

Midocean University
College of Media and Marketing
Master of Digital Marketing



The Impact of Gamified Reward Mechanisms on Player Loyalty and In-Game
Spending: A Field Study

Prepared by:
Mohammed Hassan Alzahrani

Supervised by:
Dr. Mohammed Ewais

Year 2025

Table of Contents:

Introduction:.....	3
Literature Review:.....	4
References:.....	12

Introduction:

Literature Review:

This literature review examines how reward systems function as a core element of gamified digital marketing strategies, influencing player engagement and in-game purchasing behavior. The concept of gamification has expanded beyond video games to include diverse marketing applications such as mobile loyalty programs, brand engagement campaigns, and app-based reward systems. Despite extensive studies on gamification in general, there remains limited research that links reward systems directly to measurable marketing outcomes such as engagement duration and consumer spending.

Accordingly, this review synthesizes both foundational and recent studies, organized into two main axes:

- **Axis 1: Studies on Gamified Reward Mechanisms**

- **Axis 2: Studies on Player Loyalty and In-Game Spending**

Axis 1: Studies on Gamified Reward Mechanisms:

This section reviews studies that investigate reward Mechanisms as a fundamental component of gamified marketing. These studies examine how mechanisms such as points, badges, achievements, and loot boxes influence user motivation and behavioral engagement across both gaming and marketing contexts.

Deterding et al. (2011) examined the early foundations of gamification and explained how game design elements such as points, badges, and leaderboards motivate user behavior. They conducted conceptual analysis to identify how these reward systems stimulate intrinsic motivation. Their work defined the concept of “gamefic design” and highlighted its applicability beyond entertainment contexts, laying the foundation for later research on reward systems in marketing.

Hamari et al. (2014) conducted a comprehensive review of gamification studies across multiple domains. They compared results from empirical experiments and surveys, concluding that reward systems enhance motivation and engagement. Their findings also indicated that the effectiveness of rewards depends heavily on the type of user and task design, achieved through synthesis of numerous published studies.

Werbach and Hunter (2012) explored the integration of reward systems into business and marketing strategies. Using multiple case analyses, they argued that well-structured reward systems promote sustainable engagement, while superficial ones may lead to temporary motivation. Their conclusions were derived from real-world examples and theoretical reasoning.

Zendle and Cairns (2018) investigated the behavioral connection between loot boxes—randomized reward mechanisms—and gambling. They conducted a large-scale survey and found a significant relationship between loot box spending and problem gambling. The researchers achieved these results by applying correlational analyses across diverse gamer samples.

Wardle et al. (2021) expanded on this by examining young adults' experiences with loot boxes and gambling-related symptoms. Their national survey revealed that frequent loot box purchasers were more likely to show gambling tendencies. They achieved these findings through quantitative analysis of survey data, contributing to the ongoing discussion of ethical reward design.

McCaffrey (2023) reviewed multiple studies addressing loot boxes and their psychological impacts. By synthesizing existing empirical and policy research, he concluded that randomized rewards increase compulsive spending behavior. The researcher achieved these conclusions by critically evaluating previous methodological designs.

Kim et al. (2023) conducted a scoping review summarizing more than forty studies on chance-based rewards. They found that randomized rewards generate short-term engagement but can lead to negative behavioral outcomes. The study achieved these results through a systematic mapping of published literature across disciplines.

Liao et al. (2024) explored how gamified marketing elements affect purchase intention through perceived brand coolness and time poverty. They surveyed over six hundred consumers and found that gamified rewards enhanced purchase intention, particularly when consumers perceived brands as engaging and modern. The results was achieved using regression analysis to examine moderating effects of time scarcity.

Lee et al. (2025) analyzed the role of monetization and retention strategies in Korean mobile games. They examined the top 40 titles through gameplay observation and content analysis, discovering that fair and transparent reward systems improved long-term retention. Their results were achieved through observational data and thematic coding of monetization design patterns.

Axis 2: Studies on Player Loyalty and In-Game Spending:

The second section reviews research focusing on the behavioral outcomes of gamified marketing, particularly player engagement, loyalty and purchasing behavior. These studies explore how reward-based systems sustain user interest, encourage repeated participation, and influence spending habits.

Yee (2006) developed a taxonomy of player motivations through large-scale online surveys, identifying achievement, social, and immersion factors that predict engagement levels. The study used factor analysis, providing tools which Identified motivations that sustain player loyalty and continued play.

Flunger et al. (2017) examined monetization patterns in free-to-play games using player telemetry data. They found that players who regularly engage with daily rewards and events are more likely to convert to paying users. Their results were obtained through statistical comparison of behavioral cohorts.

Zendle (2020) investigated the relationship between loot box purchases and problematic spending behavior. Using regression analysis, he found that players who frequently purchase loot boxes show stronger gambling-like tendencies. The study provided evidence linking reward mechanisms to excessive in-game spending.

Wardle (2021) focused on the impact of loot box engagement on playtime and spending among young adults. Her research revealed that increased spending on randomized rewards correlates with longer playtime and more purchases. These findings were achieved through cross-sectional survey and statistical trend analysis.

