

Midocean University

College of Management

Department of Master of Business Administration

Marketing Strategy Adaptation of Multinational Companies in Emerging Markets

Balancing Standardization and Localization

Final MBA Project

Prepared by:

Lama Almarhoon

Student ID: 253001686

Supervised by:

Dr. Faisal Abdul Hamid Muhammad Hassan

Dr. Fayeze Jarad

Year:2026

Declaration

I declare that this final project is presented as an academic study of marketing strategy adaptation in emerging markets.
The analysis is based on secondary academic evidence and does not claim primary survey results that were not collected.
All external ideas and findings used in the project are attributed to the reference list.

Table of Contents

Abstract

Chapter One: Introduction

1.1 Introduction

1.2 Problem of the Study

1.3 Importance of the Study

1.4 Objectives of the Study

1.5 Research Questions

1.6 Research Hypotheses

1.7 Scientific Theory and Conceptual Framework

Chapter Two: Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

2.2 Standardization and Adaptation Debate

2.3 Emerging Market Characteristics

2.4 Marketing Mix Adaptation

2.5 Previous Studies

2.6 Theoretical Framework

2.7 Research Gap

Chapter Three: Methodology

3.1 Introduction

3.2 Research Design

3.3 Research Population and Evidence Base

3.4 Data Collection and Selection

3.5 Data Analysis

3.6 Reliability and Validity

3.7 Research Boundaries and Limitations

Chapter Four: Results and Recommendations

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Data Analysis and Thematic Findings

4.3 Evaluation of Research Hypotheses

4.4 Results

4.5 Managerial Framework

4.6 Recommendations

4.7 Conclusion

References

Appendix A: Proposed Questionnaire

Appendix B: Evidence Coding Matrix

Abstract Summary

List of Tables

Table 1. Core concepts and operational meaning

Table 2. Selected previous studies

Table 3. Evidence inclusion and exclusion criteria

Table 4. Thematic coding framework

Table 5. Cross-study evidence matrix

Table 6. Consolidated findings

Table 7. Evaluation of research hypotheses

Table 8. Managerial decision framework

Abstract

Multinational companies entering emerging markets face a strategic tension between global standardization and local adaptation. Standardization can protect brand identity, reduce duplication, and create scale efficiencies. Adaptation can improve local relevance by aligning product, price, promotion, and distribution decisions with cultural preferences, purchasing power, regulation, infrastructure, and competitive conditions. This study examines how multinational companies can balance these two requirements without sacrificing either market responsiveness or global coherence.

The project uses an integrative qualitative literature review and comparative evidence synthesis. The analytical evidence base combines foundational and recent peer-reviewed research on international marketing strategy, emerging-market strategy, product and promotion adaptation, psychic distance, strategic fit, and multinational interaction with host institutions. Rather than treating standardization and adaptation as mutually exclusive choices, the study evaluates them as decisions that can vary by marketing-mix element and by market condition.

The findings show that no universally superior degree of adaptation exists. Performance depends primarily on strategic fit. Cultural and institutional distance increase the need for local responsiveness, while strong global brand assets, transferable capabilities, and scale economies increase the value of standardization. Product and promotion adaptation often support local acceptance, but price and distribution decisions show more context-dependent effects because they are closely linked to purchasing power, channel structure, regulation, and cost. The evidence therefore supports a selective glocal strategy in which firms standardize brand purpose, core positioning, quality principles, and scalable capabilities while adapting customer-facing execution where local differences materially affect value perception or access.

The study proposes a five-stage managerial framework: diagnose local distance, classify marketing-mix decisions, define non-negotiable global brand elements, adapt high-impact local elements, and measure performance through market and brand indicators. The project contributes an applied decision structure for managers seeking sustainable expansion in heterogeneous emerging markets.

Keywords: multinational companies, emerging markets, international marketing, standardization, adaptation, localization, glocalization, marketing mix, strategic fit.

Chapter One

Introduction

1.1 Introduction

Global expansion offers multinational companies opportunities for revenue growth, brand development, learning, and market diversification. Yet international scale does not remove local differences. Firms entering emerging markets encounter variations in purchasing power, language, social norms, regulation, infrastructure, retail structure, digital behavior, and competitive intensity. These differences create a practical question for managers: which parts of a marketing strategy should remain globally consistent, and which parts should change for the local market?

The standardization perspective emphasizes the value of common products, positioning, communication, processes, and brand assets across countries. Levitt (1983) argued that technological and market convergence can increase the viability of globally standardized offerings. Later research broadened this view by showing that global marketing strategy can create performance advantages through global participation, coordination, integration, and consistent strategic logic (Zou & Cavusgil, 2002). Standardization can reduce complexity and protect accumulated brand equity, but these benefits depend on whether customer needs and market structures are sufficiently similar.

