

Midocean University

College of Management

Department of Project Management

Title

The Impact of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)
on Project Management Efficiency

Prepared by:

Anas Faraj Ahmed Garman

Supervised by:

Dr. Alfaisal Abdelhameed Mohame

Dr. Fayeze Ali Jrad

Year:2025

1 -. Acknowledgements and Dedication /Appendices

Table of Contents

1.1 Acknowledgements and Dedication:

Finally by the hierarchy of necessity, I acknowledge and thank Almighty Allah who guides me and helps me in achieving of this work. Also, I wanted to express my complete and sincere thanks and appreciation to the Professor Dr. Alfaisal Abdelhameed Mohame and Dr. Fayeze Ali Jrad, the head of this thesis, for the precious opinion, the great support during all my research period and their patience for along the road of this research. Most of their advice has been too useful to have it ignored in shaping this paper and its completion.

I also wish to extend my gratitude and appreciation to the honourable members of the examination committee, Professor Dr. () and Professor Dr. () for the careful reading and evaluation of the thesis, for valuable questions and comments and several suggestions which helped to enhance the quality research.

I am grateful especially to Midocean University for its supportive academic and research environment which made possible the completion of this work. Lastly, I owe a debt of gratitude to my dear family, namely Parents, Brothers, for their endless support, encouragement, and patience throughout the time of authoring this thesis. I could never be where I'm at today without their prayer and endless support, I want to thank everyone that helped out or even just sent me some good vibes.

1.2 Table of Contents:

1. Appendices	2
1.1 Acknowledgements and Dedication	3
1.2 Table of Contents	4
1.3 Figures.....	6
1.4 Tables.....	6
1.5 Acronyms.....	6
2. Chapter One : Introduction.....	7
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 Problem Statement.....	9
2.3 Significance of the Study.....	10
2.4 Objectives of the Study.....	11
2.5 Research Questions.....	12
2.6 Hypotheses.	13
2.7 Theoretical Framework.....	13
2.8 Methodology and Research Methods.....	14
2.9 Research Tools.....	14
2.10 Study Limitations.....	15
3. Chapter Three: Previous Studies.....	16
3.1 Review of Previous Studies.....	17
– Dr. Essam El Badry Fadl.....	17
– Vanclay.....	17
– Stephen Jay et al.	17
– Morrison-Saunders.....	17
3.2 Summary and Critique.....	17
4. Chapter Four: Theoretical Framework of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA).....	19
4.1 Introduction to EIA.....	20
4.2 Historical Evolution of EIA.....	21
4.3 Key Milestones in EIA Legislation.....	21
4.4 Evolution and Expansion of EIA.....	22
4.5 Principles and Practices of EIA.....	24
4.6 Methodology of EIA.....	25
4.7 Phases of EIA.....	25
4.8 Limitations in Effectiveness.....	28
4.9 Risk Management Theory.....	29
4.11 System Theory.....	30
4.12 Total Quality Management (TQM)	30
5. Chapter Five: Case Study and Analysis	32
5.1 The Impact of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) on Project Management Efficiency.....	33
5.2 Evaluation of EIA Effects on General Project Management Performance.....	33
5.3 Nature and Standard of EIA Reporting and its Impact on Decision-Making.....	36
5.4 Advantages, Constraints, and Institutional Obstacles for Integrated EIA	38
5.4.1 Advantages.....	38

