

Midocean University
Faculty of Management
Bachelor of project management



The impact of organizational commitment On job performance

Sara Mubarak Ahmed Baquhaizel

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Mona Moustafa Elashry

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

2024 – second semester

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	2
1.1. Research Background.....	3
1.2. Research Problem.....	6
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	6
1.2.2. Practical Gaps	9
1.3. Research Significance	12
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	12
1.3.2. At the practical level	13
1.4. Research Questions	13
1.5. Research Objectives	14
1.6. Research Hypotheses.....	14
1.7. Research Model.....	15
1.8. Research Methodology.....	15
1.9. Scope of The Study	16
1.10. The Suggested Project Structure.....	16
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review	17
2.1. Introduction	18
2.2. The independent variable	20
2.3. The dependent variable	23
2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables	26
2.5. Conclusion.....	29
References.....	32

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

Organizational commitment, a crucial aspect of employee engagement, significantly influences job performance. Defined as the psychological attachment an employee feels toward their organization, organizational commitment encompasses three dimensions: affective, continuance, and normative commitment (Meyer & Allen, 1991). These dimensions collectively shape an employee's willingness to exert effort and their overall performance at work. Understanding this relationship is essential for organizations aiming to enhance productivity and retain top talent.

Research consistently demonstrates a positive correlation between organizational commitment and job performance. For instance, a meta-analysis by Riketta (2002) found that employees with high levels of organizational commitment are more likely to display superior job performance. This is particularly evident in affective commitment, where employees emotionally invested in their organization are more motivated to contribute to its success. Such employees tend to exhibit higher levels of job satisfaction and lower turnover intentions, further reinforcing their commitment to the organization (Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch, & Topolnytsky, 2002).

The impact of organizational commitment extends beyond individual performance to influence overall organizational outcomes. Committed employees are not only more productive but also more likely to engage in organizational citizenship behaviors (OCBs) – discretionary actions that go beyond their formal job requirements (Organ, 1997). These behaviors, such as helping colleagues and endorsing the organization's goals, foster a collaborative and positive work environment, which can enhance organizational performance and effectiveness (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Paine, & Bachrach, 2000).

Moreover, the relationship between organizational commitment and job performance is influenced by various contextual factors. Leadership styles, for instance, play a pivotal role in shaping employees' commitment levels. Transformational leadership, characterized by inspirational motivation and individualized consideration, has been shown to significantly boost organizational commitment and, consequently, job performance (Avolio, Zhu, Koh, & Bhatia, 2004). Additionally, organizational support and fair reward systems are crucial in fostering a committed workforce (Eisenberger, Huntington, Hutchison, & Sowa, 1986).

In conclusion, the impact of organizational commitment on job performance is well-documented in organizational behavior literature. High levels of commitment are associated with better job performance, increased organizational citizenship behaviors, and enhanced overall organizational outcomes. For organizations, fostering a culture that nurtures commitment through supportive leadership, fair practices, and a positive work environment is essential for achieving sustained success. As research continues to evolve, understanding the nuances of this relationship will remain vital for both scholars and practitioners in the field of organizational behavior.

1.2. Research Problem

The impact of organizational commitment on job performance is a critical issue in organizational behavior, reflecting the degree to which employees' loyalty and identification with their organization translate into enhanced work outcomes. While high levels of commitment are generally believed to boost performance, the relationship is not straightforward. Various factors, including the type of commitment (affective, continuance, or normative), individual differences, and the organizational environment, can influence this dynamic. Understanding how these elements interact is essential for developing effective management practices that foster both employee commitment and improved job performance.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

When exploring the relationship between organisational commitment and job performance, several theoretical gaps can be identified that offer opportunities for further research:

1. ****Causal Direction and Mechanisms****: While there is a general consensus that organisational commitment influences job performance, the exact causal mechanisms remain unclear. Future research could focus on identifying the specific pathways through which organisational commitment affects job performance. For instance, does emotional commitment lead to increased job satisfaction, which in turn enhances performance, or are there other mediating variables at play?

