

جامعة ميدأوشن
قسم / كلية الإدارة
تخصص / ماجستير إدارة الأعمال

Digital Education and Social Mobility

إعداد الطالب:

Sumaydi Kamaluddin Abdel Raheem Mohammed

إشراف:

Dr. AL Faisal Hasan

العام الدراسي:

2026

Table of Contents

Introduction	
Problem Statement	
Significance of the Study	
Objectives of the Study	
Research Questions	
Research Hypotheses	
Previous Studies	
Research Gap	
Theoretical Foundations of the Study	
Methodology and Research Methods	
Population and Sample of the Study	
Study Instrument	
Statistical Analysis Methods	
Limits of the Study	
References	

Introduction

Over the past two decades, digital education has expanded rapidly, reshaping how individuals access learning opportunities across formal, non-formal, and informal settings. Digital modalities now range from fully online degree programs to blended and technology-enhanced classroom instruction, enabling learners to engage with educational content anytime and anywhere. At the same time, technological change, automation, and the growing demand for higher-order skills have intensified the importance of educational capital as a key driver of social mobility.

Digital education is often presented as a potential “equalizer” that can lower barriers of geography, time, and cost, thereby opening pathways for disadvantaged groups to improve their socioeconomic position. However, persistent digital divides in access to devices, connectivity, and digital skills raise concerns that digital education may also reproduce or exacerbate existing inequalities. This proposal seeks to examine how digital education contributes to, or constrains, social mobility by analyzing access and equity conditions, learning modalities and outcomes, and the policy and institutional arrangements that shape these processes.

The edtech community has promoted claims that digital education enhances access, learning, and collaboration. The COVID-19 pandemic tested these claims like never

before, as higher education systems seemingly overnight had to move teaching online. Through a sequential mixed-method approach, we investigated how 85 higher education leaders in 24 countries experienced this rapid digital transformation. Through their experiences, we identified the multiple and overlapping factors that contribute to an institution's ability to realize the potential of digital education, in terms of access, learning and collaboration, whilst highlighting deeply rooted inequalities at the individual, institutional and system level. Drawing on these empirics, we put forth recommendations for closing the digital divides and pathways forward. Higher education leaders are uniquely positioned to move beyond the emergency adoption of online learning towards inclusive, long-term visions for digital education, which emphasize collaboration over individual gain.

Problem Statement

Despite strong theoretical claims that digital education can act as a stepping stone toward upward social mobility, empirical evidence remains fragmented and context-dependent. Access to high-quality digital learning is unevenly distributed across socioeconomic, geographic, and institutional lines, with upper-income and majority groups disproportionately benefitting from cloud-based higher education opportunities. Even when devices and connectivity are available, differences in digital readiness, school quality, and organizational support can lead to very different educational and labor-market outcomes.

The core research problem is therefore: To what extent, and through which mechanisms, does participation in digital education enhance social mobility for individuals from different socioeconomic backgrounds, and under what conditions does it instead reproduce or widen existing inequalities? This problem reflects a gap between the promise of digital education as a tool for inclusion and the observed patterns of stratified access, mixed learning outcomes, and uneven labor-market returns.

Significance of the Study

1. Scientific significance

- The study deepens theoretical understanding of how digital education contributes to the accumulation of educational capital and its translation into social mobility across the life course.
- It addresses a documented gap in the literature by focusing on specific digital learning forms (formal, non-formal, informal) and their differentiated effects on socioeconomic outcomes, rather than treating “education” as a single undifferentiated category.
- The study proposes an integrated conceptual framework linking digital access, learning modalities, skills acquisition, and labour-market trajectories, thereby systematizing scattered findings from previous work.

2. Practical significance

- The study provides evidence-based recommendations for governments and education providers on how to design digital education policies that promote equity and upward mobility, especially for low-income and marginalized groups.

- It offers guidance to institutions on investments in infrastructure, digital pedagogy, and student support mechanisms that enhance both access and meaningful outcomes in the labor market.
- The study can inform the development of targeted interventions (e.g., digital scholarships, skills programs, apprenticeships) that align digital education pathways with high-demand occupations in STEM and near-STEM fields.

Research Objectives

- **General objective**

To analyze how digital education influences social mobility by examining access and equity conditions, learning modalities and outcomes, and policy and institutional factors, with particular attention to disadvantaged socioeconomic groups.

- **Specific objectives**

1. To assess the extent and nature of digital divides in access to devices, connectivity, and digital skills among learners from different socioeconomic backgrounds.
2. To examine how different digital learning modalities (synchronous, asynchronous, blended, informal) affect motivation, engagement, skill acquisition, and educational capital.

3. To investigate the relationship between participation in digital education and labour-market outcomes such as employability, occupational transitions, and entry into high-demand digital sectors.
4. To analyze the role of policies, institutional practices, and industry partnerships in enabling or constraining the contribution of digital education to social mobility.^{[1][2]}
5. To formulate practical recommendations for designing inclusive digital education systems that effectively support upward mobility for disadvantaged learners.

