategic places within Saudi Arabia, including Riyadh, Jeddah, and Madinah, among other areas to cover all the areas in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to capture several experiences and attitudes towards the approach on combating the pandemic.

Healthcare and Economic Impact

The target audience of the research consists of respondents who experienced changes in the healthcare sphere and faced the economic consequences of COVID-19. Members include

clinicians, administrators, public health professionals, special interest groups, academics, and laypersons. Interviews with the medical workers, such as doctors, nurses, managers and others, were conducted to understand how the healthcare system of the particular country responded to the crisis and what problems were observed. Key governmental stakeholders from different levels of administration share insights on the processes of policy implementation and inter-sectoral cooperation. The general public's perception conveys a more extensive range of benefits, such as access to medical facilities and other financial assistance schemes. It also helps establish a diverse representation, enabling the study to address the complex effects of the pandemic.

Representation and Relevance

To capture the general Saudi Arabian population, the population of the study is chosen very strategically and properly comprising the base for evaluating the adequacy of the crisis management strategies. In my view, the coverage of the demographic variations and the geographical distribution of the respondents promotes a closer look at how various groups in the population perceived and experienced the government's actions during the COVID-19 pandemic. Thus, the study seeks to achieve the goal of collectivism by adopting a comprehensive multi-sectoral and regional diversity to generate policy and practice-relevant insights for future crisis management from a diverse sample of participants. This helps in offering specific and valid solutions to the activities in the health crisis all over Saudi Arabia's geographical landscape.

Sample Characteristics

The sample for this study is carefully selected to ensure that the research yields a broad and enriching perspective regarding Saudi Arabia's crisis management throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. The sample comprises respondents drawn from different fields that are connected with or affected by the pandemic response in one way or another. Such an approach guarantees that the

data collected and analyzed reflects all the aspects of crisis management activities and their impact on people of different ages, genders, and occupations.

Composition of the Sample

The sample includes healthcare personnel, governors empowered with the health portfolio in their respective regions, disease control experts, and the general populace. Subjects of the study are healthcare professionals from different hospitals and clinics in Saudi Arabia, including doctors, nurses, and administrative personnel, to evaluate the situation and capacity of the country's healthcare system during the pandemic period firsthand. From the structure and applicable standards' perspectives, the stakeholders include government officials at various administrative levels and departments, providing insights concerning the policy formulation process, implementing the interventions, and coordinating the tasks among the agencies. Other participants, such as public health specialists, provide information on the efficiency of the main public health campaigns and technological opportunities, and the general population selects the participants to reveal their behavior and adherence to the measures necessary to prevent cases of virus transmission.

Ensuring Representativeness and Reliability

To ensure that the findings are accurate and that the sample set is a good representation of the population, the researcher uses the technique of stratified random sampling. This approach is useful to ensure that subgroups in the population are sufficiently sampled and that an overall generalization can be made of the study conducted. Quantitative data is obtained from government health information systems and other economic sources to eliminate bias and attain a comprehensive database. In the qualitative part of the study, the respondents are interviewed through semi-structured interviews and surveys to gather detailed information from those who are

in some ways affected by the pandemic. This approach minimizes the chances of sampling bias since each location's experiential variation is captured to explain the situation in Saudi Arabia comprehensively. Therefore, proper sample selection and the level of stratification assist in developing a solid foundation for the study findings and recommendations while minimizing their bias towards certain samples and narrowing down the data range.

Sample Size Determination

Determining the Sample Size

The extent of the population to be sampled is of great significance while establishing the sample size to be used in the study. In the case of this research, since the data collection is going to involve both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods, sample sizes for each of the data collection techniques have to be computed. During the quantitative phase, the statistical power analysis is used to determine the sample size required to provide the study with sufficient statistical power to demonstrate the effects of the intervention. This entails defining the expected effect size or a measure of magnitude, targeted power (often 0.80), and the chosen alpha level, which is generally 0.05. With these parameters, power analysis findings indicate that at least 500 respondents will be adequate to provide comprehensive statistical testing of the dependent variables, such as infection rates, mortality rates, and compliance rates among the public.

Qualitative Component Sample Size

In the case of the qualitative part, the sample size depends on the data saturation approach. Sampling saturation is reached when more data collection does not add new information or findings, meaning that the sample is sufficiently large. Usually, qualitative research involves smaller samples than quantitative ones, owing to their emphasis on richness instead of coverage. For this study, 30-50 interviews with different stakeholders are expected to be conducted:

healthcare workers, policymakers, public health specialists, and lay people. This range of opinions was selected to achieve diverse opinions covering all aspects of crisis management strategies and their effects. Interviews continue until further interviews do not yield new themes and/or patterns, signifying data saturation.

Ensuring Adequate Representation

Representativeness of the focus in sample numbers and quality is essential for a study's validity. In the quantitative survey, varied sampling methods are used, especially the methods that are under the category of probability, known as stratified sampling, to make certain that varied features of the various population's subgroups, for instance, age, gender, and socio-economic status, are well represented. This approach increases the generalization of results to the whole population of Saudi Arabia. In the case of qualitative interviews, purposive sampling is employed to identify participants who are directly engaged in or impacted by the responses to the pandemic. This means that selected participants are from different regions, healthcare facilities and government departments to get a variation of experiences and ideas. Thus, by integrating these sampling methods, the study is guaranteed a sufficiently large sample size and a representative sample, thereby providing a firm base to derive valid and meaningful conclusions regarding Saudi Arabia's crisis management during the COVID-19 outbreak.

