

Project title

**The Impact of Lean Methodology on Operating Cycle
Time**

Student name

Abdulkarim Ranjha Al Ahmed - 222001493

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Abdulrahim Nader

Faculty member- Faculty of Management- Midocean University

31st of October,2025 – Third Trimester 2025

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	2
1.1. Research Background	3
1.2. Research Problem.....	6
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	6
1.2.2. Practical Gaps.....	7
1.3. Research Significance.....	8
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	8
1.3.2. At the practical level	9
1.4. References list	10

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

In every industry, time is a crucial factor that determines how efficiently a company operates. The operating cycle time, which indicates the total time needed to complete a process from start to finish, has a greater impact on productivity, cost, and customer satisfaction. Organisations that manage to reduce their cycle time often benefit from faster delivery, more effective resource use, and increased competitiveness.

However, many companies struggle with long cycle times caused by excess steps, delays, inferior coordination, or a lack of continuous improvement. These issues lead to wasted resources, higher costs, and slower customer response. As a result, businesses are under steady pressure to find better ways to streamline operations and eliminate inefficiencies. One approach that has proven operative in improving time performance is the deficient methodology.

The research problem addressed in this study is that although deficient methods are widely discussed and applied, there is still minimal understanding of how deficient principles specifically assist in reducing the operating cycle time in different business environments.

Former studies have explored the benefits of deficient methodology across several industries, particularly in manufacturing and service operations. According to Womack and Jones (2003), deficient thinking helps organisations “do further with less” by eliminating waste and improving process flow. Similarly, Liker (2004) highlighted how The Toyota Way successfully uses deficient tools to reduce lead time and improve efficiency.

Different researchers, such as Shah and Ward (2007), identified deficiency as a multidimensional concept that combines tools like Just in Time, continuous improvement (Kaizen), and value stream mapping. These tools help identify non-value-adding activities and improve workflow speed. Studies in the healthcare and logistics sectors have also shown that deficient practices reduce waiting times and enhance gross service quality. Although these studies confirm the gross benefits of deficient methods, few have directly focused on measuring their impact on operating cycle time the specific duration from process initiation to completion. This leaves room for further research and a deeper understanding.

While the existing literature provides powerful evidence that lean methods improve performance, many of the studies focus principally on cost reduction or product quality instead of time efficiency. There is also minimal research connecting specific deficient tools to measurable changes in cycle time. Some studies present general results without explaining how each deficient element, such as workflow redesign or continuous flow, really shortens the process. Another gap is that little research examines manufacturing environments, but deficient principles can also be applied in service sectors such as healthcare, education, and transportation. The lack of relevant studies across sectors makes it difficult to fully understand the broader impact of deficiencies on cycle time.

This research contributes to notional discussions about how deficient methods influence performance dimensions beyond cost and quality, specifically focusing on time. It provides a framework for analysing the relationship between deficient tools and time-based performance outcomes. For business professionals, particularly managers and process improvement teams, the study highlights hard-nosed insights on how deficient principles can be applied efficaciously to shorten cycle times. Understanding which tools, like Just in Time or Value Stream

Mapping, work best will help organisations redesign their workflows and improve efficiency. This is particularly fundamental for industries where time is a competitive advantage, such as manufacturing, logistics, and services.

This research is to investigate the impact of deficient methodology on operating cycle time and to identify which deficient tools contribute to reducing process duration. The study seeks to explore how principles such as waste reduction, continuous improvement, and value creation can be applied to achieve faster, smoother operations. By examining the connection between deficient implementation and cycle time reduction, this research aims to provide hard-nosed recommendations for organisations that want to enhance efficiency and responsiveness. The last goal is to demonstrate that deficient methodology, when properly applied, can serve as a strong strategy for improving time-based performance and sustaining long-term competitiveness.

1.2. Research Problem

In near organisations today, one of the large challenges is reducing the time it takes to complete a full process from receiving an order to delivering the final product or service. This total time is called the operating cycle time. A long operating cycle time often leads to slower customer response, higher functional costs, and lower productivity. To solve this issue, many companies have started using the deficient methodology, which focuses on improving efficiency by removing waste and simplifying work processes. Deficient practices such as Just in Time , continuous improvement (Kaizen), and value stream mapping are designed to speed up operations and increase value for customers.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

Although the deficient methodology has been widely discussed in theoretical and expert literature, near studies focus principally on its impact on cost reduction, quality improvement, and waste elimination. While these outcomes are important, there has been less attention given to the time dimension, specifically the effect of deficiency on operating cycle time. Many active theories describe deficiency as a philosophy of continuous improvement and value creation. However, few have distinctly explained how deficient principles directly influence time performance or how different deficient tools interact to shorten process duration. For example, while Just in Time aims to reduce delays and waiting, and value stream mapping identifies bottlenecks, there is still a limited theoretical explanation that connects these concepts to measurable reductions in total cycle time.

