

Research Title:

Digital Workload, Technostress, and Psychological Well-Being among Employees in Saudi Smart Healthcare Systems

Prepared by:

Eyad Khalifa

Supervised by:

Dr. Mai Ismail

Dr. Shimaa Mansour

Program:

Master of Health Administration

Academic Year:

2025-2026

Abstract

The rapid digital transformation of healthcare systems has significantly reshaped the work environment of healthcare professionals. In Saudi Arabia, the adoption of smart healthcare technologies—including electronic attendance systems, electronic medical records, digital reporting platforms, and institutional communication tools—has improved administrative efficiency and operational transparency. However, these technological advancements have also introduced new forms of occupational stress associated with digital workload and continuous electronic monitoring.

Recent studies highlight the emergence of **technostress**, defined as the stress experienced by individuals due to the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs). Healthcare employees often experience technostress through increased digital demands, constant connectivity, system complexity, and electronic surveillance mechanisms such as attendance monitoring systems.

Although previous studies examined the operational benefits of digital systems in healthcare organizations, limited research has investigated their psychological impact on healthcare employees using validated mental-health scales. In particular, existing studies exploring the Hayyak attendance system in Saudi Arabia assessed stress qualitatively but did not employ standardized psychological well-being instruments or technostress models.

This research aims to investigate the relationship between **digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being among employees working in Saudi smart healthcare systems**. A cross-sectional quantitative design will be employed using validated scales, including the **Technostress Creators Scale** and the **WHO-5 Well-Being Index**. Data will be collected from employees working in Ministry of Health (MOH) healthcare institutions.

Hierarchical regression analysis will be used to examine how digital workload predicts technostress and burnout while assessing the moderating role of organizational support mechanisms.

The findings are expected to provide empirical evidence on how digital healthcare systems influence employee well-being and offer practical recommendations for healthcare administrators seeking to balance technological innovation with workforce mental health.

Keywords: Technostress, digital workload, psychological well-being, smart healthcare, Saudi Arabia, digital monitoring.

Chapter 1

Introduction

The global healthcare sector is undergoing rapid digital transformation driven by technological innovation, data integration, and increasing demand for efficient healthcare services. Digital health technologies—including electronic health records (EHRs), telemedicine platforms, hospital information systems, and digital monitoring tools—have become essential components of modern healthcare systems (Khalifa, 2019; WHO, 2021). These technologies are designed to enhance clinical decision-making, improve operational efficiency, and strengthen communication among healthcare professionals.

In Saudi Arabia, digital transformation in healthcare has accelerated significantly in recent years, particularly under the national development agenda of **Saudi Vision 2030**, which emphasizes digital innovation and the modernization of healthcare services (Al-Hanawi et al., 2019). Healthcare institutions across the Kingdom have adopted multiple digital tools such as electronic medical records, digital attendance monitoring systems, online reporting platforms, and institutional communication systems to improve administrative efficiency and service delivery.

While these technologies offer numerous benefits, including improved information accessibility, streamlined workflows, and enhanced organizational transparency, they also introduce new challenges related to employee workload and psychological strain. The continuous interaction with digital systems, increased electronic documentation requirements, and constant connectivity through digital communication platforms may increase cognitive demands on healthcare professionals (Tarafdar et al., 2015).

This phenomenon has been widely described in the literature as **technostress**, which refers to the stress experienced by individuals due to the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) (Brod, 1984). Technostress may arise from multiple sources such as technological complexity, information overload, continuous system updates, and increased expectations for digital responsiveness (Tarafdar et al., 2007). In healthcare settings, these factors may significantly affect employee performance, job satisfaction, and psychological well-being.

Healthcare professionals are particularly vulnerable to technostress due to the complex and high-pressure nature of their work environments. Studies indicate that excessive digital documentation, electronic monitoring, and reliance on information systems may contribute to increased burnout and reduced job satisfaction among healthcare workers (La Torre et al., 2020). Furthermore, constant electronic monitoring and digital accountability mechanisms may reduce perceived autonomy and increase occupational stress levels.

In Saudi healthcare institutions, digital workforce management tools such as electronic attendance systems, performance monitoring platforms, and internal communication systems

have been introduced to enhance workforce accountability and operational transparency. However, despite the widespread adoption of these digital systems, limited research has examined their psychological effects on healthcare employees.

Most existing studies have focused on the operational advantages of digital healthcare systems—such as improved efficiency and reduced administrative errors—while the psychological consequences for healthcare staff remain underexplored (Al-Samarraie et al., 2020). In particular, previous studies examining the implementation of digital monitoring systems in Saudi healthcare organizations assessed employee stress primarily through qualitative approaches rather than validated psychological scales.

Understanding the relationship between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being is therefore essential for ensuring sustainable digital transformation in healthcare organizations. Without addressing the human and psychological dimensions of technological adoption, healthcare institutions risk increasing employee burnout, reducing job satisfaction, and negatively affecting workforce retention.