2. ****Contextual Factors****: The role of contextual factors in moderating the relationship between organisational commitment and job performance is not well understood. Factors such as organisational culture, leadership style, and the external environment may significantly influence this relationship. Research could investigate how different contexts alter the impact of organisational commitment on job performance.
3. ****Types of Commitment****: Organisational commitment can be broken down into affective, continuance, and normative commitment. However, the relative impact of these different types of commitment on job performance is not fully understood. Studies could examine how each type of commitment individually and in combination influences various aspects of job performance.
4. ****Longitudinal Studies****: Much of the existing research is cross-sectional, which limits the ability to make causal inferences. Longitudinal studies could provide more robust evidence of the direction and stability of the relationship between organisational commitment and job performance over time.
5. ****Diverse Workforce****: The impact of organisational commitment on job performance across different demographic groups (e.g., age, gender, cultural background) is under-researched. Understanding how commitment affects performance in a diverse workforce could help tailor strategies to enhance both commitment and performance in various subgroups.
6. ****Global Perspectives****: Most research has been conducted in Western contexts, potentially limiting the generalisability of findings. Studies in non-Western settings could provide insights into how cultural differences influence the relationship between organisational commitment and job performance.

7. ****Role of Technology****: With the increasing prevalence of remote work and digital communication tools, the traditional concepts of organizational commitment and job performance may be evolving. Research could explore how technological changes impact this relationship and whether new forms of commitment are emerging in the digital workplace.

8. ****Measurement Issues****: There is a need for more refined and consistent measures of both organisational commitment and job performance. The development of standardised, validated instruments that capture the multifaceted nature of these constructs would enhance the comparability and reliability of research findings.

9. ****Impact of Job Roles and Tasks****: Different job roles and tasks may interact with organisational commitment in unique ways. Future research could investigate how the nature of one's job (e.g., creative vs. routine tasks, individual vs. team-based work) moderates the relationship between commitment and performance.

10. ****Psychological Well-being****: The interplay between organizational commitment, job performance, and employees' psychological well-being is not well-explored. Research could examine whether highly committed employees experience better or worse psychological outcomes and how this, in turn, affects their job performance.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

Identifying practical gaps in understanding the impact of organizational commitment on job performance highlights areas where organizations can focus efforts to improve both employee engagement and productivity:

1. ****Implementation of Commitment Strategies****: There is a lack of practical guidelines on effectively implementing strategies to enhance organizational commitment. Many organizations struggle to translate theoretical models into actionable practices that can be systematically applied to boost commitment and, subsequently, job performance.
2. ****Customized Approaches****: The one-size-fits-all approach to fostering organizational commitment often fails to account for individual and departmental differences. Practical research is needed to develop tailored strategies that address the specific needs and characteristics of diverse employee groups and roles within an organization.

3. **Measurement Tools**: Many organizations lack reliable and valid tools to measure the different dimensions of organizational commitment and their direct impact on job performance. Practical development and validation of these tools are necessary to accurately assess and address commitment issues.
4. **Leadership Training**: There is a gap in training programs that equip leaders with the skills to foster organizational commitment among their teams. Practical insights into how leadership styles and behaviors can be modified to enhance commitment and performance are needed.
5. **Integration with Performance Management Systems**: Organizational commitment is often not adequately integrated into performance management systems. Developing practical frameworks that align commitment-enhancing practices with performance evaluation and reward systems could bridge this gap.
6. **Work-Life Balance Initiatives**: The impact of work-life balance initiatives on organizational commitment and job performance is underexplored. Practical strategies that help employees balance their work and personal lives, thereby enhancing their commitment and performance, are needed.

