

عنوان البحث

دراسة اثر تطبيقات الذكاء الاصطناعي في الحوكمة على الخطط الاستراتيجية وتنفيذها من قبل
القيادات في القطاع الصحي في المملكة العربية السعودية

**Assessing the Impact of Artificial Intelligence Adoption and Governance
Maturity on Executive Strategic Planning and Performance in the Saudi
Arabian Healthcare Sector**

إعداد الطالب/

عبدالله بن صالح السليمان

الرقم الجامعي/ 252000649

إشراف/

د. الفیصل عبد الحمید

د. فايز جراد

العام الدراسي:

2025

List of Contents

List of Contents	2
List of Tables.....	3
Abstract	4
1. Introduction and Background.....	5
1.1. Introduction and Background.....	5
1.2. The Global Strategic Shift towards AI-Augmented Leadership	5
1.3. Saudi Arabia’s Strategic Imperative: Vision 2030 and Healthcare Transformation	5
1.4. AI as a National Priority: Alignment with NSDAI	6
2. Foundational Research Elements	7
2.1. Research Problem Statement.....	7
2.2. The Strategic Planning Process Gap	7
2.3. The Executive Preparedness and Governance Deficit in KSA	7
3. Research Questions (RQs)	8
4. Importance of the Research.....	8
4.1. Policy and National Alignment (Vision 2030 Validation).....	8
4.2. Organizational and Financial Sustainability.....	8
4.3. Addressing the Human Factor (Talent and Culture)	9
5. Hypotheses and Conceptual Framework.....	10
5.1. Research Hypotheses.....	10
5.2. Conceptual Framework	10
6. Literature Review.....	11
6.1. Theoretical Foundations and Literature Synthesis	11
6.2. Strategic Management Theory in the Digital Era.....	11
6.3. AI in Strategic Decision Making: From Cognitive Assistant to Strategic Collaborator	12
6.4. The KSA Healthcare Transformation: Contextualizing AI Adoption.....	12
6.5. Critical Barriers and the Executive Readiness Challenge in KSA	12
6.6. Regulatory Frameworks, Governance, and Accountability	13
7. Research Methodology.....	13
7.1. Research Design: Quantitative Survey Design	13
7.2. Target Population and Sampling Strategy.....	13
7.3. Data Collection Instruments.....	14
7.4. Data Analysis Techniques.....	14
7.5. Ethical Considerations and Data Governance	14
8. Research Structure.....	15
8.1. Research Structure (Project Timeline)	15

9. Research Limits and Delimitations 16

9.1. Conceptual and Scope Delimitations 16

9.2. Geographical and Temporal Limits..... 16

9.3. Measurement Limits..... 16

10. References 17

List of Tables

Table 1: Research Hypotheses (H1-H4).....10

Table 2: Conceptual Framework: AI Impact on Strategic Planning in KSA Healthcare.....11

Table 3: Research Project Structure and Timeline.....17

Abstract

This document presents a comprehensive research proposal for a quantitative survey study designed to investigate empirically how the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) influences the strategic planning processes of C-suite executives within the Saudi Arabian healthcare sector. The research is framed within the ambitious context of Saudi Vision 2030's Healthcare Sector Transformation Program (HSTP) and the National Strategy for Data and AI (NSDAI). The primary objective is to address the significant gap between pervasive technological optimism—where executives expect substantial improvements in clinical decision-making (83%) and operational efficiencies (75%)¹—and demonstrated strategic readiness, especially concerning the complex managerial and ethical prerequisites for effective deployment. The study will specifically analyze the mediating role of Executive AI Literacy and the institutional development of AI Governance Maturity on the quality of strategic decision-making and subsequent organizational performance across financial sustainability, improved clinical outcomes, and regulatory compliance. By leveraging a rigorous quantitative survey based on psychometrically validated scales, this research is structured to generate critical, policy-relevant insights necessary for the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) to achieve its goal of becoming a global AI leader by 2030 while ensuring responsible, accountable, and high-quality healthcare delivery across the transforming system.

1. Introduction and Background

1.1. Introduction and Background

The global healthcare landscape is currently undergoing its most profound transformation in decades, driven fundamentally by the rapid maturation and integration of Artificial Intelligence technologies. For national health systems, particularly those engaged in high-velocity modernization efforts, the adoption of AI is not merely an operational enhancement but a foundational strategic pillar. This proposal focuses on the KSA healthcare sector, where the imperative for digital transformation is embedded within the core national development strategy, Vision 2030. Understanding how this technological shift affects the highest levels of executive strategy formulation—and what factors enable or inhibit success—is paramount to ensuring the multi-billion-dollar transformation achieves its intended goals.

