

Final Project 1

Code: MGM 496-PMG496

The Impact of Remote Work on the Productivity of the Differences

Faculty of Management
Midocean University

Midocean University

Faculty of Management

Department / Specialization



The Impact of Remote Work on the Productivity of the Differences

Student name

Faisal Ayed Alshammari ID: 222000814

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Abdulrahim Nader

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

Date of submission – **30.10.2025** number of Semester **3rd 2025**

تأثير العمل عن بعد على الإنتاجية: دراسة تحليلية للفروقات

أسم الطالب

فيصل عايد الشمري رقم الطالب -222000814

مشروع تخرج- 1

كلية الإدارة- جامعة ميدأوشن

إشراف

د. عبد الرحيم نادر

عضو هيئة التدريس- كلية الإدارة- جامعة ميدأوشن

التاريخ - 30.10.2025 الفصل الدراسي الثالث

The Impact of Remote Work on the Productivity of the Differences	1
1. Chapter 1: Introduction	5
1.1. Research Background	6
1.2. Research Problem	8
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	9
1.2.2. Practical Gaps	9
1.3. Research Significance	10
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	10
1.3.2. At the practical level	11
1.4. Research Questions	11
1.5. Research Objectives	11
1.6. Research Hypotheses	12
1.7. Research Model	12
1.8. Research Methodology	13
1.9. Scope of The Study	13
1.10. The Suggested Project Structure	14
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review	15
2.1. Introduction	16
2.2. The Independent Variables: Factors Influencing Remote Work Efficacy	17
2.2.1. The Nature of the Work Ecosystem	17
2.2.2. The Individual Employee	18
2.2.3. The Organizational Ecosystem	19
2.3. The Dependent Variable: Employee Productivity	20
2.4. The Relationship Between Remote Work Factors and Productivity	21
2.5. Conclusion	21
References	22

List of Tables

Table 1- Summary of Key Studies on Remote Work and Productivity.....17

Table 2- Key Independent Variables Influencing Remote Work Efficacy.....18

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

The professional work landscape within the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) has experienced a profound shift during the 21st century, transitioning from a business culture deeply embedded in physical co-location to one increasingly characterized by digital flexibility. Before the global health crisis of the early 2020s, remote work was an uncommon practice in the region. The dominant business culture valued in-person interaction and a relationship-centric approach, with management practices founded on traditional hierarchies and direct oversight. As a result, flexible work arrangements were scarce; prior to the pandemic, fewer than 15% of Gulf-based organizations had instituted formal policies for flexible work. In the UAE, for example, remote work was available to a very small segment of the workforce, with adoption rates as low as 13% in Dubai and 18% in Abu Dhabi. This limited uptake was reinforced by significant cultural and operational hurdles, including managerial distrust, cybersecurity apprehensions, and underdeveloped information and communication technology (ICT) infrastructure.

The COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 served as an unprecedented catalyst, rapidly dismantling these established norms. To maintain operational continuity amidst lockdowns and public health mandates, businesses across the Gulf were compelled to transition to remote work. This was not a measured evolution but a sudden, mass-scale experiment, forcing approximately 60% of organizations in the region to adopt some form of remote operations. The change was stark: in Dubai, the share of employees working remotely surged from 13% to over 62%, while Abu Dhabi saw an increase from 18% to over 51%. What began as a temporary measure for survival has since cemented itself as a lasting and defining characteristic of the modern workplace in the UAE, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), and the wider GCC.

In the current post-pandemic environment, the workplace is best understood as a spectrum of modalities. The hybrid model, which combines remote and in-office work, has become the most prevalent structure, adopted by over 44% of organizations in the region. This is followed closely by fully in-office arrangements at nearly 42%. This new reality is strongly supported by a decisive shift in employee expectations. In the UAE, an overwhelming 90% of the workforce now indicates a preference for either hybrid or fully remote work environments. This desire for flexibility has become a critical factor in the labor market; a LinkedIn survey found that 70% of professionals in the UAE and KSA would contemplate resigning if required to return to the office full-time, underscoring flexibility's role in talent retention. This is further corroborated by a Bayt.com survey, which revealed that 72% of professionals across the MENA region view flexibility as a crucial element when assessing job opportunities.