5.4.2 Constraints and Institutional Obstacles.....	40
5.5 Unified Systems and Policy for EIA in Project Management.....	41
5.6 How EIA Affects Project Management Efficiency	43
5.7 Main Benefits of Implementing EIA.....	45
5.8 Challenges and Obstacles Hindering the Integration of EIA into Project Management.....	46
5.9 Improvements and Supporting Tools/Methods for EIA Integration.....	50
5.9.1 Improved Methodologies and Approaches.....	51
5.9.2 Supporting Tools and Technologies.....	52
5.9.3 Enhancing Collaboration and Capacity Building.....	53
5.10 How EIA Affects Resource Allocation and Project Prioritization.....	53
5.10.1 Resource Allocation.....	54
5.10.2 Project Prioritization.....	55
5.11 Relationship Between EIA Application and Long-Term Cost Reduction.....	56
5.12 Enhancing Local Community Participation in the EIA Process.....	59
5.13 Role of Modern Technology in Enhancing EIA Effectiveness.....	61
5.14 How the Quality of EIA Reports Impacts the Effectiveness of Planning and Execution Processes.....	63
5.14.1 Impact on Planning Processes.....	63
5.14.2 Impact on Execution Processes.....	64
5.14.3 Factors Influencing Report Quality.....	65
5.15 Factors Impeding the Incorporation of EIA Findings in Managerial Decision-Making.....	65
5.15.1 Behavioral and cognitive factors.....	66
5.15.2 Institutional and Procedural Barriers.....	66
5.15.3 Informational and Quality Limitations.....	68
5.16 Strategies and Measures to Better Exploit EIA Findings in Project Management.....	69
5.16.1 Improving EIA Report Quality and Focus.....	70
5.16.2 Strategic and Tiered Environmental Planning.....	71
5.16.3 Enhancing Stakeholder Participation and Communication.....	71
5.16.4 Adoption of Advanced Technologies and Tools.....	72
5.16.5 Capacity Building and Institutional Strengthening.....	73
6. Chapter Six: Results, Discussion, Recommendations, and References	75
6.1 Results and Discussion on Research	76
6.2 Research Recommendations	76
6.3 References	77
7. Chapter Seven: Appendixes	92
Arabic abstract الملخص العربي	93

1.3 Figures:

Figure 1 The whole EIA process Stages

1.4 Tables:

Table 1 Table outlines various stages and components typically involved in (EIA)

1.5 Acronyms:

Acronym	Full Term
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act (USA)
SEA	Strategic Environmental Assessment
TQM	Total Quality Management
SIA	Social Impact Assessment
IFAS	Integrated Fixed-film Activated Sludge (Reactor)
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
EEC	European Economic Community
UNECE	United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
EIRR	Economic Internal Rate of Return
IEM	Integrated Environmental Management

2 -. Introduction

2.1 Introduction:

The fast-changing global environment has made sustainable development an urgent necessity which forces communities to find a precise equilibrium between economic advancement and social care and environmental protection. The Paris Agreement and United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) have strengthened this imperative by requiring environmentally responsible practices throughout all sectors. Project management has undergone a fundamental transformation because it now requires environmental and social integration to fulfill modern requirements which were previously focused on economic and technical milestones.

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) serves as the fundamental tool for this transformation because it provides a systematic and proactive method to evaluate proposed project environmental consequences before implementation. The EIA process includes screening to establish assessment necessity followed by scoping to define essential issues and impact analysis to forecast effects and the development of mitigation strategies to reduce harm while increasing benefits. Organizations can achieve sustainable development alignment through EIA implementation in project management which protects ecological integrity and societal well-being during development initiatives.

Project management benefits from this EIA integration. For example, being able to identify the build-up of environmental risk – such as soil erosion on a building project or water contamination on a mining project – early on in the life-cycle of a deliverable for instance, would allow the project team to take action to prevent this from happening, and therefore prevent abortive work and redesign work. EIA (i) helps ensure environmental compliance, thereby minimizing the risk of legal sanctions, and (ii) builds credibility of the project amongst its stakeholders. EIA's public participation piece helps forge partnerships with local communities, as in projects like the High Line renewal in New York City -- a community input driven adaptation that deemed both the environmental and social impacts. All in, these are advantages that contribute to a more efficient project delivery process that lessen the risk of budget over-runs and operational delays.