Another notional gap exists in understanding the balance between efficiency and flexibility. Some researchers argue that focusing too much on speed may reduce flexibility in responding to unexpected changes, but current theories do not distinctly define how organisations can maintain both. Therefore, the notional gap this study addresses lies in the lack of a perspicuous framework that links deficient methodology with operating cycle time improvement. By exploring this relationship, the research seeks to strengthen the notional foundation of deficient practices and contribute to a deeper understanding of time-based performance in operations management.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

While many organisations have adopted deficient methodology to improve their performance, the results are often contradictory or short-term. In practice, several companies introduce deficient tools such as 5S, Kaizen, or Just in Time without fully understanding how these tools should work together to reduce the operating cycle time. As a result, some improvements happen at the beginning but are not uninterrupted over time. Another hard-nosed gap is that many managers focus principally on cost savings instead of on time efficiency. They may measure how deficient reduces expenses or waste, but they do not always measure the total process time from start to finish. Without decent measurement and follow-up, it becomes difficult to know whether the deficient initiatives are truly improving the operating cycle time or just ever-changing individual steps in the process.

In addition, different industries apply differently. What works in manufacturing may not work in services such as healthcare, logistics, or education. Many organisations copy deficient tools from different sectors without adapting them to

their own processes, which limits their effectiveness. There is also a skills and awareness gap. Employees sometimes see deficiency as an extra task instead of a continuous mindset, which causes ineffective implementation and unrefined commitment. The lack of training and leadership support further reduces the semipermanent success of deficient programs.

Therefore, the independent hard-nosed gap lies in the minimal understanding of how to apply deficient practices efficaciously and sustainably to reduce operating cycle time. This study aims to highlight these real-world challenges and suggest ways organisations can bridge the gap between deficient theory and actual practice.

1.3. Research Significance

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to both notional understanding and hard-nosed application of deficient methodology in improving functional performance, particularly in reducing operating cycle time. Many organisations are knowledgeable of deficient principles, but not all distinctly understand how these principles can be used to make processes quicker and more efficient. This study aims to fill that gap by providing insights that are invaluable for both researchers and professionals.

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

From a notional point of view, this research contributes to the active body of knowledge on deficient management and functional efficiency. While many previous studies have focused on the effects of deficiencies on cost, waste, or quality, this study highlights their relationship with time performance. It helps to

build a clearer understanding of how deficient tools such as Just in Time, continuous flow, and value stream mapping (VSM) directly influence cycle time reduction.

By exploring this connection, the study adds a modern dimension to deficient theory linking process improvement methods to measurable time outcomes. This can help future researchers develop further true models and frameworks that explain how deficiencies contribute to faster, more nimble operations.

1.3.2. At the practical level

On a hard-nosed level, this research will assist managers, supervisors, and process improvement teams in understanding how to apply deficient principles efficaciously in their organisations. Many companies struggle to sustain improvements after introducing deficiencies because they lack a clear understanding of which practices have a significant impact on time efficiency.

The findings of this study will offer hard-nosed guidance on selecting and implementing satisfactory deficient tools to reduce delays, eliminate waste, and shorten the gross operating cycle time. This can lead to quicker service delivery, higher productivity, lower functional costs, and improved customer satisfaction.

In addition, the study can serve as a reference for training and continuous improvement programs, helping organisations build a stronger culture of efficiency and teamwork. It will also encourage leaders to measure success not only by cost or output, but also by the time it takes to complete each process, a key factor for long-term competitiveness.

1.4. References list

- Lean Thinking: Banish Waste and Create Wealth in Your Corporation. Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T.
- The Toyota Way: 14 Management Principles from the World's Greatest Manufacturer. Liker, J. K..
- Defining lean production: The development of a multidimensional measurement. Shah, R., & Ward, P. T.
- Toyota Production System: Beyond Large-Scale Production. Ohno, T.
- Lean manufacturing: Literature review and research issues. Bhamu, J., & Sangwan, K. S.