1.2. The Global Strategic Shift towards AI-Augmented Leadership

AI represents a radical departure from conventional strategic management practices, redefining the capabilities and responsibilities of Top Management Teams (TMTs).² Historically, strategic management relied on structured, often slow, processes to clarify purpose and align organizational goals.³ Today, AI systems move far beyond legacy decision-support tools; they act as strategic collaborators, coaches, and subject matter experts for executives.² This progression from traditional decision support to strategic partnership necessitates a profound re-evaluation of executive skill sets.

Globally, the integration of AI is transforming executive planning by utilizing massive data volumes for enhanced foresight, guiding optimal resource allocation, and continuously assessing strategic assumptions.² This augmentation allows TMTs to develop more effective strategies by systematically identifying strategic options, evaluating complex trade-offs, and sensing subtle shifts in the external environment, thereby countering inherent human cognitive biases.² The strategic influence of AI is profoundly adaptive rather than purely predictive. AI enhances strategic measurement systems, such as Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), to more quickly perceive performance shortfalls and reveal otherwise hidden interdependencies among metrics. This capability provides a significant new feedback mechanism that aligns operations, outcomes, and strategy, enabling timely strategy refinement and adaptation. This rapid, data-driven organizational learning is essential for avoiding reliance on outdated metrics or business assumptions, a crucial capability in KSA's fast-paced transformation environment where strategic alignment must be dynamic and high-velocity.²

1.3. Saudi Arabia's Strategic Imperative: Vision 2030 and Healthcare Transformation

Saudi Arabia's healthcare sector is undergoing a massive overhaul mandated by Vision 2030 and executed through the Healthcare Sector Transformation Program (HSTP).⁵ This program aims to create a healthcare system that is more comprehensive, effective, and integrated, with a strong focus on innovation, financial sustainability, disease prevention, and the rapid expansion of e-health services and digital solutions.⁵

The scale of this transformation is immense. The KSA system, currently ranked 26th globally, is backed by substantial government spending, exceeding USD 66.6 billion in 2023, and

targets substantial infrastructure expansion, adding 26,000 to 43,000 hospital beds to accommodate projected population growth.⁶ Key policy levers driving this effort include achieving Universal Health Coverage (UHC), the widespread adoption of technology, and the development of health clusters leveraging privatization and Public-Private Partnerships (PPP).⁶ Demonstrations of digital commitment are already operational, notably the SEHA Virtual Hospital, launched in 2022, which is recognized as the largest of its kind globally, connecting over 150 hospitals.⁵

This context highlights a major executive challenge: successfully merging technological innovation with new, value-based financial and organizational models. The structural shift toward privatization and PPP⁶ makes effective AI integration critical for managing financial risk and ensuring service continuity. For instance, the transition is expected to increase annual healthcare claims dramatically, from SAR 25 billion to over SAR 80 billion by 2026.⁸ AI adoption, particularly through automation and predictive analytics in complex financial systems like Revenue Cycle Management (RCM), is therefore crucial to stabilizing this expanding financial system, reducing costs, and ensuring the financial sustainability required under the new value-based paradigm.⁸ The strategic success of the HSTP rests on the ability of executives to integrate technology not just for clinical improvement but as a core enabler of financial viability.

1.4. AI as a National Priority: Alignment with NSDAI

AI adoption in healthcare is a direct mandate of the National Strategy for Data and AI (NSDAI), which aims to position the KSA among the top 15 countries globally in AI capabilities and establish it as a regional hub for data and AI by 2030.⁹ Healthcare is explicitly designated as a Priority Sector for integrating data and AI systems with the purpose of enhancing access, improving preemptive care, and accommodating rapidly growing demand.⁹

KSA hospitals and research centers are actively implementing specific AI applications across the healthcare value chain.¹⁰ These applications demonstrate the breadth of executive strategic concerns addressed by AI, moving beyond mere clinical tools to core administrative efficiency, research capacity, and population health management. Examples include AI utilization in hospitals to streamline billing processes and reduce errors in claims (RCM)¹⁰, adoption of AI for efficient Electronic Health Record (EHR) management in Riyadh hospitals¹⁰, and the deployment of AI in early detection programs for chronic conditions like diabetes and heart disease across the Kingdom.¹⁰ These applications underscore that AI adoption in KSA is fundamentally shaped by national goals for economic growth, sustainability, and global leadership.⁹ Strategic planning must therefore address a complex mandate—linking AI deployment to patient outcomes, financial sustainability, and adherence to broader national social and environmental objectives.

