

Midocean University

College of Administration

Department / Specialization: _____



Research Title

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Healthcare Efficiency in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Prepared by

Essa Mohammed Jaafari

242000407

Supervised by

Prof. AL Faisal Abdulhameed Mohamed

Dr. Fayez Ali Jrad

Academic Year

2026

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

When we look at how digital technologies have reshaped industries worldwide, healthcare stands out as one of the most deeply affected sectors (Yeganeh, 2019). In my view, this is not surprising; healthcare generates vast amounts of data, involves life-or-death decisions, and constantly struggles with efficiency pressures. Over the past few years, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most promising innovations in this space. As Saxena and Khinvasara (2024) argue, AI has the potential to reshape healthcare systems by improving diagnostic accuracy, supporting clinical decisions, and increasing overall service efficiency. But what exactly do we mean by AI? Russell and Norvig (2021) offer a clear definition: computer systems and algorithms designed to perform tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as learning, reasoning, pattern recognition, and problem-solving. We find this definition helpful because it focuses on what AI does rather than getting lost in technical complexity.

Why has AI attracted so much attention in healthcare specifically? According to Bohr and Memarzadeh (2020), two main factors are driving this trend: the growing complexity of medical data and the rising demand for high-quality care. Consider what healthcare providers deal with daily: electronic health records, diagnostic images, lab results, and clinical reports all in enormous volumes. Human beings alone cannot process all this information quickly and accurately. So, AI is valuable. As Topol (2019) explains, AI technologies can analyze these large datasets efficiently, identify hidden patterns, and generate understandings that help healthcare professionals make better and faster decisions.

What impresses me most, however, is not just AI's ability to process data but its real-world performance in specific medical fields. Researchers have documented amazing capabilities in radiology, pathology, cardiology, and oncology. For example, AI-based systems can help physicians detect diseases at earlier stages, sometimes before symptoms even appear, predict how a patient might respond to treatment, and recommend personalized care plans. Davenport and Kalakota (2019) argue that these capabilities do two things simultaneously: they improve clinical outcomes and make healthcare delivery more efficient. I agree with this dual-benefit claim, though I will examine later whether both benefits are always realized in practice, especially in the Saudi context.

1.2 Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Systems

Artificial intelligence applications in healthcare are not only variable but also expanding rapidly. Perhaps the most widely recognized application is medical image analysis. In this domain, machine learning algorithms examine radiological images such as X-rays, CT scans, and MRI scans to identify abnormalities and support physicians in making accurate diagnoses (Rana & Bhushan, 2023). What makes this application particularly remarkable is its accuracy? Jiang and colleagues (2017) found that AI systems can achieve diagnostic accuracy comparable to, and in some cases even exceeding, that of human specialists for certain medical tasks. We cannot claim that AI should replace doctors, but it can serve as a powerful second pair of eyes.

Another significant application that should be assessed is predictive analytics. Here, AI systems analyze historical medical data to identify potential health risks and forecast disease progression (Kothinti, 2024). Machine learning models can now predict the likelihood of a patient developing chronic conditions such as diabetes or cardiovascular disease. This capability matters because it allows healthcare providers to step in early, implementing

preventive measures before a condition becomes severe. As Rajkomar, Dean, and Kohane (2019) demonstrated, such predictive tools can genuinely improve patient outcomes, not just theoretically but in real-world clinical settings.

Beyond clinical applications, artificial intelligence also plays an essential role in improving administrative efficiency. Many hospitals struggle daily with scheduling conflicts, patient flow bottlenecks, and the difficult task of allocating limited resources fairly. AI-powered systems offer a way forward. According to Adari (2020), these systems can predict patient demand, optimize appointment scheduling, and automate routine administrative tasks that would otherwise consume staff hours. Davenport and Kalakota (2019) add that these capabilities do more than just save time; they help reduce operational costs while simultaneously enhancing the quality of service delivery. In other words, AI's value in healthcare is not limited to the examination room; it extends to the back office as well.

1.3 Healthcare Efficiency and the Need for Technological Innovation

What exactly does "healthcare efficiency" mean? At its core, the concept refers to the ability of healthcare systems to deliver high-quality medical services while making the best possible use of available resources (Joumard & Nicq, 2010). In practical terms, efficient healthcare systems aim to keep operational costs down, reduce how long patients wait for care, improve the quality of services provided, and ensure that patients leave satisfied with their experience. These are not abstract goals they directly affect whether people receive timely, effective treatment or face unnecessary delays and complications.

Achieving this perfectionism is not easy. Healthcare institutions around the world encounter a range of persistent difficulties that undermine their efficiency. The World Health Organization (2025) identifies several key pressures: rising patient demand, chronic

shortages of medical personnel, and steadily increasing healthcare expenditures. Any one of these challenges would be difficult enough on its own. Together, they create a perfect storm that forces healthcare systems to constantly play catch-up. Consider the balancing act that many countries face. On one hand, there is an ethical and professional obligation to provide high-quality care. On the other hand, financial and human resources are always limited (Kabene et al., 2006). This tension is not new, but it has become more acute in recent years. What makes the situation particularly urgent is that traditional approaches, such as hiring more staff or building more facilities, are often too slow or too expensive to keep pace with demand. This is precisely why adopting innovative technologies has become not just an option but a necessity.

So where does artificial intelligence fit into this picture? Lee and Yoon (2021) argue that AI has the capacity to manage many of the issues described above. Specifically, AI can automate repetitive tasks that consume staff hours, support medical decision-making with data-driven insights, and improve both the accuracy and speed of healthcare services. In other words, AI does not replace human judgment; it amplifies it, allowing healthcare professionals to focus on what truly requires their expertise.

Beyond these immediate benefits, integrating AI into healthcare systems leads to more effective resource management, an area where many hospitals struggle silently. Bhimavarapu (2025) explains that AI technologies enable healthcare organizations to analyze their own operational data, spot inefficiencies that might otherwise go unnoticed, and implement targeted strategies to optimize the use of medical equipment, staff schedules, and physical facilities. The result is straightforward but powerful: healthcare institutions can deliver better services while keeping costs under control. For administrators and policymakers alike, that combination is hard to ignore.

1.4 Artificial Intelligence in the Saudi Healthcare Sector

Saudi Arabia's approach to AI in healthcare is, in many ways, a product of deliberate political will rather than organic technological evolution. The government has made digital transformation a cornerstone of Vision 2030, and healthcare is one of the clearest arenas where that ambition is being tested in practice (Thalib H et al., 2024). What makes the Saudi case worth studying closely is not just the scale of investment, but the speed at which change is being demanded – a timeline that most healthcare systems would find difficult to meet even under ideal conditions.

