

Midocean University

Faculty of Management

Bachelor of Project Management



The Effect of Different Leadership Styles on Performance in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: A Case Study on Employees of KAIHAN Company in Alkharj

Sahar Tariq Olaywah
University ID: 2021204964

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management – Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Mona Moustafa Elashry

Faculty Member – Faculty of Management – Midocean University

1st Semester – 2024

Table of Contents

1. Chapter One: Introduction	4
1.1 Introduction	4
1.2 Research Problem.....	7
1.3 Research Significance.....	9
1.4 Research Questions	11
1.5 Research Objectives	12
1.6 Research Hypotheses	13
1.7 Research Model.....	14
1.8 Empirical Study.....	15
1.9 Research Methodology.....	16
1.10 Suggested Project Structure.....	17
2. Chapter Two: Theoretical Framework	18
2.1 Introduction	18
2.2 Leadership Styles.....	18
2.2.1 Definition and Development	19
2.2.2 Theories and Dimensions.....	20
2.3 Organizational Performance	21
2.3.1 Definition and Development	21
2.3.2 Theories and Dimensions.....	22
2.4 The Relationship Between Leadership Styles and Organizational Performance.....	23
2.5 Conclusion.....	24
References.....	25

List of Figures

Figure 1: Research Model (Designed by Researcher).....	14
--	----

1. Chapter One: Introduction

1.1 Introduction

The relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance has been a subject of extensive research over the past decades. In the context of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), this relationship takes on additional significance due to the ongoing economic and social reforms under the Vision 2030 initiative. This study aims to explore how different leadership styles, namely transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire, influence the performance of organizations within KSA. Performance, in this context, is measured using key indicators such as employee productivity, financial outcomes, and overall organizational growth.

Previous studies have shown different results regarding the impact of various leadership styles on organizational performance. For example, Bass and Avolio (1994) highlighted that transformational leadership is often associated with higher levels of employee satisfaction and performance. Conversely, transactional leadership, which is more focused on reward and punishment, has been found to be effective in stable environments but less so in dynamic contexts (Givens, 2008). The laissez-faire leadership style, characterized by a hands-off approach, generally yields negative performance outcomes due to lack of guidance and support (Northouse, 2021).

Despite the fact that there are several studies conducted globally, there is a noticeable gap in studies focusing specifically on the Saudi Arabian context. The unique cultural, economic, and regulatory environment in KSA necessitates a closer examination of how leadership styles operate within this framework. Furthermore, the rapid changes brought about by Vision 2030 introduce new dynamics that traditional leadership theories may not fully capture.

This research addresses the gap by examining the effect of different leadership styles on performance in Saudi Arabian organizations. The study will employ a mixed-method approach, combining quantitative data from employee surveys with qualitative insights from previous literature regarding organizational leadership styles. By doing so, it aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how leadership practices impact organizational success in the Saudi context. A case study will be applied on a company in Alkharij province. The company that will be included in this study is KAIHAN Inc. This company is an IT-related company that adopts a sharing economy model for its operation. Its main scope is to provide rides based on orders made through a mobile application by users.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to inform leadership practices in Saudi Arabia. By identifying which leadership styles are most effective in enhancing performance, the study can guide leaders in adopting strategies that align with the cultural and organizational realities of KSA. Therefore, this can contribute to the broader goals of Vision 2030, which seeks to create a more dynamic and diversified economy.

1.2 Research Problem

The central research problem of this study is to understand how different leadership styles impact organizational performance in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. While there is extensive literature on leadership styles and their effects on performance, the specific context of Saudi Arabia presents unique challenges and opportunities that warrant a focused investigation.

Theoretical gaps exist in the understanding of how transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles influence performance outcomes in non-Western contexts. Much of the existing research is grounded in Western organizational settings, which may not fully capture the nuances of leadership dynamics in Saudi Arabia.

Empirical gaps are evident in the limited number of studies that have systematically examined leadership styles within Saudi organizations. This is particularly relevant given the ongoing transformations under Vision 2030, which necessitate adaptive and effective leadership to navigate the changes.

The main research question guiding this study is: *What is the effect of different leadership styles on organizational performance in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia?* Sub-questions include: To what extent do transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles affect employee productivity in KAIHAN Inc.? How do these leadership styles influence financial performance and overall organizational growth?

1.3 Research Significance

The significance of this research is multi-faceted. Theoretically, it contributes to the broader literature on leadership by providing insights from a non-Western context. By addressing the gaps in understanding the impact of leadership styles in Saudi Arabia, particularly in KAIHAN Inc., the study offers valuable additions to leadership theory and practice.

Empirically, the research provides data-driven evidence on the effectiveness of different leadership styles in Saudi organizations. This can inform practitioners and policymakers in developing leadership development programs and strategies that are culturally and contextually relevant.

Practically, the findings can help organizational leaders in Saudi Arabia adopt leadership styles that enhance performance and align with the goals of Vision 2030. By identifying the most effective leadership practices, the study can guide leaders in fostering a productive and dynamic organizational culture.