Data Collections procedures

The data collection processes for this study entail a more structured and methodical approach intended to accrue adequate data pertinent to the assessments of Saudi Arabia's performance in managing the COVID-19 crisis. Integrating qualitative and quantitative data-gathering instruments is inevitable due to applying a mixed-methods research design to capture all the interventions and their effects. These procedures entail the formulation of strategies in order to

acquire appropriate and fair data to capture the variation and all the experiences that people went through concerning the pandemic response.

Quantitative Data Collection

The quantitative data collection process entails the following steps that can enhance the reliability and validity of collected data. To begin with, infection rates, mortality rates, healthcare capability, and economic parameters are obtained from government records, health databases, and economic records. These sources are selected based on their reliability and coverage to ensure the reliability of the quantitative data on which the subsequent sections rely. It is gathered at specific time intervals and is beneficial for tracking the shifts and tendencies that occur in the state with time.

A structured questionnaire with a sample of 500 respondents is used to collect data quantitatively. This survey has developed and implemented closed-ended questions to collect data on adherence to health measures, views on government interference, and socio-economic consequences of the pandemic. It is taken both using an online questionnaire format and a face-to-face format for convenient access and a high response rate. Surveys are then conducted to gather the necessary data, which is then keyed into statistical software for testing employing statistical procedures like regression and time series analysis to check the correlation between independent and dependent variables.

Qualitative Data Collection

In the case of the qualitative component, data collection entails face-to-face interviews with personnel from the healthcare practice, governmental and non-governmental organizations, public health departments, and the community. These interviews are intended to obtain richer qualitative data and qualitative perceptions of the actions and the measures taken during a crisis and how

effective these actions were. This kind of interviewing format provides much freedom and, at the same time, guides the interviewer to ensure that all the relevant aspects of the interview are covered, including going deeper into researched topics of interest.

The process involves selecting and enlisting participants through purposive sampling to achieve variation in expertise and experience. The interviews can be conducted with the participants in person or through video conferencing, whichever is more convenient. All interviews are tape-recorded with the participants' permission, and the observations are written in detail. A second step involves thematic analysis to determine themes and patterns that may be informative and add depth to qualitative data information at the same time as the quantitative information.

Integration of Data Collection Methods

The study's strength is its use of qualitative and quantitative research data collection tools, which provide a detailed analysis with multiple approaches to data collection. The research will use quantitative and qualitative approaches to explain the results and validate the conclusions made from the study. For example, specific numerical figures elicited from the infection rates and the compliance levels can be discussed concerning the qualitative findings on people's perceptions and experiences of the outbreaks and the measures being taken to address them.

Ensuring Data Quality and Reliability

The credibility and dependability of the data collected are highly sensitive in implementing the data collection procedures. In terms of quantitative data, this entails the employment of highly standardized questionnaires and high standards of data entry and cleaning procedures. Pretesting of the survey is administration to ensure that there are no flaws in the questions or organization of the survey. Data entry includes checking for completed data and total consistency, as well as for any problem arising if it is solved immediately.

In the qualitative component, data quality is a crucial aspect. It entails great care in selecting and treating participants and the general manner in which the interview process is handled. Introductions and sample administration involve training of the interviewers to avoid variability in the two sample collection processes. The transcription process is conducted very carefully to avoid making any possible errors; also, coding is done by the coding frameworks to minimize possible errors.

Ethical Considerations

The ethical issues are to be considered constantly during the data collection process. Subjects know the reasons behind the study, methods and techniques to be used, and their privileges and freedoms, which entail their freedom to quit the study at any given time. Subjects are enrolled only after explaining the study's purpose, procedures and potential risks and benefits, and participants' identities remain anonymous. Sensitive information is kept in electronic format; only those working with it can access it. Clearance is obtained from the respective ethical consideration of institutional review boards to guarantee that pure ethical practice is followed.

Study Determinants

Temporal Boundaries

This study is designed to incorporate all available data from the beginning of the disease in late 2019 to the data provided in 2023. It provides time for examination of different stages of the disease, starting from the first wave period, critical points with increased infection rates, active navigation of the crisis, and periods of active recovery. By analyzing data over such a long span, the study will be able to capture the pandemic trends, the timing of government interventions and the outcomes of such measures. Such temporal coverage is important when considering the crisis processes' flow and the responses' impact and efficiency.

Spatial Boundaries

The geographical scope is limited to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to offer an extensive analysis of crisis management in different kingdom areas. Saudi Arabia has been subdivided into five planning regions, thirteen administrative regions, and one hundred and eighteen governorates, fundamentally differing in demographic, geographic and socio-economic conditions. This way, the study hopes to capture the different approaches different regions have taken to combat the pandemic and the results elicited from those measures. The spatial scope enables analysis of how various areas, with their particular healthcare infrastructure and population density structures, addressed the crisis. It also avails the key successes and gaps in the response for a more informed and coherent picture of the national response.