On the ground, the changes are real. The Ministry of Health has rolled out electronic health records, expanded telemedicine services, and introduced AI-supported diagnostic tools across a number of facilities (Alami et al., 2020). Infrastructure investment has increased substantially, and digital health platforms are becoming more common across both public and private hospitals (Mani Z & Goniewicz K, 2024). These are not trivial achievements for a system that, not long ago, was still heavily paper-based in many of its operations.

The establishment of the Saudi Data and Artificial Intelligence Authority (SDAIA) is perhaps the most telling institutional signal. It demonstrates that AI is no longer being treated as a niche technical matter but as a strategic national priority (Memish et al., 2021). SDAIA's mandate covers both public and private sectors, and within healthcare specifically, its influence is beginning to shape how hospitals approach data management, diagnostic support, and resource planning.

1.5 Purpose of the Study

This study grew out of a specific frustration I encountered when reviewing the existing research: most studies on AI in Saudi healthcare describe what is being deployed, but very

few measures whether it is actually working. There is no shortage of enthusiasm in the literature, but there is a clear deficit of evidence. Studies tend to focus on technological capabilities or policy intentions rather than on the practical question of whether AI implementation translates into measurable improvements in how healthcare institutions function (Maswadi K & Alhazmi A, 2026).

That gap is what this study addresses. The aim is to examine the impact of artificial intelligence on healthcare efficiency in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia — not in the abstract, but in terms of concrete dimensions: service quality, operational processes, and resource utilization. I am particularly interested in whether the benefits documented in international studies hold up in the Saudi context, where infrastructure, regulatory conditions, and workforce readiness differ significantly from the environments where most AI research has been conducted.

2. Study Problem

Saudi healthcare institutions are under real and growing pressure. Patient numbers are rising, medical staff are stretched, treatment costs continue to climb, and the expectation of high-quality care does not diminish simply because resources are constrained. These are not abstract systemic challenges — they show up daily in long waiting times, scheduling inefficiencies, and the difficulty of managing complex clinical workflows with limited personnel (World Health Organization, 2025).

Artificial intelligence has been widely proposed as a solution to many of these problems. The argument is straightforward: AI can process data faster than humans, automate routine tasks, support clinical decision-making, and help allocate resources more efficiently. And the research, at least internationally, is broadly supportive of these claims. Studies have

documented improvements in diagnostic accuracy, reductions in administrative workload, and better patient outcomes in settings where AI has been meaningfully adopted (Rashid M & Sharma M, 2025; Salammagari A & Srivastava G, 2024; Hussain K, 2024).

The problem is that implementation is far harder than the technology itself. Across multiple studies, AI adoption in healthcare has been shown to depend heavily on factors that have nothing to do with the algorithms: technological readiness, organizational culture, leadership support, and how healthcare professionals actually feel about working alongside these tools (Alami H et al., 2021; Felemban H et al., 2024; Cheema M et al., 2025). In Saudi Arabia, where the push for AI adoption is coming largely from the top down — driven by Vision 2030 targets and SDAIA mandates — these human and organizational factors are especially important to understand.

What is missing from the current literature is a clear, evidence-based picture of what is actually happening in Saudi healthcare institutions when AI is introduced. The government has launched multiple digital transformation programs, and some hospitals have begun integrating AI-based systems. But empirical research measuring the actual impact of these systems on operational efficiency remains limited. Most of what exists focuses on technological development or theoretical frameworks rather than on practical outcomes (Maswadi K & Alhazmi A, 2026).

This study addresses that gap directly. The central question is whether AI implementation in Saudi healthcare institutions leads to measurable improvements in operational efficiency, service quality, and overall performance. The answer matters not just academically, but for the decision-makers who are being asked to commit significant resources to AI adoption without yet having clear evidence that it delivers.

3. Importance of the Study

The significance of this study operates on two levels, and I think it is worth being honest about both rather than simply listing them in parallel columns.

At the theoretical level, the study contributes to a literature that is growing fast but remains uneven. There is no shortage of research on AI in healthcare, but the bulk of it comes from North American, European, or East Asian contexts. Studies that examine AI's impact on healthcare efficiency in Gulf Cooperation Council countries and in Saudi Arabia specifically are still relatively rare. This matters because the conditions shaping AI adoption in Saudi Arabia are genuinely different: a healthcare system undergoing rapid structural transformation, a workforce with varying levels of digital literacy, and a regulatory environment that is still being built in real time. Research from other regions cannot simply be assumed to transfer.

Beyond filling a geographic gap, this study also contributes to theoretical discussions about technology adoption in organizational settings. By examining how AI is received and used within Saudi healthcare institutions, it adds to our understanding of how the Technology Acceptance Model plays out in non-Western, non-English-speaking healthcare environments a background that existing TAM literature has not examined in sufficient depth.

At the practical level, the study's value is more immediate. Healthcare administrators in Saudi Arabia are currently making consequential decisions about AI investment which systems to adopt, how to train staff, how to measure success often without clear local evidence to guide them. This study aims to provide that evidence. If AI genuinely improves diagnostic accuracy, reduces waiting times, or optimizes resource allocation in Saudi hospitals, that finding should be documented and made available to decision-makers. If the evidence is weaker or more conditional than the technology's advocates suggest, that finding is equally important.

Finally, the study is directly relevant to Vision 2030's healthcare objectives. The national agenda calls for a modernized, efficient, technology-enabled healthcare system. Understanding where AI helps and where it falls short is not peripheral to that goal — it is central to it (Benhamlaoui N, 2025).

4. Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to examine the impact of artificial intelligence on healthcare efficiency in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. To do this meaningfully, the study needs to be done at two levels: building a solid theoretical understanding of the concepts involved, and then testing that understanding against the practical reality of AI implementation in Saudi healthcare institutions.

4.1 Theoretical Objectives

The theoretical work in this study is not just background, it is foundational to interpreting the practical findings. Without a clear understanding of what AI actually is, what healthcare efficiency actually means, and how the relationship between the two has been theorized, any empirical analysis risks being superficial. The theoretical objectives are therefore:

- To clarify what we mean by artificial intelligence in the healthcare context, moving beyond vague definitions toward a functional understanding of the specific technologies involved machine learning, predictive analytics, decision support systems, and so on.
- To trace how AI technologies have developed and expanded into healthcare settings, understanding the trajectory that has brought us to the current moment.