1.4 Research Questions

In order to provide a solution for this research problem. Research questions were developed. This research questions are as follows:

- What is the effect of transformational leadership style on employee productivity in KAIHAN Inc.?
- How does the transactional leadership style influence financial performance in KAIHAN Inc.?
- What is the impact of laissez-faire leadership style on overall organizational growth in KAIHAN Inc.?
- In what ways do leadership styles affect employee satisfaction and retention in KAIHAN Inc.?

1.5 Research Objectives

The main aim of this research project is to examine different leadership styles and their effect on productivity in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The main aim of this research will be achieved upon achieving the following objectives:

1. To assess the impact of transformational leadership on employee productivity in KAIHAN Inc.
2. To evaluate the influence of transactional leadership on the financial performance of KAIHAN Inc.
3. To investigate the impact of laissez-faire leadership on the overall organizational growth of KAIHAN Inc.
4. To determine the effect of leadership styles on employee satisfaction and retention in KAIHAN Inc.

5.

1.6 Research Hypotheses

The research hypothesis of this research project are as follows:

H1: There is a statistically significant relationship between transformational leadership and employee productivity in KAIIAN Inc.

H2: There is a statistically significant relationship between transactional leadership and financial performance in KAIIAN Inc.

H3: There is a statistically significant relationship between laissez-faire leadership and overall organizational growth in KAIIAN Inc.

H4: Leadership styles significantly affect employee satisfaction and retention in KAIIAN Inc.

1.7 Research Model

The following research model outlines the relationships between different leadership styles (transformational, transactional, laissez-faire) and organizational performance metrics (employee productivity, financial performance, organizational growth).




Figure 1: Research Model (Designed by Researcher)

1.8 Empirical Study

This empirical study will be conducted within KAIHAN Inc., an IT-related company in Alkharj that adopts a sharing economy model for its operations. The company provides ride services based on orders made through a mobile application by users. The study will involve collecting quantitative data through employee surveys and qualitative data through interviews with key organizational leaders.

The variables of interest include leadership styles (transformational, transactional, laissez-faire), and organizational performance metrics (employee productivity, financial performance, organizational growth).

1.9 Research Methodology

The research methodology for this study follows the 'research onion' model, which consists of six layers:

1. Research Philosophy: Positivism
2. Research Approach: Deductive
3. Research Strategy: Survey
4. Research Choice: Quantitative
5. Time Horizon: Cross-sectional
6. Data Collection Techniques: Questionnaires

The positivist philosophy aligns with the quantitative nature of the study, focusing on objective measurements and statistical analysis. A deductive approach will be used to test the hypotheses derived from existing theories. The survey strategy involves collecting data from a large sample of employees in KAIHAN Inc. The choice of quantitative methods ensures the collection of measurable and comparable data. The cross-sectional time horizon allows for data collection at a single point in time, providing a snapshot of the current state of the variables of interest.

1.10 Suggested Project Structure

The project will be structured as follows:

1. Chapter 1: Introduction
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review
3. Chapter 3: Research Methodology
4. Chapter 4: Statistical Analysis
5. Chapter 5: Findings, Discussion, and Conclusion

2. Chapter Two: Theoretical Framework

2.1 Introduction

The literature review provides a comprehensive examination of the key research and theories related to the impact of leadership styles on organizational performance. Leadership styles play a critical role in shaping organizational outcomes, influencing factors such as employee productivity, financial performance, and overall organizational growth. This chapter will explore the primary leadership styles—transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire—and their respective impacts on organizational performance, with a particular focus on the context of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). The review will also analyze the relationship between these leadership styles and performance outcomes, drawing on both global and region-specific studies. The chapter concludes with a discussion of the implications for future research.

2.2 Leadership Styles

Leadership styles refer to the distinct approaches that leaders adopt to influence, guide, and manage their teams. The three primary leadership styles that are frequently discussed in the literature are transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership.

2.2.1 Definition and Development

Transformational Leadership is defined as a leadership style that seeks to inspire and motivate employees to exceed their own self-interests for the sake of the organization (Bass, 1985). Transformational leaders are characterized by their ability to create a compelling vision, foster an environment of trust, and encourage innovation (Bass & Avolio, 1994).

Transactional Leadership focuses on the establishment of clear structures, where rewards and punishments are used to motivate followers (Burns, 1978). This leadership style is more directive and emphasizes the fulfillment of tasks and adherence to established processes.

Laissez-faire Leadership is characterized by a hands-off approach, where the leader provides minimal direction and allows employees to make decisions independently (Skogstad, et al., 2007). This leadership style is often criticized for leading to lower productivity and a lack of accountability.

2.2.2 Theories and Dimensions

Transformational Leadership Theories: The foundational theory was developed by Burns (1978) and further refined by Bass (1985), who identified four key components: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration.