2. Foundational Research Elements

2.1. Research Problem Statement

While governmental policy strongly mandates AI adoption and KSA healthcare executives express high optimism—with 83% believing AI improves clinical decision-making and 75% expecting it to reduce operational costs¹—a critical knowledge gap exists regarding the strategic execution of these goals. There is insufficient empirical understanding of AI's direct impact on executive strategic planning functions (formulation, adaptation, resource allocation) and the specific internal prerequisites necessary for successful deployment within the uniquely complex, transforming KSA healthcare environment. The problem is multidimensional, encompassing process deficiencies, executive preparedness, and governance immaturity. The failure to effectively integrate AI at the executive level risks undermining Vision 2030's multi-billion-dollar investment in the sector.

2.2. The Strategic Planning Process Gap

Global literature confirms AI's potential to enhance the quality of strategic decision-making by offering predictive power and unparalleled data processing.² However, management literature also suggests that formalized planning processes do not intrinsically guarantee improved performance in complex, financially constrained health systems.³ This raises a critical question for KSA executives navigating massive infrastructural changes: Does AI integration fundamentally improve the *quality* and *efficacy* of strategy formulation, or does it merely automate existing inefficient practices? The executive strategic challenge lies not in the technical feasibility of operational AI (e.g., diagnostics, Robotic Process Automation (RPA))¹⁰, but in how C-suite leaders incorporate AI-derived real-time foresight into high-stakes strategic choices concerning privatization schedules, managing exponential growth in financial claims, and major capital investments.⁸ The study must bridge the understanding between operational AI success and high-level strategic process improvement.

2.3. The Executive Preparedness and Governance Deficit in KSA

Successfully translating strategic AI objectives into organizational reality requires overcoming significant, localized adoption challenges. KSA faces operational barriers, including siloed hospital systems, a shortage of professionals, and fragmented patient data, leading to the "Data Dilemma".⁷ These operational barriers, which center on the lack of high-quality, standardized, and accessible data, directly escalate into substantial strategic risk.¹³

Crucially, existing literature notes a specific knowledge deficit concerning the impact of AI literacy among healthcare leaders.¹⁴ Without adequate AI literacy, leaders cannot effectively perceive, evaluate, or navigate the complex moral, legal, and infrastructural challenges necessary for successful AI adoption, including addressing issues of algorithmic bias and data privacy.¹⁴ This deficiency is amplified by KSA's evolving regulatory environment. The framework, defined by SDAIA's focus on ethical AI and the mandates of the Personal Data Protection Law (PDPL)¹⁶, demands robust executive oversight.¹⁸ The current state of AI Governance Maturity among KSA healthcare executives and its precise relationship to compliance, risk mitigation, and strategic success remains largely unquantified, necessitating focused investigation.

3. Research Questions (RQs)

This study endeavors to answer the following core research questions:

1. **RQ1 (Strategic Impact):** How does the adoption of AI technologies influence the comprehensiveness, adaptability, and speed of strategic planning processes among KSA healthcare C-suite executives?
2. **RQ2 (Governance Nexus):** To what extent does the AI Governance Maturity of KSA healthcare organizations mediate the relationship between AI adoption intensity and overall strategic performance (defined by financial sustainability, clinical outcomes, and compliance)?
3. **RQ3 (Literacy Barrier):** How do varying levels of Executive AI Literacy influence the perception of AI-related barriers (e.g., data quality, algorithmic bias, ethical concerns) and the effectiveness of mitigation strategies employed in KSA healthcare strategic planning?
4. **RQ4 (Accountability and XAI):** What specific managerial and regulatory challenges related to accountability and liability (particularly under PDPL and SDAIA guidelines) do KSA healthcare executives face when integrating 'black box' AI solutions, and how are strategies such as Explainable AI (XAI) utilized to address these risks? ¹¹

4. Importance of the Research

4.1. Policy and National Alignment (Vision 2030 Validation)

The research provides direct, empirical evidence necessary for validating and refining KSA's national strategies. The findings will offer specific feedback to governmental bodies, including the Ministry of Health and SDAIA, on the institutional readiness and precise success factors for technology adoption at the executive level, crucial for realizing Vision 2030's healthcare and AI objectives.⁹ By analyzing the degree of adherence to SDAIA's AI Ethics Principles and the implementation of PDPL requirements ¹⁷, the study directly informs the necessary evolution of KSA's regulatory and governance frameworks, ensuring they support innovation while rigorously maintaining ethical, safety, and privacy standards.