The adaptation perspective emphasizes responsiveness to local conditions. Cavusgil, Zou, and Naidu (1993) found that product and promotion adaptation are influenced by company, product, industry, and export-market characteristics. Theodosiou and Leonidou (2003) later showed that the empirical literature does not support a simple universal rule favoring either standardization or adaptation. More recent reviews reach a similar conclusion. Mandler et al. (2021) report that performance consequences remain mixed because results depend on how adaptation is conceptualized, the performance measure used, and the contingencies surrounding the strategy. Tsoungkou et al. (2025) similarly show that product adaptation is multidimensional and can influence different performance outcomes through different mechanisms.

Emerging markets intensify the issue because local market conditions may differ more sharply from the assumptions embedded in an MNC's home-market strategy. Ipek (2021) identifies emerging-market international marketing research as a distinctive field with contextual and methodological gaps. Host institutions also matter. Svystunova et al. (2024) show that multinational firms interact with host institutions in ways that affect organizational outcomes. This means local responsiveness cannot be reduced to translation or advertising. It can involve product specifications, pricing architecture, channel design, payment mechanisms, service models, claims, media choice, local partnerships, and stakeholder engagement.

This project therefore treats standardization and adaptation as a continuum of strategic choices rather than opposite doctrines. The central argument is that firms should protect global elements that generate scale, trust, and identity while adapting elements whose value depends strongly on local customer and institutional conditions. The study focuses on the logic used to make those choices and on their consequences for market acceptance, growth, and brand consistency.

1.2 Problem of the Study

Despite the growth opportunities available in emerging markets, multinational companies frequently face difficulties in transferring a successful home-market marketing model directly into a new country. Standardized strategies may create efficiency but can misread cultural expectations, price sensitivity, regulation, or channel structure. Extensive localization may increase relevance but also create duplication, higher coordination costs, fragmented positioning, and dilution of global brand identity.

The problem is not simply whether to standardize or adapt. The deeper managerial problem is how to determine the appropriate degree of adaptation for each marketing-mix element under specific market conditions. Existing research reports mixed performance effects because the value of adaptation depends on context, strategic fit, and the outcome being measured (Theodosiou & Leonidou, 2003; Mandler et al., 2021).

Accordingly, the core problem addressed by this study is: How can multinational companies strategically adapt their marketing strategies to achieve successful entry and sustainable growth in emerging markets without compromising global brand coherence or operational efficiency?

1.3 Importance of the Study

Scientific significance. The study integrates the long-running standardization-adaptation debate with newer evidence on emerging-market strategy, host institutions, strategic fit, and multidimensional product adaptation. It helps clarify why apparently contradictory findings can coexist. The same degree of adaptation can produce different outcomes in different environments because adaptation is not a single action and performance is not a single outcome.

Practical significance. The study offers managers a structured method for deciding what to standardize and what to adapt. This is useful for international marketing, strategy, business development, brand, and market-entry teams. It also supports consultants and policymakers interested in how foreign firms align with local consumer needs and institutional requirements.

Beneficiaries include multinational corporations, regional and country marketing teams, market-entry managers, consultants, scholars, and graduate students in international business and marketing.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

General objective. To investigate how multinational companies can adapt their marketing strategies for successful expansion into emerging markets while balancing global consistency with local responsiveness.

Examine the cultural, economic, competitive, and institutional factors that influence marketing strategy adaptation.

Analyze how the degree of standardization versus adaptation relates to market and firm performance.

Identify which marketing-mix elements tend to require more local adaptation and under what conditions.

Identify best practices and strategic frameworks that allow firms to protect global brand coherence while responding to local market needs.

Develop actionable recommendations for managers designing marketing strategies for emerging markets.

1.5 Research Questions

Main research question: How can multinational companies strategically adapt their marketing strategies to achieve successful expansion in emerging markets while maintaining global brand consistency?

What cultural, economic, competitive, and institutional factors influence marketing strategy adaptation in emerging markets?

How does the degree of marketing standardization versus adaptation affect performance in emerging markets?

Which marketing-mix elements require the most adaptation for successful international expansion?

What practices help multinational companies balance global brand coherence with local responsiveness?

How can exist research guide managers in designing strategies suited to emerging-market conditions?

1.6 Research Hypotheses

H1: Greater cultural, economic, and institutional distance between the home and host market increases the need for marketing strategy adaptation.

H2: Marketing performance improves when the degree of standardization or adaptation fits the conditions of the target market.

H3: The optimal degree of adaptation differs across product, price, promotion, and distribution decisions.

H4: A selective glocal strategy that combines standardized global brand elements with adapted local execution is more robust across heterogeneous emerging markets than either extreme standardization or extreme localization.

H5: Local responsiveness contributes to market acceptance when it is implemented without weakening core brand identity and quality principles.

1.7 Scientific Theory and Conceptual Framework

The study uses three complementary theoretical lenses. First, the global standardization perspective explains the value of scale, coordination, common brand assets, and transferable marketing capabilities. Second, adaptation theory explains why firms modify the marketing program when customer needs, regulation, institutions, infrastructure, or competition differ across markets. Third, contingency and strategic-fit theory explains why neither strategy is universally superior. Performance should be higher when strategy is aligned with the external environment and the firm's capabilities.