The endurance of these new work models is driven by a convergence of perceived advantages for both employers and employees. From an organizational standpoint, many businesses have identified concrete benefits. A regional survey indicated that employers point to improved work-life balance (53.17%), enhanced employee well-being (51.22%), and increased productivity (26.83%) as primary benefits of the hybrid model. The productivity claim is reinforced by a Mercer study where 94% of businesses in Saudi Arabia reported that productivity levels were either maintained or improved during the shift to remote work. Employees share this view, with over 67% in the UAE reporting that they are more productive when working away from the office. Economically, the advantages are also significant. Over 35% of employers in the Middle East have achieved cost savings on real estate, and many are using remote work to tap into a broader, more diverse talent pool—a key advantage in a competitive market.

This workplace evolution is not merely a corporate-level trend; it is deeply aligned with the national strategic visions of the Gulf's leading economies. Both the UAE and KSA have launched ambitious programs that actively foster the infrastructure and policies required for a flexible, digitally-powered workforce. In Saudi Arabia, the growth of remote work is

in perfect alignment with the core objectives of Vision 2030, which prioritizes economic diversification, digital transformation, and inclusive talent development. The Saudi government has supported this vision with tangible measures, such as launching an official Remote Work Program to regulate and promote this employment model and investing approximately \$15 billion in ICT since 2017 to establish a premier digital infrastructure. These initiatives are aimed at expanding the digital economy, fostering a more sustainable work culture, and boosting labor force participation, especially for women and individuals residing outside major cities.

The UAE has similarly been proactive in establishing itself as a global hub for remote work. The government has modernized its labor laws to officially recognize a variety of flexible work patterns, including remote, hybrid, and job-sharing models, for both public and private sectors. This legislative framework is a cornerstone of the UAE's National Employment Strategy 2031, which seeks to build a knowledge-based economy by empowering labor productivity and attracting global talent. The UAE's Minister of State for Artificial Intelligence, Digital Economy and Remote Work Applications has affirmed this direction, stating, "Remote work is now a main way of work and not an option," which signifies a clear, high-level endorsement of this new paradigm. This commitment is backed by substantial investment, with the country's ICT spending projected to hit \$23 billion by 2024, ensuring a robust technological foundation for a distributed workforce. While some regional analysts foresee an eventual return to a full five-day office week, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), the powerful momentum from employees, forward-thinking companies, and national governments indicates that remote and hybrid models are integral to the future of work in the Gulf.

1.2. Research Problem

The widespread adoption of remote and hybrid work models has generated conflicting evidence regarding their impact on employee productivity. Globally, scholars have presented mixed results, leaving the fundamental question of whether telecommuting enhances or diminishes performance largely unanswered (Gajendran & Harrison, 2007).¹ This "productivity paradox" lies at the heart of strategic decisions by organizations worldwide, including the rapidly evolving economies of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC). While some studies in the region report increased productivity, others highlight significant challenges, such as a lack of trust from management and difficulties in monitoring performance remotely.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

The existing body of research, while extensive, often suffers from two key limitations. First, many studies examine influencing factors in isolation, providing deep insight into one variable (e.g., work-life balance or management support) but failing to account for its interaction with others. Second, the field is characterized by methodological inconsistencies, with conflicting results often stemming from different definitions and measures of "productivity." This leads to a significant research gap: there is a lack of comprehensive, multi-dimensional quantitative models that simultaneously assess the relative influence and potential interactions of individual, task-related, and organizational factors on employees' *perceived* productivity within the specific cultural and economic context of the GCC.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

For business leaders in the UAE and KSA, the conflicting evidence presents a significant challenge. The rapid, pandemic-induced shift to remote work left many managers

unprepared to lead a distributed workforce, creating a need for effective strategies to mitigate negative effects on performance.² Over 70% of employers and line managers in the Middle East report a lack of confidence in their ability to monitor productivity and ensure accountability remotely. Without a holistic understanding of which factors drive productivity, organizations cannot develop evidence-based, targeted strategies to optimize remote work effectiveness. This gap hinders their ability to design work models that balance employee flexibility with organizational performance goals, potentially leading to decreased efficiency, lower employee morale, and higher turnover.