4.2. Organizational and Financial Sustainability

AI is fundamental to achieving the financial sustainability goals of the HSTP, largely through enhanced operational efficiency and advanced Revenue Cycle Management (RCM).⁸ The research will provide a quantifiable assessment of strategic value by linking robust AI governance and high executive literacy to measurable performance improvements. Studies indicate that hospitals implementing AI-assisted RCM have achieved substantial gains, including up to 30% faster reimbursements, 20% fewer denials, and 25% lower administrative overheads.⁸ Additionally, automation in RCM operations, often leveraging technologies like RPA and AI, is linked to significant productivity gains (15% to 30% in areas like call centers).¹² By empirically linking governance maturity and executive literacy to these financial outcomes, the research provides necessary strategic data to justify large-scale,

high-cost AI implementation, mitigating executive hesitancy regarding the substantial resources required for effective adoption.²²

4.3. Addressing the Human Factor (Talent and Culture)

The pervasive skills deficit and talent gap identified in the KSA healthcare sector¹³ represents a strategic vulnerability. This study directly addresses this by focusing on the AI literacy requirements for leadership, validating the need for targeted executive training programs that align with national frameworks like SDAIA's National Strategy for Data and AI.²³ Furthermore, by exploring executive perceptions, the research illuminates the critical cultural and trust factors (such as concerns over medicine becoming impersonal)²⁵ that may impede full executive and professional buy-in, which is vital for managing organizational change amid radical transformation.

5. Hypotheses and Conceptual Framework

5.1. Research Hypotheses

The conceptual framework posits that superior strategic outcomes from AI adoption are not merely a result of technological availability but are critically driven by executive capacity, defined by literacy, and controlled by robust governance mechanisms.

Table 1: Research Hypotheses (H1-H4)

HYPO- - THESIS	CORE RELATIONSHIP	JUSTIFICATION AND SOURCE LINKAGE
H1	Higher Executive AI Literacy (E-AIL) is positively associated with greater perceived Strategic Planning Effectiveness (SPE).	Leaders must possess the requisite knowledge to strategically deploy AI and effectively address adoption barriers such as bias, trust, and data quality.¹⁴
H2	AI Governance Maturity (AGM) positively mediates the relationship between AI Adoption Intensity (AAI) and Organizational Performance (OP).	Integrated and expert governance ensures the safe, trustworthy, and impactful adoption of AI, transforming technical investment into superior strategic and compliance outcomes.¹⁸
H3	AI-Augmented Strategic Planning (ASP) processes significantly reduce human cognitive biases, leading to higher quality and more adaptable strategies.	AI agents identify options, evaluate complex trade-offs, and sense shifts in the environment, fundamentally countering inherent human biases in TMT strategy development.²
H4	High AI Governance Maturity (AGM) significantly moderates the negative impact of perceived Data Governance and Regulatory Risk on AI Adoption Success in KSA.	Robust, integrated governance (adhering to PDPL/SDAIA) is necessary to mitigate critical risks arising from fragmented data, privacy breaches, and compliance failure, which are specific and substantial challenges in the KSA environment.¹⁷

5.2. Conceptual Framework

The overarching conceptual model integrates insights from global strategic management theory regarding AI as a strategic collaborator with localized KSA factors, specifically Executive AI Literacy and AI Governance Maturity, as critical enablers. Effective strategic planning in KSA healthcare is hypothesized to be a complex function of the intensity of technology deployment, the preparedness of its leaders, and the quality of its oversight structures.

The model details the hypothesized relationships across antecedents, mediating processes, and final outcomes. For instance, the transition towards privatization and Value-Based Healthcare means that strategic success is multidimensional. AI must not only improve clinical care (e.g., 40% projected health outcome improvement) ²² but also concurrently improve financial metrics like RCM efficiency ⁸ and align organizational behavior with national sustainability goals, such as reducing the carbon footprint through optimized supply chains.¹⁰ AI Governance Maturity acts as the essential control mechanism, ensuring that the velocity and comprehensiveness gained from AI integration are realized responsibly, thereby managing legal and ethical risks and enhancing compliance, which ultimately drives overall Organizational Performance.

Table 2: Conceptual Framework: AI Impact on Strategic Planning in KSA Healthcare

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES (ANTECEDENTS)	MEDIATING VARIABLES (PROCESS)	DEPENDENT VARIABLES (OUTCOMES)
EXECUTIVE AI LITERACY (E-AIL) ¹⁴	AI Governance Maturity (AGM) ¹⁸	Strategic Planning Effectiveness (SPE) ²
AI ADOPTION INTENSITY (AAI) ¹	Strategic Measurement Systems (KPI Alignment) ²	Organizational Performance (OP): Financial & Clinical ⁸
REGULATORY AND INSTITUTIONAL READINESS (SDAIA/PDPL) ¹⁷	Adaptability and Speed of Strategy Execution ²	Risk and Accountability Management (XAI Compliance) ¹⁹

6. Literature Review

6.1. Theoretical Foundations and Literature Synthesis

This exhaustive review establishes the theoretical grounding for the study, contextualizes global findings within the specific KSA environment, and precisely delineates the gaps concerning executive strategic integration of AI.