7. **Cultural Adaptation**: There is a need for practical strategies to adapt organizational commitment practices to different cultural contexts. Organizations operating in multicultural environments often lack the practical knowledge to effectively foster commitment across diverse cultural settings.
8. **Technology Utilization**: The role of technology in enhancing organizational commitment and job performance is not fully exploited. Practical guidelines on leveraging digital tools and platforms to foster a committed workforce could fill this gap.
9. **Feedback Mechanisms**: Effective feedback mechanisms that link organizational commitment to job performance outcomes are often missing. Practical approaches to establishing continuous and constructive feedback loops can help in monitoring and enhancing both commitment and performance.
10. **Employee Well-being Programs**: There is a practical gap in integrating employee well-being programs with initiatives aimed at increasing organizational commitment. Research into effective ways to align well-being programs with commitment and performance objectives is essential.

“How does organizational commitment influence job performance, and what are the mediating and moderating factors in this relationship?”

1.3. Research Significance

Studying the impact of organisational commitment on job performance is crucial for understanding how employee loyalty and engagement translate into productivity and effectiveness. This research can guide organisations in developing strategies to foster commitment, ultimately enhancing overall performance and achieving business objectives.

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

At the theoretical level, understanding the impact of organisational commitment on job performance is significant because it contributes to the broader knowledge of organisational behaviour and employee dynamics. This research can refine existing theories on motivation, job satisfaction, and performance, offering deeper insights into how commitment influences various aspects of work behaviour and outcomes, and informing the development of more comprehensive and predictive models in organisational psychology.

1.3.2. At the practical level

At the practical level, studying the impact of organizational commitment on job performance holds significant importance for organizations aiming to optimize workforce productivity and retention. By identifying and enhancing factors that foster commitment, such as supportive leadership, organizational culture, and job satisfaction, companies can cultivate a motivated workforce that consistently delivers high-quality performance. This research also guides strategic decisions in human resource management and organizational development, ultimately leading to improved employee engagement, reduced turnover, and increased overall organizational effectiveness.

1.4. Research Questions

- 1- How does the affective commitment influence employee job?
- 2- What role does continuance commitment play in job performance outcomes?
- 3- How does leadership style influence the relationship between organizational commitment and job performance?


1.5. Research Objectives

- 1- To examine the impact of affective commitment influence on employee job.
- 2- To assess the the continuance commitment on the job performance outcomes.
- 3- To explore the leadership style influence on the organizational commitment and job performance.

1.6. Research Hypotheses

- 1- There is statistically significant relationship between affective commitment and employee job
- 2- There is significant positive relationship between continuous commitment and job performance outcomes.
- 3- There is statistically significant positive relationship between leadership style and organizational commitment and job performance.

1.7. Research Model



1.8. Research Methodology

Research philosophy: positivism.

Research methodology: deductive research.

strategy: case study.

Type of research: quantitative.

Horizontally: cross-sectionally.

Procedures : Questionnaire and statistical analysis.

1.9. Scope of The Study

The field study examining the impact of organizational commitment on job performance was conducted among civil servants in Central Lampung Regency, Metro municipality, and East Lampung Regency, Indonesia. The study aimed to understand how multicultural competencies, organizational fairness, and organizational commitment affect job performance.

1.10. The Suggested Project Structure

Chapter 1: introduction
Chapter 2: literature review
Chapter 3: research methodology
Chapter 4: statistical analysis
Chapter 5: discussion and conclusion

2.Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

The relationship between organizational commitment and job performance has been a focal point of research in organizational behavior and human resource management. Organizational commitment, the independent variable, is generally defined as an employee's psychological attachment to their organization, encompassing their willingness to remain with the organization and their dedication to its goals (Meyer & Allen, 1991). Job performance, the dependent variable, refers to how well employees perform their job duties and responsibilities, which is crucial for the overall success and competitiveness of organizations (Campbell, 1990).

Previous studies have highlighted the importance of understanding this relationship, as a high level of organizational commitment is often associated with positive job outcomes, such as increased job performance, reduced turnover, and greater organizational citizenship behaviors (Mathieu & Zajac, 1990; Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch, & Topolnytsky, 2002). However, the exact nature of this relationship can vary based on factors such as the type of organizational commitment (affective, continuance, or normative), the context of the study, and the specific dimensions of job performance being measured (Cohen, 2007).