Consequently, this study aims to examine how digital workload contributes to technostress and psychological well-being among employees working in Saudi smart healthcare systems. By utilizing validated measurement tools—including the **Technostress Creators Scale** and the **WHO-5 Well-Being Index**—this research seeks to provide empirical evidence on the psychological impact of digital healthcare environments and identify organizational strategies that can mitigate these challenges.

Significance of Study

The rapid expansion of digital technologies in healthcare has transformed the way healthcare organizations manage clinical information, communication, and workforce monitoring. While digital health systems improve operational efficiency and decision-making, they may also introduce new forms of occupational stress associated with increased digital workload and technological complexity (Tarafdar et al., 2015). Understanding the psychological impact of these digital systems is therefore critical for ensuring that technological innovation supports both organizational performance and employee well-being.

From a **theoretical perspective**, this study contributes to the growing body of literature on technostress and workplace digitalization. Although technostress has been widely examined in business and information systems research, empirical studies within healthcare environments remain relatively limited (La Torre et al., 2020). Healthcare professionals face unique job demands such as time pressure, high responsibility for patient outcomes, and complex clinical workflows, which may amplify the psychological effects of digital technologies. By examining digital workload and technostress in healthcare institutions, this research extends existing

theoretical frameworks—including the technostress model and the Job Demands–Resources model—within the context of smart healthcare systems.

From a **practical perspective**, the study provides valuable insights for healthcare administrators and policymakers seeking to implement digital systems while maintaining a healthy and productive workforce. Previous research indicates that excessive technological demands may increase employee burnout, reduce job satisfaction, and negatively affect work performance (Ragu-Nathan et al., 2008). In healthcare settings, such outcomes may ultimately influence patient safety and service quality. Identifying the factors that contribute to technostress can help healthcare organizations develop strategies to improve digital system usability, enhance training programs, and provide organizational support that mitigates stress associated with digital technologies.

The study is also particularly significant in the **Saudi Arabian healthcare context**, where digital transformation has become a central pillar of healthcare reform under Vision 2030. Saudi Arabia has made substantial investments in digital health infrastructure, including electronic medical records, telemedicine platforms, and integrated health information systems (Al-Hanawi et al., 2019). While these initiatives aim to enhance healthcare accessibility and efficiency, limited research has explored how increased digital monitoring and electronic workload affect healthcare employees' psychological well-being. Investigating this relationship is essential for ensuring that digital transformation initiatives achieve sustainable outcomes for both healthcare organizations and their workforce.

Furthermore, this study contributes to improving **employee well-being and organizational sustainability** in healthcare institutions. Psychological well-being among healthcare workers has been shown to influence productivity, work engagement, and quality of care (World Health Organization, 2018). When employees experience high levels of technostress and digital overload, it may lead to burnout, decreased job satisfaction, and higher turnover intentions (Tarafdar et al., 2007). By identifying the mechanisms through which digital workload influences psychological well-being, the findings of this research may support the development of workplace policies that promote healthier digital work environments.

Finally, the study provides **policy implications** for national healthcare systems and digital health governance. As healthcare organizations continue to integrate advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, electronic reporting systems, and digital workforce monitoring tools, it becomes increasingly important to evaluate their broader organizational and psychological consequences. Evidence-based research on technostress can guide policymakers in designing balanced digital health strategies that improve healthcare efficiency while protecting employee well-being and organizational resilience.

Research Problem

Despite the rapid adoption of smart healthcare technologies in Saudi Arabia, the psychological consequences of digital workload and monitoring systems on healthcare employees remain insufficiently explored.

Previous studies examining digital systems in Saudi healthcare organizations focused primarily on **operational efficiency and administrative outcomes**, rather than employee well-being.

Furthermore, studies exploring the Hayyak attendance system assessed employee stress qualitatively but did not use **validated mental-health measurement tools**.

This creates a critical research gap regarding the relationship between **digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being in Saudi healthcare workplaces**.

Research Objectives

General Objective

To examine the relationship between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being among employees in Saudi smart healthcare systems.

Specific Objectives

1. To measure the level of digital workload experienced by healthcare employees.
2. To assess the prevalence of technostress among employees in digitally monitored healthcare environments.
3. To evaluate psychological well-being using validated mental health instruments.
4. To examine the relationship between digital workload and technostress.
5. To determine whether technostress predicts employee burnout and reduced well-being.
6. To explore how organizational support mechanisms moderate these relationships.

Research Questions

1. To what extent does digital workload predict technostress among healthcare employees?
2. How does technostress influence psychological well-being and burnout?
3. Do organizational support mechanisms reduce the negative effects of digital workload?

4. What factors moderate the relationship between digital monitoring systems and employee well-being?

Hypotheses

H1: Digital workload is positively associated with technostress among healthcare employees.

H2: Higher levels of technostress are associated with lower psychological well-being.

H3: Technostress significantly predicts burnout among healthcare employees.

H4: Organizational support moderates the relationship between digital workload and technostress.

Chapter2

literature Review

Introduction

The increasing integration of digital technologies into healthcare systems has significantly transformed the work environment of healthcare professionals. Digital platforms such as electronic health records (EHRs), hospital information systems (HIS), telemedicine platforms, and electronic workforce management tools have improved efficiency, communication, and patient care coordination. However, these technological advancements also introduce new challenges for healthcare employees, including increased digital workload, information overload, and psychological stress associated with continuous interaction with digital systems (Tarafdar et al., 2015).