Objective Boundaries

This study focuses on identifying and analyzing the outcomes of crisis management approaches initiated by the Saudi government in response to the COVID-19 outbreak. Some goals include identifying the effectiveness of government policies, health campaigns, technologies, and socio-economic practices on factors like incidence and mortality, health care systems, compliance rates, and economic resilience. To this end, the study seeks to analyze these strategies to define their advantages and drawbacks and the causes of success or failure. Also, the purpose of the study is to provide suggestions on how future crises should be managed to improve preparedness and response to other future health crises. These objectives inform the study's data collection and analysis to provide a relevant assessment of Saudi Arabia's response to the pandemic.

By specifying the temporal, spatial, and objective scope of analysis, this research guarantees an integrated and methodical approach to assessing Saudi Arabia's crisis management

in COVID-19. Such a scrolling structure enables one to capture and explain changes and effects of interventions that help enhance the future management of health crises.

Method of Analysis

The approach to analysis for this study uses a range of statistical techniques to critically to determine the suitability of Saudi Arabia's crisis management approaches in the COVID-19 outbreak. These tools are critical for qualitative and quantitative research, making it possible to comprehensively assess the treatment effects of various dependents, including infection rates, mortality rates, health system capacity, individuals' compliance, and economic stability, among others. Thus, the study is expected to accomplish the following objectives: Use sophisticated statistical methods to obtain significant conclusions and offer well-argued recommendations for confronting future crises.

Descriptive Statistics

Exploratory statistics are the first stage in the evaluation process, whereby the analysis results offer an outline of the obtained data and its fundamental properties. It involves using mean, median, mode, standard deviation, and variance calculations to define the data's tendency and dispersion. For instance, the mean of infection and mortality are calculated to give a rough picture of the pandemic. Thus, descriptive statistics are also applied to provide the demographics of survey participants, including age, gender, and socio-economic status, to ensure the generalizability of the findings to the population. Such statistical overviews aid in finding general trends beneficial to the next, exhaustive analyses.

Inferential Statistics

Inferential statistics are used to explain the characteristics of a sample, while inferential statistics are used to establish the population. T-tests, chi-square tests and ANOVA are the

common statistical techniques used for comparison to show that the differences are significant. For example, hypothesis testing was conducted using a t-test to compare the infection rates before and after implementing definite government measures. On the other hand, it is possible to use ANOVA to determine the significance of the cross-regional compliance variation. Other descriptive statistics used in defining relations between the independent variables, such as public health campaigns or technological advancement, and the dependent variables, such as infections or mortality rates include linear and logistic regressions. These inferential statistics aid in arriving at a decision concerning which variables influence crises management.

Time-Series Analysis

The other method of analysis undertaken in the research is the time series analysis, which helps evaluate data collected at different times, trends, cycles, and seasonality on the pandemic and its control. Studying methods Studying the temporal process entails Auto regression integrated moving average (ARIMA), which makes it easier to study the responses of the various interventions to the infection and mortality rates. Specifically, causal analysis is required to demonstrate the direction of the trends about the measures or vaccines used and whether the infection rates increased or decreased. This analysis assists in establishing the differential anticipation of the prediction of activities and post-prognosis of any interventional impact and delays on its results, which is central to planning and development.

Thematic Analysis for Qualitative Data

Quantitative data are collected with quantitative questionnaires. However, numerical data in this research have been received with the help of semi-structured questionnaires to follow the analysis process based on thematic analysis. This approach involves developing variables into which data collected regarding emergency management strategies will be sorted in order of

subjects of interest searching for trends and patterns from the collected data. The interview transcripts are then subjoined to a relevant program to manage and analyze qualitative data like NVivo or any other compatible program. Some of the other areas include the sentiments that the public held towards the governments' interferences like the stock market, the various repercussions that the frontline health care givers received, and the societal structure that events like the lockdown brought to the limelight, which is part of the health segment. This widens the lens regarding how crisis management affects initiatives, as discussed from the angle of the context data alongside the quantitative data derived from the studies.

CHAPTER FOUR: PRACTICAL STUDY

Introduction

This chapter focuses on the data gathered to assess Saudi Arabia's crisis management regime during the COVID-19 pandemic. The analysis offers an understanding of extant literature assessment to gauge the efficiency of distinct interventions like Governing Policies, Public Health Campaigns, Technological Advancements, and Socio-economic Interventions. To provide a sound analysis of the extent of the pandemic, challenges encountered, and achievements made, this chapter focuses on analyzing the quantitative and qualitative data about infection rates, mortality rates, healthcare system capacity, compliance levels, and economic vulnerability.

The research implications are presented based on the Saudi Arabian experience and compared to earlier studies to understand new and valuable information better. This chapter also presents implementable suggestions based on the findings arising from the study that would improve future crisis management efforts. Furthermore, a list containing all the references used in the study in Arabic and English is provided to supplement the findings and the recommendations. This tactful endeavor ensures the evaluation is comprehensive and the recommendations are practicable, enhancing public health preparedness and response.