Research Questions

1. What types and levels of access to digital education (infrastructure, devices, connectivity, digital skills) are available to learners from different socioeconomic backgrounds?
2. How do various digital learning modalities (synchronous, asynchronous, blended, informal) influence learner motivation, engagement, self-regulation, and skill acquisition?
3. In what ways does participation in digital education shape educational capital and subsequent labour-market outcomes, including access to better-paid or higher-status occupations?

4. How do institutional policies, support structures, and pedagogical approaches mediate the relationship between digital education and social mobility?
5. Under what conditions does digital education function as a bridge that narrows social inequalities, and under what conditions does it act as a divider that reinforces stratification?

Research Hypotheses

H1: There is a statistically significant positive relationship between level of access to digital infrastructure (devices and connectivity) and participation in digital education among low-income learners.

H2: Learners with higher levels of digital skills and readiness will report greater educational gains (knowledge, competencies, certifications) from digital education than learners with lower digital readiness, controlling for socioeconomic status.

H3: Participation in structured digital education programs (formal online or blended courses) is positively associated with improved labour-market outcomes (employment status, income level, occupational status) relative to non-participants from similar backgrounds.

H4: The positive effect of digital education on social mobility is stronger in institutions with robust digital pedagogy, student support, and industry partnerships than in institutions lacking such support.

Review of Previous Studies

Previous research on digital higher education indicates that access and participation are segmented along racial/ethnic and socioeconomic lines, with upper-income groups enjoying disproportionate benefits from cloud-based and online programs. Studies on the digital divide have highlighted three levels of inequality: access to devices and connectivity, digital skills and usage patterns, and the differential returns from similar levels of access and skills. Other contributions have shown that asynchronous and synchronous online learning each have distinct strengths in fostering autonomy, social interaction, and methodological skills.

Research on digital skills and labour markets suggests that targeted digital upskilling can open pathways into growing digital and STEM-related occupations, thereby supporting economic inclusion and upward mobility. However, the literature also documents that without supportive policies and institutional frameworks, digital education may primarily benefit already advantaged groups, leaving structural inequalities intact. These findings underscore the need for integrated studies that connect access, learning processes, skills acquisition, and long-term mobility outcomes within a single framework.

Digital education is many processes and practices; it happens in different teaching ways and places. It can be used broadly to mean anything that uses any kind of digital or networked technology to help learning or growth take place. This definition applies no matter what the educational goal is, who it serves, where it takes place, or how people interact with the content. These processes may take place at the same time or at different times; they may occur in any mix of formal, informal, or non-formal settings. Therefore, digital education can happen inside a classroom, when learners are studying on their own at home under the supervision of a caregiver, or when someone else is helping them with their studies. Using technology during a lecture, streaming video-based lectures recorded by teachers to their students via the Internet, and uploading multimedia educational resources onto an open-access web platform are all examples of very different digital modalities.

Research Gap

While it is widely accepted that educational capital is a key mechanism for social mobility, much less is known about how specific forms of digital learning—formal, non-formal, and informal—affect mobility for different social groups. Existing work tends to analyze either digital access and readiness, or learning outcomes, or labour-market effects in isolation, often in high-income contexts. There is limited empirical research that traces the full pathway from digital access through learning experiences and skills acquisition to mobility outcomes, especially for disadvantaged learners and in diverse national settings.

This study addresses this gap by developing and empirically testing a comprehensive model that links digital access, learning modalities, educational capital, and social mobility, taking into account policy and institutional mediators.

Theoretical Framework

The study is grounded in the concept of educational capital as an intermediary between social origins and life-course opportunities. Educational capital encompasses both formal credentials and informal learning accumulated within and beyond traditional institutions, and it is increasingly shaped by digital environments. The proposal draws on theories of social stratification and mobility to explain how individuals move between occupational positions in stratified societies, and how digital education can alter or reproduce these trajectories.

Additionally, the research engages with theories of digital divide and digital readiness, which conceptualize inequalities in access, skills, and usage as multi-level phenomena with distinct drivers and consequences. Finally, it incorporates perspectives from human capital and labour-market theories to understand how digital skills and credentials translate into employability and income, including the role of institutional and policy frameworks. Digital education is the convergence of education with online and digital components. Some students may go to school full time online, while others use a blend of digital and face-to-face instruction. Digital education can create openings to remove some barriers to equity; at the same time, it can intensify social divides and educational divides. Digital learning can enlarge, narrow or regularize social inequality depending on access to infrastructure and devices, opportunities for relevant skill development as well as effective teaching pedagogies. Educational

capital and social mobility are becoming more important in a world where fast increases in automation threaten low- and mid-skill jobs, and where there is more need for critical thinking, creativity, and digital literacy. In general terms, education is seen as a way to achieve social mobility. Digital education should be viewed as an investment in further educational capital that could lead unskilled youth up toward upward mobility.

Methodology and Research Design

The study adopts a mixed-methods design, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches.