Yet this kind of fusing isn't without its challenges. Linking-in EIA takes you on to extremely tricky legal terrain, which varies enormously by sector and jurisdiction. It calls for the expertise of specialists in such areas as environmental science and engineering), and due to workforce shortages, these mandates may become long overdue. The procedure can also extend project time lines and drive up initial expense, providing tough decisions for policy makers under the mounting strain between short-term demands and long-term sustainability priorities. These obstacles highlight the need to have a systemic EIA, which does not give primacy to the bureaucratic EIA but rather gives due importance to it as a tool for improving project management.

2.2 Problem Statement:

Despite the general acceptance and application of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to safeguard the environment and ensure sustainable project execution, there

remains a huge shortfall in their mainstream application into project management practices. Despite the such objectivity of EIA –as an instrument of early risk screening, legal conformance, and enablers for better-reaching involvement of stakeholders– it is clear that EIA perform is commonly hampered by systemic, procedural and organizational handicaps that currently limit its capacity to add value. Among the main latent issues is the discrepancy between the results obtained in EIAs and the strategic and operational aspects of project management. Quite often, EIA documents are drawn up in isolation by environmentalists isolated from the project managers; here is a disconnect between the environment learning and project fact decision taking. This communication failure leads to underuse of important advice, a lack of timeliness in implementing preventative measures, and insensitivity to new environmental risk.

Further, the cumbersome nature and timing of EIA processes often lead to extreme delays to projects. It can result in protracted periods of time given that lots of steps require participation by multiple regulatory agencies, extensive data gathering in the form of baseline studies, and toing and froing among stakeholders. They also frequently are exacerbated by demands for greater financial and human resources to execute early planning, increasing early project costs and hampering comprehensive EIA integration, particularly in low-level resourced settings.

Making the situation worse, key players such as project proponents, contractors and local authorities have little knowledge and interest in understanding the meaning and purpose of the EIA process. This lack of knowledge creates resistance to including EIA results in the project process. Sometimes, people tend to view the process as only a cost in terms of regulation and not as a value-added mechanism; this can lead to shallow compliance and not real environmental incorporation.

In addition, lack of community involvement and nontransparent decision-making can lead to public distrust, opposition or litigation by affected communities. ³ These not only cause delay in implementation, but also erode project legitimacy and create reputation damage and litigation risks as projects do not adhere to environmental law. This is especially problematic in projects working in environmentally sensitive or politically sensitive locations.

The intersection of these issues highlights the urgency for a more integrated and systemic inclusion of EIA within project management. This will involve promoting interdisciplinary working, developing capacity amongst stakeholders, simplifying process requirements, and providing mechanisms by which assessment feeds into implementation. Without these reforms, the promise of EIA as both a regulatory and managerial tool is far from being fully realized.

Solving these complex problems is not only necessary for more efficient projects and to avoid cost and schedule overruns, but is also necessary to gain greater environmental stewardship and community trust. The aim of this study is to suggest viable decision making policies for strengthening the association between EIA methods and project management techniques, which in turn would result in a more efficient, resilient and sustainable pattern of project implementation.

2.3 Significance of the Study:

this study has considerable theoretical and practical value in the interplay between environmental responsibility and project success. Even as Sustainability has become a key tenet for responsible development, the integration of EIA with project management presents a unique opportunity to improve operational performance and at the same time to continue to conserve ecological balance. The relevance of this study can be dealt with at various levels:

First, knowledge is adding value to the efficiency of project management, by providing practical guidelines and frameworks to integrate the environmental dimensions into every project phase, from initiation and planning to implementation and closure. Incorporating EIA results into management practices could help organizations integrate their goals with sustainability targets, with the apparent consequences a more favorable performance metrics, less resources waste and a more expedited implementation schedule.

Second, the research contributes to risk identification and mitigation. EIA can have the function of early warning of environmental hazards such as pollution, depletion of resources, and opposition from communities, by which this gives the opportunity to take effective measures. This enables a proactive approach, thus reducing the chances of unforeseen labour interruptions, financial strains and a tainted reputation and ultimately improving the project's resilience and its ability to reach defined goals.

