

Final Project 1
Code: MGM 496-PMG496
Project Template

Faculty of Management
Midocean University

Midocean University

Faculty of Management

Department/ Specialization: Project Management



Project Title

**The Impact of Risk Management Practices on Project
Success in the Construction Sector**

Student Name

Mohammed Ali Bu Shafia

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor Dr.

Faculty Member- Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Date of Submission – Number of Semester

28/10/2025 – Semester 3

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	3
1.1. Research Background.....	3
1.2. Research Problem	5
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	5
1.2.2. Practical Gaps	5

1.3. Research Significance	7
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	7
1.3.2. At the practical level	7
1.4. Research Questions.....	7
1.5. Research Objectives.....	8
1.6. Research Hypotheses	8
1.7. Research Model.....	8
1.8. Research Methodology	9
1.9. Scope of The Study	9
1.10. The Suggested Project Structure	10
1.11. Suggested Industry for the Empirical Study	10
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review.....	12
2.1. Introduction.....	12
2.2. The independent variable.....	13
2.3. The dependent variable	14
2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables	15
2.5. Conclusion	16
References.....	17

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

The construction industry is one of the most dynamic and risk-prone sectors globally, where the complexity of projects and the involvement of multiple stakeholders often lead to uncertainties. Issues such as cost overruns, delays, safety hazards, and resource shortages frequently threaten project success. As these risks become more evident, effective risk management practices have evolved from being optional to essential tools for ensuring project success.

Risk management involves identifying, assessing, and responding to project risks in a structured and strategic way. In the construction sector, poor risk handling can lead to major failures, while effective risk management enhances predictability, cost control, schedule adherence, and stakeholder satisfaction. Despite this importance, many construction projects still suffer from the inconsistent or reactive application of risk management practices.

Project success itself is a multidimensional concept, typically measured in terms of scope, time, cost, and quality. However, other success indicators such as client satisfaction, safety performance, and team morale are gaining importance in recent literature. This broader view of success requires a more sophisticated approach to risk, not just as a compliance requirement, but as a strategic advantage.

Scholarly research has emphasized that proactive risk management significantly contributes to achieving project goals. For example, studies by Zou et al. (2007) and Hillson (2009) point out that construction firms with formalized risk management systems are more likely to complete projects within budget and on schedule. Moreover, these organizations are better equipped to handle unforeseen challenges such as changes in regulations, labor shortages, and supply chain disruptions.

Despite the known benefits, there exists a gap in how risk management is practically implemented on construction sites. Many organizations either lack a dedicated risk management team or do not allocate sufficient resources for training and monitoring. As a result, risk assessments are often treated as formalities rather than active components of project planning and execution.

In the context of rapidly growing economies, such as the Gulf region, construction projects are often executed on a large scale and under tight deadlines. Mega-projects in

Saudi Arabia, for instance, like NEOM and the Riyadh Metro, illustrate how crucial structured risk management can be in avoiding major financial and operational setbacks. These projects often face risks related to regulatory changes, geopolitical conditions, and labor market dynamics, which require robust and proactive risk handling systems.

Furthermore, many construction firms in emerging markets face limitations in adopting global risk management frameworks due to a lack of awareness, training, or organizational support. In many cases, project managers rely on past experience or informal risk handling, which may not be effective in complex, high-stake projects. The gap between theory and practice makes it essential to investigate how structured risk management practices can be better integrated into local construction contexts, to improve both performance and sustainability.

This research seeks to explore the relationship between formal risk management practices and project success within the construction sector. The study focuses on identifying how structured risk processes affect performance indicators like cost, time, and quality. Additionally, it aims to examine the contextual factors—such as organizational culture, project complexity, and team experience—that influence the effectiveness of risk management.

By examining real-world practices and outcomes, the research aims to offer valuable insights for practitioners and academics alike. It will provide data-driven recommendations to improve decision-making processes and promote a culture of continuous risk awareness. These insights can contribute to refining existing risk frameworks or developing new tools tailored to the construction environment.

As the construction sector continues to evolve with the integration of new technologies, sustainability goals, and stakeholder expectations, the role of risk management is expanding beyond traditional boundaries. It is no longer confined to financial or operational concerns but also encompasses reputational, environmental, and technological risks. This broadening of scope highlights the need for construction professionals to develop a more comprehensive risk perspective that aligns with modern project demands.