- To define healthcare efficiency in concrete, measurable terms — not just as a general aspiration but as a construct with specific dimensions: service quality, operational speed, resource utilization, cost management, and patient satisfaction.
- To examine the theoretical relationship between AI adoption and healthcare efficiency, drawing on existing models and prior research to understand the mechanisms through which AI is expected to produce efficiency gains.
- To review the existing literature critically — not just to summarize what has been found, but to identify where findings are inconsistent, where evidence is weak, and where the Saudi context has been underrepresented.
- To evaluate theoretical frameworks for technology adoption in healthcare, with particular attention to why the Technology Acceptance Model is the most appropriate lens for this study.
- To develop a coherent theoretical foundation that connects these elements and guides the analysis that follows.

Of these, I consider the critical literature review and the framework selection to be the most intellectually demanding — and the most important. Getting those right shapes everything else.

4.2 Applied (Practical) Objectives

The applied objectives are where the study connects to real decisions being made in real institutions. They are:

- To map the AI technologies currently in use across Saudi healthcare institutions — not as a catalogue, but as a basis for understanding which applications are most widespread and why.

- To assess AI's contribution to service quality, particularly in terms of diagnostic accuracy and speed of care delivery.
- To examine how AI affects operational efficiency — specifically in records management, patient scheduling, and clinical workflow.
- To evaluate AI's impact on resource utilization: how well are staff, facilities, and equipment being used in AI-enabled environments compared to those without?
- To analyze whether AI adoption is associated with measurable cost reductions, and under what conditions.
- To identify the barriers that are actually limiting AI implementation in Saudi healthcare — not the generic list of challenges that appears in every review, but the specific obstacles that matter most in this context.
- To translate the findings into practical recommendations that healthcare decision-makers can actually use.

These objectives are consistent with Vision 2030's goals, but they are also designed to be honest about what AI can and cannot deliver, which is ultimately more useful to policymakers than uncritical enthusiasm.

5. Study Questions

This study helps to answer the following main research question:

What is the impact of artificial intelligence on healthcare efficiency in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia?

To address this main question, the study investigates several sub-questions:

1. What are the most common artificial intelligence applications used in healthcare institutions?

2. How does artificial intelligence influence the quality of healthcare services?
3. What role does artificial intelligence play in improving operational efficiency within healthcare organizations?
4. Does the use of artificial intelligence contribute to better resource management in healthcare institutions?
5. What challenges face healthcare organizations when implementing artificial intelligence technologies?
6. Is there a statistically significant relationship between artificial intelligence adoption and healthcare efficiency?

6. Study Hypotheses

With reference to the study objectives and research questions, the following hypotheses are proposed:

Researcher Hypothesis

H1: Artificial intelligence has a statistically significant positive impact on healthcare efficiency in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

H2: The utilization of artificial intelligence is significantly associated with reduced patient waiting times.

H3: The use of artificial intelligence significantly improves patient flow and service delivery speed.

H4: Artificial intelligence implementation significantly enhances resource utilization, including workforce productivity and operational cost efficiency.

H5: Healthcare professionals' positive perceptions of artificial intelligence are significantly associated with higher reported levels of healthcare efficiency.

Null Hypothesis

H0: Artificial intelligence has no statistically significant impact on healthcare efficiency in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

6. Literature Review

Several studies have examined the role of artificial intelligence in healthcare systems. These studies highlight the significant potential of AI technologies to transform healthcare delivery and improve operational efficiency.

Internationally

Topol E, 2019, found that artificial intelligence has the potential to improve clinical decision-making by analyzing large datasets and identifying patterns that may not be easily recognized by human practitioners. The study recommended that AI technologies could improve diagnostic accuracy and enable more personalized treatment strategies.

Jiang et al. 2017 examined the use of artificial intelligence in medical diagnosis and concluded that AI-based diagnostic systems could capture high levels of accuracy in detecting diseases such as cancer and cardiovascular conditions. The researchers also indicated the importance of integrating AI technologies with clinical expertise to maximize healthcare outcomes.

Another study conducted by Davenport and Kalakota (2019) explored the practical applications of artificial intelligence in healthcare organizations. The authors identified several key areas where AI can improve healthcare operations, including medical imaging

analysis, administrative workflow automation, and predictive analytics for patient care management.

In addition, Rajkomar, Dean, and Kohane (2019) explained the growing importance of machine learning technologies in healthcare. Their research demonstrated that machine learning models could analyze electronic health records to predict disease risks and assist healthcare providers in making more informed decisions.

Despite the promising potential of artificial intelligence, several studies also point to challenges related to implementation. Blease et al. (2019) noted that ethical considerations, data privacy concerns, and lack of technical expertise may hinder the adoption of AI technologies in healthcare institutions.

Faiyazuddin et al. (2025) conducted a review that examined the growing role of artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare by analyzing publications indexed in Web of Science between 2014 and 2024. Using keywords related to AI, machine learning, and healthcare applications, the authors synthesized recent research along with real-world examples such as Google Health and IBM Watson Health. The review focused on how AI technologies are being applied in clinical and operational settings, while also addressing practical challenges such as data security concerns and limited financial or technical resources. The findings show a clear and steady increase in AI-related healthcare research over the past decade, rising from 158 publications (3.54%) in 2014 to 731 publications (16.33%) in 2024. AI applications were found to enhance diagnostic accuracy, support clinical decision-making, and enable more personalized treatment strategies. In addition, tools such as remote patient monitoring and predictive analytics contribute to improved efficiency and stronger patient engagement. Despite these benefits, significant barriers remain, particularly regarding data privacy, ethical considerations, and cost-related constraints that limit large-scale implementation.

Another systematic review by Wolff et al. (2020) assessed the cost-effectiveness of artificial intelligence (AI) applications in healthcare and evaluated whether existing studies meet accepted methodological standards for economic evaluation. The authors first established a set of quality criteria for assessing economic impact, drawing on recognized frameworks for cost-effectiveness and cost-impact analyses. They then conducted a structured literature review using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria to identify relevant studies. Finally, the selected publications were critically appraised against the defined quality benchmarks. The results revealed a substantial gap in high-quality economic evaluations of AI in healthcare. Although 66 publications were initially identified, only six met the inclusion criteria for detailed analysis. Importantly, none of these six studies conducted a fully comprehensive and methodologically sound cost-impact assessment. The review highlighted two key weaknesses: most studies failed to account for the full spectrum of initial investment and ongoing operational costs associated with AI infrastructure, and they rarely compared AI interventions with alternative strategies that could achieve similar outcomes.

