

Iznan Hasbullah

حصة العتيبي.FDP



Network Security



My PhD students



Universiti Sains Malaysia

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::1:3303299189

Submission Date

Jul 27, 2025, 10:51 PM GMT+8

Download Date

Jul 27, 2025, 10:52 PM GMT+8

File Name

INTEGR_1.PDF

File Size

387.7 KB

12 Pages

3,501 Words

21,692 Characters

92% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Detection Groups



57 AI-generated only **92%**

Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.



0 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased **0%**

Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



Course Title: Research Methodology in Computer Science

Course Code: AI800

Weighting: 20%

Lecturer: Dr. Mohammed Anbar

Hand-out Date: 28 June 2025

Hand-in Date: 12 July 2025

Hand-in Method: Online Submission via E-Learning

Assignment Report:

Integrating Artificial Intelligence into Smart Assessment Systems to Develop Education for Middle and Secondary Schools in Saudi Arabia

Name: Hissah Al Otaibi

ID: 252001788

Summary research paper's:

Paper1: Impact of E-Assessment at Middle School Students' Learning -An Empirical study at USA Middle School Students

This study aims to analyze the impact of electronic assessment on the learning of middle school students in the United States. The study focuses on evaluating how electronic assessments are used as a tool to motivate students and improve their academic performance. The study relied on an experimental research method, where the performance of students who used electronic assessments was compared with that of students who were assessed using traditional methods (such as paper tests). Data was then collected through questionnaires for middle school students and teachers, in addition to analyzing the results of electronic tests compared to traditional tests. Statistical analysis was used to analyze the data extracted from electronic tests and assessments. The study showed that electronic assessments contributed to increasing student participation and motivation. The results showed a noticeable improvement in student performance in electronic assessments compared to traditional assessments, as well as an increase in their attention and academic achievement. It was noted that some schools were unable to access technology and limit students' access to electronic assessments completely.

Critical analysis:

Strengths:

- The study used an experimental approach, which is suitable for comparing student performance in electronic and traditional assessments and for clarifying the relationship between the type of assessment and the results.
- Diversity of data sources: Data was collected through questionnaires for students and teachers, providing a comprehensive view of the impact of electronic assessment from several perspectives.
- Promising results: The results show that electronic assessments have a positive impact on student participation and learning outcomes, reinforcing the importance of using technology in assessment.

Weaknesses:

- Challenges in accessing technology: It was mentioned that technology gaps in some schools may affect the effective implementation of electronic assessment. This is an important point, and the paper did not delve into how to solve this problem or ways to address it.
- Generalization: The study was conducted on a specific group of middle schools in the United States, and the results may not be generalizable to all schools worldwide.

Methodology assessment:

- The methodology is experimental and is considered appropriate for studying the impact of electronic assessments on students. However, it would have been better to incorporate subjective observations from students about their personal experience with electronic assessment.
- The target group was not sufficiently diverse, as it was limited to specific students in middle schools in the United States.

Data sources evaluation:

- Questionnaires were the main source of data, which is a strong source but may be influenced by participants' personal preferences or biases. It would also have been better to add personal interviews with students or teachers to deepen understanding of the challenges or opportunities associated with the use of electronic assessment.

Paper2: How Using Authentic Digital Assessments Can Benefit Students

The paper aims to explore how digital assessments can be integrated into secondary education, focusing on

- Enhancing student engagement and participation.
- Providing students with options for how to demonstrate their learning.
- Offering flexible assessments that take into account exceptional circumstances such as distance learning.

The study used tools such as Google Docs and HyperDoc to deliver assignments and track student progress. It allowed students to choose how to demonstrate their learning, such as creating graphs or charts or using video games, and gave students the freedom to submit their work without strict deadlines, reducing stress and promoting self-responsibility.

Key findings

- Increased engagement: Providing students with different options increased their participation and interest in the course content.
- Improved performance: Students demonstrated creativity, engagement, and depth of understanding of the course content.
- Flexible assessment deadlines: Flexibility in deadlines allowed students to think critically and produce high-quality work.