Transactional Leadership Theories: This style is based on the principles of contingent reward and management by exception, where leaders focus on performance monitoring and corrective action (Avolio & Bass, 2004).

Laissez-faire Leadership Theories: Research by Skogstad et al. (2007) highlights the detrimental effects of laissez-faire leadership, particularly its association with role ambiguity, decreased job satisfaction, and increased workplace stress.

2.3 Organizational Performance

Organizational performance is a multifaceted concept that includes various metrics such as employee productivity, financial outcomes, and overall organizational growth. The effectiveness of leadership styles can significantly influence these performance indicators.

2.3.1 Definition and Development

Employee Productivity: Refers to the efficiency and effectiveness with which employees perform their tasks and contribute to the organization's goals (De Waal & Sivo, 2012). Productivity is often measured by output per employee, quality of work, and adherence to deadlines.

Financial Performance: Includes financial metrics such as profitability, revenue growth, and return on investment (ROI) (Kaplan & Norton, 1992). Financial performance is a critical indicator of an organization's overall health and sustainability.

Organizational Growth: Involves the expansion of the organization's market share, customer base, and operational capabilities (Penrose, 1959). Growth is often measured through metrics such as market share, sales growth, and employee headcount.

2.3.2 Theories and Dimensions

Balanced Scorecard Approach: Developed by Kaplan & Norton (1992), the Balanced Scorecard is a strategic planning and management system used to align business activities with the vision and strategy of the organization. It includes financial measures, customer knowledge, internal business processes, and learning and growth perspectives.

Performance Measurement Theories: Various frameworks for assessing organizational performance have been developed, including Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and benchmarking (Neely, 2005).

2.4 The Relationship Between Leadership Styles and Organizational Performance

The relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance has been extensively studied, with findings indicating that different leadership styles can have varying impacts on performance metrics.

- Research has shown that transformational leadership is generally associated with higher levels of employee satisfaction, productivity, and overall organizational performance (Avolio & Bass, 2004; Bass, 1985). For example, a study by Judge & Piccolo (2004) found that transformational leadership was positively correlated with performance across various organizational settings.
- There is ongoing debate regarding the effectiveness of transactional leadership, particularly in dynamic environments where innovation is key (Bass & Riggio, 2006). While transactional leadership may be effective in stable environments, its rigid structure can hinder adaptability and creativity.

-
- Despite the extensive research on leadership styles, there is a notable gap in studies focusing on the Saudi Arabian context. The unique cultural and regulatory factors in KSA may influence the effectiveness of different leadership styles in ways that are not fully captured by existing theories (Ali & Sabri, 2020).

2.5 Conclusion

The literature review highlights the complexity of the relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance. Transformational leadership is often the most effective in driving positive outcomes, particularly in dynamic environments. However, transactional leadership also plays a critical role in maintaining stability and ensuring task completion. Laissez-faire leadership, while generally viewed negatively, can be appropriate in certain contexts where employee autonomy is valued. Future research should focus on exploring these relationships in different cultural contexts, particularly in Saudi Arabia, where cultural and regulatory factors may present unique challenges and opportunities. The findings of this study will contribute to the existing body of knowledge by providing insights into how leadership styles can be optimized to enhance organizational performance in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

References

- Ali, A. J. & Sabri, H. A., 2020. *Leadership and Culture in the Middle East*. s.l.:Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Avolio, B. J. & Bass, B. M., 2004. *Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire*. s.l.:Mind Garden.
- Bass, B. M., 1985. *Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations*. s.l.:Free Press.
- Bass, B. M. & Avolio, B. J., 1994. *Improving Organizational Effectiveness through Transformational Leadership*. s.l.:Sage Publications.
- Bass, B. M. & Riggio, R. E., 2006. *Transformational Leadership*. s.l.:Psychology Press.
- Burns, J. M., 1978. *Leadership*. s.l.:Harper & Row.
- De Waal, A. A. & Sivo, M., 2012. The Relation Between Various Leadership Styles and Performance Management Systems in Mideastern Firms. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23(12), pp. 2625-2647.
- Givens, R., 2008. Transformational Leadership: The Impact on Organizational and Personal Outcomes. *Emerging Leadership Journeys*, 1(1), pp. 4-24.
- Judge, T. A. & Piccolo, R. F., 2004. Transformational and Transactional Leadership: A Meta-Analytic Test of Their Relative Validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), pp. 755-768.
- Kaplan, R. S. & Norton, D. P., 1992. The Balanced Scorecard—Measures that Drive Performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 70(1), pp. 71-79.
- Neely, A., 2005. The Evolution of Performance Measurement Research: Developments in the Last Decade and a Research Agenda for the Next. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(12), pp. 1264-1277.
- Northouse, P. G., 2021. *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. s.l.:SAGE Publications.
- Penrose, E. T., 1959. *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm*. s.l.:Basil Blackwell.
- Skogstad, A. et al., 2007. The Destructiveness of Laissez-faire Leadership Behavior. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 12(1), pp. 80-92.