In recent years, researchers have increasingly focused on understanding how digital technologies influence employee well-being and workplace stress. One of the most widely studied phenomena in this context is **technostress**, which refers to the stress individuals experience due to the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) in their work environments (Brod, 1984). Technostress has been linked to decreased job satisfaction, increased burnout, and reduced productivity in various organizational settings (Ragu-Nathan et al., 2008).

The healthcare sector represents a particularly relevant context for studying technostress because healthcare professionals operate in high-pressure environments where technological systems are integrated into daily clinical and administrative workflows. Understanding the relationship between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being is therefore essential for ensuring sustainable digital transformation in healthcare systems.

Digital Transformation in Healthcare Systems

Healthcare systems worldwide are undergoing a major digital transformation aimed at improving healthcare quality, accessibility, and efficiency. Digital health technologies such as electronic health records, clinical decision support systems, telemedicine platforms, and digital patient monitoring tools have become essential components of modern healthcare systems (WHO, 2021).

These technologies enable healthcare organizations to streamline clinical workflows, enhance communication among healthcare providers, and improve patient data management. Studies have shown that digital health technologies contribute to improved patient safety, better clinical decision-making, and enhanced healthcare service delivery (Khalifa, 2019).

In Saudi Arabia, digital healthcare transformation has accelerated significantly in recent years as part of the national healthcare reform strategy aligned with **Saudi Vision 2030**. The Saudi Ministry of Health has implemented numerous digital initiatives, including electronic medical records, mobile health applications, digital appointment systems, and workforce management platforms (Al-Hanawi et al., 2019). These initiatives aim to modernize healthcare infrastructure and improve the quality and accessibility of healthcare services across the Kingdom.

Despite these advancements, the rapid introduction of digital systems has also increased the technological demands placed on healthcare employees. Healthcare workers must frequently adapt to new digital platforms, manage electronic documentation requirements, and maintain continuous interaction with information systems, which may increase cognitive workload and workplace stress (La Torre et al., 2020).

Technostress in the Digital Workplace

The concept of technostress was first introduced by **Brod (1984)**, who described it as a modern disease caused by an inability to cope with new computer technologies in a healthy manner. Since then, technostress has become a significant research topic in the fields of information systems, organizational behavior, and occupational health.

Technostress is generally defined as the psychological stress experienced by individuals due to the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) in their work environments (Tarafdar et al., 2007). Researchers have identified several key sources of technostress, commonly referred to as **technostress creators**, including techno-overload, techno-invasion, techno-complexity, techno-insecurity, and techno-uncertainty (Tarafdar et al., 2007).

Techno-overload occurs when technology increases the amount of work employees must perform or accelerates the pace of work.

Techno-invasion refers to the intrusion of work technologies into employees' personal lives, often through continuous digital connectivity.

Techno-complexity arises when employees find technological systems difficult to understand or

use.

Techno-insecurity reflects fears that new technologies may replace employees or reduce their job security.

Techno-uncertainty occurs when constant technological changes require employees to continually adapt to new systems.

Research indicates that technostress can negatively influence employee attitudes, job performance, and psychological well-being. For example, Ragu-Nathan et al. (2008) found that technostress significantly increases role stress and reduces job satisfaction among employees who rely heavily on digital technologies.

Technostress in Healthcare Environments

Healthcare professionals face unique workplace demands that may amplify the effects of technostress. Medical staff often operate in fast-paced environments that require accurate decision-making, rapid response to clinical situations, and continuous documentation of patient information.

The implementation of electronic health record systems has significantly increased the amount of time healthcare professionals spend interacting with digital systems. Studies have shown that physicians may spend nearly half of their working hours interacting with electronic medical records rather than engaging directly with patients (Shanafelt et al., 2016).

Furthermore, healthcare professionals must frequently navigate complex digital platforms, manage electronic documentation requirements, and comply with institutional digital policies. These factors may increase cognitive workload and contribute to stress and burnout among healthcare employees.

A systematic review conducted by **La Torre et al. (2020)** found that technostress among healthcare workers is associated with several negative outcomes, including increased burnout, decreased job satisfaction, and reduced work performance. The review also highlighted that healthcare professionals often experience technostress due to excessive digital documentation, system complexity, and insufficient training on new digital tools.

Digital Workload and Employee Burnout

Digital workload refers to the volume of work tasks that employees must perform through digital systems or technologies. In healthcare settings, digital workload may include electronic documentation, online reporting, electronic communication, and digital performance monitoring.

Research indicates that excessive digital workload may contribute to employee burnout, which is characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced professional effectiveness (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Burnout has become a significant concern in healthcare organizations due to its impact on employee well-being and patient safety.

Healthcare professionals experiencing high levels of digital workload may feel overwhelmed by electronic documentation requirements, frequent system alerts, and the need to maintain constant connectivity with digital communication platforms. These demands may reduce employees' perceived autonomy and increase job stress (Tarafdar et al., 2015).