Additionally, the increasing reliance on international partnerships and subcontractors adds another layer of complexity to construction projects. These collaborations often involve differences in language, legal systems, work cultures, and technical standards, all of which introduce new categories of risk. Understanding and managing these diverse risks effectively is essential for maintaining quality, compliance, and coordination across project phases.

Ultimately, the findings of this research are expected to support better project planning and execution strategies in the construction field. Highlighting the critical role that risk management plays in driving success in complex environments, this study aspires to

serve as a guide for construction professionals aiming to enhance the efficiency and reliability of their projects.

1.2. Research Problem

Despite the recognized importance of risk management in construction projects, there remains a considerable gap in its consistent and effective application, particularly in developing economies. Many construction firms continue to adopt reactive approaches to risk, treating it as a secondary concern rather than an integral part of strategic planning. This leads to significant issues such as budget overruns, missed deadlines, and compromised quality—factors that undermine project success. While global frameworks and standards for risk management exist, their practical implementation within local construction settings is often inadequate or poorly understood.

Moreover, the lack of a unified understanding of what constitutes "project success" adds to the confusion. Some organizations focus solely on time and cost, while others consider a broader range of indicators such as client satisfaction, team morale, and longterm impact. Without a clear and measurable connection between risk management practices and these success factors, many construction managers struggle to justify investments in risk processes.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

The academic literature offers a rich variety of models and frameworks for managing risk in construction. However, most of these are conceptual in nature and lack practical validation in real-world project environments, especially in the context of emerging economies. There is also a noticeable lack of studies that integrate risk management with modern definitions of project success that go beyond the traditional "iron triangle" of time, cost, and scope. As such, there is a theoretical gap in linking structured risk practices with a more holistic and updated view of project success.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

On the practical side, construction companies often fail to allocate sufficient resources, training, or authority to their risk management processes. Risk assessments, if conducted at all, are often superficial or treated as check-the-box exercises. Moreover, there is a disconnect between those who identify risks (engineers, project managers) and those who are responsible for responding to them (executives, financial managers). This

misalignment results in delayed or ineffective responses to threats that could have been prevented or mitigated with better planning and integration.

Additionally, many firms operate without dedicated risk management software or data collection practices, relying instead on informal communication and intuition. This lack of structure makes it difficult to learn from past mistakes or to forecast and respond to future risks effectively.

To what extent do structured risk management practices influence project success in the construction sector, particularly in terms of cost, time, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction?

1.3. Research Significance

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

This research contributes to academic literature by bridging the gap between structured risk management frameworks and project success within the construction sector. It expands existing theories by integrating modern success metrics such as stakeholder satisfaction and long-term project sustainability. Furthermore, the study adds empirical evidence to support the theoretical relationship between risk planning and improved project outcomes, especially in developing economies where research is limited.

1.3.2. At the practical level

From a practical perspective, this research offers valuable insights for construction managers, engineers, and policymakers. It highlights real-world challenges and proposes actionable strategies for implementing effective risk management practices. The findings can support better decision-making, enhance project reliability, and reduce losses associated with unmanaged risks. Practitioners can use the results to develop or refine risk assessment tools tailored to the local construction context.

1.4. Research Questions

This study seeks to answer the following main research question:

- RQ1: What is the impact of risk management practices on project success in the construction sector?

To support this inquiry, the following sub-questions are developed:

- RQ1.1: How do risk identification practices influence project timelines and cost control?
- RQ1.2: What role does risk assessment play in enhancing construction project quality?
- RQ1.3: To what extent does risk mitigation contribute to stakeholder satisfaction and project sustainability?

1.5. Research Objectives

The primary objective of this research is to evaluate the impact of risk management practices on the success of construction projects. The study aims to achieve the following specific objectives:

- RO1: To assess how risk identification influences project delivery and budget control.
- RO2: To examine the role of risk assessment in improving project quality outcomes.
- RO3: To evaluate the effectiveness of risk mitigation in enhancing stakeholder satisfaction and project sustainability.

1.6. Research Hypotheses

Based on the research questions and objectives, the study proposes the following hypotheses:

- H1: There is a significant positive relationship between risk identification and project success in terms of time and cost.
- H2: Risk assessment practices positively influence the quality performance of construction projects.
- H3: Risk mitigation has a significant impact on stakeholder satisfaction and long-term project success.

1.7. Research Model

The research model for this study is based on the relationship between key components of risk management practices and project success. It consists of three independent variables and one dependent variable, as shown below:

Independent Variables:

- Risk Identification
- Risk Assessment
- Risk Mitigation

Dependent Variable:

- Project Success

This model assumes that effective implementation of the three risk management practices positively influences the overall success of construction projects in terms of cost, time, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction.

