

For each reviewed study, there is no need to use separate subheadings such as “Critical Analysis” or “Research Gap.” Instead, integrate the summary, critical analysis, and identified research gaps into one or two coherent paragraphs.  
Ensure that an appropriate in-text citation is provided for each reviewed study.  
The summary table of the reviewed studies is missing and should be added.

**Research Methodology in Computer Science (AI800)**

**Assignment 1 Rubric**

**Weighting:** 20%

17/23

15/20

| Criteria                            | Marks  | Description                                                                             |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Research Title                      | 2<br>1 | The research title is clear, concise, and directly relevant to the topic (2 marks).     |
| Paper Selection                     | 4<br>4 | Six highly relevant and well-selected papers are reviewed (4 marks).                    |
| Paper Summaries                     | 4<br>3 | Comprehensive and accurate paper summaries (4 marks).                                   |
| Critical Analysis                   | 4<br>3 | Comprehensive and insightful critical analysis (4 marks).                               |
| Table of Reviewed Papers            | 3<br>1 | A well-organized table with minor omissions or inaccuracies (3 marks).                  |
| Synthesis of Information            | 3<br>2 | Effective synthesis that connects findings across papers (3 marks).                     |
| Organization , Clarity and Grammar  | 3<br>3 | Well-organized and clear structure (3 marks).                                           |
| Plagiarism and AI Content Generator | 2      | - 0 marks: Clear evidence of plagiarism or the use of AI content generators is present. |