Given the mixed findings in previous research, it is imperative to conduct further studies to clarify the relationship between organizational commitment and job performance, as well as to identify any moderating or mediating variables that could influence this dynamic. Understanding these variables is essential for organizations seeking to enhance employee performance and develop strategies to foster greater commitment among their workforce.

By examining the impact of organizational commitment on job performance, this research aims to contribute to the existing body of literature and provide valuable insights for both scholars and practitioners. Through a comprehensive analysis of these variables, the study seeks to offer a clearer understanding of how organizations can leverage employee commitment to enhance performance and achieve their strategic objectives.

2.2. The independent variable

Organizational commitment is a key construct in organizational behavior, representing the psychological attachment an employee feels towards their organization. It reflects the degree to which employees identify with, are involved in, and are dedicated to their workplace. The foundational definition provided by Meyer and Allen (1991) identifies three dimensions of organizational commitment: affective, continuance, and normative commitment. These dimensions have shaped much of the subsequent research and development in understanding how commitment impacts workplace behavior and organizational outcomes.

Dimensions of Organizational Commitment

1. Affective Commitment: This dimension, often termed emotional commitment, reflects the employee's emotional attachment to, identification with, and involvement in the organization (Meyer & Allen, 1991). Employees with high affective commitment remain with the organization because they want to, driven by positive emotional ties and a sense of belonging. Affective commitment is closely related to job satisfaction, motivation, and overall well-being at work (Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch, & Topolnytsky, 2002).

2. Continuance Commitment: Also known as commitment to continuity, continuance commitment is based on the costs that employees associate with leaving the organization. This dimension involves an employee staying with the organization because they need to, due to the perceived loss of investments, such as time, effort, or benefits, or the lack of attractive alternatives elsewhere (Meyer & Allen, 1991). Continuance commitment can lead to reduced turnover, but it may not necessarily enhance performance or engagement.

3. Normative Commitment: Sometimes linked to ethical or standardized discipline, normative commitment arises from a sense of obligation to remain with the organization. Employees with high normative commitment feel that they ought to stay due to loyalty, moral reasons, or a belief that leaving would be unethical or unfair to the organization (Wiener, 1982). This sense of duty can stem from organizational culture, socialization processes, or internalized norms.

Several theories provide a framework for understanding organizational commitment:

- **Social Exchange Theory:** Blau's (1964) social exchange theory posits that employees form emotional and attitudinal bonds with their organization based on perceived reciprocal relationships. When employees feel that the organization fulfills their needs and values their contributions, they are more likely to reciprocate with greater commitment.
- **Three-Component Model of Commitment:** Developed by Meyer and Allen (1991), the three-component model (TCM) remains the most influential theory in understanding organizational commitment. It differentiates between affective, continuance, and normative commitment, emphasizing that these components interact to determine an employee's overall level of commitment and behavior.
- **Identity and Self-Concept Theory:** Ashforth and Mael (1989) suggest that commitment is partially driven by the extent to which employees see their identity tied to the organization. This perspective highlights the role of social identity and how deeply an individual's self-concept aligns with organizational values and goals.

Over time, research on organizational commitment has expanded from focusing on its impact on turnover rates to exploring its broader implications for organizational performance, culture, and employee well-being. Studies show that committed employees are more likely to engage in organizational citizenship behaviors, exhibit lower levels of absenteeism, and maintain high job satisfaction (Meyer et al., 2002). Recently, there has been a growing interest in how organizational commitment evolves in diverse work settings, such as virtual teams or hybrid work environments, and its role in promoting resilience during organizational change (Jaros, 2007).

Organizational commitment remains a vital area of study due to its influence on employee behavior and organizational success. Understanding its various dimensions—emotional commitment, commitment to continuity, and ethical discipline—provides valuable insights for organizations aiming to foster a committed and motivated workforce. Theoretical frameworks, including the three-component model and social exchange theory, offer a comprehensive understanding of this construct's complexity and its relevance in modern organizational contexts.