Critical analysis

Strengths

- Enhanced engagement: Providing students with options enhances their engagement and makes them more responsible.
- Assessment flexibility: Providing flexible assessments that take into account students' different circumstances reduces stress and increases learning effectiveness.
- Use of technology: Leveraging modern digital tools makes assessment more interactive and realistic.

Weaknesses

- Unequal access: Some students may have difficulty accessing digital tools due to limited resources.
- Assessment challenges: Assessing diverse creative work can be more challenging and require more time and effort from teachers.
- Reliance on technology: Heavy reliance on technology may cause problems in the event of technical malfunctions or connection interruptions.

Assessment methodology and data sources

- Methodology: The paper is based on practical experience and direct application in classrooms, providing realistic insights into the effectiveness of authentic digital assessments.
- Data credibility: The data is based on the personal experiences of a teacher in a specific educational environment, which may limit the generalizability of the findings.

Paper3: Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review

The paper aims to:

- Review current trends in the use of artificial intelligence in assessment.
- Discuss future AI technologies for improving academic assessments.
- Analyze the potential of AI and its role in improving the accuracy and effectiveness of assessments in education, through automated assessment and AI-powered tools.

A comprehensive analytical study of AI-supported assessment tools was used, and trends in the use of AI technologies such as machine learning, data analysis, and recommendation systems were reviewed. Traditional assessment systems were compared with AI-supported assessment systems in the academic environment. Interviews and surveys were conducted with experts and teachers to assess the benefits and challenges of these systems.

Key findings:

- Increased efficiency in the assessment process: AI tools enable quick and accurate assessments of students.
- Personalization and interaction: AI can provide personalized education based on each student's performance, helping to provide immediate feedback.
- Assessment accuracy: AI-supported systems reduce human bias and increase the accuracy of academic assessments.
- Challenges in implementation: There are some challenges, such as resistance to change from some teachers, their need for training in the use of these tools, as well as privacy and security issues.

Critical analysis:

Strengths:

- Improved educational performance: Artificial intelligence allows for accurate data analysis to provide more accurate assessments.
- Personalized education: AI-powered systems can tailor education based on each student's needs.

Weaknesses:

- Technical challenges: AI-powered systems require robust infrastructure and technical capabilities that may not be available in some educational institutions.
- Bias and privacy: Despite their ability to reduce human bias, AI systems can contain built-in biases as a result of the data they are trained on.

Methodology and data sources:

The paper is based on an in-depth analytical study of available data on AI applications in education, which is a comprehensive and balanced methodology.

Data credibility: A variety of sources are used, including previous studies, interviews with teachers and experts, and evaluations of AI-supported assessment tools.

Paper4: The Application of Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Current State and Trends

Objectives of the research paper Review the applications of modern artificial intelligence tools and technologies in education.

Analyze the current situation and methods of implementing artificial intelligence in various sectors, with a focus on education.

Discuss future trends in the use of artificial intelligence in education. The relevant academic literature was reviewed and the current situation and how artificial intelligence is implemented in education was analyzed. Future trends in the use of artificial intelligence in education were discussed.

Key findings:

- Increased use of AI in education, with a focus on personalized learning, automatic assessment, and immediate feedback.
- The importance of developing teachers' skills in using AI tools effectively and ethically.

Critical analysis:

Strengths:

- Provides a comprehensive overview of AI applications in education.
- Highlights future trends and potential challenges.
- Provides guidance and training for teachers and educational institutions.

Weaknesses:

- Lack of specific case studies illustrating the actual application of the tools.
- Lack of in-depth analysis of the technical challenges in applying artificial intelligence.

Evaluation of methodology and data sources:

- Methodology: Review of literature and analysis of the current situation, providing a general understanding but possibly lacking in practical details.
- Data credibility: Based on reliable academic sources, which enhances the credibility of the results.

