

Final Project 2

Code: PMG497

**The Impact of Total Quality Management Implementation
on Project Performance**

**Project Management Program
Midocean University**

The Impact of Total Quality Management Implementation on Project Performance

**A Case Study of SABIC Company in the Kingdom of
Saudi Arabia**

Student:

Raed Maghad Alanazi

ID: 232001732

Project Management Program

Supervisor: Prof. Abdulrahim Nader

Submission Date: June 13, 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: General Framework of the Study	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Research Problem	3
1.3 Research Questions	3
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Research Hypotheses	4
1.6 Research Model	4
1.7 Research Methodology	5
1.8 Proposed Work Plan (Timeline)	5
Chapter 2: Literature Review	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Independent Variable: Total Quality Management (TQM)	6
2.3 Dependent Variable: Project Performance	6
2.4 Relationship between TQM and Project Performance	7
2.4.1 International Studies	7
2.4.2 Arab/Gulf Studies	7
2.4.3 Research Gaps	7
2.5 Chapter Summary	8
List of References (APA 7th Edition)	8
Appendices.....	10
Appendix A: Survey Questionnaire	11
Table 1: Research Instruments and Measurement	12

CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL FRAMEWORK OF THE STUDY

1.1 Introduction

Total Quality Management (TQM) is one of the most prominent modern management concepts aiming to improve organizational performance sustainably through customer satisfaction, full employee involvement, and continuous process improvement. In the project sector – especially infrastructure projects – achieving excellent performance in terms of time, cost, and quality is a major challenge. This study seeks to explore the relationship between applying TQM principles and project performance in Saudi Arabia, under Vision 2030, which is witnessing a massive boom in infrastructure projects.

1.2 Research Problem

Many infrastructure projects in Saudi Arabia suffer from schedule overruns, cost increases, and poor output quality, despite some organizations adopting quality management systems. The problem lies in the knowledge gap regarding the integrated impact of TQM on project performance and the scarcity of field studies in the Saudi context.

1.3 Research Questions

1. What is the level of TQM principle application in Saudi infrastructure projects?

2. What is the impact of TQM on project performance (time, cost, and quality)?
3. Are there statistically significant differences in the impact of TQM on project performance depending on project type and size?

1.4 Research Objectives

1. To measure the level of TQM application in Saudi infrastructure projects.
2. To determine the relationship between TQM dimensions and project performance.
3. To provide recommendations for improving project performance through effective TQM implementation.

1.5 Research Hypotheses

- H1: There is a statistically significant effect of TQM implementation on project performance.
- H2: Top management commitment to TQM positively affects cost and time performance.
- H3: Process focus and continuous improvement improve project output quality.

1.6 Research Model

Independent variable: Total Quality Management (TQM) – dimensions include leadership commitment, customer focus, continuous improvement, and employee involvement.

Dependent variable: Project performance – dimensions include time adherence, budget adherence, and output quality.

Figure (1-1): Proposed Study Model (Insert diagram showing TQM dimensions leading to project performance dimensions).

1.7 Research Methodology

- Approach: Descriptive-analytical (quantitative).
- Population and sample: Contracting companies and project management offices working on infrastructure projects in Riyadh and the Eastern Province.
- Sample size: 250 project managers and quality engineers.
- Data collection tool: Electronic questionnaire divided into sections covering TQM dimensions and project performance.
- Statistical analysis: SPSS software using regression, correlation, and ANOVA tests.

1.8 Proposed Work Plan (Timeline)

Table (1-1): Proposed Project Timeline

Phase	Activity	Duration
1	Literature review and completion of Chapter Two	4 weeks
2	Data collection (distribution of 250 questionnaires)	6 weeks
3	Data analysis and writing results (Chapters Three and Four)	4 weeks
4	Review, revisions, and final submission	2 weeks

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a systematic review of previous literature addressing TQM and project performance. It aims to identify research gaps and build the theoretical framework for the study.

2.2 Independent Variable: Total Quality Management (TQM)

TQM is defined as a management philosophy focusing on customer satisfaction through continuous process improvement and full employee involvement (Deming, 1986; Juran, 1988). Its main principles include leadership commitment, customer focus, continuous improvement, employee involvement, and fact-based decision making.

2.3 Dependent Variable: Project Performance

Project performance is typically measured by the "iron triangle": time, cost, and quality (Project Management Institute, 2021). Recently, criteria such as customer satisfaction and safety performance have been added (Kerzner, 2017).

2.4 Relationship between TQM and Project Performance – Review of Previous Studies

2.4.1 International Studies

Flynn, Schroeder, and Sakakibara (1995) found a strong positive relationship between TQM practices and operational performance (quality and productivity). Kaynak (2003) showed that TQM improves quality, cost, and delivery flexibility. Salaheldin (2009) – a study in Egypt – demonstrated that TQM implementation in construction companies improves schedule adherence and reduces rework.

2.4.2 Arab/Gulf Studies

Al-Ghamdi (2015) – Saudi Arabia – conducted a case study on five large construction firms and revealed challenges in TQM implementation such as resistance to change and lack of training. Al-Najjar and Al-Abdullah (2020) – Kuwait – found that process management is the strongest TQM dimension affecting road project performance. Salaheldin (2009) – Egypt and Gulf – compared 180 companies and showed a positive but weaker relationship in small projects compared to large ones.