Construct	Operational meaning in this study	Expected strategic implication
Environmental distance	Cultural, economic, regulatory, institutional, and channel differences between home and host markets	Higher distance generally increases the need for selective adaptation.
Standardization	Use of common marketing decisions, assets, processes, and positioning across countries	Creates scale, consistency, and coordination benefits when market differences are limited or manageable.
Adaptation	Modification of product, price, promotion, or distribution to fit local conditions	Improves relevance and legitimacy when local differences materially affect customer value or market access.
Strategic fit	Alignment between the degree of adaptation and environmental conditions	Explains why the same strategy can perform differently across markets.
Performance	Market acceptance, sales growth, penetration, brand consistency, efficiency, and learning	Should be evaluated with multiple indicators rather than a single financial measure.

The conceptual model used throughout the study is: local market conditions influence the required degree of adaptation; the chosen combination of standardization and adaptation creates a level of strategic fit; strategic fit then influences market acceptance, brand coherence, efficiency, and growth. Firm capabilities, international experience, and brand strength affect how effectively adaptation can be executed.

Chapter Two
Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

International marketing research has examined standardization and adaptation for several decades. The literature has moved from a polarized debate toward a more contingent view. Early arguments emphasized global convergence and economies of scale. Empirical work then demonstrated that local environmental conditions alter the effectiveness of marketing decisions. Contemporary reviews increasingly treat adaptation as multidimensional and performance as contingent on fit, implementation, and the specific marketing element being changed.

2.2 Standardization and Adaptation Debate

Standardization seeks consistency across national markets. Its benefits include lower development costs, easier coordination, consistent brand meaning, faster transfer of successful programs, and leverage of global resources. Levitt (1983) provided an influential argument for market convergence. Zou and Cavusgil (2002) later conceptualized global marketing strategy more broadly and linked global strategic orientation to firm performance. Standardization is therefore most valuable when customer needs, competitive structures, and marketing infrastructure are similar enough to support transfer.

Adaptation modifies international marketing activities to fit local conditions. Cavusgil et al. (1993) showed that product and promotion adaptation respond to company, product, industry, and market characteristics. This work supports the view that adaptation is a managerial response to heterogeneity rather than a rejection of global strategy. Powers and Loyka (2010) further emphasize that marketing-mix elements can be adapted to different degrees. This element-by-element perspective is essential because a firm may standardize brand identity while localizing price, distribution, or communication.

Theodosiou and Leonidou (2003) synthesized empirical studies and found contradictory and sometimes non-significant performance relationships, partly because of conceptual and methodological weaknesses. Schmid and Kotulla (2011), reviewing fifty years of research, similarly concluded that the field needs stronger theoretical specification of the conditions under which standardization or adaptation is appropriate. Mandler et al. (2021) later reviewed the performance consequences and found that the evidence remains mixed. The most defensible conclusion is therefore not that one side wins, but that strategy must fit the market and the performance objective.

2.3 Emerging Market Characteristics

Emerging markets are heterogeneous, so the term should not be treated as a single consumer profile. However, studies commonly highlight rapid structural change, institutional variation, uneven infrastructure, diverse income levels, developing distribution systems, and strong local competitive dynamics. Ipek (2021) argues that international marketing strategy research concerning emerging-market firms requires explicit attention to this distinctive context. For incoming multinational companies, these conditions can increase uncertainty and make local information especially valuable.

Institutional context affects more than compliance. Host-country institutions shape legitimacy, stakeholder relationships, operating constraints, and the firm's room for strategic choice. Svystunova et al. (2024) synthesize multinational

interactions with host institutions and show that motivations, host context, interaction strategy, and actors are linked to organizational outcomes. A marketing strategy that ignores institutional expectations can therefore fail even if its consumer proposition is strong.

Psychic distance offers another explanation for adaptation. Sousa and Lengler (2009), studying Brazilian export ventures, found that product and promotion adaptation were positively associated with export performance, while price and distribution adaptation showed different effects. This pattern illustrates why the marketing mix should not be adapted uniformly. It also shows that distance can stimulate managerial learning and more deliberate market tailoring.

2.4 Marketing Mix Adaptation

Product decisions include features, quality specifications, assortment, packaging, labeling, naming, service, and compliance. Product adaptation may be necessary because of regulation, climate, usage conditions, cultural meaning, purchasing power, or technical infrastructure. Tsoungkou et al. (2025) show that international product adaptation is multidimensional and that its relationship with performance depends on what is adapted and how performance is defined. This strengthens the case for separating functional, symbolic, regulatory, and portfolio-level product decisions.

Price decisions are strongly affected by income levels, taxation, exchange-rate exposure, competitive intensity, channel margins, and willingness to pay. Local price changes can improve affordability, but they may also damage global price architecture or signal a different brand position. Sousa and Lengler (2009) illustrate this complexity by finding that price adaptation did not produce the same positive relationship as product and promotion adaptation in their sample.

Promotion is often highly visible and culturally sensitive. Language, humor, imagery, celebrity choice, media behavior, symbolism, and regulatory restrictions can change the meaning of a campaign. Liu et al. (2016), examining luxury fashion retailers in China, show the tension between protecting standardized brand image and localizing brand communication for a complex emerging market. Digital communication adds another layer because firms can maintain global brand assets while tailoring platform choice, content format, community management, and timing.

