

The review of each study is too superficial and requires greater critical depth. Ensure that each reviewed paper clearly presents the research objective, methodology, key findings, and limitations. There is no need to use explicit headings such as "Methodology" and "Findings." Instead, present these elements in one or two coherent, integrated paragraphs.

Research Methodology in Computer Science (AI800)

Assignment 1 Rubric

Weighting: 20%

15/23

13/20

Criteria	Marks	Description
Research Title	2 2	The research title is clear, concise, and directly relevant to the topic (2 marks).
Paper Selection	4 4	Six highly relevant and well-selected papers are reviewed (4 marks).
Paper Summaries	4 1	Comprehensive and accurate paper summaries (4 marks).
Critical Analysis	4 1	Comprehensive and insightful critical analysis (4 marks).
Table of Reviewed Papers	3 3	A well-organized table with minor omissions or inaccuracies (3 marks).
Synthesis of Information	3 1	Effective synthesis that connects findings across papers (3 marks).
Organization , Clarity and Grammar	3 3	Well-organized and clear structure (3 marks).
Plagiarism and AI Content Generator	2	- 0 marks: Clear evidence of plagiarism or the use of AI content generators is present.