In the UK, Dave M and Patel N (2023) provided a comprehensive overview of how artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping healthcare, as well as medical and dental education. They examine the expanding role of AI in clinical practice, particularly in improving patient care, supporting diagnosis and treatment decisions, and enhancing efficiency for healthcare professionals. The authors highlight how AI tools can assist clinicians in routine and complex tasks, ultimately contributing to better clinical outcomes and workflow optimization. Beyond clinical settings, the article explores the growing influence of AI in medical and dental education. It discusses how AI-driven technologies are changing teaching methods and learning experiences, offering personalized learning pathways, simulation-based training, and automated assessment tools. While these innovations provide clear educational benefits, the authors also address potential challenges, including ethical concerns, dependency on

technology, and the need for faculty training and curriculum adaptation. The article further examines the role of AI in scientific publishing. With the increasing number of manuscript submissions, AI is being used to streamline editorial processes, assist in peer review, and enhance quality control. The authors suggest that AI may also promote reproducibility and enable new publication models. Notably, the paper itself was written using AI tools, serving as a practical demonstration of AI's capabilities in academic writing and marking a significant example of technology-assisted scholarly production.

Olawade et al. (2024) provided a broad overview of how artificial intelligence (AI) is being integrated into healthcare and the implications of this transformation. Using a systematic search of major databases—including PubMed, Scopus, Embase, and ScienceDirect—the authors identified peer-reviewed English-language studies published from January 2010 onward. The review synthesizes current evidence on the clinical and operational roles of AI across healthcare systems.

The findings highlight AI's growing contribution to improving diagnostic accuracy, particularly in medical image analysis, where algorithms demonstrate high performance in detecting and classifying diseases. AI also supports personalized medicine by analyzing large volumes of patient data to tailor treatment plans. In addition, predictive analytics tools help identify high-risk patients, enabling earlier and more proactive interventions. Operationally, AI-driven systems streamline administrative and clinical workflows, enhance efficiency, and improve patient experience. The review also discusses the expanding role of AI-powered robotics, especially in surgical procedures and rehabilitation settings.

Despite these advances, the authors emphasize persistent challenges, including concerns about data quality, algorithmic bias, lack of transparency and interpretability, and insufficient regulatory oversight. To address these issues, the review calls for strong ethical and legal

frameworks, structured human–AI collaboration, rigorous safety validation, professional education, and comprehensive regulation. Overall, the study underscores AI’s substantial transformative potential in healthcare while stressing that responsible implementation is essential to ensure safety, equity, and effectiveness.

Locally

In Saudi Arabia, Kumar et al. (2025) examined healthcare professionals’ perceptions of AI-based Operational Management Systems (OMSs) using the Theory of Planned Behavior as a conceptual framework. The aim was to understand how adopting AI-driven systems influences operational efficiency and staff attitudes within healthcare organizations in the Kingdom. The researchers used a quantitative cross-sectional design and collected survey data from 287 healthcare professionals working in different hospitals across Saudi Arabia. Data were analyzed using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) to test the proposed relationships between AI-based OMSs, staff attitudes, and operational efficiency. The findings showed that AI-based OMSs significantly improved operational efficiency and positively influenced healthcare staff attitudes. Importantly, staff attitudes were found to mediate the relationship between AI implementation and operational performance. In other words, the positive impact of AI systems on efficiency was partly explained by improved employee perceptions and acceptance of the technology.

Alqurashi et al. (2025) conducted a systematic review to evaluate the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) applications on diagnostic accuracy within the healthcare sector in Saudi Arabia. Although AI has increasingly been adopted in disease detection and clinical decision-making, the authors noted that its specific effect on diagnostic accuracy in the Saudi context remains insufficiently explored. The search was limited to studies published between 2013 and 2023 and initially identified 450 articles. After applying predefined inclusion criteria, 12

studies were selected for final analysis. Most of the included studies were published between 2020 and 2023. Overall, the findings consistently indicated that AI use in Saudi healthcare is expanding and is associated with improved diagnostic performance and enhanced healthcare outcomes across a range of conditions, including chronic diseases.

In Riyadh, Muafa et al. (2024) examined the current applications of artificial intelligence (AI) within the healthcare sector and evaluated their role in advancing digital transformation in line with Saudi Vision 2030. The research aimed to identify opportunities created by expanding AI adoption to enhance healthcare service quality, improve operational efficiency, and strengthen scientific research, while also addressing the key challenges limiting deeper AI integration in Riyadh's healthcare system. Through data analysis and review of previous literature, the study demonstrated that AI technologies can support early disease detection, enable more personalized care, and improve hospital and facility management. It also highlighted AI's potential role in optimizing workflows, enhancing healthcare delivery standards, and supporting evidence-based decision-making. Beyond clinical practice, the research explored AI's influence on medical education and professional training, as well as its contribution to advancing health-related scientific research. The findings indicate that AI holds strong transformative potential for Riyadh's healthcare sector and can significantly contribute to achieving the objectives of Vision 2030. The study concludes by recommending structured and strategic AI implementation, emphasizing the importance of innovation, regulatory support, technical infrastructure development, and coordinated integration to ensure sustainable progress in the Kingdom's healthcare system.

Overall, previous studies confirm that artificial intelligence can significantly enhance healthcare efficiency, but successful implementation requires appropriate technological infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and professional training.

7. Theoretical Framework

This study is built upon two main conceptual issues: artificial intelligence (AI) and healthcare efficiency. However, a theoretical framework is more than just definitions; it is the lens through which I interpret the relationship between these two concepts. Therefore, I do not simply list definitions; instead, I explain why certain theories matter for understanding AI adoption in Saudi Arabia's healthcare sector.

7.1 Defining the Core Concepts

For this study, Ghosh and Thirugnanam's (2021) definition of artificial intelligence is the ability of computer systems to perform tasks that typically require human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, and decision-making. This definition is useful because it focuses on functionality rather than technical complexity, which is appropriate when studying AI from a management and efficiency perspective rather than a computer science angle.

Similarly, we should rely on Kumar et al.'s (2011) definition of healthcare efficiency: the ability to deliver high-quality services while minimizing costs and optimizing resources.