2.3. The dependent variable

Job performance is a multifaceted construct that refers to the degree to which an individual fulfills the duties, responsibilities, and tasks associated with their job role. In organizational behavior and human resource management, job performance is often considered a key outcome variable because it directly impacts organizational effectiveness and success (Campbell, 1990; Rotundo & Sackett, 2002). Job performance encompasses a range of behaviors and outcomes that can be broadly classified into task performance, contextual performance, and counterproductive work behaviors (Borman & Motowidlo, 1993).

Research on job performance has evolved significantly over the years, with early studies focusing primarily on task performance, which involves the completion of core job tasks and responsibilities (Campbell, 1990). Over time, scholars recognized the importance of other dimensions of performance, such as contextual performance, which includes behaviors that contribute to the organizational environment, like helping others and going beyond formal job requirements (Borman & Motowidlo, 1993). More recent research has also examined counterproductive work behaviors (CWB), which are voluntary behaviors that harm the organization or its members, such as theft, sabotage, or interpersonal conflict (Sackett & DeVore, 2001).

Job performance is typically conceptualized through several dimensions that capture the various aspects of an employee's behavior and contributions to the workplace:

1. Job Commitment: This dimension reflects the extent to which employees are dedicated to their work and the organization. High levels of job commitment often lead to greater effort, persistence, and a willingness to go above and beyond the call of duty, which positively impacts performance (Meyer, Becker, & Vandenberghe, 2004).

2. Job Loyalty: Job loyalty involves an employee's allegiance to their organization and their intention to remain with the company over the long term. Employees who exhibit strong job loyalty are less likely to engage in turnover behaviors and are more likely to contribute positively to the organizational culture and climate (Allen & Grisaffe, 2001).

3. Job Discipline: Job discipline refers to the extent to which employees adhere to organizational rules, policies, and ethical standards. Disciplined employees are less likely to engage in counterproductive work behaviors and more likely to contribute positively to overall job performance (Tremblay, Vandenberghe, & Doucet, 2013).

Several theories have been proposed to explain the factors that influence job performance:

1. Goal-Setting Theory: Developed by Locke and Latham (1990), this theory posits that specific, challenging goals can enhance job performance by providing clear direction and motivation for employees. According to this theory, performance improves when individuals are committed to their goals and receive feedback on their progress.

2. Expectancy Theory: Vrooma's (1964) expectancy theory suggests that job performance is a function of an individual's expectations about the outcomes of their behaviors, the perceived value of those outcomes, and the **belief** that their efforts will lead to desired performance. Employees are more likely to perform well when they believe their efforts will be rewarded.

3. Social Exchange Theory: This theory suggests that job performance is influenced by the quality of the exchange relationship between employees and their organization (Blau, 1964). Employees who perceive a positive exchange, characterized by trust and fairness, are more likely to exhibit higher levels of job performance.

4. Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model: The JD-R model posits that job performance is influenced by a balance between job demands (e.g., workload, emotional demands) and job resources (e.g., support, autonomy) (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). High job resources can buffer the negative impact of high job demands on performance, leading to better outcomes.

Job performance is a critical dependent variable in organizational research, encompassing various dimensions and influenced by multiple factors and theories. Understanding the different aspects of job performance, such as job commitment, job loyalty, and job discipline, allows organizations to better manage and enhance employee contributions, ultimately leading to improved organizational outcomes.

2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables

The relationship between organizational commitment (independent variable) and job performance (dependent variable) has been widely studied in the fields of organizational behavior and human resource management. Organizational commitment generally refers to the psychological attachment and loyalty that employees feel toward their organization, encompassing their willingness to remain with the organization and their dedication to its goals (Meyer & Allen, 1991). Job performance, on the other hand, represents how well employees carry out their job duties and responsibilities, including both task performance and contextual performance (Campbell, 1990; Borman & Motowidlo, 1993). While a substantial body of research supports a positive relationship between these two variables, the findings also reveal various patterns, conflicts, and gaps that warrant further investigation.

