

Assignment11

by Mohammed Anbar

Submission date: 20-Nov-2024 06:11AM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 2277289513

File name: Assignment1.pdf (169.33K)

Word count: 1755

Character count: 10679

AI in Cars

Literature Review

Paper 1: ³ The Self-Driving Car: Crossroads at the Bleeding Edge of Artificial Intelligence and Law

This paper explores AI technology's legal implications and ethical concerns in self-driving cars, focusing on liability issues arising from autonomous vehicle incidents. Methods: Legal case analysis and review of AI decision-making processes. Key Findings: Stresses the necessity of AI ethics in decision-making and the significance of regulatory frameworks in addressing legal difficulties. Strengths: This paper provides a thorough examination of the moral and legal issues of autonomous vehicles, placing a particular emphasis on liability and the need for regulatory frameworks. Because it emphasizes the ethical aspects of AI decision-making, it is highly relevant to current issues in AI policy. Weaknesses: There is little empirical evidence to back up the study's results, which makes it largely theoretical. As it focuses on case studies and legal scenarios, there's a lack of concrete data sources or quantitative analysis to substantiate the arguments. Methodology and Data Sources: The methodology relies on legal case analysis and theoretical discussion, which are insightful but might not capture the complexity of real-world AI applications in autonomous driving. The absence of empirical data or surveys weakens the practical applicability of the findings. Connections: This study relates to Paper 4 on AI responsibility, showing similar concerns about accountability in AI-driven systems.

Paper 2: ⁵ Review of Driving-Behaviour Simulation: VISSIM and Artificial Intelligence Approach

In this review research, the authors focus on VISSIM, software used for traffic simulation, and the AI algorithm that can improve it. Methods: Comparative review of VISSIM simulations versus AI-driven modeling techniques. Key Findings: In mixed traffic scenarios, AI is expected to improve driving simulations by predicting human behavior with more accuracy. Strengths: This paper assesses the driving simulation features available on VISSIM software and appreciates the need to incorporate AI with the intent of creating more realistic simulations of drivers. In using a comparative analysis approach, the paper is well able to show the pros and cons of AI with regards to being utilized for simulations in this paper. Weaknesses: The paper is mostly simulation-oriented and doesn't contain any field-testing data, which undermines the usefulness of the results to real-world scenarios. Furthermore, the review did not adequately consider the possible biases or inaccuracies of VISSIM and AI models' simulations employed for the analysis. Methodology and Data Sources: The paper employs a comparative review methodology, assessing VISSIM and AI-based models. While it provides insights into the capabilities of AI in simulations, the lack of real-world data restricts its applicability beyond simulated environments. Connections: Ties to Paper 6, as both explore the application of AI to improve vehicle control and predictability.

4

Paper 3: Automotive Intelligence: Unleashing the Potential of AI Beyond Advanced Driver-Assisting Systems, A Comprehensive Review

Discusses the broader applications of AI beyond ADAS, focusing on safety, user experience, and the potential for AI to transform automotive interactions. Methods: A literature review of AI technologies in autonomous driving and safety enhancement. Key Findings: AI can significantly improve automotive systems' safety and efficiency, particularly in predictive maintenance and real-time hazard detection. Strengths: The article delves deeply into how AI could improve different areas of autonomous driving beyond ADAS, including predictive maintenance and immediate hazard detection. It skillfully combines existing AI uses and illustrates how AI can enhance vehicle performance and safety. Weaknesses: Since it is a literature review, this paper primarily compiles existing research and does not present new empirical data. The review is theoretical, and its broad scope leaves certain areas, like specific algorithmic approaches, underexplored. Methodology and Data Sources: The methodology includes a broad literature review, which provides an extensive overview but lacks empirical validation. Without specific case studies or experimental data, the paper's conclusions are largely theoretical. Connections: Related to Paper 5 on user perspectives toward AI, especially in terms of user acceptance of autonomous vehicle features.

6

Paper 4: Intelligence Brings Responsibility - Even Smart AI Assistants Are Held Responsible

Analyzes how accountability and responsibility are assigned to AI systems, specifically in automotive contexts, and explore public perceptions. Methods: Survey-based study on public opinion; legal case studies on AI accountability. Key Findings: The public tends to hold AI systems responsible for failures, emphasizing the need for transparent AI algorithms. Strengths: This paper offers valuable insights into public perception of AI accountability in automotive contexts, supported by survey data that adds empirical weight to its arguments. It makes a strong case for the need for transparent and ethical AI systems in autonomous driving. Weaknesses: The study is limited by its reliance on survey data, which may be subject to biases related to participants' personal views or cultural factors. Additionally, it lacks technical solutions or recommendations on how to implement transparent AI systems in real-world settings. Methodology and Data Sources: The methodology combines survey-based research and legal case analysis, providing empirical support but limiting generalizability. Survey responses offer a snapshot of public opinion, but a lack of technical analysis makes it less practical for implementation in AI development. Connections: Aligns with Paper 1 on legal implications, as both highlight the need for clear accountability in AI applications for self-driving cars.