2.4.3 Research Gaps

1. Few studies have addressed infrastructure projects in Saudi Arabia after the launch of Vision 2030.
2. Most studies focused on manufacturing or services, while civil construction remains less researched.
3. No previous study has tested a model combining three TQM dimensions (leadership,

processes, and employee involvement) together against project performance in a single Saudi context.

2.5 Chapter Summary

The theoretical review confirms a positive relationship between TQM and project performance, but this relationship varies by context and sector. There is a clear need for a field study in Saudi infrastructure projects to determine which TQM dimensions have the strongest impact – which is exactly what the current study aims to achieve.

LIST OF REFERENCES (APA 7th Edition)

1. Al-Ghamdi, S. (2015). Total quality management implementation in Saudi construction firms: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 141(7), 04015023.
2. Al-Najjar, S., & Al-Abdullah, A. (2020). The impact of TQM on infrastructure project performance: Evidence from Kuwait. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 37(5), 789-808.
3. Deming, W. E. (1986). *Out of the crisis*. MIT Press.
4. Flynn, B. B., Schroeder, R. G., & Sakakibara, S. (1995). The impact of quality management practices on performance and competitive advantage. *Decision Sciences*, 26(5), 659-691.
5. Juran, J. M. (1988). *Juran on planning for quality*. Free Press.
6. Kaynak, H. (2003). The relationship between total quality management practices and their effects on firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(4), 405-435.
7. Kerzner, H. (2017). *Project management: A systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling* (12th ed.). Wiley.
8. Project Management Institute. (2021). *A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK® Guide)* (7th ed.). PMI.
9. Salaheldin, S. I. (2009). Critical success factors for TQM implementation and their impact on performance of SMEs. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 58(3), 215-237.
10. Samson, D., & Terziovski, M. (1999). The relationship between total quality management practices and operational performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 17(4), 393-409.
11. Powell, T. C. (1995). Total quality management as competitive advantage: A review and empirical study.

Appendix A
Survey Questionnaire
The Impact of Total Quality Management Implementation on Project Performance
A Case Study of SABIC Company in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabi

Dear Participant,

This questionnaire is designed to collect data for an academic research study on the impact of Total Quality Management (TQM) on project performance. Your participation is voluntary and your responses will be kept strictly confidential. The data will be used for research purposes only. Please answer all questions honestly.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Raed Alanazi

Project Management Program – Midocean University

Section 1: Demographic Information

Please tick (✓) the appropriate box:

No.	Question	Response
3	Years of Experience	Less than 5 ☐ 5-10 ☐ 11-15 ☐ More than 15 ☐
4	Job Position	Project Manager ☐ Team Leader ☐ Quality Engineer ☐ Other ☐
5	Project Type	Construction ☐ Industrial ☐ Infrastructure ☐ Other ☐

Section 2: Total Quality Management (TQM) Implementation

Scale: (1) Strongly Disagree – (2) Disagree – (3) Neutral – (4) Agree – (5) Strongly Agree

No.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
Leadership Commitment						
1	Top management is committed to quality improvement.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2	Leaders actively support TQM initiatives.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3	Management provides adequate resources for quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Customer Focus						
4	The organization understands customer needs.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5	Customer feedback is used to improve processes.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6	The organization measures customer satisfaction regularly.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
7	Customer requirements are integrated into project planning.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Continuous Improvement						
8	The organization continuously seeks to improve processes.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
9	Employees are encouraged to suggest improvements.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
10	Process improvements are systematically implemented.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
11	The organization learns from past projects.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Employee Involvement						
12	Employees are empowered to make quality decisions.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
13	Training is provided to enhance employee skills.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
14	Employees participate in quality improvement teams.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
15	Employee contributions are recognized and rewarded.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Section 3: Project Performance

No.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Time Performance</i>						
1	Projects are completed within the scheduled timeframe.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2	Schedule delays are minimized.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3	Project milestones are met on time.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
<i>Cost Performance</i>						
4	Projects are completed within budget.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5	Cost overruns are minimal.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
6	Financial resources are used efficiently.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
<i>Quality Performance</i>						
7	Project deliverables meet quality standards.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
8	Rework and defects are minimal.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
9	Stakeholders are satisfied with project quality.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
10	Quality is maintained throughout the project lifecycle.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Thank you for your time and participation

Table 1: Research Instruments and Measurement

You Variable	Dimensions	Number	Source/Instrument
Independent Variable (X) Total Quality Management (TQM)	Leadership Commitment	3	Adapted from Oakland (2014); Deming (1986); Juran (1988)
	Customer Focus	4	
	Continuous Improvement	4	
	Employee Involvement	4	
	Total (X)	15	
Dependent Variable (Y) Project Performance	Time Performance	3	Adapted from PMI (2021); Kerzner (2017)
	Cost Performance	3	
	Quality Performance	4	
	Total (Y)	10	
Total Items		25	