Data Analysis

The data collected for this study offers a systematic description of the perception the public has of the Saudi Arabian government in handling the crisis, which is COVID-19. The information collected involves different ages, genders, occupations, and residents from different districts regarding their perceptions and satisfaction with the government policies and communications, healthcare services and support for economic difficulties. This analysis is carried out to help find out more about where and why potential issues might erupt and what can be done to ensure that similar disasters are not repeated in the future.

Demographic Breakdown

The participants mentioned in this study cover a wide age range, gender distribution, and educational status to ensure that the research sample is a good representation of the Saudi population. Most of the respondents fall within the 18-44 age bracket; more specifically, the male respondents are 70%, while the female respondents are 30%. In terms of education, while the majority of the respondents have completed their Bachelor's degree (60%), the second largest group is made up of Master's degree holders (30%), and the lowest percentage of respondents has dropped out of high school (10%). The respondents are sourced from many regions of Saudi Arabia, including Riyadh, Jeddah, Madinah and other cities, representing all the country's regions.

Government Policies and Communication

On implementing government measures in combating the virus, most respondents gave high ratings regarding the stringency of policies and strategies of the government. A considerable sample of the respondents viewed governmental actions positively and gave them the highest marks of efficacy of 9 or 10 out of 10 (85%); in Riyadh and Jeddah, the percentage was even higher. While most respondents presented high scores, there were some lower ratings about the East Province and respondents aged 45 and over. The level of satisfaction with the information shared by the government was relatively high. A significant proportion of respondents 80% stated that they feel that receiving enough information concerning measures and restrictions. However, a small fraction complained of dissatisfaction with their experience and pointed to some necessary measures to enhance public understanding of the policies and their implementation.

Healthcare Services

The availability and adequacy of measures taken to ensure effective healthcare services during the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic were crucial factors for the respondents. Most

respondents noted high access and satisfaction levels toward health care services provided, and the average rating was 4.5. More than any other aspect, respondents from both Jeddah and Riyadh appreciated the competency and readiness of the staff. Some respondents identified that healthcare services in their areas were substantially accessed and of lower quality in some regions. The public's perception of the preparedness of the healthcare profession was moderately positive, with a mean score of 4.5, which implied that the public had confidence that the healthcare system in Rwanda was well prepared to handle covid 19 cases.

Economic Impact and Support

Economically, respondents were evenly divided. Overall, the financial impacts of COVID-19 were not fully consistent. They fluctuated differently from one respondent to the other. The general sentiment of the Saudi government's economic support measures during the pandemic. According to the perception of respondents, 40% of them stated that their financial status declined, and the other part stated it became better or remained similar to before. To be more precise, only those participants who had received any form of support from the government or financial assistance gave those support measures a relatively better rating, with an average satisfaction score of 4 on a scale of 1 to 5. A noticeable portion of the respondents claimed not to have received any economic assistance, which might have signified some inadequacies in financial assistance provisions. The perceived satisfaction with the proposed economic support measures was an average of 3 out of 5, meaning that the measures displayed moderate satisfaction regarding how effectively they are implemented and disseminated.

Comparative Analysis

To compare these results with the findings of similar studies, the following conclusions have been identified in terms of public perception and effectiveness of the government measures:

As throughout the international community, Saudi Arabian respondents also highly valued healthcare services and government communication during the crisis, as the result of well-coordinated measures. Nevertheless, the financial assistance and economic support measures revealed some fluctuation and the world community's issues regarding the pandemic's economic consequences. The discrepancies in health access and quality also hold to what was established in other works, showing the reality of inequity across different regions and, therefore, calling for regional specificity.

Research Findings

Demographic Overview

Regarding demographics, the survey respondents are a suitable sample of the Saudi population covering all ages, genders, and various levels of education. Most respondents were from the 18-44 age group; male respondents dominated the survey at 70%, while female respondents represented only 30%. Regarding the education level distribution, 60% had Bachelor's degrees, 30% Master's degree holders, and the rest had high school education only. The respondents were mostly based in Saudi Arabia's large urban areas, as seen by Riyadh, Jeddah, and Madinah responses. However, a rich mix of demographic backgrounds was represented in the sample population of Saudi Arabia.

Effectiveness of Government Policies

The survey shows that the citizens appreciate the efforts made by the government to ensure that they follow the policies and strategies in combating COVID-19. A high number of the participants, particularly those sampled in Riyadh and Jeddah, provided positive assessments of the effectiveness of these measures, with ratings ranging from 9 to 10 (85%). This positive feedback supports the earlier researched articles that pointed to the effectiveness of the Saudi

government measures such as early lock downs, massive testing and implementation of strict quarantines as measures that have proved effective in containing the virus.

However, there were discrepancies in responses, as some literally had low ratings for the policies, more so those from the Eastern Province and the aged 45 and above counterparts. This claim indicates that more targeted prevention strategies need to be developed to manage the issues faced by the mentioned demographic populations. The fluctuation in satisfaction levels could also be attributed to regional variations in compliance with government measures and the level of enforcement exercised by different regions.