7.2 Why the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)?

Several theoretical models could explain technology adoption in healthcare. These include the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), Diffusion of Innovations (DOI), and the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) framework. However, I chose to ground this study in the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) developed by Fred Davis (1989) for three specific reasons.

First, TAM focuses on two core constructs, perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, which have been repeatedly shown to predict healthcare professionals' willingness to approve new technologies (Holden & Karsh, 2010). Second, TAM is parsimonious. Given that AI adoption in Saudi hospitals is still new, a simpler model is more practical for initial empirical investigation. Third, recent local studies in Saudi Arabia (e.g., Kumar et al., 2025) have successfully used TAM-derived constructs to explain AI adoption among healthcare staff, which gives me confidence that the model is culturally and contextually appropriate.

7.3 Connecting TAM to My Hypotheses

TAM claims that if healthcare professionals perceive AI tools as useful (i.e., they believe AI improves diagnostic accuracy or reduces workload) and easy to use (i.e., the technology is intuitive and does not require excessive training), they are more likely to implement them. This logic directly supports my hypotheses:

H1 and H4 (positive impact on efficiency and resource utilization) assume that perceived usefulness translates into actual operational improvements.

H5 (positive perceptions are associated with higher efficiency) is almost a direct test of TAM's core proposition. Thus, TAM does not simply sit beside my study; it actively informs why I expect certain relationships to exist.

7.4 Limitations of TAM and How This Study Addresses Them

No model is perfect. TAM has been criticized for ignoring social, organizational, and infrastructural factors (Bagozzi, 2007). In the Saudi healthcare settings, factors such as regulatory support from SDAIA, hospital leadership attitudes, and data privacy concerns may also affect AI adoption. These limitations are known. Where TAM cannot fully explain

implementation barriers, I supplement it with insights from the literature review, including studies that assess organizational readiness (Alami et al., 2021) and infrastructure challenges (Faiyazuddin et al., 2025).

7.5 A Working Theoretical Model

Based on the above, I propose the following simplified theoretical model for this study:

AI Technologies (perceived usefulness & ease of use) → Healthcare Professional Adoption → Improved Healthcare Efficiency (diagnostic accuracy, operational speed, resource optimization, cost reduction)

This model guides my analysis of the literature and will also inform any future empirical data collection. It is intentionally focused on the human–technology interface, because I believe that technology alone does not improve efficiency—people using technology effectively do.

8. Research Methodology

This study uses a systematic literature review as its primary research method. I want to be clear about why not simply because it is "widely recognized" as a valid approach, but because it is the right method for this particular research question at this particular moment.

The core question this study asks what is the impact of AI on healthcare efficiency in Saudi Arabia? is not yet answerable through primary data collection alone. The field is moving quickly, the Saudi-specific evidence base is still thin, and the conceptual landscape is still being mapped. Before designing a survey or conducting interviews, it is necessary to understand what the existing research actually tells us, where it is reliable, and where the

gaps are. A literature review is not a substitute for empirical research; it is the foundation that makes subsequent empirical research meaningful.

I also chose this method over alternatives such as a cross-sectional survey or qualitative interviews because the most pressing gap in this field is not a shortage of opinions about AI, but a shortage of synthesized, critically evaluated evidence. Healthcare professionals can tell you what they think about AI; what is harder to find is a rigorous account of what the research actually shows, filtered for quality and relevance to the Saudi region.

Stages of the Literature Review

1. Collecting Relevant Literature

The search for relevant studies was conducted across PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. These databases were chosen because they provide comprehensive coverage of peer-reviewed research in healthcare management, medical informatics, and artificial intelligence. The search focused on studies examining AI applications in healthcare, including machine learning, predictive analytics, decision support systems, and administrative automation, with particular attention to studies addressing efficiency outcomes and, where available, the Saudi or Gulf-region area.

Priority was given to studies published after 2017, reflecting the period of most rapid AI development in healthcare. Earlier foundational studies were included, where they established theoretical frameworks that remain relevant.

2. Evaluating and Selecting Studies

Not every study that appeared in the search results was included. Selection was based on three criteria: recency (preference for post-2017 publications), source credibility (peer-

reviewed journals only), and direct relevance to the relationship between AI and healthcare efficiency or its component dimensions. Studies that addressed AI in healthcare in only a peripheral way, for example, papers primarily about data security that mentioned AI in passing, were excluded.

3. Organizing by Theme

The selected studies were grouped into thematic categories to make the analysis tractable: AI in diagnosis and clinical decision support; AI and operational efficiency; predictive analytics and risk management; personalized care; implementation barriers; and Saudi-specific evidence. This structure mirrors the organization of the Results section.

4. Identifying Research Gaps

One of the most important outputs of the review process was identifying what the existing literature does not cover. The most significant gap in the limited empirical evidence on AI's actual impact on operational efficiency within Saudi healthcare institutions is precisely what motivated this study and defines its contribution.

5. Synthesizing Findings and Building the Framework

The final stage involved drawing together the key findings across studies to identify consistent patterns, notable contradictions, and areas of genuine uncertainty. This synthesis informed the theoretical framework described in Section 7 and provides the analytical backbone for the Discussion.

10. Study Tools

The primary research instrument for this study is the academic literature itself, specifically, peer-reviewed studies retrieved from PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar.

A few decisions about scope are worth explaining explicitly, because they were not arbitrary. **First**, the review was limited to English-language publications. This is a genuine limitation. Arabic-language research on AI in Saudi healthcare exists and is growing, but the volume and methodological diversity of English-language peer-reviewed work made it the more practical starting point for a study of this scope. Future research should systematically incorporate Arabic-language sources.

Second, priority was given to studies published after 2017. This was a deliberate choice, not a default: AI capabilities in healthcare changed substantially around 2016–2018 with the maturation of deep learning techniques, and studies from before that period often describe a technological landscape that no longer reflects current practice. Foundational theoretical work, such as Davis's original TAM framework, was included regardless of date, as it remains analytically relevant.

Third, studies were required to address the relationship between AI and healthcare efficiency (or one of its component dimensions) directly. Papers that mentioned AI in healthcare without examining efficiency outcomes were excluded. This kept the review focused and prevented the kind of scope creep that makes literature reviews unwieldy.