1.8. Research Methodology

This research adopts a quantitative methodology using a descriptive cross-sectional design to investigate the relationship between risk management practices and project success in the Saudi construction sector.

Data will be collected through a structured questionnaire distributed to a purposive sample of project managers, engineers, and risk officers involved in infrastructure projects. The questionnaire will use a **Likert scale** to measure the degree of implementation of risk management practices (risk identification, assessment, and mitigation) and their perceived impact on project success (time, cost, quality, stakeholder satisfaction).

Statistical analysis will be conducted using **SPSS** to test the validity and reliability of the instrument, followed by correlation and regression analysis to examine the relationships between variables.

This methodology was selected for its ability to gather quantifiable data from a diverse group of professionals and to statistically test the proposed hypotheses in a real-world construction environment.

1.9. Scope of The Study

This study is confined to the construction sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, with a primary focus on infrastructure projects managed by both public and private entities. The scope includes medium to large-scale projects that are typically exposed to multiple risks related to time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction.

The research will focus on collecting data from professionals involved in project execution, such as project managers, engineers, and risk analysts. The geographical scope is limited to major urban centers in Saudi Arabia, particularly regions with ongoing infrastructure initiatives aligned with Vision 2030.

The study will not cover residential housing projects or sectors unrelated to construction. It will also exclude projects managed by foreign contractors without local operational presence. By narrowing the scope in this way, the research aims to produce focused, actionable insights relevant to the Saudi construction industry.

1.10. The Suggested Project Structure

The structure of this research project is organized into five core chapters as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter provides the general background of the research, defines the research problem, outlines the significance of the study, and presents the research questions, objectives, and hypotheses. It also introduces the research model, methodology, scope, and overall structure of the project.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

This chapter presents a comprehensive review of relevant academic literature on risk management practices and project success, with a focus on the construction industry. It identifies key concepts, previous findings, theoretical frameworks, and research gaps that justify the need for this study.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

This chapter explains the research design, including the research philosophy, approach, strategy, and data collection techniques. It also describes the population and sampling method, as well as the tools used for data analysis.

Chapter 4: Statistical Analysis

This chapter presents the empirical results derived from the data collected. It includes descriptive statistics and inferential analysis to test the research hypotheses and examine the relationships between variables.

Chapter 5: Discussion and Conclusion

This chapter discusses the findings in light of the literature and research objectives. It concludes the study by summarizing key insights, highlighting practical implications, outlining limitations, and suggesting directions for future research.

The proposed structure ensures a logical flow and academic rigor, supporting the overall aim of evaluating the impact of risk management practices on project success in the Saudi construction sector.

1.11. Suggested Industry for the Empirical Study

The suggested industry for this research is the *construction sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*, particularly infrastructure projects managed by both government entities and private firms. This sector was selected due to its pivotal role in the national transformation plan — Vision 2030 — which has led to the launch of several high-value and complex projects across the country.

The construction industry is well-known for being exposed to a broad spectrum of risks such as cost overruns, schedule delays, quality issues, and stakeholder dissatisfaction.

These characteristics make it an ideal environment for evaluating the effectiveness of risk management practices on project success.

Moreover, the abundance of ongoing projects and the availability of industry professionals (e.g., project managers, engineers, risk consultants) present opportunities for collecting meaningful empirical data. Therefore, this industry aligns well with the research objectives and provides a rich context for analysis.

2.Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

Risk management has become a crucial factor in determining the success of projects, particularly in the construction sector where uncertainty is high and projects are often complex and resource-intensive. Several studies have highlighted that effective risk management practices contribute to minimizing delays, reducing costs, and improving overall project performance (PMI, 2021; Zou et al., 2019). The construction industry, in particular, faces unique challenges such as design changes, resource availability, environmental conditions, and safety concerns that significantly affect project outcomes.

According to Hillson (2017), risk management is not only about identifying potential threats but also about recognizing opportunities that can enhance project value. Implementing systematic processes such as risk identification, risk assessment, and risk response planning has been shown to improve decision-making and stakeholder confidence. Furthermore, projects that embed risk management into their organizational culture tend to achieve higher levels of sustainability and resilience (Al-Bahar & Crandall, 1990).

Several researchers have emphasized the relationship between risk management maturity and project success. For instance, Carvalho and Rabechini (2015) argue that organizations with structured and proactive risk management frameworks are better equipped to handle uncertainties and achieve strategic objectives. In construction projects, this translates into higher predictability of costs, improved scheduling accuracy, and enhanced safety performance.