Additionally, studies have shown that increased digital monitoring systems—such as electronic attendance tracking and performance monitoring tools—may increase employees' perception of surveillance and reduce job satisfaction (Ball, 2010). When employees perceive digital monitoring systems as intrusive or controlling, they may experience increased psychological strain and reduced organizational commitment.

Psychological Well-Being in Healthcare Workers

Psychological well-being is an essential component of employee health and organizational performance. The World Health Organization defines well-being as a state in which individuals realize their abilities, cope with normal stresses of life, work productively, and contribute to their communities (WHO, 2018).

Healthcare workers' psychological well-being is particularly important because it influences both employee performance and patient care outcomes. Studies have shown that healthcare professionals experiencing poor mental health may have lower productivity, higher absenteeism rates, and increased risk of medical errors (Dewa et al., 2014).

The **WHO-5 Well-Being Index** is one of the most widely used instruments for assessing psychological well-being in healthcare research. The scale measures positive mood, vitality, and general interest in life, providing a reliable indicator of mental well-being among employees (Topp et al., 2015).

Maintaining psychological well-being among healthcare professionals is essential for sustaining a resilient healthcare workforce. Healthcare organizations must therefore consider the psychological implications of digital transformation initiatives to ensure that technological advancements do not negatively affect employee well-being.

Organizational Support and Technostress Mitigation

While digital technologies may create new workplace stressors, organizational support mechanisms can play an important role in reducing technostress. Organizational support may include employee training programs, technical support services, user-friendly system design, and supportive leadership practices.

Research indicates that employees who receive adequate technological training and organizational support are better able to cope with digital work demands and experience lower levels of technostress (Tarafdar et al., 2015).

Additionally, organizations that encourage open communication, provide technical assistance, and involve employees in digital system design processes are more likely to achieve successful technology adoption while maintaining employee well-being.

In healthcare organizations, leadership support and digital training programs may significantly reduce the psychological burden associated with digital workload. Providing employees with sufficient resources and autonomy may help mitigate the negative effects of technostress and improve employee engagement.

Research Gap

Although previous research has examined technostress and digital workload in various industries, limited empirical studies have investigated these issues within the context of **smart healthcare systems in Saudi Arabia**. Most existing research in Saudi healthcare has focused primarily on digital system adoption and operational efficiency rather than the psychological consequences of digital technologies on healthcare employees.

Furthermore, studies examining digital monitoring systems such as the **Hayyak attendance system** have largely relied on qualitative assessments of employee stress rather than validated psychological measurement tools.

This gap highlights the need for empirical research that examines how digital workload contributes to technostress and psychological well-being among healthcare employees using validated instruments such as the **Technostress Creators Scale** and the **WHO-5 Well-Being Index**.

Theoretical Framework

This research integrates three major theoretical models:

Technostress Model (Tarafdar et al., 2007)

Explains how technology usage generates workplace stress.

Job Demands–Resources Model

Suggests that high job demands (digital workload) lead to burnout unless balanced by organizational resources.

Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989)

Explains employee adoption of digital systems based on perceived usefulness and ease of use.

Chapter 3

Methodology

Research Design

Cross-sectional quantitative study.

Population

Employees working in Ministry of Health hospitals in Saudi Arabia.

Sample Size

Approximately **250–300 participants**.

Data Collection Tools

1. **Technostress Creators Scale**
2. **WHO-5 Well-Being Index**
3. Demographic questionnaire.

Statistical Analysis

Data will be analyzed using **SPSS** with:

- Descriptive statistics
- Reliability analysis
- Correlation analysis
- Hierarchical regression analysis

Introduction

This section describes the research methodology used to examine the relationship between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being among employees working in Saudi smart healthcare systems. The methodology outlines the research design, study population, sampling strategy, data collection instruments, procedures, and statistical analysis methods. The methodological framework is designed to ensure the reliability and validity of the research findings while addressing the study's research objectives and hypotheses.

Research Design

This study adopts a **quantitative cross-sectional research design**. Cross-sectional designs are widely used in healthcare and organizational research to examine relationships among variables at a single point in time (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

A cross-sectional approach is particularly appropriate for this study because it allows the researcher to measure the levels of digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being among healthcare employees simultaneously. It also enables statistical analysis of relationships between these variables using regression models.

Quantitative research methods are suitable for this study because they allow the researcher to collect standardized data from a large number of participants and apply statistical techniques to test research hypotheses (Bryman, 2016).

Study Setting

The study will be conducted in **public healthcare institutions affiliated with the Saudi Ministry of Health (MOH)**. These institutions represent the largest healthcare provider network in Saudi Arabia and have undergone significant digital transformation through the implementation of electronic health systems, workforce monitoring tools, and digital communication platforms.

Many MOH hospitals and healthcare centers utilize digital technologies such as:

- Electronic Medical Records (EMR)
- Hospital Information Systems (HIS)
- Electronic attendance systems (e.g., Hayyak system)
- Electronic reporting platforms
- Digital communication systems

These digital tools create a suitable environment for examining the effects of digital workload and technostress on healthcare employees.

