

Assignment : Artificial Intelligence for Clinical Decision-Making in Medical Clinics

Student Name: Abdulaziz Dafer Ali Alobud

Student ID: 253001366

Table of Contents:

- Summary research paper's
- Critical Analysis
- Connections
- Conflicts between the findings
- Synthesis Matrix for Literature Review
- References

Summary research papers

Paper 1: Artificial intelligence in healthcare: transforming the future of connected and AI-augmented care (Bajwa et al., 2021). The aim of this paper is to explain how artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping contemporary health care systems toward the current practice by increasing the precision of diagnosis, customization of treatment, and improving the operational efficiency in all aspects. It touches on AI's adoption in radiology, predictive analytics, and patient management. The role of high-quality data and interoperability matters, the study highlights. But it notes challenges including ethical restrictions, data fragmentation, and constrained real-world implementation.

Paper 2: Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Decision Support: Challenges for Evaluating AI-enabled CDSS (Magrabi et al., 2019). The study aims to present some of the challenges faced while evaluating AI-based Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS). Key issues mentioned include dataset shift, model opacity, automation bias and absence of standardized metrics. Authors introduce a lifecycle evaluation framework which involves pre-deployment validation, post-market monitoring, and human-factor evaluation. Current CDSS evaluation methods are insufficient for adaptive AI systems, the paper stresses.

Paper 3: Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in medical education (Alowais et al., 2023) This study focuses on AI and healthcare education and looks at how it can improve diagnostic skills, clinical reasoning and patient care. It describes the advantages of AI-supported simulations and virtual tutors for medical training. The paper further considers AI's role in serving clinicians for advanced decision-support by enhancing healthcare workers' assessment skills. It is limited in that the evidence base is conceptual rather than quantitative.

Paper 4: Benefits and Risks of AI in Health Care: Narrative Review (Chustecki et al., 2024) This narrative review seeks to strike a balance between the benefits of artificial intelligence in healthcare, from efficiency to better patient outcomes and diagnostic accuracy, as well as its risks: bias, data breaches and over-reliance. It emphasizes strong governance, transparency, and continual auditing of AI tools. However, there have been no quantitative considerations and is largely based on secondary literature.

Paper 5: Artificial-Intelligence-Based Clinical Decision Support Systems in Primary Care: A Scoping Review (Gomez-Cabello et al., 2024). This scoping review examines AI-based decision support tools used in primary care. It highlights that AI is primarily employed in diagnostic support, risk prediction, and triage systems. The paper concludes that usability and integration within existing clinical workflows are critical for successful adoption. Limitations include lack of standardized outcome reporting and few longitudinal evaluations.

Paper 6: Artificial Intelligence and Decision-Making in Healthcare (Khosravi et al., 2024). This research article explores how AI contributes to healthcare decision-making in clinical and administrative environments. It reviews models for data-driven decisions in diagnostics, treatment planning, and resource allocation. The paper emphasizes the need for human oversight, data governance, and ethical AI frameworks. Limitations include conceptual focus with limited real-world case studies.

Critical analysis:

This review shows that AI can have a transformative impact on decision-making for healthcare. The strength of this review has been its application coverage across diagnostics, predictive analytics, and educational use cases. It is a comprehensive look at all these different realms. Two outstanding examples are Magrabi et al. (2019) for methodological depth in the treatment of evaluation issues, and Chustecki et al. (2024) for assessing advantages and disadvantages. Most of these studies are conceptual or narrative, that is, they do not include empirical evidence or quantitative analysis. Limited research on long-term clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness limits generalizability.

Connections

1. Broadly, it addresses the integration of AI into clinical decision-making and medical education.
2. AI has a critical value in diagnostic, efficiency, and patient outcome enhancement, which is acknowledged by all papers.
3. Acknowledgment of challenges to ethics, transparency, and evaluation.
4. Focus on human-in-the-loop methodologies for safety and accountability.
5. Recognition of the importance of high-quality datasets and data interoperability.

Conflicts between the findings:

1. It is well known that some papers stress rapid usage of AI tools in practice (Bajwa et al., 2021; Khosravi et al., 2024), whereas studies suggest that rigorous evaluation prior to deployment is important (Magrabi et al., 2019).
2. Perceived risk differences: in some studies ethical and bias issues are discussed (Chustecki et al., 2024), while others point to system efficiency.
3. Focus difference in implementation: hospital-based vs. primary care settings (Gomez-Cabello et al., 2024).
4. Disagreement on what level of automation is acceptable in clinical decision-making without clinician input.

Synthesis Matrix for Literature Review

Study	Authors	Year	Research Design	Key Findings / Results	Limitations
Artificial intelligence in healthcare: transforming the future...	Bajwa et al.	2021	Narrative Review	AI transforms healthcare with better diagnosis, personalization, and workflows.	Limited quantitative evidence.
AI in Clinical Decision Support: Challenges for Evaluating AI-enabled CDSS	Magrabi et al.	2019	Conceptual Analysis	Identified challenges in evaluating AI-CDSS; proposed lifecycle evaluation.	Pre-foundation model context; limited empirical data.
Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of AI in medical education	Alowais et al.	2023	Narrative Review	AI improves education and decision-support literacy among clinicians.	Conceptual approach; lacks quantitative validation.
Benefits and Risks of AI in Health Care	Chustecki et al.	2024	Narrative Review	Balanced view of benefits (accuracy, efficiency) and risks (bias, privacy).	Relies on secondary literature; lacks trials.
AI-Based Clinical Decision Support Systems in Primary Care	Gomez-Cabello et al.	2024	Scoping Review	Mapped AI tools in primary care for diagnosis, risk prediction, triage.	Few longitudinal studies; heterogeneous evidence.
Artificial Intelligence and Decision-Making in Healthcare	Khosravi et al.	2024	Integrative Review	Outlined governance, ethics, and oversight for AI adoption.	Conceptual; lacks real-world case studies.

References

1. Bajwa, J., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Transforming the future of connected and AI-augmented care. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8285156/>
2. Magrabi, F., et al. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Decision Support: Challenges for Evaluating AI-enabled CDSS. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6697499/>
3. Alowais, A., et al. (2023). Revolutionizing healthcare: The role of artificial intelligence in medical education. <https://bmcmmededuc.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12909-023-04698-z>
4. Chustecki, A., et al. (2024). Benefits and Risks of AI in Health Care: Narrative Review. <https://www.i-jmr.org/2024/1/e53616/>
5. Gomez-Cabello, A., et al. (2024). Artificial-Intelligence-Based Clinical Decision Support Systems in Primary Care: A Scoping Review. <https://www.mdpi.com/2254-9625/14/3/45>
6. Khosravi, A., et al. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Decision-Making in Healthcare. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10916499/>