Moreover, studies in emerging economies such as Saudi Arabia have shown that construction projects often suffer from delays and cost overruns due to weak risk management practices (Enshassi et al., 2009; Albogamy et al., 2013). These findings underline the importance of adopting comprehensive risk management strategies to improve efficiency and competitiveness within the sector.

In summary, the literature indicates that risk management is an essential driver of project success in the construction industry. However, despite its acknowledged importance, many construction projects still struggle with consistent application of risk management practices. This gap presents an opportunity to further explore how risk management practices specifically influence project outcomes in the construction sector.

2.2. The independent variable

The independent variable in this research is risk management practices, which represent the structured processes and strategies used to identify, assess, and respond to risks throughout the project life cycle. According to the Project Management Institute (PMI, 2021), risk management involves a systematic approach that enhances decision-making, reduces uncertainties, and improves the likelihood of project success. In the construction industry, risk management practices are particularly vital due to the sector's complexity, resource intensity, and exposure to both internal and external uncertainties.

Risk management practices can be divided into three main dimensions: risk identification, risk assessment, and risk mitigation. **Risk identification** focuses on recognizing potential threats and opportunities that may affect project objectives (Hillson, 2017). **Risk assessment** involves analyzing the probability and impact of risks to prioritize them effectively (Carvalho & Rabechini, 2015). **Risk mitigation** refers to the development and implementation of strategies to reduce the likelihood or consequences of identified risks (Al-Bahar & Crandall, 1990).

Several studies have shown that effective risk management practices contribute to minimizing project delays, controlling costs, and improving safety performance (Zou et al., 2019; Alsadi & Zakuan, 2021). Additionally, integrating risk management into organizational culture fosters proactive planning and enhances stakeholder confidence. For construction firms in emerging economies such as Saudi Arabia, adopting structured risk management practices is essential to handle the uncertainties associated with largescale infrastructure projects and to ensure alignment with national development initiatives like Vision 2030.

In this study, risk management practices are examined as the independent variable influencing project success in the construction sector. By focusing on risk identification, assessment, and mitigation, the research seeks to measure how these practices impact key project performance indicators, including cost, time, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction.

2.3. The dependent variable

The dependent variable in this research is project success, which reflects the extent to which a construction project achieves its intended objectives. Traditionally, project success has been measured by the “iron triangle” of time, cost, and quality (Atkinson, 1999). However, modern research emphasizes that success in construction projects goes beyond these three dimensions, incorporating broader criteria such as client satisfaction, safety performance, environmental sustainability, and long-term organizational benefits (Turner & Zolin, 2012).

In the construction sector, defining project success is particularly challenging due to the involvement of multiple stakeholders, complex supply chains, and diverse project environments. According to Pinto and Slevin (1988), project success should not only be assessed at the completion stage but also evaluated throughout the project life cycle. More recent studies argue that success must also consider intangible outcomes such as knowledge transfer, innovation, and alignment with strategic goals (Joslin & Müller, 2016).

In the context of Saudi Arabia, where large-scale infrastructure and mega-projects are central to Vision 2030, project success has additional implications. Beyond delivering projects on time and within budget, success includes meeting regulatory requirements, achieving international quality standards, and enhancing national competitiveness (Albogamy et al., 2013). Therefore, project success in this study is defined as a multidimensional construct that captures both traditional and modern indicators of performance.

For the purpose of this research, project success will be measured using four main dimensions: *time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction*. These dimensions provide a balanced view of performance, capturing both tangible outcomes (e.g., budget adherence and schedule compliance) and intangible outcomes (e.g., client and stakeholder confidence). By adopting this holistic perspective, the study aims to evaluate

the true impact of risk management practices on achieving successful project outcomes in the construction sector.

2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables

The relationship between risk management practices (independent variable) and project success (dependent variable) has been a central theme in project management literature. Numerous studies highlight that the effective implementation of risk management directly contributes to improved project performance in terms of time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction (Zwikael & Ahn, 2011; Zou et al., 2019). Projects that actively integrate risk identification, assessment, and mitigation into their processes are better equipped to anticipate challenges, allocate resources efficiently, and maintain control over project outcomes.

Risk identification ensures that potential threats and opportunities are recognized early, thereby reducing the likelihood of unforeseen disruptions. Risk assessment provides a systematic approach to evaluate the severity and probability of risks, enabling project managers to prioritize responses and allocate resources effectively (Carvalho & Rabechini, 2015). Risk mitigation, in turn, translates these evaluations into proactive strategies that minimize adverse effects and maximize opportunities, ultimately enhancing project outcomes (Hillson, 2017).