This research, therefore, addresses the main research question: **What are the key individual, work-related, and organizational factors that collectively predict the self-perceived productivity of employees in remote and hybrid work arrangements within the Gulf region?**

1.3. Research Significance

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

This study aims to contribute to the academic literature by proposing and testing an integrated, multi-dimensional model of remote work productivity tailored to the GCC context. By simultaneously examining individual, work-related, and organizational factors, this research moves beyond single-variable analyses to provide a more holistic understanding of the complex interplay of variables that shape productivity outcomes in this unique region. It will help synthesize the conflicting findings in the "productivity paradox" by treating productivity not as a monolithic concept, but as a contingent outcome dependent on a specific ecosystem of factors relevant to the UAE and KSA.

1.3.2. At the practical level

The findings of this research will provide actionable insights for business leaders, managers, and human resource professionals in the Gulf. By identifying the most significant predictors of perceived productivity, organizations can develop targeted interventions, such as specialized management training, technological support, or policies that enhance employee autonomy and well-being.³ This evidence-based approach will enable companies in the UAE, KSA, and the broader GCC to design more effective and sustainable remote and hybrid work models that foster employee engagement, improve performance, and provide a competitive advantage in talent acquisition and retention.

1.4. Research Questions

- **RQ1:** To what extent do individual-level factors (demographics, well-being, perceived autonomy) predict perceived productivity in remote/hybrid workers in the Gulf region?
- **RQ2:** How do work-related factors (job role, industry, remote work intensity) influence perceived productivity in the Gulf region?
- **RQ3:** What is the relative impact of organizational-level factors (management support, technological adequacy, communication effectiveness) on perceived productivity in the Gulf region?

1.5. Research Objectives

- To identify the key individual, work-related, and organizational factors that influence perceived productivity among remote and hybrid employees in the UAE and KSA.

- To quantitatively measure the strength and direction of the relationship between these factors and perceived productivity.
- To develop a predictive model that explains the variance in perceived productivity based on the identified factors.
- To provide evidence-based recommendations for organizations in the Gulf to optimize productivity in remote and hybrid work environments.

1.6. Research Hypotheses

- **H1: (Organizational Support)** Higher levels of perceived organizational support (including management support and technological adequacy) will be positively and significantly correlated with higher levels of perceived employee productivity.
- **H2: (Demographic Interaction)** The presence of young children in the home will be negatively correlated with perceived productivity. Furthermore, there will be a significant interaction effect, such that this negative correlation is stronger for female employees than for male employees.
- **H3: (Psychological Mediation)** Perceived autonomy will act as a mediator in the relationship between management support and perceived productivity. That is, effective management support increases an employee's sense of autonomy, which in turn leads to higher perceived productivity.

1.7. Research Model

The proposed research model is a multi-level framework that conceptualizes perceived employee productivity as the primary dependent variable. This outcome is influenced by three categories of independent variables:

1. **Individual-Level Factors:** Including demographics (gender, parental status), psychological states (well-being, stress), and perceptions (perceived autonomy).
 2. **Work-Related Factors:** Including work modality (hybrid vs. fully remote), industry sector, and job role characteristics.
 3. **Organizational-Level Factors:** Including management support, technological infrastructure, and communication effectiveness.
- The model posits direct relationships between these independent variables and the dependent variable, as well as interactive and mediating effects, such as the mediating role of autonomy (H3) and the interaction between gender and parental status (H2).

1.8. Research Methodology

This study will employ a quantitative, cross-sectional research design. Data will be collected through a structured online survey administered to a sample of employees in the UAE and KSA who work in a remote or hybrid capacity. The survey instrument will consist of sections designed to measure each of the constructs in the research model, utilizing 5-point Likert scales to capture perceptions and attitudes. The collected data will be analyzed using statistical software. The analysis will include descriptive statistics, correlation analysis to examine the relationships between variables, and multiple regression analysis to test the predictive power of the model and the specific hypotheses.