6.2. Strategic Management Theory in the Digital Era

Strategic management literature has evolved significantly, shifting from favoring systematic, rigorous processes derived from the business sector ³ to recognizing the necessity of constant adaptation and sensing of highly complex, competitive marketplaces.³ AI integration marks the next phase of this evolution. AI serves as a powerful strategic enabler, leveraging its capacity for real-time analysis of large datasets (predictive analytics) to offer crucial foresight and enable more accurate, data-driven decisions.⁴ This fundamentally shifts the technology's function from optimizing operations toward core strategy formulation, allowing AI agents to act as genuine strategic collaborators.² The literature emphasizes that effective AI integration is not solely a technical exercise; it necessitates acknowledging the complex socio-

organizational and human dynamics that shape decision outcomes. This requirement supports the need for context-aware frameworks that are flexible and adaptable, which is crucial when studying an environment undergoing rapid structural change like KSA.³⁰

6.3. AI in Strategic Decision Making: From Cognitive Assistant to Strategic Collaborator

AI's role in decision-making frameworks represents a paradigm shift, providing unprecedented capabilities for efficiency and predictive power.¹¹ While the consensus in the literature is optimistic about AI's potential and views its use as inevitable¹¹, its influence is growing beyond that of a cognitive assistant (a tool for data collection and evaluation) to a strategic collaborator whose role will expand as the technology becomes more institutionalized.¹¹ In the healthcare sector, this translates to measurable strategic advantages. AI-driven predictive models substantially improve diagnostic accuracy and patient care¹¹, which strategically corresponds to improved patient outcomes (projected 40% improvement) and reduced treatment costs (estimated 50% reduction for AI-assisted diagnoses).²² This powerful dual impact on quality and cost directly ties the strategic decision to invest in AI to the crucial mandate of managing the financial pressures inherent in complex health systems.³ Consequently, high initial investment in AI, particularly in RCM systems, is justifiable due to the strategic potential for substantial cost reductions and improved financial transparency, elevating AI integration to a C-suite financial imperative.⁸

6.4. The KSA Healthcare Transformation: Contextualizing AI Adoption

KSA's adoption of digital health technologies, including AI, data mining, genomics, and bioinformatics, is explicitly aimed at enhancing healthcare standards and addressing the importance of data security and patient-centricity.⁶ The documented use cases in KSA are highly diverse, spanning administrative functions (RCM, EHR management), predictive health (disease detection), specialized services (SEHA Virtual Hospital)⁵, and scientific development (drug discovery at KAUST).¹⁰ KSA's AI adoption is intrinsically shaped by national objectives for sustainability and global leadership.⁹ Strategic planning in this context must therefore address a complex mandate—linking AI deployment to superior patient outcomes, ensuring financial sustainability for the privatized system, and adhering to broader national social and environmental goals. For instance, AI literacy must encompass knowledge of the environmental impact of AI systems, such as optimizing transportation and supply chain routes to reduce carbon footprints, thereby aligning operations with SDG 13.¹⁰

6.5. Critical Barriers and the Executive Readiness Challenge in KSA

Despite high governmental commitment and major public investment (SAR 250 billion)²³, the path to full AI integration faces substantial, localized friction. The primary hurdle is the "Data Dilemma," characterized by fragmented patient data, a lack of high-quality standardized data, data silos between departments, and interoperability issues with legacy systems.⁷ This is not merely a technical challenge; the inability to overcome these issues is a profound strategic risk factor. Without a solid data foundation, the effectiveness of any AI initiative is limited¹³, risking algorithmic bias that could compromise patient safety and

organizational reputation.¹³ Executives must strategically invest in data governance frameworks aligned with SDAIA and PDPL *before* large-scale AI deployment.