Paavilainen et al. (2017) analyzed how engagement mechanics like daily challenges influence monetization. Their mixed-method approach combining player analytics and surveys showed that limited-time events encourage repeated sessions and higher spending. They achieved their conclusions through event-based data evaluation.

Lorriane (2024) examined how randomized rewards and loot boxes affect player spending and satisfaction. Using a combination of surveys and interviews, she found that chance-based systems increase excitement and short-term engagement but can lead to frustration. These results were achieved through both quantitative and thematic analyses.

A study conducted in British Columbia (2025) explored how engagement with loot boxes influences gambling tendencies over six months. Participants included non-gamblers and gamblers aged 19–25, and results showed that loot box engagement increased gambling behaviors over time. The study achieved these results through longitudinal survey design and statistical change analysis.

Zhou et al. (2025) investigated gamified affordances in livestreaming commerce and found that reward visuals and achievements enhance user interaction and purchase intent. Using survey data from Chinese consumers, they demonstrated that gamified engagement tools increase participation. The results were achieved through regression modeling and mediation analysis.

Critical Analysis and Research Gap:

The existing research provides a substantial foundation for understanding the motivational role of reward mechanisms within gamified environments.

However, most prior studies have primarily focused on the psychological and design aspects of gamification, such as player motivation, user experience, and behavioral reinforcement, rather than exploring its strategic marketing implications. Foundational contributions, including Deterding et al. (2011) and Hamari et al. (2014), defined gamification as a behavioral design tool but did not assess how reward structures translate into measurable marketing outcomes like customer loyalty, engagement frequency, or purchasing behavior.

While subsequent studies, such as those by Zendle and Cairns (2018) and McCaffrey (2023), deepened understanding of the behavioral and ethical effects of reward systems, they continued to examine the issue largely through the lens of addiction, enjoyment, or game mechanics. As a result, limited attention has been given to how reward systems function as marketing performance drivers—tools that can influence purchasing decisions, brand interaction, and customer retention within broader digital strategies.

Furthermore, nearly all existing research has been conducted in Western or East Asian markets, leaving a clear regional gap regarding Saudi Arabia and the Gulf region. These markets have distinctive cultural, social, and economic characteristics that shape consumer attitudes toward competition, achievement, and digital engagement. With Saudi Arabia's ongoing digital transformation under Vision 2030, the expansion of its gaming and e-commerce sectors underscores the need for localized research.

Therefore, this study seeks to extend the current literature by examining reward Mechanisms not merely as engagement mechanics but as strategic marketing instruments capable of influencing player engagement, loyalty and purchasing behavior in the Saudi digital marketplace. By integrating behavioral insights with marketing analysis, the research aims to generate empirical evidence that supports more effective, ethical, and culturally relevant gamified marketing practices.

References:

Deterding, S., Sicart, M., Nacke, L., O'Hara, K., & Dixon, D. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: defining gamification. MindTrek Conference Proceedings. [Google Scholar](#)

Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does gamification work? – A literature review of empirical studies. 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2014.377>

Werbach, K., & Hunter, D. (2012). For the Win: How Game Thinking Can Revolutionize Your Business. [Google Scholar](#)

Zendle, D., & Cairns, P. (2018). Video game loot boxes are linked to problem gambling. PLOS ONE. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206767>

Wardle, H., et al. (2021). Loot boxes, gambling, and problem gambling among young adults. Behavioral Addictions Journal. [Google Scholar](#)

McCaffrey, M. (2023). Loot boxes, problem gambling, and problem gaming: A critical review of the emerging literature. Addictive Behaviors Reports. [Google Scholar](#)

Kim, H. S., et al. (2023). A scoping review of the association between loot boxes and related harms. Frontiers in Psychology. [Google Scholar](#)

Liao, Y., Zhou, F., Chen, Y., & Wu, Y. J. (2024). How does gamification improve purchase intention? Behavioral Sciences, 14(12), 1226. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-328X/14/12/1226>

Lee, H. J., Imteyaz, K., & Savage, S. (2025). Playing to pay: Interplay of monetization and retention strategies in Korean mobile gaming. arXiv preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.10714>

Yee, N. (2006). Motivations for play in online games. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 9(6), 772–775. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.2006.9.772>

Flunger, R., Mladenow, A., & Strauss, C. (2017). The free-to-play business model. *Procedia Computer Science*, 121, 346–353. [Google Scholar](#)

Zendle, D. (2020). Paying for loot boxes is linked to problem gambling. *Addictive Behaviors*. [Google Scholar](#)

Lorriane, S. (2024). Examining the influence of loot boxes and randomized rewards on player spending habits and gaming experience. EasyChair Preprint. <https://easychair.org/publications/preprint/LdW7>

A longitudinal replication study testing migration from video game loot boxes to gambling in British Columbia, Canada. (2025). *BMC Psychology*. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s40359-025-02766-1>

Zhou, F., et al. (2025). Play to participate: Effects of gamification affordances on consumer participation in livestreaming commerce. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 20(2), 84. <https://www.mdpi.com/0718-1876/20/2/84>