Distribution adaptation concerns channel structure, retail formats, logistics, partner choice, e-commerce, payment, and service coverage. In emerging markets, distribution may need substantial adaptation because access conditions differ across cities and regions. However, heavy adaptation can increase operational complexity. The managerial objective is to adapt access mechanisms enough to remove local barriers while preserving scalable processes where possible.

2.5 Previous Studies

Study	Method	Focus	Relevant conclusion
Levitt, 1983	Conceptual	Global market convergence	Technology and convergence can increase the value of standardized global offerings.
Cavusgil et al., 1993	Empirical interviews	Product and promotion adaptation	Adaptation is shaped by firm, product, industry, and export-market characteristics.
Cavusgil & Zou, 1994	Empirical	Marketing strategy and export performance	Strategy-performance relationships depend on fit between marketing strategy and internal and external conditions.
Zou & Cavusgil, 2002	Empirical/conceptual	Global marketing strategy	Global strategy includes standardization, coordination, participation, and integration, with performance implications.
Theodosiou & Leonidou, 2003	Integrative review	Standardization/adaptation evidence	Results across studies are mixed, requiring stronger contingency reasoning.
Sousa & Lengler, 2009	Empirical	Psychic distance and adaptation	Product and promotion adaptation were positively linked to performance, while price and distribution effects differed.
Powers & Loyka, 2010	Empirical	Marketing-mix adaptation	Different marketing-mix elements are adapted to different degrees.

Schmid & Kotulla, 2011	Systematic review	50 years of research	The field requires theoretical explanation of fit and boundary conditions.
Akgün et al., 2014	Case study	International marketing mix	Price and place can require strong adaptation in specific international contexts.
Liu et al., 2016	Case study	Luxury brand communication in China	Firms balance standardized global brand image with localized communication.
Ipek, 2021	Systematic review	Emerging-market international marketing	Emerging-market strategy research contains important contextual and methodological gaps.
Mandler et al., 2021	Systematic review	Performance consequences	Performance effects are mixed and depend on conceptualization, methodology, and context.
Svystunova et al., 2024	Systematic review	MNC-host institution interactions	Host context and interaction strategies shape organizational outcomes.
Tsougkou et al., 2025	Systematic review	Product adaptation and performance	Product adaptation is multidimensional and affects performance through multiple mechanisms.

2.6 Theoretical Framework

Theoretical integration in this study rests on the principle of strategic fit. A global strategy can create value through economies of scale and brand consistency, but the value is realized only when standardized elements match the market environment. Adaptation can create value through relevance and legitimacy, but excessive adaptation can create cost, complexity, and brand fragmentation. The correct question is therefore not how much adaptation is good in absolute terms. The correct question is how much adaptation is required for a particular element, in a particular market, given the firm's capabilities and desired outcomes.

The framework distinguishes core and executional elements. Core elements include brand purpose, central value proposition, quality standards, strategic positioning boundaries, and global identity assets. Executional elements include message framing, media channel, local offers, pack size, payment model, channel partner, service design, and some product attributes. Core elements should change only when evidence shows that the global proposition itself lacks relevance or legitimacy. Executional elements can be adapted more freely when local differences materially affect customer response.

2.7 Research Gap

Three gaps justify the present study. First, the literature still reports mixed performance consequences, which makes a simple recommendation for either standardization or adaptation inappropriate. Second, emerging markets require greater attention to institutional and structural context. Third, many managerial discussions treat adaptation as a single decision even though recent research shows that it is multidimensional. This study addresses these gaps by developing an element-level, context-based framework that links environmental diagnosis to adaptation choice and performance measurement.

Chapter Three

Methodology

3.1 Introduction

This chapter explains the research approach used to answer the study questions without claiming primary data that were not collected. The project uses a qualitative integrative literature review and comparative evidence synthesis. This design is appropriate because the research problem concerns a mature but fragmented body of international marketing knowledge with mixed findings across industries, countries, and methods.

3.2 Research Design

The study adopts a pragmatic, explanatory review design. It combines foundational studies that shaped the standardization-adaptation debate with recent systematic reviews and empirical studies relevant to emerging markets. The purpose is not to estimate one pooled statistical effect. Instead, the objective is to identify recurring relationships, contradictions, boundary conditions, and decision principles that can guide managers.

The unit of analysis is the published study or evidence contribution. Each source is examined for five dimensions: market context, environmental driver, marketing element, degree of standardization or adaptation, and reported performance implication. This structure makes it possible to compare research that uses different terminology and different performance measures.

3.3 Research Population and Evidence Base

The research population consists of academic literature on international marketing standardization, adaptation, global marketing strategy, emerging-market strategy, market distance, and multinational host-country responsiveness. The core analytical sample includes foundational and recent peer-reviewed journal articles that directly inform the research questions. Review studies are included because they consolidate larger bodies of empirical evidence and help identify where individual findings are inconsistent.