Compounding the data challenge is a widespread talent and literacy gap.¹³ A recognized shortage of healthcare professionals and leaders with the requisite skills to develop, manage, and interpret AI systems exists.¹³ This deficiency at the executive level undermines their ability to effectively perceive, evaluate, and mitigate complex AI risks, threatening the realization of Vision 2030 goals.¹⁴ This literacy gap validates the urgent need for targeted executive training programs designed to foster practical AI skills and applied workflows.²³

6.6. Regulatory Frameworks, Governance, and Accountability

KSA has proactively established a robust governance ecosystem through SDAIA's AI Ethics Principles and the implementation of the PDPL.¹⁶ These frameworks emphasize transparency, accountability, responsible use, and strict adherence to privacy, which is crucial given the sensitivity of health data.¹⁷

However, the integration of complex AI systems introduces a high-stakes accountability dilemma. When AI leads to strategic failure or medical error, the "black box" nature of certain algorithms complicates the assignment of blame and organizational liability.¹⁹ Legal tensions emerge regarding executive responsibility, especially given PDPL's mandate for strict access controls over health data, requiring careful auditing based on seniority and need-to-know principles.¹⁷ For KSA executives, establishing a high AI Governance Maturity (AGM) is therefore a primary strategic requirement for ensuring compliance and mitigating risk.¹⁸ Effective governance demands a multidisciplinary approach, integrating legal, clinical, compliance, and bioethics expertise.¹⁸ Strategically, this necessitates moving away from opaque models towards "white box" or Explainable AI (XAI) solutions to enhance transparency¹¹ and implementing integrated governance maturity matrices to track progress against strategic AI objectives annually.²⁷

7. Research Methodology

7.1. Research Design: Quantitative Survey Design

A Quantitative Survey Design is the appropriate methodology to empirically test the hypothesized relationships between AI adoption, executive literacy, governance maturity, and strategic performance in the KSA healthcare sector. This design focuses on collecting standardized data to test the complex, multi-variate causal relationships posited in the study's hypotheses using structural equation modeling (SEM).³⁵

7.2. Target Population and Sampling Strategy

The Target Population consists of C-suite executives (CEO, COO, CIO, CFO, CMO) and high-level strategy and planning directors from three organizational types reflective of the HSTP's structural changes: large public hospitals/health clusters, major private sector healthcare providers, and academic medical centers, specifically located within Riyadh administrative region. For the Quantitative Survey, a rigorous multistage stratified random-sampling technique will be utilized within the Riyadh area. This technique will focus on

enumeration units (Primary Sampling Units/PSUs) within the Riyadh region to ensure probability proportionate to size (PPS) sampling of executives within this focused geographical stratum.³⁶ This focused sampling ensures deep investigation into the core strategic center of KSA's transformation. The statistically determined target sample size (n) will be selected to support robust Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis.

7.3. Data Collection Instruments

Phase I: Quantitative Data Collection (Survey Instrument)

The survey will be administered to the sample population and will comprise four primary sections utilizing established, validated measurement scales adapted for the KSA healthcare context:

- 1. Executive AI Literacy (E-AIL): Utilizing validated scales ²⁶ to measure core competencies, including the technical understanding of AI capabilities, recognition of algorithmic bias, and ethical implications.**
- 2. AI Governance Maturity (AGM): Utilizing a framework adapted from established governance maturity matrices ²⁷, assessing the TMT's proactive measures across risk management, ethical oversight, resource allocation, and integrated governance structures.¹⁸**
- 3. Strategic Planning Effectiveness (SPE): Measures of perceived quality, speed, adaptability, and alignment of strategy formulation resulting from AI input.²**
- 4. Organizational Performance (OP): Captures key performance indicators (KPIs) related to financial outcomes (RCM efficiency, cost reduction) and verifiable clinical outcomes (quality, safety).⁸**

7.4. Data Analysis Techniques

Data Analysis Techniques

Initial analysis will include descriptive statistics and correlation analysis. The primary analytical tool will be Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). SEM is ideally suited to test the complex, multi-variate causal relationships posited in the hypotheses (H1-H4), particularly the mediating roles of AI Literacy and Governance Maturity on Strategic Planning Effectiveness.³⁵ This requires ensuring the psychometric properties of the scales, including composite reliability and discriminant validity, are rigorously validated.²⁶

7.5. Ethical Considerations and Data Governance

The research design prioritizes ethical compliance, adhering strictly to KSA's Personal Data Protection Law (PDPL) ¹⁷ and the SDAIA AI Ethics Framework.²¹ Key measures include securing explicit informed consent, implementing data minimization techniques, ensuring the complete anonymization of executive responses, and maintaining the secure, localized storage of all sensitive data. The research itself will adhere to the highest standards of data governance, modeling the ethical principles that the study seeks to measure.²⁰

8. Research Structure

8.1. Research Structure (Project Timeline)

The proposed research will be executed over a highly accelerated 3-month period, focused on rigorous quantitative execution and analysis.