1.9. Scope of The Study

The study will focus on employees in remote-capable jobs who are currently working in either a fully remote or hybrid arrangement within the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), with a particular focus on the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). The research will target knowledge workers across various industries

known for high rates of remote work adoption in the region, such as Technology & IT, Marketing, Consulting, and Finance. The scope is limited to self-perceived productivity and the factors influencing it, rather than objective output metrics, to ensure generalizability across different job roles and organizations. The survey instrument is designed to be culturally relevant for use in this specified region.

1.10. The Suggested Project Structure

This research project will be executed through a structured workflow divided into four distinct phases, ensuring a systematic progression from theoretical conception to empirical conclusion.⁴

- **Phase 1: Foundational Research and Proposal Development.** This initial phase involves conducting an exhaustive review of existing academic and industry literature to establish a strong theoretical foundation. Key activities include identifying the central research problem, defining the theoretical and practical gaps, and formulating specific research questions and testable hypotheses. This phase culminates in the development of a comprehensive research proposal, which is represented by the current document.
- **Phase 2: Instrument Design and Data Collection.** The second phase focuses on the empirical aspect of the study. It begins with the design and validation of the primary data collection tool—a quantitative survey based on the constructs identified in the literature review. A pilot test will be conducted to refine the survey for clarity and relevance. Following this, the finalized survey will be distributed to the target sample of remote and hybrid employees across the UAE and KSA to gather the necessary data.
- **Phase 3: Data Analysis.** Once a sufficient number of responses are collected, the third phase will commence. This phase involves cleaning and coding the raw survey data to prepare it for statistical analysis. The analysis will include descriptive statistics to summarize the sample's characteristics, followed by inferential statistical tests such as correlation and multiple regression analysis. These tests will be used to examine the relationships between the independent and dependent variables and to formally test the research hypotheses.
- **Phase 4: Interpretation, Conclusion, and Reporting.** The final phase involves interpreting the results from the data analysis. This includes discussing the statistical findings in the context of the research questions and the existing literature. Conclusions will be drawn regarding the factors that significantly impact perceived productivity in the GCC. The phase will conclude with the formulation of practical recommendations for organizations and the compilation of the complete research findings into the final project report.

2. Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

The global shift toward remote work has sparked a significant academic and practical debate concerning its effects on employee productivity. The body of existing research presents a complex and often contradictory narrative, frequently referred to as a "productivity paradox," where findings point to both positive and negative performance outcomes.⁵ This chapter aims to synthesize the current state of knowledge by critically analyzing the literature relevant to this phenomenon, with a specific focus on the context of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC). The review begins by deconstructing the independent variables—the multifaceted factors within the work, individual, and organizational ecosystems that are understood to influence the effectiveness of remote work. Subsequently, it examines the dependent variable, employee productivity, exploring the nuances and challenges inherent in its definition and measurement. Finally, the chapter investigates the intricate relationship between these variables, drawing on regional data and recent academic studies to build a comprehensive theoretical framework for understanding the modern productivity calculus in the UAE and KSA.

Table 1: Summary of Key Studies on Remote Work and Productivity

Study	Context	Key Findings
Bloom et al. (2015)	China (Pre-pandemic)	13% productivity increase for remote workers.
Kurdy & Al-Malkawi (2022)	UAE (COVID-19 period)	Work-life balance and social support positively affect productivity.
Asfaw et al. (2023)	Global Review	Managerial roles saw gains; collaboration-intensive roles showed drops.
Gajendran & Harrison (2007)	Meta-analysis	Autonomy mediates positive outcomes in remote work.
Mercer (2021)	Saudi Arabia	94% of companies maintained or improved productivity with remote work.

Table 2: Key Independent Variables Influencing Remote Work Efficacy

Category	Variables	Examples / Notes
Work Ecosystem	Work modality, job type, industry	Hybrid model most common (44%); sector differences (IT vs. construction).
Individual Employee	Gender, parental status, autonomy, well-being	Females report 25% productivity advantage; children reduce it.
Organizational Ecosystem	Management support, technology, communication	70% managers lack remote productivity confidence.