Study Population

The target population of this study consists of **healthcare employees working in Saudi public healthcare institutions**, including hospitals and primary healthcare centers.

Participants will include employees who regularly interact with digital systems as part of their daily work responsibilities. These employees may include:

- Physicians

- Nurses
- Administrative staff
- Health information management staff
- IT personnel
- Allied health professionals

Including multiple professional categories will allow the study to capture a comprehensive understanding of digital workload and technostress across different healthcare roles.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

Participants must meet the following criteria to be included in the study:

- Currently employed in a Saudi MOH healthcare institution.
- Use digital healthcare systems regularly in their work.
- Have at least **six months of work experience** within the organization.
- Provide informed consent to participate in the study.

Exclusion Criteria

Participants will be excluded if they:

- Have less than six months of work experience.
- Do not use digital systems in their daily work tasks.
- Decline participation or withdraw from the study.

These criteria help ensure that participants have sufficient exposure to digital systems to provide meaningful responses.

Sampling Technique

The study will employ a **stratified random sampling technique** to ensure representation from different professional groups within healthcare institutions.

Stratified sampling is appropriate when the population consists of distinct subgroups that may experience different levels of digital workload or technostress (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). In this study, the population will be divided into strata based on professional roles, such as physicians, nurses, and administrative staff.

Participants will then be randomly selected from each stratum to ensure proportional representation.

This sampling method helps improve the generalizability and accuracy of the research findings.

Sample Size Determination

The study aims to recruit approximately **250–300 participants**.

This sample size is considered appropriate for statistical analysis using regression models and is consistent with recommendations for social science research involving multiple independent variables (Hair et al., 2019).

A sample of this size allows sufficient statistical power to detect meaningful relationships between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being.

Data Collection Instruments

Data will be collected using a **structured self-administered questionnaire** composed of validated measurement scales.

The questionnaire will consist of four main sections.

Demographic Information

The first section will collect demographic and professional information about participants, including:

- Age
- Gender
- Job position
- Years of professional experience
- Department
- Frequency of digital system use

These variables will be used to describe the study population and explore potential demographic influences on technostress.

Digital Workload Measurement

Digital workload will be assessed through items measuring the extent to which employees interact with digital systems during their daily work activities.

The scale will measure factors such as:

- Time spent on electronic documentation

- Frequency of digital communication
- Number of digital systems used during work
- Perceived workload related to digital tasks

Responses will be measured using a **five-point Likert scale** ranging from:

1 = Strongly Disagree

5 = Strongly Agree

Technostress Creators Scale

Technostress will be measured using the **Technostress Creators Scale** developed by Tarafdar et al. (2007). This scale is widely used in research examining technology-related workplace stress.

The scale measures five dimensions of technostress:

1. **Techno-overload** – technology increases work demands.
2. **Techno-invasion** – technology blurs boundaries between work and personal life.
3. **Techno-complexity** – technology is perceived as difficult to use.
4. **Techno-insecurity** – fear of job loss due to technological changes.
5. **Techno-uncertainty** – stress caused by constant system updates.

Participants will rate their agreement with statements using a **five-point Likert scale**.

Previous studies have demonstrated strong reliability and validity for this scale across various organizational contexts (Ragu-Nathan et al., 2008).

Psychological Well-Being Measurement

Psychological well-being will be measured using the **WHO-5 Well-Being Index**, developed by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2018).

The WHO-5 scale consists of five items measuring:

- Positive mood
- Vitality
- General interest in life
- Relaxation
- Emotional balance

Participants rate their experiences over the past two weeks using a **six-point scale**.

The WHO-5 index is widely used in healthcare research and has demonstrated strong psychometric reliability (Topp et al., 2015).

Pilot Testing

Prior to the main data collection phase, the questionnaire will undergo **pilot testing** with approximately **20 healthcare employees**.

The purpose of pilot testing is to:

- Evaluate clarity of survey questions
- Assess reliability of measurement scales
- Identify potential issues with survey administration

Feedback from participants will be used to refine the questionnaire before full-scale data collection.

Data Collection Procedures

Data collection will be conducted using an **online survey platform** such as Google Forms or Qualtrics.

The survey link will be distributed through hospital administrative channels and internal communication platforms.

Participants will receive an invitation explaining:

- The purpose of the study
- Confidentiality of responses
- Voluntary nature of participation

The survey is expected to take approximately **10–15 minutes** to complete.

Data collection will take place over a **4–6 week period**.

Data Analysis

Data analysis will be conducted using **Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS)** software.

The analysis will involve several statistical procedures.

1. Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics will be used to summarize demographic characteristics of participants and overall responses to survey items.

Measures will include:

- Means
- Standard deviations
- Frequencies
- Percentages

2. Reliability Analysis

Internal consistency of measurement scales will be assessed using **Cronbach's Alpha**.

Cronbach's alpha value greater than **0.70** is generally considered acceptable for research purposes (Hair et al., 2019).

3. Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation analysis will be used to examine the relationships between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being.

4. Hierarchical Regression Analysis

Hierarchical regression analysis will be used to test the study's hypotheses.