Public Communication and Information

When it comes to public communication and people's understanding of COVID-19 measures, it is evident that people tend to have positive perceptions. Of the two hundred and ten respondents, at least eighty percent of the participants reported satisfactory levels of awareness regarding the measures and restrictions made by the Saudi government. These high levels of satisfaction with government communication efforts are also supported by other studies that agree with the need for good communication practices to be clear, consistent and transparent, especially during managing crises such as disease outbreaks.

However, a few respondents expressed dissatisfaction with the level of communication, possibly suggesting that some sections of society have not been effectively targeted. This underlines the necessity for further enhancement of communication efforts and guaranteeing that all the released information can be easily understood by all the country's citizens with no reference to the level of their income or living in the urban or rural area. These gaps could be bridged, and knowledge of the program and its benefits could spread among the public if more facilities were utilized on digital platforms and community outreach programs were boosted.

Accessibility and Quality of Healthcare Services

Availability and the standard of healthcare throughout the pandemic were major issues affecting the respondents. According to the survey responses, most respondents stated high accessibility and satisfaction with the received healthcare, and the obtained average basic rates are 4.5 out of 5. Respondents from Jeddah and Riyadh specifically reported the efficiency and readiness of the healthcare personnel, and they had faith in the functioning of the KSA's healthcare system to contain COVID-19.

However, certain respondents averred that the extent of access and quality of healthcare services in their area was worse. Such regional discrepancies show the rationale for introducing specific reforms to provide equal access to health care all over the region. Previous studies have also pointed out such disparities and have advocated for better enhancement of the health care systems in low-resource settings to enhance the overall delivery of care throughout crises.

Overall, the perception of healthcare professionals' preparedness was almost balanced, with an average score of 4.5. This is an important notion conveying a lot of trust in the efficiency of healthcare workers, which is necessary to retain public confidence in the healthcare system. To maintain the level of preparedness of healthcare professionals, it is important to foster regular training and follow-up programs.

Economic Impact and Support Measures

The financial effect of COVID-19 differed across respondents, while the measures offered by the Saudi government towards alimentering the issues elicited a polarizing reaction. Some 40% of all respondents mentioned that their financial situation got worse during the pandemic while others saw that their situation improved or remained unchanged. The nature was established by comparing the mean satisfaction scores of the target groups with the availability of the support

measures, whereby, clients who received government support or financial assistance provided higher rating with average score of 4 out of 5 presented against the support measures.

Nevertheless, according to the research findings, about half of the respondents reported not receiving any financial assistance, which could indicate gaps in fiscal support. The perceived satisfaction with economic support measures was moderate, amounting to 3 out of 5 possible points, which suggests that still more can be done regarding the delivery and accessibility of such measures. These results corroborate other works that described the economic losses due to the pandemic and the benefits of government support measures with different efficiency.

Filling the gaps in the targeting and distribution of economic support can be achieved through improving the efficiency of set goals and targets to support people in need during the pandemic. Furthermore, more measures must be taken for continuous economic recovery, where small businesses and the most affected people must be included to achieve a fair and promising economic recovery.

Comparative Analysis with Previous Studies

The analysis of the results highlights the general tendencies regarding the public attitude and the efficacy of governmental actions and the similarities with the data obtained in another research. As seen in similar global trends, Saudi Arabian respondents prioritized health care services and government communication successfully managing the crises. However, the financial assistance and economic support measures were variable, and the problem corresponded to the global experience of handling the effects of the pandemic on the economy.

The literature has indicated that the delivery of appropriate and timely measures is crucial in dealing with issues to do with public health, and the Saudi case supports the findings. Government policies and healthcare services received higher ratings, which points to the fact that

timely actions and strong healthcare systems are among the key factors that define successful crisis response. Nevertheless, the varying reactions to economic support measures emphasize the importance of addressing the problems arising from economic crisis more holistically and inclusively.

The distribution of health care facilities and quality across regions also correlate with other scholars' research regarding regional differences and, therefore, the applicability of regional solutions. Heavily targeted African Americans and other minorities with higher incidences of preexisting conditions, these disparities can be resolved through the enhancement of the health system in most deprived regions and equal distribution of the necessary resources in the future pandemic.

The conclusion derived from the studies demonstrates the key successes of Saudi Arabia's crisis management in the context of the COVID-19 outbreak. The appreciable scores of the government policies and the healthcare services show that improvised strategies are being implemented, but the perceptions about the economic support measures are mixed. Other areas that can be addressed to complement the country's preparedness for future health crises include exploration of and efforts toward closing the geographical disparities in healthcare accessibility across the country and improving the country's existing communication approaches in the event of such delicate occurrences.

The following are some of the recommendations that can be made based on the conclusions that were discovered. First of all, increasing the targeting and effectiveness of extending economic stimuli can contribute to delivering aid to those individuals most impacted by COVID-19. Secondly, improving public communication strategies must be an ongoing process because, in the course of delivering different messages, it is critical to ensure that all the public members can

easily understand these pieces of information. This includes using technology and community share programs to cover the demography of the populations of interest.

Thirdly, investment in the health care system requires enhancing infrastructure in the health care facilities in the areas of need to solve regional variations. This entails providing healthcare facilities like hospitals, funding the education of healthcare personnel, and providing necessary equipment and needy products.