2.2. The Independent Variables: Factors Influencing Remote Work Efficacy

The impact of remote work on productivity is not a uniform constant but a highly contingent outcome. Its effect is moderated by a complex interplay of variables related to the nature of the work, the characteristics of the individual employee, and the supportive capacity of the organization. A thorough examination of these factors is essential to move beyond simplistic conclusions and understand the specific conditions under which remote work succeeds or fails.

2.2.1. The Nature of the Work Ecosystem

The specific modality and context of remote work are primary determinants of its success. In the Middle East, the **hybrid model** has become the most common arrangement, adopted by over 44% of organizations. Regional employers report that this model fosters increased productivity (26.83%), superior work-life balance (53.17%), and enhanced employee well-being (51.22%). This perception is shared by employees; a GulfTalent survey found that hybrid workers report the highest satisfaction with their work-life balance and believe their

productivity is greater when working from home. In contrast, a study of a governmental call center in Saudi Arabia revealed that fully on-site agents expressed greater satisfaction with their productivity and performance, although their remote counterparts reported a stronger sense of job autonomy, illustrating the trade-offs between different models.

The viability of remote work is also deeply connected to the **industry**. Within the GCC, sectors that are heavily reliant on digital infrastructure—such as Technology & IT, Marketing & Communications, Consulting, and Finance—have demonstrated the strongest adoption of flexible work opportunities. Conversely, industries like construction, hospitality, and healthcare continue to depend on on-site operations due to the inherently physical nature of their core tasks, limiting the applicability of remote models. The **job role** itself is another critical variable. A systematic review by Asfaw et al. (2023) found that employees in higher-ranking positions, such as managers and supervisors, often experienced productivity gains by leveraging virtual tools to oversee tasks more broadly. In contrast, employees in roles requiring high degrees of collaboration were sometimes found to be less productive in a remote setting.³

2.2.2. The Individual Employee

Productivity outcomes in a remote setting are not uniform across all individuals and are significantly influenced by demographic and psychological factors. A consistent finding in the literature is the disproportionate impact on **female employees**, particularly those with childcare responsibilities. While a Gulf-based survey indicated that female employees reported a higher productivity advantage at home (25%) compared to males (5%), the presence of children was a significant negative moderator; employees without children reported a 19% productivity advantage versus only 9% for those with children. This aligns with broader research indicating that mothers are often most affected by the challenge of balancing domestic duties with work responsibilities.⁷

Beyond demographics, key **psychological mediators** play a crucial role. **Perceived autonomy**, or the sense of control and freedom over one's work, has been identified as a vital link between telecommuting and positive outcomes like enhanced performance and job satisfaction (Gajendran & Harrison, 2007).¹ **Well-being** is another critical, albeit complex, factor. On one hand, remote work can be beneficial; a study in the UAE found that it led to decreased stress and increased motivation for many. On the other hand, it can introduce new stressors. Regional reports highlight challenges such as feelings of social isolation, particularly among younger employees, and burnout from the blurring of work-life boundaries.

2.2.3. The Organizational Ecosystem

The success of any remote work arrangement is fundamentally dependent on the support structures provided by the organization. The role of **management** is pivotal. Effective remote leadership, defined by clear goal-setting and a culture of trust, is essential for maintaining productivity.² This, however, remains a significant hurdle in the Gulf region. A survey revealed that over 70% of employers and line managers in the Middle East lack confidence in their ability to monitor productivity and ensure accountability in a remote setting. This "productivity paranoia" can lead to micromanagement, which undermines the autonomy that is crucial for remote success.

At a more foundational level, productivity is contingent on having the appropriate **technology and a suitable home office environment**. A key challenge reported by employees in the region is the prevalence of technical difficulties, such as unreliable internet connections, and a lack of adequate digital tools, which can significantly impede their ability to work effectively. Finally, the broader **organizational culture** and its systems for communication are critical. Employers in the region express concern over maintaining team cohesion and company culture in a distributed environment, with over

35% reporting a decline in these areas. Without intentional efforts to foster virtual collaboration and social connection, organizations risk a decline in the informal interactions that fuel innovation and strengthen team bonds.