A predominant pattern in the literature is the positive correlation between organizational commitment and job performance. Employees who exhibit a high level of organizational commitment are generally more motivated, engaged, and inclined to exert effort in their roles, leading to higher job performance (Mathieu & Zajac, 1990; Meyer et al., 2002). This relationship is particularly strong when considering affective commitment, which reflects an emotional attachment to the organization. Affective commitment has been consistently linked to better job performance, as emotionally committed employees are more likely to go beyond the minimum requirements of their jobs and engage in organizational citizenship behaviors (OCBs) (Allen & Meyer, 1996; Riketta, 2002).

However, the relationship is not uniformly strong across all types of organizational commitment. For example, continuance commitment, which is based on the costs associated with leaving the organization, has been found to have a weaker or even negative relationship with job performance (Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch, & Topolnytsky, 2002). Employees who stay with an organization solely because of the perceived costs of leaving (e.g., financial concerns, lack of alternatives) may not be as motivated or inclined to perform at a high level, thereby weakening the overall relationship between organizational commitment and job performance (Jaros, 1997).

Despite the general consensus on the positive link between organizational commitment and job performance, there are notable conflicts and inconsistencies in the findings. For instance, some studies suggest that the relationship between organizational commitment and job performance can vary depending on cultural context and organizational setting. In collectivist cultures, where group harmony and loyalty are highly valued, the relationship between commitment and performance tends to be stronger (Chen, Tsui, & Farh, 2002). In contrast, in individualistic cultures, where personal achievement and autonomy are prioritized, the connection may be less pronounced (Hofstede, 1980).

Additionally, there is debate about the directionality of the relationship. While most studies view organizational commitment as a predictor of job performance, some researchers argue that the relationship could be reciprocal. High job performance could enhance an employee's organizational commitment by fostering a sense of achievement and organizational support (Riketta, 2008). This bidirectional perspective suggests that the relationship between these variables may be more complex than initially thought.

Several gaps in the research on organizational commitment and job performance merit further exploration. One significant gap is the need for more longitudinal studies that examine how the relationship between these variables evolves over time. Most existing research relies on cross-sectional data, which limits the ability to draw causal inferences and understand the dynamic nature of the commitment-performance relationship (Cohen, 2007).

Another gap is the lack of consideration of potential moderating and mediating variables. For example, factors such as job satisfaction, leadership style, organizational culture, and employee engagement may moderate the strength and direction of the relationship between organizational commitment and job performance (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Paine, & Bachrach, 2000). Furthermore, few studies have examined the mediating role of psychological empowerment or perceived organizational support in this relationship, which could provide a more nuanced understanding of how organizational commitment translates into improved job performance (Saks, 2006; Mowday, Porter, & Steers, 2013).

Additionally, there is limited research on how different dimensions of job performance (e.g., task performance vs. contextual performance) are differentially affected by organizational commitment. While most studies have focused on overall job performance, examining these dimensions separately could reveal important insights into the specific ways in which commitment influences various aspects of performance (Rotundo & Sackett, 2002).

The relationship between organizational commitment and job performance is complex, with generally positive associations observed in the literature. However, conflicting findings, especially regarding the different types of commitment and their varying effects on performance, highlight the need for more nuanced research. Moreover, the existing gaps, particularly in longitudinal studies and the exploration of moderating and mediating variables, suggest that further research is needed to fully understand this relationship. Addressing these gaps will not only enhance theoretical understanding but also provide practical insights for organizations seeking to foster employee commitment and improve performance outcomes.

2.5. Conclusion

The relationship between organizational commitment and job performance has been extensively studied in organizational behavior and human resource management, with a general consensus that higher levels of commitment are associated with better job performance outcomes. Previous studies largely support the notion that employees who are more committed to their organizations—particularly those with high levels of affective commitment—are more likely to perform their job duties effectively, engage in organizational citizenship behaviors, and contribute positively to the organizational environment (Mathieu & Zajac, 1990; Meyer et al., 2002). However, the impact of other forms of commitment, such as continuance and normative commitment, on job performance remains less clear, with some studies indicating a weaker or even negative relationship (Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch, & Topolnytsky, 2002). These mixed findings highlight the complexity of the relationship and suggest that the effects of organizational commitment on job performance can vary depending on several contextual and individual factors.