Lastly, constant reinforcement and educational endeavors for healthcare personnel are critical for healthcare readiness and stakeholders' assurance of the healthcare system. By maintaining the appropriate attention and provisions for the HCW to be capable of withstanding a health crisis, the general strength of the healthcare segment is improved.

Research Recommendations

Enhancing Economic Support Measures

The consequences of COVID-19 on the financial situations of Saudi Arabian citizens were diverse, and the noticeable decline in their financial status was a common experience. Overall, 38 percent of the respondents cited their financial status as suffering, revealing discrepancies in the offered economic stimulus initiatives. To this end, it is suggested that the Saudi government enhance its targeting strategies And guarantee that financial assistance gets provided to deserving individuals. More effective observation and understanding of needs can help to target aid to those who suffer most, thus achieving a fair distribution of the available resources. In the case of the aid delivery process, it was clear that transparency and understanding of the criteria for eligibility for the aid are important components in forming public perception and satisfaction. Moreover, constant evaluation of support measures allows for the timely identification of new financial requirements

that should be met and the appropriateness of support, thus making monetary help prompt and sufficient.

Strengthening Healthcare Infrastructure

The pandemic survey revealed that healthcare services in the selected regions were either less accessible or of low standards. Whereas Saudi Arabian cities such as Riyadh and Jeddah recorded high customer satisfaction, other areas claimed low service delivery. To this end, the Saudi government should expand resources to improve the effectiveness of healthcare delivery in the aforementioned regions. This entails building new health facilities, expanding or renovating existing facilities, and ensuring the availability of relevant healthcare equipment and medicines. It is also important that these areas are staffed with more healthcare workers and that there are other ways to train them continuously. Higher levels of telemedicine services will be useful to everyone, especially patients who live in rural areas. They must also receive high-quality medical care.

Improving Public Communication Strategies

Improving communication is critical throughout the infectious disease outbreak; certain deficiencies emerged while the Saudi government's responses were generally positive. A few of the respondents reported that they did not receive enough information; therefore, the communication strategies should be enhanced. Recommendations to improve the government's approach of the public include the use of the media, online and offline interaction with the population, and social networks to reach the entire population group. Following a more specific communication model and regularly disseminating information in multiple languages and formats is critical to address the Saudi population's heterogeneity. Thus, this approach is useful in delivering accurate information to all citizens and increasing awareness and compliance.

Ensuring Continuous Support for Healthcare Professionals

A survey study of healthcare professionals in the kingdom revealed high patient satisfaction with their preparedness and performance during the outbreak. Nevertheless, it is important for this level of preparedness to be sustained and training procedures and resource reinforcement to be provided constantly. The Saudi government should ensure that all working nurses undergo regular in-service education training that covers best clinical practice, clinical emergencies and the management of caregivers' psychological health. The importance of the strategies includes the availability of sufficient protective wear and the promotion of the overall health and welfare of the healthcare personnel. Supporting such referent relationships through peer support networks or counselling can protect stressed HCWs from future health emergencies.

Addressing Regional Disparities

The survey established geographic inequalities in access to and quality healthcare services existed. The study revealed that the respondents in the urban area expressed high satisfaction compared to the rest of the regions' respondents. For such reasons, the Saudi government should undertake a needs analysis for every kingdom region to help in budget prioritization. Admirable as equity might be, providing healthcare services so that some patients get better attention than others with similar problems is irresponsible. Regional health task forces can help respond and adjust to the peculiarities of the problem areas in cooperation with the local administration and population. This targeted approach ensures that the implemented healthcare interventions are coherent and adaptable to each area's needs.

Leveraging Technology for Health and Communication

The goals of using digital health technologies and platforms while mitigating the COVID-19 virus were achieved, but further optimization is possible. The Saudi government should invest

more to build capacity and structure a strategy for telemedicine services, mobile health applications, and comprehensive electronic health records. These technologies can enhance the accessibility to healthcare, especially among those in remote regions and can help manage health information efficiently. It shows how the government can use data analytics and artificial intelligence to improve health industry trends or crises in real-time position-making. Thus, it provides very effective and efficient public health care.

APPENDIXES

Appendix A: Questionnaire

Section 1: Demographic Information

1. What is your age?
 - 18-24
 - 25-34
 - 35-44
 - 45 and above
2. What is your gender?
 - Male
 - Female
3. What is your highest level of education completed?
 - High School
 - Bachelor's degree
 - Master's degree
 - PhD
4. In which region of Saudi Arabia do you reside?
 - Riyadh
 - Jeddah
 - Madinah
 - Eastern Province (Dammam, Khobar, etc.)
 - Other (please specify)

Section 2: Government Policies and Strategies

1. How would you rate the effectiveness of government policies and strategies in controlling the spread of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia?

- 1 (Not effective)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Very effective)

2. How satisfied were you with the Saudi government's communication regarding COVID-19 measures and updates?

- Very dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Neutral
- Satisfied
- Very satisfied

3. Did you feel adequately informed about the measures and restrictions implemented by the Saudi government during the COVID-19 pandemic?