2.3. The Dependent Variable: Employee Productivity

A central reason for the "productivity paradox" is the lack of a single, universally accepted definition of "productivity" itself. The conflicting findings in the literature often stem from different measurement philosophies. Some studies focus on objective **time input**, such as the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2024) survey which found that remote employees logged fewer daily work hours than their in-office counterparts.⁸ This approach, however, does not account for the efficiency or quality of the work performed during those hours.

A second approach measures concrete **output**. A landmark pre-pandemic experiment by Bloom et al. (2015) studied call-center employees and found a 13% increase in productivity for remote workers, measured by the number of calls handled per minute.⁹ While precise, this method is often difficult to apply across different industries and job roles where output is less quantifiable.

A third approach, and the one adopted for this research, measures the **perception of productivity**. This involves capturing an employee's self-assessment of their efficacy, efficiency, and ability to achieve goals. This method is particularly relevant in the Gulf region, where self-reported data indicates a strong belief in the benefits of remote work. For instance, one report found that 67.6% of employees in the UAE believe their productivity is enhanced when working away from the office. Similarly, a survey of employees across the Middle East revealed that over 30% perceived an increase in their productivity when operating under a hybrid model. While subjective, perceived productivity is a powerful indicator of an employee's engagement and sense of effectiveness.

2.4. The Relationship Between Remote Work Factors and Productivity

The connection between the various influencing factors and productivity is not linear but interactive and complex. An academic study conducted in the UAE during the pandemic by Kurdy and Al-Malkawi (2022) explored this dynamic, discovering that variables such as workload, job satisfaction, work-life balance, and social support all had a significant positive effect on employee productivity. This underscores the critical importance of a holistic and supportive organizational environment for enabling success in remote work.

These findings are consistent with broader regional data, which suggest that while the flexibility and autonomy afforded by remote work can be powerful productivity boosters, they can be easily undermined by countervailing factors like social isolation, communication barriers, and burnout. The adaptation of both organizations and individuals over time also plays a crucial role. The pandemic triggered a sudden and massive transition, with approximately 60% of organizations in the region adopting remote work, a dramatic increase from the fewer than 15% that had such policies pre-pandemic. This shift has been bolstered by strategic government initiatives, including KSA's Vision 2030 and the UAE's National Employment Strategy 2031, both of which champion digital transformation and flexible work patterns as means to enhance the national economy and increase labor force participation. This "maturity curve," propelled by both organizational learning and national strategy, suggests that as companies and governments in the Gulf continue to invest in technology and cultivate new management competencies, the perceived and actual productivity outcomes of remote work are poised to improve.

2.5. Conclusion

The existing body of literature makes it clear that assessing the impact of remote work on productivity is not a matter of a simple binary judgment. Instead, productivity emerges as

a contingent outcome, profoundly shaped by a dynamic interplay of factors related to the work itself, the individual employee, and the broader organizational context. The evidence from the UAE and KSA indicates a strong employee preference for flexible work arrangements, with many reporting personal productivity gains. However, organizations in the region continue to grapple with significant challenges, particularly in the areas of management trust, technological readiness, and the preservation of corporate culture. A one-size-fits-all approach to remote work is demonstrably ineffective. A successful strategy must be tailored, taking into account the specific industry, job functions, and unique cultural dynamics of the region. The research increasingly emphasizes the vital role of robust organizational support systems—including effective leadership and adequate technological resources—as prerequisites for unlocking the full productivity potential of a distributed workforce. This study builds on this established foundation by proposing a holistic, quantitative model designed to assess how these various factors collectively predict an employee's perceived productivity within the Gulf.

References

6 Pence. (n.d.). *Payroll companies in Saudi Arabia*.

6 Pence. (2025). *2025 UAE staff outsourcing trends: Remote work & AI*.

Alipour, J. V., Falck, O., & Schüller, S. (2021). *Germany's capacity to work from home*. IZA Institute of Labor Economics.