The evidence base is purposive rather than exhaustive. This choice avoids presenting an artificial claim of full systematic coverage when the project's objective is an MBA-level integrative analysis. The sample spans foundational work from the 1980s and 1990s through recent research published up to 2025. It includes conceptual papers, empirical studies, case studies, and systematic literature reviews.

3.4 Data Collection and Selection

Criterion	Included	Excluded
Topic relevance	Direct focus on international marketing strategy, standardization, adaptation, emerging markets, strategic fit, or host-market responsiveness	Domestic-only marketing studies with no international relevance

Source quality	Peer-reviewed journals, recognized academic reviews, and foundational scholarly contributions	Unverified web summaries and non-academic commentary as evidence
Analytical usefulness	Studies reporting drivers, marketing-mix decisions, performance implications, or theoretical mechanisms	Sources that mention adaptation only incidentally
Time horizon	Foundational literature plus recent evidence through 2025	No arbitrary exclusion of older seminal work
Geographic scope	Cross-country studies and country-specific evidence relevant to internationalization and emerging-market conditions	Studies with no transferability to the research questions

Sources were selected because they contribute to one or more parts of the conceptual model. The review gives greater interpretive weight to systematic reviews and well-established peer-reviewed studies when findings conflict. Country-specific cases are used to illustrate mechanisms, not to claim universal effects.

3.5 Data Analysis

The analysis uses thematic coding. Each study is read for evidence relating to the main research questions. Codes are grouped into environmental drivers, marketing-mix response, strategic logic, and performance consequence. Contradictory findings are retained rather than forced into a single conclusion. This is especially important for price and distribution adaptation, where empirical effects can differ by context.

Theme	Examples of codes	Connection to research question
Environmental pressure	Culture, income, regulation, institutions, psychic distance, competition, infrastructure	RQ1
Marketing-mix adaptation	Product, price, promotion, place, digital execution, service	RQ3

Standardization benefits	Scale, consistency, coordination, speed, brand equity	RQ2 and RQ4
Adaptation benefits	Acceptance, relevance, legitimacy, access, local fit	RQ2 and RQ4
Boundary conditions	Industry, market similarity, experience, capabilities, brand strength	RQ2
Performance	Sales, penetration, customer acceptance, efficiency, brand consistency	RQ2 and RQ5

A pattern is considered strong when it appears across multiple independent studies or is supported by a systematic review. A finding is treated as context-dependent when studies report different directions or when the effect changes by marketing element or performance metric. This method supports analytical generalization rather than statistical generalization.

3.6 Reliability and Validity

Reliability is strengthened through a transparent coding structure and the use of traceable published sources. Construct validity is supported by defining standardization, adaptation, strategic fit, environmental distance, and performance before analysis. Triangulation is achieved by comparing foundational theory, empirical research, case evidence, and systematic reviews. The study also preserves negative or mixed findings instead of selectively reporting only evidence that supports adaptation.

3.7 Research Boundaries and Limitations

The study has four main boundaries. First, it is based on secondary academic evidence and therefore does not claim original survey or interview results. Second, emerging markets are not homogeneous, so recommendations require local diagnosis before use. Third, the reviewed studies use different measures of performance and adaptation, which limits direct numerical comparison. Fourth, industry-specific constraints may alter the relative importance of each marketing-mix element. These limitations reinforce the study's contingency approach rather than weaken it.

Chapter Four

Results and Recommendations

4.1 Introduction

This chapter synthesizes the evidence against the research questions and hypotheses. The findings are analytical results from the literature and comparative evidence, not fabricated primary responses. The analysis focuses on repeated patterns, conflicting results, and the conditions that explain those conflicts.

4.2 Data Analysis and Thematic Findings

Finding 1: Environmental difference is the primary trigger for adaptation. Across the reviewed literature, adaptation becomes more important when home and host markets differ in customer preferences, regulation, institutional structure, purchasing power, marketing infrastructure, or competitive conditions. Cavusgil et al. (1993) demonstrate that adaptation is influenced by company, product, industry, and market characteristics. Sousa and Lengler (2009) link psychic distance to marketing strategy decisions. Ipek (2021) and Svystunova et al. (2024) reinforce the importance of emerging-market and institutional context. The implication is that adaptation should begin with diagnosis, not with a preset global localization percentage.

Finding 2: Strategic fit explains performance better than the absolute degree of standardization. Theodosiou and Leonidou (2003), Schmid and Kotulla (2011), and Mandler et al. (2021) all document mixed or contradictory findings in the broader literature. This pattern is expected if the strategy-performance relationship is contingent. A highly standardized strategy can perform well in markets with converging needs and compatible infrastructure. The same strategy can underperform in a market where cultural meaning, affordability, regulation, or access differ materially. Similarly, adaptation can improve relevance but destroy value when it adds cost without solving a meaningful local problem.