- Yes

- No
- Maybe

Section 3: Healthcare Services

1. How accessible were healthcare services (testing, treatment) during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia?

- 1 (Not accessible)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5 (Very accessible)

2. Were you satisfied with the quality of healthcare services provided during the COVID-19 pandemic?

- 1 (Not satisfied)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5 (Very satisfied)

3. How prepared did you perceive healthcare professionals to be in managing COVID-19 cases?

- 1 (Not prepared)
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5 (Very prepared)

Section 4: Economic Impact and Support

1. How has COVID-19 affected your financial situation?

- Improved
- Worsened
- No significant change

2. Did you receive any government support or financial assistance during the COVID-19 pandemic?

- Yes
- No

3. How satisfied were you with the economic support measures provided by the Saudi government during the COVID-19 pandemic?

- 1 (Not satisfied)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5 (Very satisfied)

References

- Abalkhail, A. A. A., & Al Amri, S. M. A. (2022). Saudi Arabia's Management of the Hajj Season through Artificial Intelligence and Sustainability. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 14142. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114142>
- Abdulaziz Ahmed Abdulaziz, Abdulelah Marwan Algosaibi, Abdullah Saad Alquhaibi, Fatimah Nuri Alali, Mohammed Sami Almutawaa, Muhammad Azam Roomi, & Yasser Ahmad Bhatti. (2023). Digital Healthcare Innovation and Development in Saudi Arabia During and Beyond COVID-19. *Science Technology & Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09717218231178229>
- Agboola, M. O., Bekun, F. V., & Balsalobre-Lorente, D. (2021). Implications of Social Isolation in Combating COVID-19 Outbreak in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Its Consequences on the Carbon Emissions Reduction. *Sustainability*, 13(16), 9476. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169476>
- Alanezi, F., Aljahdali, A., Alyousef, S., Alrashed, H., Alshaikh, W., Mushcab, H., & Alanzi, T. (2020). Implications of Public Understanding of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia for Fostering Effective Communication Through Awareness Framework. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00494>
- AlFattani, A., AlMeharish, A., Nasim, M., AlQahtani, K., & AlMudraa, S. (2021). Ten public health strategies to control the Covid-19 pandemic: the Saudi Experience. *IJID Regions*, 1, 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijregi.2021.09.003>
- Alharbi, R. K. (2022). Saudi Arabia's small and medium enterprises (SMES) sector post-Covid-19 recovery: stakeholders' perception on investment sustainability. *International Journal*

- of *Organizational Analysis*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoa-10-2021-2993>
- Aljishi, M., & Almshahrawi, M. (2019). *Socio-Economic impact of COVID-19 in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and how to Build Back Better*. <https://aialibrary.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/PHD-MAALI-ALI-2022-FINAL.pdf>
- Alkathlan, M. S., Alsuyufi, Y. A., Alresheedi, A. F., Khalil, R., Parveen Anjum Sheiq, Alotaieq, S. S., Almithn, A. A., Alissa, I. I., Alayyaf, H. F., Alharbi, R. M., Alkhamis, I. A., & Osama Al-Wutayd. (2023). *Healthcare adjustments and concerns: a qualitative study exploring the perspectives of healthcare providers and administrative staff during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia*. 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.961060>
- Alsharif, A. (2020). A Framework for E-Health Strategy for managing pandemics in Saudi Arabia: in the context of COVID-19 (Preprint). *JMIR Medical Informatics*. <https://doi.org/10.2196/19524>
- Ameen, F., Amna, T., Alghamdi, A. A. A., AlKahtani, M. D. F., & AlYahya, S. A. (2020). Covid-19 pandemic outburst in Saudi Arabia: A glimpse. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.07.026>
- Bashir, S., Alabdulkarim, N., Altwaijri, N., Alhaidri, N., Hashim, R., Nasim, E., Mir, A., Albaradie, R., Habib, S. S., & Abualait, T. (2021). The battle against the COVID-19 pandemic- a perspective from Saudi Arabia. *One Health*, 12, 100229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2021.100229>
- Christensen, T., & Lægreid, P. (2020). The coronavirus crisis—crisis communication, meaning-making, and reputation management. *International Public Management Journal*, 23(5), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2020.1812455>

- Dahlan, K. R., Badawi, A. A., & Megahed, A. (2022, June 21). *Perspective Chapter: Data as Currency - On the Impact of ICTs and Data on the Saudi Economy and Industrial Sector*. Wwww.intechopen.com; IntechOpen. <https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/82313>
- Dwiedienawati, D., Tjahjana, D., Faisal, M., Gandasari, D., & Abdinagoro, S. B. (2021). Determinants of perceived effectiveness in crisis management and company reputation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cogent Business & Management*, 8(1), 1912523. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.1912523>
- El Keshky, M. E. S., Basyouni, S., & Al Sabban, A. (2020). The psychological and social impacts on personal stress for residents quarantined for COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2020.09.008>
- Fernandez, A. A., & Shaw, G. P. (2020). Academic leadership in a time of crisis: The coronavirus and COVID-19. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jls.21684>
- González-Castro, J. L., Ubillos-Landa, S., Puente-Martínez, A., & Gracia-Leiva, M. (2021). Perceived Vulnerability and Severity Predict Adherence to COVID-19 Protection Measures: The Mediating Role of Instrumental Coping. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.674032>
- Greer, S. L., Rozenblum, S., Falkenbach, M., Löblová, O., Jarman, H., Williams, N., & Wismar, M. (2022). Centralizing and decentralizing governance in the COVID-19 pandemic: The politics of credit and blame. *Health Policy*, 126(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2022.03.004>
- Hassanain, M. (2017). An Overview of the Performance Improvement Initiatives by the Ministry of Health in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care*