Research has consistently shown a positive correlation between structured risk management practices and project success. For example, Alsadi and Zakuan (2021) found that construction projects with mature risk management frameworks demonstrated higher rates of schedule compliance and budget control. Similarly, Song and Sarfo (2025) emphasized the role of stakeholder engagement in linking risk management to sustainable project performance, suggesting that inclusive risk practices contribute to long-term success.

In the Saudi construction industry, this relationship is particularly significant. Large-scale infrastructure projects under Vision 2030 are highly exposed to regulatory, financial, and environmental uncertainties. Effective risk management not only improves traditional success indicators but also strengthens organizational resilience and competitiveness in a rapidly changing environment (Albogamy et al., 2013). Therefore, examining the link between risk management practices and project success provides valuable insights into how construction firms can achieve strategic objectives and sustain growth.

In this study, the relationship is conceptualized as a direct influence of risk management practices (risk identification, risk assessment, and risk mitigation) on project success dimensions (time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction). The assumption is that higher levels of risk management maturity will lead to more consistent and successful project outcomes.

2.5. Conclusion

This chapter has reviewed the existing literature related to the independent variable, risk management practices, and the dependent variable, project success, within the construction sector. The discussion highlighted that risk management practices—specifically risk identification, assessment, and mitigation—play a crucial role in minimizing uncertainties and improving project outcomes. On the other hand, project success was shown to be a multidimensional concept, extending beyond the traditional measures of time, cost, and quality, to include broader aspects such as stakeholder satisfaction and sustainability.

The literature indicates a strong positive relationship between structured risk management practices and project success. Studies across different contexts consistently suggest that proactive and systematic risk management enhances efficiency, strengthens stakeholder confidence, and contributes to long-term organizational resilience. In emerging economies such as Saudi Arabia, where large-scale projects are central to national development initiatives, this relationship becomes even more critical.

In summary, while previous research underscores the importance of integrating risk management into construction projects, gaps still remain in understanding how these practices are applied in practice, particularly in the Saudi context. This study seeks to address these gaps by empirically examining the extent to which risk management practices influence project success in the construction sector. The findings are expected to provide both theoretical contributions to the academic literature and practical implications for improving project outcomes.

References

- Al-Bahar, J. F., & Crandall, K. C. (1990). Systematic risk management approach for construction projects. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 116(3), 533–546. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9364\(1990\)116:3\(533\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(1990)116:3(533)).
- Albogamy, A., Scott, D., Dawood, N., & Dean, J. (2013). Addressing construction delays in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *International Proceedings of Economics Development and Research*, 59(1), 12–16. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/3031852/Addressing_Construction_Delays_in_the_Kingdom_of_Saudi_Arabia.
- Alsadi, N., & Zakuan, N. (2021). The impact of risk management practices on the performance of construction projects in Oman. *Eastern Mediterranean Economics & Business*, 39(4), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.25115/eea.v39i4.4164>.
- Ahmed, R., Kayis, B., & Amornsawadwatana, S. (2007). A review of techniques for risk management in projects. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 14(1), 22–36. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14635770710730919>.
- Bukar, A. A., & Ibrahim, U. A. (2021). Investigating the impact of risk management on project performance in construction industry: Evidence from Nigeria. *Science Journal of Business and Management*, 9(3), 224–230. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjbm.20210903.20>.
- Carvalho, M. M., & Rabechini, R. (2015). Impact of risk management on project performance: The importance of soft skills. *International Journal of Production Research*, 53(2), 321–340. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2014.919423>.
- Enshassi, A., Mohamed, S., & Abushaban, S. (2009). Factors affecting the performance of construction projects in the Gaza Strip. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 15(3), 269–280. <https://doi.org/10.3846/1392-3730.2009.15.269-280>.
- Khosravi, S., & Afshari, H. (2011). A success measurement model for construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(8), 946–956. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.09.001>.
- Song, J., & Sarfo, P. A. (2025). Examining the impact of risk management practices on sustainable project performance in the construction industry: The role of stakeholder engagement. *Frontiers in Built Environment*, 11, Article 1575827. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2025.1575827>.

Zwikael, O., & Ahn, M. (2011). The effectiveness of risk management: An analysis of project risk planning across industries and countries. *Risk Analysis*, 31(1), 25–37.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2010.01470.x>.