Finding 3: Adaptation is element-specific. The evidence rejects the idea that a company must choose one uniform level of adaptation for the entire marketing mix. Powers and Loyka (2010) examine the adaptation of individual marketing-mix elements. Akgün et al. (2014) report a case in which price and place were among the more adapted elements. Sousa and Lengler (2009) show that performance relationships differ across product, promotion, price, and distribution. Tsoukoku et al. (2025) further show that even product adaptation itself has multiple dimensions. Managers therefore need a portfolio of adaptation decisions rather than one global policy.

Finding 4: Brand core and market execution should be separated. Liu et al. (2016) illustrate the standardization-localization dilemma for luxury fashion retailers in China. Firms must preserve exclusivity and brand image while adapting communication to a complex local market. This logic generalizes beyond luxury. A firm can standardize brand purpose, visual identity principles, quality expectations, and strategic positioning boundaries while adapting content, platform, offer structure, channel, service, and other customer-facing details.

Finding 5: Adaptation and standardization can coexist as complementary capabilities. Zou and Cavusgil (2002) conceptualize global marketing strategy as more than simple uniformity. Global coordination and integration can coexist with local actions. The emerging view is therefore selective glocalization. The global organization supplies scalable assets, knowledge, quality systems, brand architecture, and governance. Local teams supply market intelligence, contextual judgment, relationships, and execution. The competitive advantage lies in coordinating both.

Finding 6: Performance must be measured through several outcomes. The literature uses sales, export performance, market share, acceptance, efficiency, brand outcomes, and other indicators. This variation partly explains conflicting findings. A localized promotion campaign may improve engagement while increasing cost. A standardized product may protect efficiency but reduce market acceptance. Managers should therefore use a balanced scorecard of market, financial, brand, and operational outcomes.

Analytical pattern	Evidence assessment	Representative evidence	Interpretation
Environmental heterogeneity	Strong support	Cavusgil et al. 1993; Sousa & Lengler 2009; Ipek 2021; Svystunova et al. 2024	Greater distance generally increases need for local diagnosis and selective adaptation.
Universal superiority of standardization	Not supported	Theodosiou & Leonidou 2003; Schmid & Kotulla 2011; Mandler et al. 2021	Standardization can perform well, but not independently of context.
Universal superiority of adaptation	Not supported	Theodosiou & Leonidou 2003; Mandler et al. 2021; Tsoungkou et al. 2025	Adaptation effects vary by element and outcome.
Element-specific adaptation	Strong support	Powers & Loyka 2010; Akgün et al. 2014; Sousa & Lengler 2009; Tsoungkou et al. 2025	Product, price, promotion, and place should be evaluated separately.
Hybrid global-local logic	Strong conceptual support	Zou & Cavusgil 2002; Liu et al. 2016	Global brand and capabilities can coexist with localized execution.
Institutional responsiveness	Strong support	Ipek 2021; Svystunova et al. 2024	Institutional fit affects legitimacy and operating outcomes.

4.3 Evaluation of Research Hypotheses

Hypothesis	Evaluation	Reason
H1	Supported	Multiple studies link market, cultural, institutional, and psychic distance with greater adaptation pressure.

H2	Supported	Reviews repeatedly show mixed direct effects but stronger logic for contingency and strategic fit.
H3	Supported	Evidence shows different marketing-mix elements require different degrees and forms of adaptation.
H4	Supported as a strategic synthesis	The literature favors selective combinations of global consistency and local responsiveness over a universal extreme.
H5	Supported with conditions	Local responsiveness can improve acceptance when brand meaning and quality are protected; poorly governed localization can fragment the brand.

4.4 Results

The appropriate degree of marketing adaptation is determined by market conditions and strategic fit, not by a universal rule.

Cultural, economic, institutional, regulatory, competitive, and infrastructure differences are major drivers of adaptation.

Marketing-mix elements should be evaluated separately. A firm can standardize one element and adapt another in the same market.

Product and promotion adaptation often improve local relevance, but price and distribution outcomes are more sensitive to cost, channel structure, purchasing power, and strategic positioning.

Core brand identity should usually remain globally governed, while customer-facing execution can be adapted when local evidence justifies change.

Local market intelligence and local managerial discretion are important capabilities, but they require global governance to avoid unnecessary fragmentation.

Performance should be evaluated through a balanced set of market, financial, brand, and operational indicators.

The most robust model for heterogeneous emerging markets is selective glocalization based on diagnosis, evidence, and continuous learning.

4.5 Managerial Framework

The study proposes the GLOCAL-FIT decision framework. It converts the findings into a practical sequence that can be used before entry and during market scaling.

Stage	Managerial question	Recommended action
1. Diagnose local distance	Where does the host market materially differ from the global model?	Assess culture, customer behavior, income, regulation, institutions, competition, channels, digital behavior, and infrastructure.
2. Classify the marketing mix	Which decisions are core and which are executional?	Separate brand purpose, positioning, and quality standards from adaptable product, price, promotion, place, and service details.
3. Set global guardrails	What must remain consistent?	Define non-negotiable brand assets, claims, quality standards, ethical rules, and strategic positioning boundaries.
4. Adapt high-impact elements	Which local differences affect value or access?	Adapt only where evidence shows a material effect on customer relevance, legitimacy, affordability, or availability.
5. Measure and learn	Does the adapted strategy outperform the alternative?	Track acceptance, conversion, penetration, sales, margin, brand consistency, cost-to-serve, and learning. Scale successful adaptations and reverse weak ones.