This method allows researchers to examine the incremental effects of independent variables on dependent variables while controlling demographic factors (Field, 2018).

Regression models will examine:

- Digital workload → Technostress
- Technostress → Psychological well-being
- Moderating effects of organizational support

Ethical Considerations

Ethical principles will be strictly followed throughout the research process.

Participants will receive a detailed explanation of the study objectives before participating. Informed consent will be obtained prior to data collection.

All data will be treated confidentially and used only for research purposes. Personal identifiers will not be collected, ensuring anonymity of participants.

Participants will have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty.

The study will obtain ethical approval from the relevant institutional review board before data collection begins.

Limitations

- Cross-sectional design
- Self-reported data
- Limited generalizability outside Saudi healthcare systems.

Timeline

Phase	Activity	Duration
Phase 1	Literature Review & Proposal Development	3 Weeks
Phase 2	Questionnaire Design	2 Weeks
Phase 3	Pilot Testing	1–2 Weeks
Phase 4	Ethical Approval	2 Weeks
Phase 5	Data Collection	4–5 Weeks
Phase 6	Data Cleaning	1 Week
Phase 7	Data Analysis	3–4 Weeks
Phase 8	Interpretation of Results	2 Weeks
Phase 9	Writing the Final Report	4 Weeks
Phase 10	Final Submission	2 Weeks

Chapter4

Expected Results and Contributions

Introduction

Based on the literature review, theoretical framework, and proposed research methodology, this study is expected to generate significant insights into the relationship between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being among employees working in Saudi smart healthcare systems. As healthcare institutions increasingly adopt digital technologies to improve efficiency and service delivery, understanding the impact of these systems on employee well-being becomes critically important.

The anticipated findings will provide empirical evidence regarding how digital work demands influence employee stress levels and mental well-being within healthcare organizations. Additionally, the results will contribute to the development of organizational strategies that can mitigate the negative psychological consequences of digital transformation while preserving the benefits of technological innovation.

Expected Descriptive Results

The descriptive statistical analysis is expected to provide a comprehensive overview of the study population and the prevalence of digital workload and technostress among healthcare employees.

It is anticipated that the majority of participants will report frequent interaction with digital healthcare systems, including electronic medical records, hospital information systems, digital attendance monitoring platforms, and electronic reporting tools. Due to the widespread adoption of these technologies within Saudi healthcare institutions, employees are likely to report moderate to high levels of digital workload.

Furthermore, descriptive analysis may reveal variations in digital workload and technostress levels across different professional categories. For example, physicians and nurses who interact extensively with electronic health records and documentation systems may report higher levels of digital workload compared to administrative staff. Similarly, employees with longer working hours or greater responsibility for digital reporting tasks may experience increased levels of technostress.

Demographic factors such as age, professional experience, and technological familiarity may also influence technostress levels. Younger employees who are more accustomed to digital technologies may report lower levels of technostress, while older employees who have had limited exposure to digital systems earlier in their careers may experience greater technological stress.

Expected Relationship between Digital Workload and Technostress

One of the primary objectives of this study is to examine the relationship between digital workload and technostress among healthcare employees. Based on existing literature, it is expected that digital workload will show a significant positive association with technostress.

Employees who frequently interact with multiple digital platforms, manage extensive electronic documentation, and respond to continuous digital communication are likely to experience higher levels of cognitive overload and work-related stress. Digital workload may increase employees' perception that technology accelerates work pace, increases task complexity, and reduces their ability to manage time effectively.

The regression analysis is therefore expected to demonstrate that increased digital workload significantly predicts higher levels of technostress among healthcare employees. This finding would be consistent with previous research indicating that technology-driven work environments can increase role stress and psychological strain when technological demands exceed employees' coping capacities.

Expected Impact of Technostress on Psychological Well-Being

The second key relationship examined in this study involves the impact of technostress on employees' psychological well-being. It is expected that higher levels of technostress will be associated with lower levels of psychological well-being.

Employees experiencing technostress may report symptoms such as emotional exhaustion, reduced job satisfaction, frustration with digital systems, and decreased motivation. These factors may negatively affect employees' mental health and overall job engagement.

The WHO-5 Well-Being Index results are expected to demonstrate that employees experiencing higher technostress levels report lower well-being scores. This relationship would indicate that excessive digital demands in healthcare workplaces may contribute to psychological strain and reduced workplace satisfaction.

Understanding this relationship is particularly important for healthcare institutions because reduced psychological well-being among healthcare workers can negatively influence productivity, teamwork, and patient care quality.

Moderating Role of Organizational Support

Another anticipated finding of this study relates to the moderating role of organizational support in the relationship between digital workload and technostress.

Organizational support mechanisms such as digital training programs, technical assistance, supportive leadership practices, and user-friendly digital systems may help reduce the negative impact of digital workload on employees.

Employees who receive adequate technological training and organizational support are expected to demonstrate greater confidence in using digital systems and experience lower levels of technostress. Therefore, hierarchical regression analysis may reveal that organizational support weakens the relationship between digital workload and technostress.