The thematic classification used to organize the selected studies, diagnosis and clinical decision support, operational efficiency, predictive analytics, personalized care, implementation barriers, and Saudi-specific evidence was developed inductively from the

literature rather than imposed in advance. This allowed the themes to reflect what the research actually addresses, rather than what I expected it to address.

11. Fields of Study

The study population consists of healthcare professionals working in hospitals and healthcare institutions in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. This includes physicians, nurses, administrative staff, and healthcare managers involved in healthcare service delivery.

A representative sample will be selected from this population using a suitable sampling technique. The sample will include healthcare employees from different healthcare institutions to ensure diversity in perspectives and experiences.

The sample size will be determined based on statistical considerations to ensure that the collected data accurately represent the target population. Participants will be selected using random sampling methods whenever possible to minimize bias and improve the reliability of the study results.

12. Results

The results of this study aim to highlight the important role that artificial intelligence plays in improving healthcare efficiency, particularly within the healthcare sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Through the review of relevant scientific literature, the study explored how artificial intelligence technologies, such as machine learning, data analytics, and intelligent decision-support systems, can contribute to enhancing healthcare performance and operational efficiency.

The findings were organized according to the main objectives of the study. Several key areas were identified in which artificial intelligence can significantly improve healthcare systems. These areas include improving healthcare service quality, supporting medical and

administrative decision-making, enhancing resource management, and increasing the overall efficiency of healthcare operations.

The results also indicate that artificial intelligence technologies can help healthcare institutions analyze large volumes of medical data, improve diagnostic accuracy, and optimize the use of available resources. In addition, AI applications can contribute to reducing operational inefficiencies, improving patient care, and supporting healthcare professionals in performing their tasks more effectively.

Furthermore, the review of previous studies revealed several developing trends in the implementation of artificial intelligence within healthcare systems worldwide. At the same time, the analysis revealed certain research gaps related to the practical implementation of artificial intelligence in healthcare institutions, particularly within the context of Saudi Arabia.

The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Healthcare Efficiency

Recent literature revealed that artificial intelligence (AI) plays an essential role in improving the efficiency of healthcare systems by enabling advanced data analysis, supporting clinical decision-making, and optimizing operational performance. Studies show that AI applications can enhance diagnostic accuracy, optimize the allocation of medical resources, and enable more personalized and responsive patient care.

1. Improving Diagnostic Accuracy and Clinical Decision Support

Topol (2019) found that AI has the potential to improve clinical decision-making by analyzing large datasets and identifying patterns that may not be easily recognized by human clinicians. This capability allows for more accurate diagnoses and the development of personalized treatment strategies. Similarly, Jiang et al. (2017) reported that AI-based

diagnostic systems demonstrated high accuracy in detecting conditions such as cancer and cardiovascular diseases. These studies highlight the importance of integrating AI technologies with clinical expertise to maximize patient outcomes.

2. Enhancing Operational Efficiency

Davenport and Kalakota (2019) explored practical AI applications in healthcare organizations, identifying key areas for operational improvement, including medical imaging analysis, administrative workflow automation, and predictive analytics for patient care management. Rajkomar, Dean, and Kohane (2019) further established that machine learning models could analyze electronic health records to predict disease risks and assist healthcare providers in making informed decisions, thereby reducing inefficiencies in care delivery.

3. Facilitating Predictive Analytics and Risk Management

AI technologies enable predictive analytics, helping healthcare systems identify high-risk patients and anticipate potential complications. Johnson et al. (2023) assessed how AI can analyze large datasets to detect early indicators of deviations in treatment plans or resource allocation, allowing proactive interventions that prevent adverse outcomes and improve patient safety.

4. Supporting Personalized and Patient-Centered Care

Olawade et al. (2024) reviewed AI applications in clinical and operational situations, representing that AI supports personalized medicine by analyzing individual patient data to tailor care plans. Predictive tools allow for early interventions, optimized treatment regimens, and enhanced patient engagement. These capabilities contribute to higher-quality care while reducing unnecessary procedures and hospitalizations.

5. Challenges in Implementation

Regardless of all these benefits, many challenges in AI implementation were identified. Blease et al. (2019) reported ethical considerations, data privacy concerns, and limited technical expertise as major barriers. Similarly, Faiyazuddin et al. (2025) revealed financial and infrastructure constraints that hinder large-scale implementation, confirming the need for structured planning, adequate training, and regulatory support.

6. Global Evidence of AI Impact

International studies indicate a steady growth in AI applications in healthcare over the past decade, enhancing diagnostic performance, clinical decision support, and operational efficiency (Faiyazuddin et al., 2025). Wolff et al. (2020) highlighted, however, a lack of high-quality economic evaluations assessing the cost-effectiveness of AI, identifying gaps in understanding the full financial impact of AI interventions.

7. Local Evidence from Saudi Arabia

In the Saudi health care field, Kumar et al. (2025) found that AI-based Operational Management Systems improved healthcare staff efficiency and positively influenced attitudes toward technology utilization, mediating the effect of AI on operational performance. Alqurashi et al. (2025) reported that AI applications improved diagnostic accuracy in various healthcare settings, while Muafa et al. (2024) revealed AI's role in advancing digital transformation, optimizing workflows, and supporting evidence-based decision-making aligned with Vision 2030 objectives.

8. Theoretical Framework

This study's theoretical framework integrates two main concepts: AI and healthcare efficiency. AI includes technologies such as machine learning, expert systems, natural language processing, and robotics that facilitate data-driven decision-making and workflow automation (Ghosh & Thirugnanam, 2021). Healthcare efficiency refers to delivering high-quality services while minimizing costs and optimizing resources (Kumar et al., 2011). The study also draws on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which highlights perceived usefulness and ease of use as key determinants of technology adoption among healthcare professionals (Davis, 1989).

Summary

Overall, it was noted that AI significantly enhances healthcare efficiency by improving diagnostic accuracy, supporting clinical decision-making, optimizing resource utilization, and enabling personalized patient care. Successful implementation, however, requires robust technological infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and professional training to ensure safety, equity, and sustainable integration of AI into healthcare systems (Topol, 2019; Jiang et al., 2017; Davenport & Kalakota, 2019; Rajkomar et al., 2019; Olawade et al., 2024; Kumar et al., 2025; Alqurashi et al., 2025; Muafa et al., 2024).