Despite the substantial body of research on this topic, several gaps remain that future studies should address to enhance our understanding of the commitment-performance link. First, there is a need for more longitudinal research to examine how organizational commitment and job performance interact over time, and how changes in one may influence the other. This could provide insights into the dynamic nature of the relationship and help establish causal links. Additionally, future research should explore potential moderating and mediating variables, such as job satisfaction, leadership style, employee engagement, and perceived organizational support, to better understand the mechanisms through which organizational commitment affects job performance (Podsakoff et al., 2000; Saks, 2006). Examining the impact of different dimensions of job performance separately, such as task performance versus contextual performance, could also provide a more nuanced understanding of how organizational commitment influences specific aspects of job performance (Rotundo & Sackett, 2002).

Furthermore, cross-cultural studies are needed to explore how cultural differences impact the relationship between organizational commitment and job performance. Understanding these cultural nuances can provide valuable insights for multinational organizations seeking to manage a diverse workforce. Additionally, research should consider sector-specific studies to determine whether the effects of organizational commitment on job performance differ across various industries and organizational contexts.

Research on the impact of organizational commitment on job performance has made several important contributions to both theory and practice. Theoretically, it has expanded our understanding of the motivational processes that underlie employee behavior in organizations, highlighting the crucial role of psychological attachment and loyalty in enhancing job performance. It has also contributed to the development of more comprehensive models of employee behavior that integrate various forms of commitment and performance dimensions, thereby offering a more holistic view of employee contributions to organizational success.

Practically, this research has provided valuable insights for managers and human resource practitioners by underscoring the importance of fostering organizational commitment to enhance employee performance. Organizations can use these insights to develop strategies and interventions aimed at building stronger employee commitment, such as providing opportunities for employee development, ensuring fairness in organizational processes, and cultivating a supportive organizational culture. By doing so, organizations can not only improve individual and organizational performance but also enhance employee retention and overall workplace morale.

commitment and job performance is well-established, there is still much to learn about the complexities of this relationship. Future research should continue to explore these nuances, leveraging diverse methodologies and theoretical perspectives to further advance our understanding of how organizational commitment can be harnessed to achieve optimal job performance.

References

1. Avolio, B. J., Zhu, W., Koh, W., & Bhatia, P. (2004). Transformational leadership and organisational commitment: Mediating role of psychological empowerment and moderating role of structural distance. *Journal of Organisational Behaviour*, 25(8), 951-968.
2. Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived organisational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(3), 500-507.
3. Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualisation of organisational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(1), 61-89.
4. Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organisation: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.
5. Organ, D. W. (1997). Organisational citizenship behaviour: It's construct clean-up time. *Human Performance*, 10(2), 85-97.
6. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Paine, J. B., & Bachrach, D. G. (2000). Organisational citizenship behaviours: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Management*, 26(3), 513-563.
7. Riketta, M. (2002). Attitudinal organisational commitment and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organisational Behaviour*, 23(3), 257-266.
- Suharto, S., Suyanto, & Hendri, N. (2019). The impact of organizational commitment on job performance. *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 7(2), 189-206. DOI: 10.35808/ijeaba/227 .
8. Campbell, J. P. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough

(Eds.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 687-732). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

9. Cohen, A. (2007). *Commitment before and after: An evaluation and reconceptualization of organizational commitment*. Human Resource Management Review, 17(3), 336-354.

10. Mathieu, J. E., & Zajac, D. M. (1990). A review and meta-analysis of the antecedents, correlates, and consequences of organizational commitment. *Psychological Bulletin, 108*(2), 171-194.

11. Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review, 1*(1), 61-89.

12. Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 61*(1), 20-52.

13. Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. Academy of Management Review, 14(1), 20-39.

14. Blau, P. M. (1964). Exchange and power in social life. Wiley.

15. Jaros, S. J. (2007). Meyer and Allen model of organizational commitment: Measurement issues. The Icfa Journal of Organizational Behavior, 6(4), 7-25.

16. Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. Human Resource Management Review, 1(1), 61-89.

17. Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-

analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.

18. Wiener, Y. (1982). Commitment in organizations: A normative view. *Academy of Management Review*, 7(3), 418-428.

19. Allen, D. G., & Grisaffe, D. B. (2001). Employee commitment to the organization and customer reactions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 475-483.

20. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309-328.

21. Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. New York, NY: Wiley.

22. Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt, W. C. Borman, & Associates (Eds.), *Personnel selection in organizations* (pp. 71-98). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

23. Campbell, J. P. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough (Eds.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 687-732). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

24. Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (1990). *A theory of goal setting & task performance*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

25. Meyer, J. P., Becker, T. E., & Vandenberghe, C. (2004). Employee commitment and motivation: A conceptual analysis and integrative model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(6), 991-1007.
26. Rotundo, M., & Sackett, P. R. (2002). The relative importance of task, citizenship, and counterproductive performance to global ratings of job performance: A policy-capturing approach. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(1), 66-80.
27. Sackett, P. R., & DeVore, C. J. (2001). Counterproductive behaviors at work. In N. Anderson, D. S. Ones, H. K. Sinangil, & C. Viswesvaran (Eds.), *Handbook of industrial, work, and organizational psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 145-164). London, UK: Sage.
28. Tremblay, M., Vandenberghe, C., & Doucet, O. (2013). Relationships between leader-contingent and non-contingent reward and punishment behaviors and subordinates' perceptions of justice and self-reported work attitudes and behaviors. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98(2), 245-256.
30. Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. New York, NY: Wiley.
31. Allen, N. J., & Meyer, J. P. (1996). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: An examination of construct validity. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 49(3), 252-276.
32. Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt, W. C. Borman, & Associates (Eds.), *Personnel selection in organizations* (pp. 71-98). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

33. Campbell, J. P. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In M. D. Dunnette & L. M. Hough (Eds.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 687-732). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
34. Chen, Z. X., Tsui, A. S., & Farh, J. L. (2002). Loyalty to supervisor vs. organizational commitment: Relationships to employee performance in China. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 75(3), 339-356.
35. Cohen, A. (2007). Commitment before and after: An evaluation and reconceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 17(3), 336-354.
36. Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
37. Jaros, S. J. (1997). An assessment of Meyer and Allen's (1991) three-component model of organizational commitment and turnover intentions. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 51(3), 319-337.
38. Mathieu, J. E., & Zajac, D. M. (1990). A review and meta-analysis of the antecedents, correlates, and consequences of organizational commitment. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108(2), 171-194.
39. Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(1), 61-89.

40. Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.
41. Mowday, R. T., Porter, L. W., & Steers, R. M. (2013). *Employee-organization linkages: The psychology of commitment, absenteeism, and turnover*. Academic Press.
42. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Paine, J. B., & Bachrach, D. G. (2000). Organizational citizenship behaviors: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Management*, 26(3), 513-563.
44. Riketta, M. (2002). Attitudinal organizational commitment and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23(3), 257-266.
45. Rotundo, M., & Sackett, P. R. (2002). The relative importance of task, citizenship, and counterproductive performance to global ratings of job performance: A policy-capturing approach. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(1), 66-80.
46. Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.
47. Mathieu, J. E., & Zajac, D. M. (1990). A review and meta-analysis of the antecedents, correlates, and consequences of organizational commitment. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108(2), 171-194.
48. Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.

49. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Paine, J. B., & Bachrach, D. G. (2000). Organizational citizenship behaviors: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Management*, 26(3), 513-563.
50. Rotundo, M., & Sackett, P. R. (2002). The relative importance of task, citizenship, and counterproductive performance to global ratings of job performance: A policy-capturing approach. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(1), 66-80.
51. Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.

مع أطيب الأمنيات بالنجاح والتوفيق

It` s Never Too Late to START. Just Begin!

Best of Luck 😊 *Dr. Mona Moustafa Elashry*