This finding would suggest that healthcare organizations can reduce technostress by investing in employee training, improving system usability, and providing ongoing support for digital technologies.

Differences Across Professional Groups

The results may also reveal differences in technostress levels across various healthcare professional groups. Physicians and nurses may report higher levels of digital workload due to their extensive use of electronic medical records and clinical documentation systems.

Administrative staff may experience technostress associated with electronic reporting systems and workforce monitoring platforms, while IT staff may experience stress related to system maintenance and technical troubleshooting.

Identifying these differences will help healthcare administrators develop targeted strategies to address the specific digital challenges faced by different employee groups.

Theoretical Contributions

The expected findings of this study will contribute to the academic literature on digital workplace stress and healthcare management in several ways.

First, the study will extend the **Technostress Model** by applying it within the context of smart healthcare systems in Saudi Arabia. While technostress has been widely examined in corporate and information technology environments, relatively few studies have investigated its effects in healthcare settings.

Second, the study will contribute to the **Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model**, which suggests that excessive job demands such as digital workload may lead to employee burnout unless balanced by adequate organizational resources.

By examining the moderating role of organizational support, this study will provide empirical evidence regarding how workplace resources influence employees' ability to cope with technological demands.

Third, the study will contribute to the literature on **digital health transformation** by highlighting the importance of considering employee well-being when implementing digital healthcare systems.

Practical Contributions

The findings of this study are expected to have important practical implications for healthcare administrators and policymakers.

Healthcare organizations implementing digital transformation initiatives must ensure that technological systems do not unintentionally increase employee stress or workload. The results of this research may help healthcare institutions design digital work environments that support both efficiency and employee well-being.

Healthcare administrators may use the study findings to develop strategies such as:

- Improving digital system usability
- Providing regular digital training programs
- Reducing unnecessary electronic documentation requirements
- Enhancing technical support for employees
- Promoting supportive leadership practices

These strategies may help healthcare institutions maintain a healthy and productive workforce while continuing to benefit from digital healthcare technologies.

Policy Implications

At the national level, the results of this study may inform healthcare policy development in Saudi Arabia.

As the Saudi healthcare system continues to invest in digital technologies under Vision 2030, policymakers must consider the potential psychological effects of digital workplace environments. Policies promoting employee well-being, digital literacy training, and balanced technological implementation may support sustainable healthcare transformation.

The findings may also encourage the Ministry of Health to incorporate employee well-being indicators into digital health performance evaluation frameworks.

Summary

In summary, the expected results of this study will provide valuable insights into how digital workload influences technostress and psychological well-being among healthcare employees. The findings will highlight the importance of balancing technological innovation with organizational support to ensure that digital transformation initiatives enhance both healthcare efficiency and workforce well-being. By addressing a critical research gap in the Saudi healthcare context, this study will contribute to both academic research and practical healthcare management strategies aimed at creating healthier digital work environments.

Chapter 5

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

The rapid digital transformation of healthcare systems has significantly reshaped the working environment of healthcare professionals. Digital technologies such as electronic health records, digital communication platforms, electronic attendance systems, and online reporting tools have improved efficiency, transparency, and service coordination within healthcare organizations. In Saudi Arabia, the implementation of smart healthcare technologies has accelerated under the national healthcare reform agenda aligned with Vision 2030, which emphasizes digital innovation and modernization of healthcare services.

Despite the operational advantages of these technologies, their increasing integration into daily healthcare workflows has introduced new challenges related to digital workload and workplace stress. Healthcare professionals are now required to interact with multiple digital systems, complete extensive electronic documentation, and maintain constant digital communication, which may increase cognitive demands and psychological strain. These conditions contribute to the emergence of technostress; a form of workplace stress associated with the use of information and communication technologies.

This research aims to examine the relationship between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being among employees working in Saudi smart healthcare systems. By utilizing validated measurement tools such as the Technostress Creators Scale and the WHO-5 Well-Being Index, the study seeks to provide empirical evidence regarding the psychological effects of digital workplace environments on healthcare employees.

The findings of this study are expected to demonstrate that increased digital workload significantly contributes to technostress among healthcare employees, which in turn may negatively affect psychological well-being and job satisfaction. However, the research also anticipates that organizational support mechanisms—such as training programs, technical assistance, and supportive leadership—can mitigate the negative impact of digital workload and improve employees' ability to adapt to technological demands.

Ultimately, this study contributes to the growing body of research on digital workplace stress and healthcare management by highlighting the importance of balancing technological advancement with employee well-being. Sustainable digital transformation in healthcare requires not only the adoption of advanced technologies but also the development of organizational strategies that support the psychological health and resilience of healthcare professionals.

Recommendations

Based on the theoretical insights, literature review, and anticipated findings of this research, several recommendations can be proposed for healthcare administrators, policymakers, and future researchers.

1. Enhancing Digital Training Programs

Healthcare institutions should invest in continuous training programs that improve employees' digital competencies and confidence in using healthcare information systems. Providing structured training sessions for new digital platforms can reduce technological complexity and help employees adapt more effectively to digital work environments.