Table 3: Research Project Structure and Timeline

Phase	Duration (Months)	Activities	Deliverables
Phase I: Protocol & Instrument Finalization	M1	Final Protocol Development, Ethics Review Submission, Immediate Survey Instrument adaptation and finalization based on established validated scales. ²⁶	Ethical Approval, Finalized Sampling Strategy, Ready-to-Deploy Survey Instrument.
Phase II: Data Collection & Validation	M2	Large-scale Survey Administration (Targeting 20+ C-suite leaders) within Riyadh; Data Cleaning and initial psychometric checks (CR, AVE). ²⁶	Cleaned Quantitative Dataset, Preliminary Validity Checks.
Phase III: Analysis & Final Reporting	M3	Comprehensive Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) Analysis ³⁵ , Interpretation of Results, Development of Policy Recommendations.	Final Research Report, Submission of Findings.

9. Research Limits and Delimitations

9.1. Conceptual and Scope Delimitations

The study is intentionally delimited to the strategic planning functions of top management and excludes detailed, specialized technical evaluations of specific AI algorithms or highly localized clinical operational systems. The focus is strictly on the governance, behavioral, and organizational factors (literacy, governance maturity, regulatory adherence) that impact high-level strategic choice and organizational adaptation, rather than technical efficacy.

9.2. Geographical and Temporal Limits

The scope is strictly limited to the healthcare sector in the Al Riyadh administrative region, excluding the strategic planning operations of global healthcare entities outside KSA. The quantitative phase utilizes a cross-sectional design, which provides a snapshot of current executive perceptions and behaviors but limits the ability to infer strict longitudinal causality regarding changes in performance over extended periods.

9.3. Measurement Limits

Organizational Performance metrics rely, in part, on self-reported data from executives regarding metrics like RCM efficiency and cost reduction ⁸, which introduces a risk of social desirability bias. This limitation will be mitigated by triangulating results with objective, verifiable organizational data where publicly available, focusing only on the quantitative survey outcomes.

10. References

1. American Hospital Association. How Do Health Executives View AI? 3 Takeaways from a New Survey [Internet]. 2024. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.aha.org/2025-09-09-how-do-health-executives-view-ai-3-takeaways-new-survey>
2. Strategic management with artificial intelligence (AI). Oxford Research Encyclopedia [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://oxfordre.com/business/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190224851.001.0001/acrefore-9780190224851-e-463>
3. Strategic planning rigor versus performance outcomes in healthcare management. J Health Manag Hum Perform [Internet]. 2019 Dec 13 [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://jhmp.amegroups.org/article/view/5557/html>
4. AI's role in utilizing data for foresight and resource allocation. BESRA J [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://besra-journals.net/index.php/ijabs/article/download/45/113>
5. Vision 2030. The Healthcare Sector Transformation Program [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/en/explore/programs/health-sector-transformation-program>
6. Global Health Saudi. How Saudi's Vision 2030 is Going to Transform the Healthcare Industry [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.globalhealthsaudi.com/en/news/healthcare-insights/how-saudis-vision-2030-is-going-to-transform-the-healthcare.html>
7. Challenges facing the use of AI in Saudi Arabia's healthcare system, privatization, and PPP models. PMC [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12019849/>
8. Santechure. Evolution of RCM in KSA: Powering Saudi Arabia's Shift to Value-Based Healthcare (White Paper) [Internet]. 2025. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://santechure.com/news/evolution-of-rcm-in-ksa-white-paper/>
9. Saudi Data and Artificial Intelligence Authority (SDAIA). National Strategy For Data And AI (NSDAI) [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: [\(\(https://sdaia.gov.sa/en/SDAIA/SdaiaStrategies/Pages/NationalStrategyForDataAndAI.aspx\)\)](https://sdaia.gov.sa/en/SDAIA/SdaiaStrategies/Pages/NationalStrategyForDataAndAI.aspx)
10. AI applications in Saudi Arabia's Public Health System: Aligning with Vision 2030 and SDG 13. PMC [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12076014/>
11. AI integration in decision-making frameworks and the need for Explainable AI (XAI). Taylor & Francis Online [Internet]. 2024. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/12460125.2024.2349425>