Paper 5: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Educational Assessment

Research paper objectives: To explore the role of artificial intelligence in improving the effectiveness and efficiency of educational assessments and to analyze the impact of artificial intelligence on formative and summative assessments. The challenges and opportunities associated with the application of artificial intelligence in educational assessments were also discussed.

Methods used:

Literature review: Analysis of previous studies on the application of artificial intelligence in educational assessments.

Qualitative analysis: Comparison between traditional assessments and smart assessments.

Surveys and interviews: Collecting teachers' and students' opinions on the effectiveness of AI-supported assessments.

Key findings:

- **Increased personalization:** AI tools enable personalized assessments tailored to each student's needs.
- **Time savings:** They contribute to faster assessment correction and feedback.
- **Ethical challenges:** They raise issues related to algorithmic bias and ensuring fairness in assessments.
- **Professional training:** They emphasize the need to train teachers to use AI tools effectively.

Critical analysis:

Strengths:

- **Comprehensive research:** Covers a wide range of AI-powered applications and tools.
- **Balanced analysis:** Provides an objective analysis of challenges and opportunities without bias.
- **Practical recommendations:** Offers actionable suggestions for improving AI applications in assessments.

Weaknesses:

- **Lack of empirical studies:** Lacks case studies illustrating the actual application of tools in classrooms.
- **Implementation challenges:** Does not adequately address the technical and logistical challenges of implementing AI tools.

Evaluation of methodology and data sources:

- **Methodology:** The paper relies on a literature review and qualitative analysis, which provides a general understanding but may lack practical details.
- **Data credibility:** It relies on reliable academic sources, which enhances the credibility of the results.

Paper 6: Transforming Student Evaluation with Adaptive Intelligence and Performance Analytics

The research paper aims to explore how artificial intelligence (AI) can be used to transform student assessment through adaptive performance analysis and predictive analytics. The study seeks to improve traditional assessment methods by providing teachers and students with accurate and flexible assessment tools that support continuous personalization of student performance. Through this study, researchers hope to improve the effectiveness of the educational process by using AI systems to provide immediate reports and accurate tracking of students' academic progress.

Methods used:

A quantitative and qualitative analytical research approach was used in this study. The researchers used predictive analysis techniques that enable the prediction of student performance based on previous data. They also analyzed data extracted from AI-supported interactive tests and interviews with teachers and students who used intelligent systems in assessment.

Data was collected through:

- Interviews with teachers and students.
- AI-powered digital tests.
- Predictive analysis of academic performance.

Key findings:

- Improved assessment: The results showed improved assessment accuracy by tailoring questions to each student's needs.
- Immediate feedback: Immediate feedback was provided through the smart system, helping students to quickly and effectively adjust their learning strategies.
- Adaptive performance analysis: Smart systems used adaptive analysis to provide accurate assessments based on students' interaction with the content, which helped identify areas of weakness and strength.
- Technical challenges: Researchers faced challenges related to technical infrastructure and the incompatibility of some tools with different learning platforms.

Critical analysis:

Strengths:

- Modern technologies: The research paper benefited greatly from modern technologies such as artificial intelligence and predictive analytics, making the assessment more accurate and personalized.
- Supporting data: The research relied on a diverse set of data, including interviews with teachers and data from interactive tests, which enhances the credibility of the results.
- Innovation: The use of adaptive analysis demonstrates innovation in the field of education, as assessments can be customized individually for students based on their needs.

Weaknesses:

- Reliance on digital data only: While digital data is important, there is a possibility that the results may not be representative of all students who may face technological challenges or may not be comfortable using digital tools.
- Technical challenges: More emphasis should have been placed on developing solutions to technical challenges that users may face, such as poor infrastructure in some schools.
- Lack of diversity: The study did not address the cultural or geographical diversity of students, which may affect how students interact with smart systems.

Methodology evaluation:

The methodology used was fairly comprehensive, with data collected from multiple sources such as interviews, tests, and digital data.

