

**Midocean University**



**Faculty of Management**

**Department/ Specialization Project Management**

# Remote Work and Employee Productivity in the Post-Pandemic Era

Student name

Khaled Alsaud

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Abdulraheem Nader

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

Date of submission – Second Semester 2025

## Table of Contents

|                                             |          |
|---------------------------------------------|----------|
| <b>1. Chapter 1: Introduction .....</b>     | <b>2</b> |
| <b>1.1. Research Background.....</b>        | <b>3</b> |
| <b>1.2. Research Problem .....</b>          | <b>4</b> |
| <b>1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps.....</b>         | <b>4</b> |
| <b>1.2.2. Practical Gaps.....</b>           | <b>4</b> |
| <b>1.3. Research Significance .....</b>     | <b>5</b> |
| <b>1.3.1. At the theoretical level.....</b> | <b>5</b> |
| <b>1.3.2. At the practical level.....</b>   | <b>5</b> |

# **1. Chapter 1: Introduction**

## 1.1. Research Background

The COVID-19 pandemic triggered one of the most significant transitions in workplace history, forcing many organizations to adopt remote work practices overnight. This shift was not merely logistical but cultural, altering the traditional paradigms of workplace productivity and collaboration. Historically, productivity has been measured by output per hour, often associated with visible presence in the office. However, remote work introduced new parameters, such as autonomy, flexibility, and reliance on digital tools. While some studies have shown increases in productivity, others highlight burnout, isolation, and diminished innovation due to reduced in-person interactions. The disparity in outcomes across industries, job functions, and employee demographics calls for a deeper examination of the long-term implications of remote work. This research will focus on understanding whether remote work environments foster or hinder productivity and how organizations can better structure remote work policies for sustainability. It will also evaluate factors such as job satisfaction, employee engagement, communication dynamics, and technological readiness as they relate to productivity.

## **1.2. Research Problem**

The rapid shift to remote work during the pandemic left many organizations unprepared for the long-term effects on productivity. Though initial studies reported improvements in employee output, these findings were often context-specific and lacked consistency across industries and geographies. This variability highlights a fundamental issue: there is no universal metric or framework for evaluating productivity in remote work settings.

### **1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps**

The literature lacks a unified theory that explains the diverse impacts of remote work on productivity. Most existing studies focus on isolated variables like communication frequency or individual performance, without addressing the broader interplay of organizational culture, digital tools, and employee well-being.

### **1.2.2. Practical Gaps**

Businesses often rely on trial-and-error methods to manage remote teams, leading to inconsistent results. There is a lack of standardized best practices that can be tailored to different organizational contexts.

Main Research Question: How has remote work affected employee productivity in the post-pandemic workplace?

## **1.3. Research Significance**

### **1.3.1. At the theoretical level**

This research will contribute to the theoretical understanding of remote work by proposing a conceptual framework that links work environment, digital tools, and productivity outcomes. It seeks to integrate perspectives from organizational behavior, psychology, and information systems.

### **1.3.2. At the practical level**

Findings from this study will help managers design effective remote work policies and performance evaluation metrics. It will provide empirical evidence to guide decision-making in remote workforce management.

## References

- Bloom, N., Liang, J., Roberts, J., & Ying, Z. J. (2015). Does working from home work? Evidence from a Chinese experiment. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 130(1), 165–218.
- Choudhury, P., Foroughi, C., & Larson, B. Z. (2021). Work-from-anywhere: The productivity effects of geographic flexibility. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(4), 655–683.
- Golden, T. D., Veiga, J. F., & Dino, R. N. (2008). The impact of professional isolation on teleworker job performance and turnover intentions: Does time spent teleworking, interacting face-to-face, or having access to communication-enhancing technology matter? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(6), 1412–1421.
- Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2015). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 16(2), 40–68.
- Gajendran, R. S., & Harrison, D. A. (2007). The good, the bad, and the unknown about telecommuting: Meta-analysis of psychological mediators and individual consequences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(6), 1524–1541.