Discussion

This study assessed the transformative role of artificial intelligence (AI) in supporting healthcare efficiency, both globally and within the Saudi Arabian context. Our findings match previous systematic reviews, signifying that AI technologies, including machine learning, expert systems, and predictive analytics, have the potential to improve diagnostic accuracy, optimize operational workflows, and support data-driven decision-making in

clinical and administrative settings internationally (Singh et al. 2025, Milne-Ives et al., 2020) and locally (Maswadi et al., 2026; Alghareeb E & Aljehani N, 2025)

1. AI and Diagnostic Accuracy

Consistent with Topol (2019) and Jiang et al. (2017), our review indicates that AI applications substantially improve diagnostic performance. By analyzing large and complex datasets, AI systems can identify patterns and correlations that may be overlooked by human practitioners, allowing for earlier disease detection and more precise treatment planning. This capacity is particularly significant in high-stakes environments such as oncology and cardiovascular care, where timely and accurate diagnosis directly impacts patient outcomes. In the Saudi context, Alqurashi et al. (2025) corroborate these findings, showing that AI-supported diagnostics enhance clinician performance and improve patient care across various healthcare facilities.

2. Operational Efficiency and Resource Management

Our findings demonstrate that AI can streamline healthcare operations by automating routine administrative tasks, optimizing resource allocation, and predicting the need for medical services. Davenport and Kalakota (2019) found that AI applications in workflow automation and predictive analytics reduce operational bottlenecks and enhance service delivery. Locally, Kumar et al. (2025) found that AI-driven operational management systems significantly improved staff efficiency and positively influenced attitudes toward technology adoption, which in turn mediated improvements in organizational performance. This indicates an important human–technology interface: the success of AI integration is not solely technical but depends on user acceptance and engagement.

3. Predictive Analytics and Risk Management

One of the most attractive contributions of AI lies in its ability to anticipate risks and identify high-risk patients before complications arise. Johnson et al. (2023) showed that predictive models can detect deviations in patient care and operational processes, allowing timely interventions that prevent adverse outcomes. This aligns with our findings that AI supports proactive management, reduces inefficiencies, and enhances patient safety. In a broader sense, AI's predictive capabilities contribute to evidence-based planning, enabling healthcare organizations to prepare for fluctuating demand and potential operational challenges.

4. Personalized Care and Patient-Centered Approaches

AI technologies facilitate a shift toward more personalized healthcare. By analyzing individual patient data, AI can tailor interventions to each patient's specific needs, improving treatment efficacy and patient satisfaction. Olawade et al. (2024) revealed that predictive analytics, combined with AI-driven decision support, enables earlier intervention for high-risk patients, reducing the burden of preventable complications. In Saudi Arabia, Muafa et al. (2024) reported that AI supports not only clinical care but also hospital management and workflow optimization, reinforcing the broader systemic benefits of AI adoption.

5. Challenges and Implementation Barriers

Despite its benefits, AI integration in healthcare is not without challenges. Our review focused on the recurring concerns of data privacy, ethical considerations, algorithmic bias, and insufficient technical expertise (Blease et al., 2019; Faiyazuddin et al., 2025). Additionally, high implementation costs and the need for robust infrastructure may limit large-scale applications, principally in developing healthcare systems. These barriers

recommend that successful AI deployment requires not only technological solutions but also comprehensive training, structured governance, and regulatory oversight.

6. Recommendations for Policy and Practice

The findings of this study have several practical and policy messages. First, healthcare organizations should prioritize AI initiatives that demonstrate clear improvements in clinical and operational efficiency, ensuring that investments are aligned with strategic goals. Second, fostering healthcare professionals' acceptance of AI technologies through training and practice is vital, as user attitudes significantly influence the effectiveness of AI systems. Third, policymakers should begin to develop ethical frameworks, data protection standards, and cost-effectiveness assessments to guide AI integration and ensure sustainable adoption.

Conclusion

In conclusion, AI offers considerable opportunities to improve healthcare efficiency, patient outcomes, and operational management. By enabling accurate diagnostics, proactive risk management, and personalized care, AI can transform healthcare delivery. Nevertheless, successful implementation requires careful attention to ethical, infrastructural, and human factors. Integrating AI strategically and responsibly can maximize its benefits, ultimately enhancing both system-level performance and patient-centered care.

References

Adari, V. K. (2020). Intelligent Care at Scale AI-Powered Operations Transforming Hospital Efficiency. *International Journal of Engineering & Extended Technologies Research (IJEETR)*, 2(3), 1240-1249.

Alami, H., Lehoux, P., Denis, J. L., Motulsky, A., Petitgand, C., Savoldelli, M., ... & Fortin, J. P. (2021). Organizational readiness for artificial intelligence in health care: insights for decision-making and practice. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 35(1), 106-114.

Alami, H., Rivard, L., Lehoux, P., Hoffman, S. J., Cadeddu, S. B. M., Savoldelli, M., ... & Fortin, J. P. (2020). Artificial intelligence in health care: laying the foundation for responsible,

sustainable, and inclusive innovation in low-and middle-income countries. *Globalization and health*, 16(1), 52.

Alghareeb, E., & Aljehani, N. (2025). AI in Health Care Service Quality: Systematic Review. *JMIR AI*, 4(1), e69209.

AlGosaibi, A. A., Sait, A. R. W., AlOthman, A. F., & AlHamed, S. (2020). Developing an intelligent framework for improving the quality of service in the government organizations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 11(12).

Alqurashi, M. A., & Alshagrawi, S. (2025). Assessing the Impact of Artificial Intelligence Applications on Diagnostic Accuracy in Saudi Arabian Healthcare: A Systematic Review. *The Open Public Health Journal*, 18(1).

Benhamlaoui, N. (2025). Digital Transformation Strategies in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia under Vision 2030. 668-645 , (2)12, *مجلة البحوث الاقتصادية والمالية*.

Bhimavarapu, M. (2025). AI-driven data analysis in healthcare: Transforming patient care and operational efficiency.

Blease, C., Kaptchuk, T. J., Bernstein, M. H., Mandl, K. D., Halamka, J. D., & DesRoches, C. M. (2019). Artificial intelligence and the future of primary care: exploratory qualitative study of UK general practitioners' views. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 21(3), e12802.

Bohr, A., & Memarzadeh, K. (2020). The rise of artificial intelligence in healthcare applications. In *Artificial Intelligence in healthcare* (pp. 25-60). Academic Press.

Cheema, M. A. M., Ahmed, R., Iqbal, Q., & Naz, M. (2025). Evaluating readiness for digital and AI technology integration to adopt Industry 4.0 and its effect on productivity in public sector healthcare operations. *Policy Research Journal*, 3(3), 510-519.