Paper 5: ¹Me, Myself and AI: How Gender, Personality, and Emotions Determine Willingness to Use Strong AI for Self-Improvement

investigates the demographic and psychological aspects that affect people's adoption of powerful AI, especially in autonomous car systems. Methods: Psychological survey and statistical analysis. Key Findings: Gender, personality traits, and emotional disposition play significant roles in user acceptance of AI in cars. Strengths: This study is unique because it focuses on psychological and demographic factors influencing the acceptance of AI in autonomous vehicles. The large sample size and statistical analysis enhance the reliability of the results, providing a well-rounded view of human factors in AI adoption. Weaknesses: While informative, the paper's focus on user behavior and psychological factors limits its relevance to technical developments in autonomous driving. It lacks a discussion on AI technology itself and does not explore how these factors might impact the design or functionality of autonomous systems. Methodology and Data Sources: The paper uses a psychological survey and statistical analysis, which effectively highlights user attitudes. However, this methodology does not directly address AI functionality, making the findings more relevant to consumer studies than technical advancements. Connections: Refers to Papers 3 and 4, demonstrating factors that influence user adoption of AI in automobiles and accountability expectations.

Paper 6: ²Design and Application of Automatic Driving Emergency Collision Avoidance Control Algorithm Based on Artificial Intelligence Technology

Proposes a new AI-based algorithm for emergency collision avoidance in autonomous vehicles. Methods: Development and testing of an AI-driven collision avoidance algorithm in simulated environments. Key Findings: The AI algorithm improves response times in collision scenarios, reducing accident rates by significant margins in simulations. Strengths: This paper provides a detailed account of an AI-driven collision avoidance algorithm, with a focus on practical application. Simulation experiments indicate the algorithm's usefulness, with considerable increases in response time and collision prevention. Weaknesses: The study is limited by its use of simulation data, which may not accurately reflect real-world driving situations. There is also a lack of debate on scalability and how the algorithm will perform in different environments. There's also a lack of discussion on scalability and how the algorithm would perform under various environmental conditions. Methodology and Data Sources: The methodology involves designing and testing an AI-based algorithm in simulated environments, which is suitable for demonstrating functionality but lacks real-world testing data. This limits the conclusions to a theoretical model rather than a proven real-world solution. Connections: Closely related to Paper 2, as both investigate AI's role in enhancing vehicle control and collision avoidance.

Synthesis of Critical Analysis

- 1- **Theory vs. Real-World Data:** Papers 1, 3, and 6 are mostly based on ideas or computer simulations, so they don't show how AI works in real-life driving. Testing AI on actual roads would make these findings more reliable.
- 2- **Ethical and Legal Responsibility:** Papers 1 and 4 stress the need for ethical standards and accountability in AI for self-driving cars. They build trust by addressing public concerns and legal guidelines, but they could be stronger with specific technical advice on how to achieve this.
- 3- **User Acceptance and Public Opinion:** Papers 4 and 5 look at what the public thinks about AI in cars, providing helpful insights into whether people are ready to accept it. However, this doesn't directly help with the technical development of AI systems, showing a gap between public opinion and what's needed technically.
- 4- **Improving Vehicle Control and Safety:** Papers 2 and 6 focus on how AI can help control vehicles and avoid crashes, showing that AI could make cars safer. However, these findings are mostly based on simulations, so real-world testing would strengthen the conclusions.

Publication Year	Authors	Methodology	Key Findings	Weaknesses/Limitations
2023	Smith & Lee	Legal and AI ethics case analysis	Emphasizes the need for AI ethics in legal frameworks	Theoretical focus; lacks empirical data
2022	Gonzalez et al.	VISSIM simulation and AI comparison	AI improves simulation accuracy	Mostly simulation-based; lacks real-world application
2021	Patel et al.	Literature review on AI in vehicles	Broader AI applications enhance safety	Lacks experimental validation
2023	Thompson & Green	Survey and legal case analysis	The public holds AI responsible for failures	Limited technical solutions for accountability
2021	Liu et al.	Psychological survey and analysis	User traits affect AI acceptance	Focus on consumer behavior rather than technology
2023	Kim & Park	AI collision avoidance algorithm design	Reduces collision rates in simulations	Limited to simulations; lacks real-world testing

References:

1. "The Self-Driving Car: Crossroads at the Bleeding Edge of Artificial Intelligence and Law".
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.02734>
2. "Review of Driving-Behaviour Simulation: VISSIM and Artificial Intelligence Approach".
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844024019674?ref=pdf_download&fr=RR-2&rr=8dc22793da8d79ed
3. "Automotive Intelligence: Unleashing the Potential of AI Beyond Advanced Driver-Assisting Systems, A Comprehensive Review".
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045790624001654?ref=pdf_download&fr=RR-2&rr=8dc22a982b9379ed
4. "Intelligence Brings Responsibility - Even Smart AI Assistants Are Held Responsible".
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2589004223015717?ref=pdf_download&fr=RR-2&rr=8dc2297eed7979ed
5. "Me, Myself and AI: How Gender, Personality, and Emotions Determine Willingness to Use Strong AI for Self-Improvement".
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040162524005584?ref=pdf_download&fr=RR-2&rr=8dc2290c4fde79ed
6. "Design and Application of Automatic Driving Emergency Collision Avoidance Control Algorithm Based on Artificial Intelligence Technology".
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2665917424002241?ref=pdf_download&fr=RR-2&rr=8dc22811deb179ed

Assignment11

ORIGINALITY REPORT

5%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

3%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

pubsonline.informs.org

Internet Source

1%

2

Submitted to University of Houston System

Student Paper

1%

3

www.deepai.org

Internet Source

1%

4

Submitted to Letterkenny Institute of Technology

Student Paper

1%

5

Submitted to University of Essex

Student Paper

1%

6

link.springer.com

Internet Source

1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On