Asfaw, H., Argaw, A., & Kassa, S. (2023). The impact of work-from-home on employee performance and productivity: A systematic review. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 4529.³

Auxilium Services. (2025, July 4). *Key trends in remote workforce solutions in the GCC*.

Barrero, J. M., Bloom, N., & Davis, S. J. (2023). *The evolution of working from home*. Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research (SIEPR).¹⁰

Bartik, A. W., Cullen, Z. B., Glaeser, E. L., Luca, M., & Stanton, C. T. (2021). *What jobs are being done at home during the COVID-19 crisis? Evidence from firm-level surveys*. Harvard Business School. ¹¹

Bayt.com. (n.d.). *Remote and hybrid work: The new normal for Gulf companies*.

Bayt.com. (2025). *Remote vs. on-site work: What employers in the MENA prefer*.

Bayt.com. (2025, August 28). *Remote work in Saudi Arabia: What Vision 2030 means for jobs you can do from home*.

Bhandari, P., et al. (2024). Unveiling the productivity paradox: Remote work and employee performance in the IT sector through the lens of work stress. *QJMSS*.

Bloom, N. (2024). *Hybrid work is a “win-win-win” for companies, workers, study finds*. Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research (SIEPR).

Bloom, N., Liang, J., Roberts, J., & Ying, Z. J. (2015). Does working from home work? Evidence from a Chinese experiment. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 130(1), 165-218. ⁹

Choudhury, P., Foroughi, C., & Larson, B. (2021). Work-from-anywhere: The productivity effects of geographic flexibility. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(4), 655-683.

DeSilver, D. (2020). *Before the pandemic, telework was a growing but uncommon option for a small share of workers*. Pew Research Center. ¹²

Gajendran, R. S., & Harrison, D. A. (2007). The good, the bad, and the unknown about telecommuting: Meta-analysis of psychological mediators and individual consequences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(6), 1524–1541. ¹

Gallup. (2025). *Indicator: Hybrid work*. ¹³

Gamble, M. (2024). *Mitigating the impact of remote work challenges on employee productivity* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University). ²

Guildhall. (2025, October 27). *Remote work in the GCC*.

GulfTalent. (n.d.). *Remote work trends in the Gulf*.

IR. (2022). *How hybrid work is improving employee performance in the Middle East*.

Kestrya. (n.d.). *Remote leadership in the Middle East*.

Khan, M. S., et al. (2023). Exploring the impact of remote work on productivity: A systematic review. *ResearchGate*. ⁷

Kurdy, D. M., & Al-Malkawi, H. N. (2022). The impact of remote working on employee productivity during COVID-19 in the UAE: the moderating role of job level. *Journal of Business and Socio-economic Development*.

Matsh. (n.d.). *Remote work statistics in the Gulf region*.

Owl Labs. (2023). *State of remote work*. ¹⁴

PwC. (n.d.). *Remote working in the UAE*.

Saudi Arabia Ministry of Human Resources and Social Development. (n.d.). *Remote Work Program*.

Saudi Arabia Ministry of Human Resources and Social Development. (2024, September). *Diverse work patterns provided by the Ministry to achieve the goals of Saudi Vision 2030*.

Tewari, S. (2023). The effect of telecommuting on productivity: a meta-analysis. *Academy of Marketing Studies Journal*, 27(4), 1-7. ⁶

The National. (2025, September 4). *Return to five-day week in the office is 'inevitable', UAE employment experts say*.

UAE Government. (n.d.). *The National Employment Strategy 2031*.

UAE Government. (n.d.). *Work patterns in the federal sector*.

Upwork. (2020). *Economist report: Future of remote work*.

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2024). *American Time Use Survey*. ⁸

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2024). The rise in remote work since the pandemic and its impact on productivity. *Beyond the Numbers*, 13(11). ¹⁵

Vision 2030. (n.d.). *Overview*.

Vyas, L., & Butakhieo, N. (2021). The impact of working from home on employee productivity during the COVID-19 pandemic: A case study of a multicultural non-profit organization. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 13(4), 1126-1141. ¹²