- **Quantitative component:** A structured survey will be administered to a sample of learners who have participated in various forms of digital education (formal online courses, blended programs, and informal digital learning). The survey will measure access to digital infrastructure, digital skills, learning experiences, perceived educational gains, and labour-market outcomes. Likert-scale items will be used to capture attitudes, motivation, engagement, and perceived mobility prospects.

- **Qualitative component:** Semi-structured interviews with selected learners, instructors, and policymakers will provide deeper insight into how institutional practices, policies, and personal trajectories shape the relationship between digital education and social mobility.

The mixed-methods design allows for triangulation of findings and a richer understanding of both measurable patterns and underlying mechanisms.

While the relationship of formal education with social mobility has been a subject of previous investigations, very little attention has been given to the possible impacts that specific forms of learning might have on individuals' chances for upward mobility. The literature does not systematically explore how different forms of learning (that is informal, non-formal, and formal) available to individuals influence their socioeconomic outcomes. It should be noted that people tend to acquire more learning of one type at various stages in the life cycle and whether offering different types of learning at a particular point in time can increase individuals' momentum for social mobility is an open question. Thus, it is recommended that post-COVID-19 efforts to enable learning opportunities focus on finding out what the best possible combination of types of learning is rather than just one type. The models simulated what different people would be like in terms of their characteristics regarding learning and tried to see how this affected their upward mobility over the life course.

The methodology used is a Causal Weight, which falls under causal machine learning frameworks. This framework is particularly useful for answering questions about the impact of treatment on individuals or units. Causal Weight makes it possible to measure causal effects with treatment-level granularity. The main idea behind this approach is that a treatment can take different realizations among different individuals, and assessing its impact at such an aggregated level may not be appropriate. Rather than focusing only on treated and untreated units, Causal Weight looks at individuals who received different versions of the same treatment and

Population and Sample

- **Population:** Learners engaged in digital education at the postsecondary level (universities, colleges, and recognized online programs), with a focus on those from varied socioeconomic backgrounds.
- **Sampling frame:** Institutions and platforms that offer substantial digital or blended programs, including public and private universities and accredited online providers.
- **Sample:** A stratified sample of learners (e.g., by income group, institution type, and program type) will be selected to ensure representation of disadvantaged

and advantaged groups. The target quantitative sample size will be sufficient to support multivariate statistical analysis (e.g., several hundred respondents), while 20–30 participants will be purposively selected for in-depth interviews.

Data Collection Instruments

1. Questionnaire (survey):

- Section 1: Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics.
- Section 2: Digital access and readiness (devices, connectivity, skills).
- Section 3: Digital learning modalities and experiences (synchronous, asynchronous, blended, informal).
- Section 4: Motivation, engagement, self-regulation, and perceived learning gains.
- Section 5: Labour-market status, perceived employability, and mobility expectations.

2. Semi-structured interview guide:

- Topics: personal digital learning pathways, barriers and enablers, institutional support, policy awareness, perceived impact on career and social position.

Reliability and validity will be ensured through expert review, pilot testing, and internal consistency measures (e.g., Cronbach's alpha) for multi-item scales.^[1]

Statistical Analysis Methods

- Descriptive statistics to summarize sample characteristics and key variables (access, skills, outcomes).
- Correlation and regression analyses to test associations between digital access, learning experiences, and mobility-related outcomes.^[2]
- Group comparisons (e.g., t-tests, ANOVA) to examine differences between socioeconomic groups and institutional types.^[2]
- If appropriate, more advanced techniques (e.g., structural equation modeling or causal inference methods) may be used to model pathways from digital education to social mobility.^{[1][2]}

Qualitative data will be analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns related to opportunity structures, institutional practices, and perceived mobility trajectories.

Delimitations of the Study

- **Human scope:** The study focuses on postsecondary learners engaged in digital education; school-age children and exclusively face-to-face learners are not included.
- **Spatial scope:** The empirical focus will be on selected institutions and platforms within a specific national or regional context (to be specified by the researcher), which may limit generalizability to other settings.
- **Temporal scope:** Data collection is planned over a defined period (e.g., the 2026 academic year), capturing a snapshot rather than full longitudinal trajectories.

References

- Laufer, M., Leiser, A., Deacon, B., Perrin de Brichambaut, P., Fecher, B., Kobsda, C., & Hesse, F. (2021). Digital higher education: A divider or bridge builder? Leadership perspectives on edtech in a COVID-19 reality.
- van de Werfhorst, H. G., Kessenich, E., & Geven, S. (2022). The digital divide in online education: Inequality in digital readiness of students and schools.
- Hulugh, T., Ogunola, A. A., Omachi, V. O., Toromade, R. O., & Ajayi, O. O. (2024). Social Mobility Through Digital Upskilling: A Policy Framework for Economic Inclusion in the US.
- Orta, A. (2019). Digital education and the transformation of teaching and learning.^[2]
- Sosa Díaz, J. (2021). Digital education and social inequality.