2. Improving Digital System Usability

Healthcare organizations should prioritize user-centered design when implementing digital systems. Digital platforms that are intuitive, efficient, and aligned with clinical workflows can significantly reduce digital workload and technostress among healthcare employees.

3. Reducing Unnecessary Digital Documentation

Excessive electronic documentation requirements may increase digital workload and reduce time available for patient care. Healthcare institutions should review digital documentation policies and eliminate redundant or unnecessary reporting processes to streamline digital workflows.

4. Strengthening Organizational Support Mechanisms

Organizational support plays a critical role in helping employees cope with digital workplace stress. Healthcare leaders should provide accessible technical support services, encourage open communication regarding technological challenges, and create supportive work environments that promote employee well-being.

5. Promoting Work-Life Balance in Digital Work Environments

Healthcare organizations should establish policies that prevent excessive digital connectivity outside working hours. Limiting unnecessary digital communication during non-working periods can help employees maintain a healthy balance between work and personal life.

6. Incorporating Employee Well-Being into Digital Transformation Strategies

Digital healthcare transformation initiatives should consider employee well-being as a key performance indicator. Healthcare institutions should regularly assess employee stress levels and psychological well-being when implementing new digital technologies.

7. Policy Development at the National Level

Healthcare policymakers in Saudi Arabia should develop national guidelines that address the psychological implications of digital healthcare systems. These policies may include standards for digital workload management, employee training, and workplace mental health support.

8. Encouraging Future Research

Future studies should explore additional dimensions of digital workplace stress, such as the role of artificial intelligence, telemedicine technologies, and remote healthcare systems. Longitudinal research designs may also provide deeper insights into how digital transformation affects employee well-being over time.

Final Summary

In conclusion, digital technologies have become an integral component of modern healthcare systems, offering significant opportunities for improving efficiency and service quality. However, increasing reliance on digital systems also introduces new challenges related to employee workload and psychological well-being.

By examining the relationship between digital workload, technostress, and psychological well-being, this study provides valuable insights into how healthcare organizations can manage digital transformation in a way that supports both technological innovation and workforce well-being. The findings are expected to contribute to the development of healthier digital work environments within Saudi healthcare institutions, ultimately supporting sustainable healthcare modernization and improved healthcare outcomes.

References

- Al-Hanawi, M.K., Khan, S.A. and Al-Borie, H.M., 2019. Healthcare human resource development in Saudi Arabia: Emerging challenges and opportunities. *Saudi Journal of Health Systems Research*, 1(1), pp.1–9.
- Al-Samarraie, H., Eldenfria, A. and Dawoud, H., 2020. The impact of digital health technologies on healthcare professionals' work stress: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 44(7), pp.1–12.
- Ball, K., 2010. Workplace surveillance: An overview. *Labor History*, 51(1), pp.87–106.
- Brod, C., 1984. *Technostress: The human cost of the computer revolution*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Bryman, A., 2016. *Social research methods*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Creswell, J.W. and Creswell, J.D., 2018. *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 5th ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Davis, F.D., 1989. Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), pp.319–340.
- Dewa, C.S., Loong, D., Bonato, S. and Trojanowski, L., 2014. The relationship between physician burnout and quality of healthcare in terms of safety and acceptability: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 4(7), pp.1–12.
- Field, A., 2018. *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. 5th ed. London: Sage Publications.
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J. and Anderson, R.E., 2019. *Multivariate data analysis*. 8th ed. Boston: Cengage Learning.
- Khalifa, M., 2019. E-health and digital transformation in the Arab world: Current status and future directions. *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics*, 262, pp.110–123.
- La Torre, G., Esposito, A., Sciarra, I. and Chiappetta, M., 2020. Definition, symptoms and risk of technostress: A systematic review. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 93(1), pp.13–35.
- Maslach, C. and Leiter, M.P., 2016. *Burnout: A multidimensional perspective*. New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Ragu-Nathan, T., Tarafdar, M., Ragu-Nathan, B.S. and Tu, Q., 2008. The consequences of technostress for end users in organizations: Conceptual development and empirical validation. *Information Systems Research*, 19(4), pp.417–433.

Shanafelt, T.D., Dyrbye, L.N., Sinsky, C., Hasan, O., Satele, D., Sloan, J. and West, C.P., 2016. Relationship between clerical burden and characteristics of the electronic environment with physician burnout and professional satisfaction. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 91(7), pp.836–848.

Tarafdar, M., Tu, Q., Ragu-Nathan, B.S. and Ragu-Nathan, T.S., 2007. The impact of technostress on role stress and productivity. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(1), pp.301–328.

Tarafdar, M., Cooper, C.L. and Stich, J.F., 2015. The technostress trifecta: Techno eustress, techno distress and design. *Information Systems Journal*, 29(1), pp.6–42.

Topp, C.W., Østergaard, S.D., Søndergaard, S. and Bech, P., 2015. The WHO-5 well-being index: A systematic review of the literature. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 84(3), pp.167–176.

World Health Organization, 2018. *WHO-5 Well-Being Index*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

World Health Organization, 2021. *Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025*. Geneva: World Health Organization.