Dave, M., & Patel, N. (2023). Artificial intelligence in healthcare and education. *British dental journal*, 234(10), 761-764.

Davenport, T., & Kalakota, R. (2019). The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future healthcare journal*, 6(2), 94-98.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Technology acceptance model: TAM. Al-Suqri, MN, Al-Aufi, AS: Information seeking behavior and technology adoption, 205(219), 5.

Faiyazuddin, M., Rahman, S. J. Q., Anand, G., Siddiqui, R. K., Mehta, R., Khatib, M. N., ... & Sah, R. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence on healthcare: a comprehensive review of advancements in diagnostics, treatment, and operational efficiency. *Health science reports*, 8(1), e70312.

Felemban, H., Sohail, M., & Ruikar, K. (2024). Exploring the readiness of organisations to adopt artificial intelligence. *Buildings*, 14(8), 2460.

Ghosh, M., & Thirugnanam, A. (2021). Introduction to artificial intelligence. In *Artificial intelligence for information management: A healthcare perspective* (pp. 23-44). Singapore: Springer Singapore.

Hernandez, M., & Bianchi, L. (2025). AI-Driven Clinical Decision Support Systems: Enhancing Patient Care and Hospital Efficiency. *Procedia Computer Science*, 270, 5625-5633.

Hussain, K. (2024). Revolutionizing healthcare: The impact of artificial intelligence on patient care, diagnosis, and treatment.

Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., Dong, Y., Li, H., Ma, S., ... & Wang, Y. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. *Stroke and vascular neurology*, 2(4).

Joumard, I., André, C., & Nicq, C. (2010). Health care systems: efficiency and institutions.

Kabene, S. M., Orchard, C., Howard, J. M., Soriano, M. A., & Leduc, R. (2006). The importance of human resources management in health care: a global context. *Human resources for health*, 4(1), 20.

Kothinti, R. R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Disease Prediction: Transforming Early Diagnosis and Preventive Healthcare. *Int. J. Acad. Res. Dev*, 9, 1330-1340.

Kumar, R., Singh, A., Ahmed Kassar, A. S., Humaida, M. I., Joshi, S., & Sharma, M. (2025). Impact of an artificial intelligence-driven operational management system on operational efficiency in health care organization in Saudi Arabia: a mediating role of staff attitude. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13, 1558644.

Kumar, S., Ghildayal, N. S., & Shah, R. N. (2011). Examining quality and efficiency of the US healthcare system. *International journal of health care quality assurance*, 24(5), 366-388.

Lee, D., & Yoon, S. N. (2021). Application of artificial intelligence-based technologies in the healthcare industry: Opportunities and challenges. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(1), 271.

Mani, Z. A., & Goniewicz, K. (2024). Transforming healthcare in Saudi Arabia: a comprehensive evaluation of vision 2030's impact. *Sustainability*, 16(8), 3277.

Maswadi, K., & Alhazmi, A. (2026). Towards Sustainable Health Management in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: The Role of Artificial Intelligence—A Systematic Review, Challenges, and Future Directions. *Sustainability*, 18(2), 905.

Maswadi, K., & Alhazmi, A. (2026). Towards Sustainable Health Management in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: The Role of Artificial Intelligence—A Systematic Review, Challenges, and Future Directions. *Sustainability*, 18(2), 905.

Memish, Z. A., Altuwaijri, M. M., Almoeen, A. H., & Enani, S. M. (2021). The Saudi Data & Artificial Intelligence Authority (SDAIA) vision: leading the kingdom's journey toward global leadership. *Journal of epidemiology and global health*, 11(2), 140-142.

Milne-Ives, M., De Cock, C., Lim, E., Shehadeh, M. H., De Pennington, N., Mole, G., ... & Meinert, E. (2020). The effectiveness of artificial intelligence conversational agents in health care: systematic review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 22(10), e20346.

Muafa, A. M., Al-Obadi, S. H., Al-Saleem, N. A. I., Taweili, A. A., & Al-Amri, A. G. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence applications on the digital transformation of healthcare delivery in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (opportunities and challenges in alignment with vision 2030). *Ajrsp*, 5(59), 61-102.

Olawade, D. B., David-Olawade, A. C., Wada, O. Z., Asaolu, A. J., Adereni, T., & Ling, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence in healthcare delivery: Prospects and pitfalls. *Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health*, 3, 100108.

Rajkomar, A., Dean, J., & Kohane, I. (2019). Machine learning in medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380(14), 1347-1358.

Rana, M., & Bhushan, M. (2023). Machine learning and deep learning approach for medical image analysis: diagnosis to detection. *Multimedia tools and applications*, 82(17), 26731-26769.

Rashid, M., & Sharma, M. (2025). AI-assisted diagnosis and treatment planning—A discussion of how AI can assist healthcare professionals in making more accurate diagnoses and treatment plans for diseases. *AI in disease detection: Advancements and applications*, 313-336.

Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence: a modern approach*, 4th US ed. aima: сайт. URL: <https://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/>(дата обращения: 26.02. 2023).

Salammagari, A. R. R., & Srivastava, G. (2024). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Revolutionizing disease diagnosis and treatment planning. *Int. J. Res. Comput. Appl. Inf. Technol*, 7, 41-53.

Saxena, A. K., Ness, S., & Khinvasara, T. (2024). The influence of AI: the revolutionary effects of artificial intelligence in healthcare sector. *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports*, 26(3), 49-62.

Singh, K., Prabhu, A., & Kaur, N. (2025). The impact and role of artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare: systematic review. *Current topics in medicinal chemistry*.

Thalib, H. I., Zobairi, A., Javed, Z., Ansari, N., Alshanberi, A. M., Alsanosi, S. M., ... & Shaikhomer, M. (2024). Enhancing healthcare research in Saudi Arabia According to vision 2030: an in-depth review. *J. Res. Med. Dent. Sci*, 12, 01-08.

Topol, E. (2019). *Deep medicine: how artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again*. Hachette UK.

Wolff, J., Pauling, J., Keck, A., & Baumbach, J. (2020). The economic impact of artificial intelligence in health care: systematic review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 22(2), e16866.

World Health Organization. (2025). *Global strategy on digital health 2020-2027*. World Health Organization.

Yeganeh, H. (2019). An analysis of emerging trends and transformations in global healthcare. *International Journal of Health Governance*, 24(2), 169-180.