Data sources:

The research relied on reliable data sources, including interviews with teachers and students, as well as data from AI-powered digital tests. However, technical challenges in data collection may affect the validity of the results, especially when using technologies that may not be compatible in all educational environments.

Connections:

- Research has shown the efficiency, accuracy, and flexibility of smart assessment compared to traditional assessment.
- Students interact with content and improve their performance when using electronic assessments.
- Most studies were conducted on a specific group of students, region, or several schools and were not fully generalized to other groups.
- •The need to provide training and practical applications for teachers and educational institutions.
- There are challenges related to the technical infrastructure of educational institutions.
- There are technological gaps in some schools, which may prevent them from implementing electronic assessment effectively and fully.

Synthesis Matrix for Literature Review:

Study	Authors	Year	Research Design	Key Findings	Methodology	Limitations
Impact of E-Assessment at Middle School Students' Learning -An Empirical study at USA Middle School Students	1. Hassan Khawar Mahmood 2. Fawad Hussain 3. Maaida Mahmood 4. Rida Kumail 5. Jawad Abbas	2020	Experimental	The study showed that electronic assessments contributed to increasing student participation and motivation. The results showed a noticeable improvement in student performance in electronic assessments compared to traditional assessments, as well as an increase in their attention and academic achievement. It was noted that some schools were unable to access technology and limit students' access to electronic assessments completely.	The methodology is experimental and is considered appropriate for studying the impact of electronic assessments on students. However, it would have been better to incorporate subjective observations from students about their personal experience with electronic assessment. The target group was not sufficiently diverse, as it was limited to specific students in middle schools in the United States.	- Challenges in accessing technology: It was mentioned that technology gaps in some schools may affect the effective implementation of electronic assessment. This is an important point, and the paper did not delve into how to solve this problem or ways to address it. - Generalization: The study was conducted on a specific group of middle schools in the United States, and the results may not be generalizable to all schools worldwide.
How Using Authentic Digital Assessments Can Benefit Students	Lauren Gehr	2021	This article is based on personal experiences and practical examples.	- Increased engagement: Providing students with different options increased their participation and interest in the course content. - Improved performance: Students demonstrated creativity, engagement, and depth of understanding of the course content. - Flexible assessment deadlines: Flexibility in deadlines allowed students to think critically and produce high-quality work.	The paper is based on practical experience and direct application in classrooms, providing realistic insights into the effectiveness of authentic digital assessments	- Unequal access: Some students may have difficulty accessing digital tools due to limited resources. - Assessment challenges: Assessing diverse creative work can be more challenging and require more time and effort from teachers. - Reliance on technology: Heavy reliance on technology may cause problems in the event of technical malfunctions or connection interruptions.

Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review	1. Shan Wang 2. Fang Wang 3. Zhen Zhu 4. Jingxuan Wang 5. Tam Tran 6. Zhao Du	2024	Systematic Literature Review	- Increased efficiency in the assessment process: AI tools enable quick and accurate assessments of students. - Personalization and interaction: AI can provide personalized education based on each student's performance, helping to provide immediate feedback. - Assessment accuracy: AI-supported systems reduce human bias and increase the accuracy of academic assessments. - Challenges in implementation: There are some challenges, such as resistance to change from some teachers, their need for training in the use of these tools, as well as privacy and security issues.	The paper is based on an in-depth analytical study of available data on AI applications in education, which is a comprehensive and balanced methodology.	- Technical challenges: AI-powered systems require robust infrastructure and technical capabilities that may not be available in some educational institutions. - Bias and privacy: Despite their ability to reduce human bias, AI systems can contain built-in biases as a result of the data they are trained on.
The Application of Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Current State and Trends	- Vladimir Milićević - Limonka Koceva Lazarova - Miroslava Jordović Pavlović	2024	Descriptive and analytical	- Increased use of AI in education, with a focus on personalized learning, automatic assessment, and immediate feedback. - The importance of developing teachers' skills in using AI tools effectively and ethically.	Review of literature and analysis of the current situation, providing a general understanding but possibly lacking in practical details.	- Lack of specific case studies illustrating the actual application of the tools. - Lack of in-depth analysis of the technical challenges in applying artificial intelligence.
The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Educational Assessment	Kakungulu Samuel J.	2024	Descriptive and analytical	- Increased personalization: AI tools enable personalized assessments tailored to each student's needs. - Time savings: They contribute to faster assessment correction and feedback. - Ethical challenges: They raise issues related to algorithmic bias and ensuring fairness in assessments. - Professional training: They emphasize the need to train teachers to use AI tools effectively.	The paper relies on a literature review and qualitative analysis, which provides a general understanding but may lack practical details	- Lack of empirical studies: Lacks case studies illustrating the actual application of tools in classrooms. - Implementation challenges: Does not adequately address the technical and logistical challenges of implementing AI tools.