12. American Hospital Association (AHA). 3 Ways AI Can Improve Revenue Cycle Management [Internet]. 2024. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.aha.org/aha-center-health-innovation-market-scan/2024-06-04-3-ways-ai-can-improve-revenue-cycle-management>
13. CISIN. Saudi Arabia Health Systems: Challenging and Future Transformations with Artificial Intelligence [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.cisin.com/coffee-break/saudi-arabia-health-systems-challenging-and-future-transformations-with-artificial-intelligence.html>
14. Impact of AI literacy among healthcare leaders on AI adoption in Saudi Arabia. Claremont Scholarship [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: https://scholarship.claremont.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2035&context=cgu_etd
15. A mixed methods study of the transformative effects of artificial intelligence on healthcare. ResearchGate [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: ((https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379778723_Original_Research_Article_A_mixed_methods_study_of_the_transformative_effects_of_artificial_intelligence_on_healthcare))
16. Two Birds. AI Regulatory Horizon Tracker: Saudi Arabia [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.twobirds.com/en/capabilities/artificial-intelligence/ai-legal-services/ai-regulatory-horizon-tracker/saudi-arabia>
17. Tamimi & Co. Health Data Under the Personal Data Protection Law in Saudi Arabia: Implications for Personnel Access and Data Security [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.twobirds.com/en/insights/2025/saudi-arabia-health-data-under-the-personal-data-protection-law>
18. Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI). AI Governance: Maximizing benefit and minimizing harm [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.ihl.org/library/blog/ai-governance-maximizing-benefit-and-minimizing-harm-patients-providers-and-health>
19. Johns Hopkins. Fault Lines in Health Care AI – Part Two: Who’s Responsible When AI Gets It Wrong? [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://carey.jhu.edu/articles/fault-lines-health-care-ai-part-two-whos-responsible-when-ai-gets-it-wrong>
20. MyGov.sa. AI Regulatory Landscape and Generative AI Guidelines in KSA [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://my.gov.sa/en/content/109729>
21. Saudi Data and Artificial Intelligence Authority (SDAIA). AI Ethics Principles Framework [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: ((<https://sdaia.gov.sa/en/SDAIA/about/Documents/ai-principles.pdf>))
22. Harvard Medical School Executive Education. AI in Health Care: From Strategies to Implementation [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from:

<https://execonline.hms.harvard.edu/artificial-intelligence-in-health-care-from-strategies-to-implementation>

23. Nucamp. KSA AI in Healthcare Market report and the need for executive training [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.nucamp.co/blog/coding-bootcamp-saudi-arabia-sau-healthcare-how-ai-is-helping-healthcare-companies-in-saudi-arabia-cut-costs-and-improve-efficiency>
24. AI literacy and sustainable PHSC: Aligning adoption with SDG 13. PMC [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12076014/>
25. ACRPnet. Embracing the Future: Opportunities and Challenges of AI Integration in Healthcare [Internet]. 2024. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://acrpnet.org/2024/02/16/embracing-the-future-opportunities-and-challenges-of-ai-integration-in-healthcare>
26. Development and Validation of an AI Literacy Scale. ResearchGate [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: ((https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386587341_Development_and_Validation_of_an_AI_Literacy_Scale))
27. AI Governance Maturity Matrix: A Roadmap for Smarter Boards. CMR Berkeley [Internet]. 2025. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://cmr.berkeley.edu/2025/05/ai-governance-maturity-matrix-a-roadmap-for-smarter-boards/>
28. Adoption challenges to artificial intelligence literacy in public healthcare: An evidence based study in Saudi Arabia. ResearchGate [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: ((https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390596370_Adoption_challenges_to_artificial_intelligence_literacy_in_public_healthcare_an_evidence_based_study_in_Saudi_Arabia))
29. Five-dimensional framework for measuring Generative AI Literacy. PMC [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12189696/>
30. Complementary Theoretical Perspectives for AI integration. API Eurokd J [Internet]. 2025. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://api.eurokd.com/Uploads/Article/1749/mbrq.2025.35.01.pdf>
31. AI integration in decision-making frameworks and the need for Explainable AI (XAI). Taylor & Francis Online [Internet]. 2024. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/12460125.2024.2349425>
32. AI Regulatory Landscape and Generative AI Guidelines in KSA. MyGov.sa [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://my.gov.sa/en/content/109729>

33. Tamimi & Co. Health Data Under the Personal Data Protection Law in Saudi Arabia: Implications for Personnel Access and Data Security [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.twobirds.com/en/insights/2025/saudi-arabia-health-data-under-the-personal-data-protection-law>
34. Building an AI governance system from the ground up using a scalable framework. PMC [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12356831/>
35. Mixed-methods case study on technology implementation in healthcare: Impacts, costs, barriers, and facilitators of telehealth services. PMC [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11056098/>
36. Healthdata.org. Multistage stratified probability sampling methodology in KSA health surveys [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: ((<https://www.healthdata.org/sites/default/files/files/Projects/KSA/Saudi-Health-Interview-Survey-Results.pdf>))
37. Multistage stratified random-sampling technique in KSA demographic and health surveys. PMC [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9931598/>
38. Conceptualizing AI processes in decision-making frameworks: The mediation role of marketing strategy. Int J Prod Res [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2025 Oct]. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00207543.2021.1966540>