A practical adaptation priority score can also be used. Managers rate each marketing decision from 1 to 5 on five factors: cultural sensitivity, regulatory necessity, economic sensitivity, channel dependence, and expected customer impact. Decisions with high scores should receive local research and controlled adaptation first. A separate global-equity score should rate brand importance, scale benefit, quality risk, and cross-market consistency. High adaptation priority combined with low global-equity risk indicates a strong candidate for localization. High scores on both sides require senior governance and testing.

4.6 Recommendations

Use market evidence before adapting. Avoid localization based only on intuition or country stereotypes.

Protect a clear global brand core. Define which identity, quality, safety, and positioning elements cannot change without strategic approval.

Adapt marketing-mix elements independently. Do not force product, price, promotion, and distribution into one uniform localization policy.

Give local teams controlled decision rights. Local managers should influence execution where they possess superior market information, while global teams retain brand and capability governance.

Prioritize adaptation when it removes a specific barrier to acceptance, legitimacy, affordability, or access.

Test adaptations before scaling. Use controlled market tests, pilots, digital experiments, and post-launch reviews where feasible.

Measure both upside and complexity cost. Evaluate sales and acceptance together with margin, cost-to-serve, coordination burden, and brand consistency.

Build reusable local learning. Record why an adaptation was made, the evidence supporting it, its performance, and whether the learning transfers to similar markets.

Review adaptation decisions periodically. Emerging markets change quickly, so an adaptation that was necessary at entry may become unnecessary as infrastructure, income, regulation, or consumer behavior evolves.

Avoid symbolic localization that does not improve customer value. Translation, local imagery, or local celebrity use should serve a clear strategic purpose rather than function as superficial signals of relevance.

4.7 Conclusion

The central conclusion of this project is that multinational companies should not treat standardization and localization as mutually exclusive strategic choices. The evidence supports a selective, market-specific approach. Standardization is valuable where it protects brand equity, quality, scale, and coordination. Adaptation is valuable where local conditions change the way customers perceive value, access the offer, or grant legitimacy to the firm. The performance of either approach depends on fit.

For emerging markets, the need for diagnosis is especially important because cultural, institutional, economic, and infrastructure conditions can differ substantially from the global model. Firms should therefore establish a stable global brand core and adaptable executional layers. By separating these levels and evaluating each marketing-mix element independently, managers can respond to local needs without losing global coherence.

The project also shows why prior research often appears contradictory. Adaptation is multidimensional, performance is multidimensional, and context changes the relationship between them. A strategy can improve one outcome while weakening another. The most useful managerial discipline is therefore continuous fit assessment. Companies should diagnose, adapt selectively, measure results, learn, and revise. This turns localization from an ad hoc creative exercise into a controlled strategic capability.

References

Akgün, A. E., Keskin, H., & Ayar Şentürk, H. (2014). Standardization and adaptation of international marketing mix activities: A case study. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 150, 609-618.

Cavusgil, S. T., Zou, S., & Naidu, G. M. (1993). Product and promotion adaptation in export ventures: An empirical investigation. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 24(3), 479-506. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490242>

Cavusgil, S. T., & Zou, S. (1994). Marketing strategy-performance relationship: An investigation of the empirical link in export market ventures. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1), 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299405800101>

Ipek, I. (2021). The relevance of international marketing strategy to emerging-market exporting firms: From a systematic review towards a conceptual framework. *International Marketing Review*, 38(2), 205-248. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-02-2020-0017>

Levitt, T. (1983). The globalization of markets. *Harvard Business Review*, 61(3), 92-102.

Liu, S., Perry, P., Moore, C., & Warnaby, G. (2016). The standardization-localization dilemma of brand communications for luxury fashion retailers' internationalization into China. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(1), 357-364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.08.008>

Mandler, T., Sezen, B., Chen, J., & Özsoy, A. (2021). Performance consequences of marketing standardization/adaptation: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 125, 416-435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.12.023>

Powers, T. L., & Loyka, J. J. (2010). Adaptation of marketing mix elements in international markets. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 23(1), 65-79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08911760903442176>

Schmid, S., & Kotulla, T. (2011). 50 years of research on international standardization and adaptation: From a systematic literature analysis to a theoretical framework. *International Business Review*, 20(5), 491-507.

Sousa, C. M. P., & Lengler, J. F. B. (2009). Psychic distance, marketing strategy and performance in export ventures of Brazilian firms. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 25(5-6), 591-610. <https://doi.org/10.1362/026725709X461876>

Svystunova, L., Muratova, Y., Fortwengel, J., & Edwards, T. (2024). Multinational corporations' interactions with host institutions: Taking stock and moving forward. *Management International Review*, 64(1), 3-33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11575-023-00525-1>

Theodosiou, M., & Leonidou, L. C. (2003). Standardization versus adaptation of international marketing strategy: An integrative assessment of the empirical research. *International Business Review*, 12(2), 141-171.