Transforming Student Evaluation with Adaptive Intelligence and Performance Analytics	Pushpalatha K S 'Abhishek Mangalur ' Ketan Hegde ' Chetan Badachi ' Mohammad Aamir		Applied Development Methodology	- Improved assessment: The results showed improved assessment accuracy by tailoring questions to each student's needs. - Immediate feedback: Immediate feedback was provided through the smart system, helping students to quickly and effectively adjust their learning strategies. - Adaptive performance analysis: Smart systems used adaptive analysis to provide accurate assessments based on students' interaction with the content, which helped identify areas of weakness and strength. - Technical challenges: Researchers faced challenges related to technical infrastructure and the incompatibility of some tools with different learning platforms.	The methodology used was fairly comprehensive, with data collected from multiple sources such as interviews, tests, and digital data	- Reliance on digital data only: While digital data is important, there is a possibility that the results may not be representative of all students who may face technological challenges or may not be comfortable using digital tools. - Technical challenges: More emphasis should have been placed on developing solutions to technical challenges that users may face, such as poor infrastructure in some schools. - Lack of diversity: The study did not address the cultural or geographical diversity of students, which may affect how students interact with smart systems.
--	--	--	---------------------------------	--	--	--

References:

H. K. Mahmood, F. Hussain, M. Mahmood, R. Kumail, and J. Abbas, "Impact of e-assessment at middle school students' learning: An empirical study at USA middle school students," *Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 1722–1736, Apr. 2020. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341293634_Impact_of_E-Assessment_at_Middle_School_Students'_Learning_-_An_Empirical_study_at_USA_Middle_School_Students

L. Gehr, "How using authentic digital assessments can benefit students," *Edutopia*, Mar. 11, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.edutopia.org/article/how-using-authentic-digital-assessments-can-benefit-students/> [Accessed: Jul. 12, 2025]

Z. Du, S. Wang, F. Wang, Z. Zhu, J. Wang, T. Tran, "Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 252, p. 118735, May 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417424010339> . [Accessed: Jul. 12, 2025].

V. Milićević, L. K. Lazarova, and M. J. Pavlović, "The application of artificial intelligence in education – The current state and trends," *Int. J. Cogn. Res. Sci. Eng. Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 259–272, Aug. 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383613713_The_Application_of_Artificial_Intelligence_in_Education_-_The_Current_State_and_Trends . [Accessed: Jul. 12, 2025].

K. S. J. Kakungulu, "The role of artificial intelligence in educational assessment," *Eurasian Experiment Journal of Scientific and Applied Research*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 44–48, Aug. 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383550444_The_Role_of_Artificial_Intelligence_in_Educational_Assessment . [Accessed: Jul. 12, 2025].

P. K. S. Pushpalatha, A. Mangalur, K. Hegde, C. Badachi, and M. Aamir, "Transforming student evaluation with adaptive intelligence and performance analytics," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.04752*, Feb. 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.04752> . [Accessed: Jul. 12, 2025].