- Organization, Provision, and Financing*, 54(1), 004695801770787.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0046958017707872>
- Havrlant, D., Darandary, A., & Muhsen, A. (2020). Early estimates of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on GDP: a case study of Saudi Arabia. *Applied Economics*, 53(12), 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2020.1828809>
- Jaziri, R., & Miralam, M. S. (2021). The impact of crisis and disasters risk management in COVID-19 times: Insights and lessons learned from Saudi Arabia. *Ethics, Medicine and Public Health*, 18, 100705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemep.2021.100705>
- Josephson, A., Kilic, T., & Michler, J. D. (2021). Socioeconomic impacts of COVID-19 in low-income countries. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01096-7>
- Madhav, N., Oppenheim, B., Gallivan, M., Prime Mulembakani, Rubin, E., & Wolfe, N. (2019). Pandemics: Risks, Impacts, and Mitigation. *Nih.gov*, 17(3). <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0527-1/pt5.ch17>
- Menoni, S., & Schwarze, R. (2020). Recovery during a crisis: facing the challenges of risk assessment and resilience management of COVID-19. *Environment Systems and Decisions*, 40(2), 189–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10669-020-09775-y>
- Organization, W. H. (2023). Managing epidemics: key facts about major deadly diseases. In *Google Books. World Health Organization*.
<https://books.google.co.ke/books?hl=en&lr=&id=daMOEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=+Pandemics+are+unlike+other+types+of+crises+because+they+are+defined+as+the+occurrence+of+epidemics+within+a+short+time+across+a+large+population+or+across+different+geographical+regions>

- Parveen, M. (2020). Challenges Faced by Pandemic Covid 19 Crisis: A Case Study in Saudi Arabia. *Challenge*, 63(6), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/05775132.2020.1822659>
- Raj, R., & Bozonelos, D. (2020). COVID-19 Pandemic: Risks Facing Hajj and Umrah. *International Journal of Religious Tourism and Pilgrimage*, 8(7). <https://doi.org/10.21427/awkb-8f25>
- Raja, A. T., Alshamsan, A., & Al-jedai, A. (2020). Current COVID-19 vaccine candidates: Implications in the Saudi population. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2020.10.019>
- Sanfelici, M. (2020). The Italian Response to the COVID-19 Crisis: Lessons Learned and Future Direction in Social Development. *The International Journal of Community and Social Development*, 2(2), 191–210. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2516602620936037>
- Shmueli, L. (2021). Predicting intention to receive COVID-19 vaccine among the general population using the health belief model and the theory of planned behavior model. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10816-7>
- Sony, M., & Naik, S. (2020). Industry 4.0 integration with socio-technical systems theory: A systematic review and proposed theoretical model. *Technology in Society*, 61, 101248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101248>
- Suleimany, M., Mokhtarzadeh, S., & Sharifi, A. (2022). Community resilience to pandemics: An assessment framework developed based on the review of COVID-19 literature. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 80, 103248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2022.103248>
- Tagarev, T., & Ratchev, V. (2020). A Taxonomy of Crisis Management Functions. *Sustainability*, 12(12), 5147. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12125147>

- Yezli, S., & Khan, A. (2020). COVID-19 social distancing in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Bold measures in the face of political, economic, social and religious challenges. *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease*, 37(2), 101692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101692>
- You, J. (2020). Lessons From South Korea's Covid-19 Policy Response. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 50(6-7), 801–808. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020943708>
- Yousif, S., & Bawhab, O. (2023, June 22). *The healthcare system in Saudi Arabia: evolution, transformation and the COVID-19 experience*. Wwww.elgaronline.com; Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://www.elgaronline.com/edcollchap/book/9781786439673/book-part-9781786439673-17.xml>
- Yusuf, N., & Shesha, L. S. (2021). Economic Role of Population Density during Pandemics—A Comparative Analysis of Saudi Arabia and China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(8), 4318. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084318>
- Zanin, M., Xiao, C., Liang, T., Ling, S., Zhao, F., Huang, Z., Lin, F., Lin, X., Jiang, Z., & Wong, S.-S. (2020). The public health response to the COVID-19 outbreak in mainland China: a narrative review. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*, 12(8), 4434–4449. <https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd-20-2363>

Midocean University

College Of Management

Department of Business Administration



Crises Management During Covid-19 in Saudi Arabia

Prepared By:

Eng. Abdulrahman Khalid Ba'Abad

Eng. Faisel Suleiman Al-Mugbil

Supervised By:

Dr. Al Faisel Abdulhamid

Dr. Faiz Jarad

Year 2024