Tsougkou, E., Cadogan, J. W., Boso, N., Hodgkinson, I. R., Oliveira, J. S., Laukkanen, T., Yazdani, N., & Story, V. M. (2025). International product adaptation and performance: A systematic analysis of the literature and agenda for future research. *Journal of World Business*, 60(1), 101597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2024.101597>

Zou, S., & Cavusgil, S. T. (2002). The GMS: A broad conceptualization of global marketing strategy and its effect on firm performance. *Journal of Marketing*, 66(4), 40-56. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.66.4.40.18519>

Appendix A

Proposed Questionnaire for Future Primary Validation

Purpose: This instrument can be used in a future primary-data phase with managers who have responsibility for international marketing, regional strategy, market entry, brand management, or commercial operations. It is included because the university template requests a questionnaire appendix. No responses from this questionnaire are claimed in the current study.

Suggested response scale for Sections B to F: 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly agree.

Section A: Respondent Profile

Industry

Current role/function

Years of international business experience

Number of emerging markets managed

Primary geographic region

Company size

Primary entry mode used in emerging markets

Section B: Environmental Difference

Customer preferences in our emerging markets differ materially from those in our home market.

Cultural norms affect how our brand and marketing messages are interpreted.

Purchasing power requires changes to our pricing or offer architecture.

Local regulation requires changes to our marketing activities or product offering.

Local distribution and infrastructure require changes to our route-to-market.

Local competitors create a need for market-specific positioning or execution.

Section C: Marketing Adaptation

We modify product features, assortment, packaging, or service for emerging markets.

We adapt pricing structures to local economic conditions.

We adapt promotional messages and content to local culture and media behavior.

We adapt distribution channels and partner models to local market structure.

We adapt digital customer journeys to local platforms and payment behavior.

Section D: Global Standardization

We maintain a consistent core brand purpose across markets.

We maintain common quality standards across markets.

We use common global brand assets where local conditions allow.

We reuse global marketing capabilities and processes across markets.

Local adaptations must remain within defined global brand guardrails.

Section E: Strategic Fit and Governance

Adaptation decisions are based on market evidence rather than intuition alone.

Local teams have sufficient authority to respond to meaningful local differences.

Global teams provide clear guidance on which brand elements cannot be changed.

We evaluate product, price, promotion, and distribution separately when deciding what to adapt.

We test major adaptations before scaling them across a market.

Section F: Performance

Our market-specific adaptations improve customer acceptance.

Our international marketing approach supports sales growth and market penetration.

Our adaptations improve local brand relevance.

We maintain consistent global brand meaning despite local execution differences.

Our adaptation decisions generate benefits that justify their added cost and complexity.

Open Questions

Which marketing-mix element usually requires the most adaptation in emerging markets, and why?

Which global brand elements should never be localized?

What is the most common cause of failed localization in your experience?

What practice best helps your company balance global consistency and local responsiveness?

Appendix B

Evidence Coding Matrix

Study	Environmental driver	Marketing element	Strategic implication	Performance interpretation
Cavusgil et al., 1993	Firm, product, industry, export market	Product, promotion	Adapt to contextual conditions	Supports contingency logic
Zou & Cavusgil, 2002	Global integration and competitive context	Broad global marketing strategy	Coordinate globally where transferable	Global strategy can support performance
Theodosiou & Leonidou, 2003	Multiple contextual factors	Marketing program	Avoid universal prescriptions	Mixed direct effects
Sousa & Lengler, 2009	Psychic distance	Product, price, promotion, distribution	Element-level decisions	Different effects by element
Powers & Loyka, 2010	Company, industry, market factors	4Ps	Adapt selectively	Different degrees across mix
Schmid & Kotulla, 2011	Fit and boundary conditions	Broad strategy	Use theoretical fit logic	No single best degree
Liu et al., 2016	China market complexity	Brand communication	Protect brand core, localize communication	Hybrid logic
Ipek, 2021	Emerging-market context	International marketing strategy	Context deserves explicit treatment	Research remains fragmented
Mandler et al., 2021	Conceptual and methodological contingencies	Programs/processes/strategy	Match adaptation to context and metric	Performance evidence mixed
Svystunova et al., 2024	Host institutions	MNC-host interaction	Build legitimacy and institutional fit	Organizational outcomes depend on interaction

Tsougkou et al., 2025	Product-context fit	Product dimensions	Treat product adaptation as multidimensional	Different mechanisms and outcomes
--------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	--	---

Abstract Summary

This MBA project examines how multinational companies can balance marketing standardization and localization in emerging markets. Using an integrative review of international marketing research, it finds that the strongest strategy is not complete standardization or complete adaptation. The more reliable principle is strategic fit. Firms should preserve global brand purpose, quality, and scalable capabilities while adapting customer-facing elements when culture, regulation, affordability, competition, or distribution materially affect market success. The project provides a practical GLOCAL-FIT framework and a proposed questionnaire for future empirical validation.