Third, with respect to regulatory compliance, the research highlights the structured use of EIA as a mechanism to aid organizations in compliance with national and international environmental regulations. In addition to avoiding legal sanctions and the closure of projects, compliance strengthens a government institution's reputation, as well as the confidence of its internal and external investors—critical factors in the governance-centric context of today's projects.

Additionally, the study supports reliable stakeholder inclusion including that of local communities. EIA implicitly entails participative processes afforded by public consultations and feedback mechanisms which increases transparency and legitimacy as well as social acceptance of projects. Inclusive projects like these tend to have greater cooperation, fewer conflicts, and are more consistent with local needs and values.

In terms of theoretical development, this research fits meaningfully in the academic as well as the professional knowledge base by integrating research on environmental assessment with basics of the project management research for the betterment of environment assessment practices. A theoretical/ practical model is suggested explaining how EIA, in building innovation and response with the regulation, can be a compliance based and strategic tool refining environmental performance with company success.

Further, this research has both pedagogical and practical implications. For academia, it contributes to academic knowledge by providing a multi-perspective approach of how environmental and project knowledge areas can be integrated. In practical terms, the manual provides project managers, planners and decision-makers with an ordered compendium of methods, procedures and recommendations to systematically incorporate EIA findings in a manner that makes their use more responsive, sustainable and results-oriented.

The study encourages rational use of natural resources and long term ecological sustainability by its environmental ethics and sustainability dimension. By promoting such a shift in disaster response from 'fixing' the hazards and consequences of future disasters to pre-emptive hazard planning, the research contributes to the objectives of intergenerational equity, environmental justice, in addition to the multi-faceted agenda of stabilizing and restoring life supporting systems, as articulated in such international agreements as the Paris Accords and the United Nations Sustainable Development Agenda.

Overall this paper makes an important contribution to current debates on how to reconcile economic development with environmental protection. It contextualizes EIA's strategic value in this era of project management. It provides the building blocks for future, more robust, accountable and sustainable development paths.

2.4 Objectives of the Study:

- To evaluate EIA effects on the general project management performance:
This aim is to determine eminence of EIA incorporation on the performance variables of project such as time administration, cost management, scope establishment and quality control. The analysis is supposed to track the project implementation from initiation and design stages through implementation, monitoring, up to phase-out and where and how the EIA interventions are making the projects efficient or constraining.
- To investigate the nature and standard of EIA reporting and how their contents impact upon the decision-making:
This section of the study deals with the depth, accuracy and clarity of EIA documentation. It is intended as a test of the extent that impacts and measures are identified. It will also examine the ways in which these aspects affect the quality of managerial decision making, especially in planning and risk management, as well as the relation between high-quality EIAs and how it can be expected to contribute to more proactive, informed and sustainable project planning.
- To determine the advantages, constraints, and institutional obstacles for integrated EIA:
It seeks to reveal the conducive rationale as well as constraints for the utilization of EIA in planning practice. It will explore a variety of related barriers—such as technical bottlenecks; institutional fragmentation and administrative red tape; budget challenges; and socio-cultural challenges in order to suggest options for strong integration of EIA reports. Understanding such barriers is important for developing intervention models that are workable and contextually appropriate.
- To establish unified systems and policy for EIA in project management:
With the knowledge derived from the objectives above, this phase of the research will offer indicative model(s) that are practical and action-oriented for mainstreaming EIA into all project phases. These models should support an increase in operational efficiency, cutting of implementation risks and optimising project costs without forfeiting any environmental or social values. They will provide policy-makers and project developers with reference materials to help them integrate development and environmental sustainability.

2.5 Research Questions:

1. How does EIA affect project management efficiency?
2. What are the main benefits of implementing EIA?
3. What challenges and obstacles hinder the integration of EIA into project management?
4. How can the process of integrating EIA be improved, and what tools or methods can support this improvement?
5. How does EIA affect resource allocation and project prioritization?
6. What is the relationship between EIA application and long-term cost reduction?
7. How can local community participation in the EIA process be enhanced?
8. What role does modern technology play in enhancing the effectiveness of EIA?
9. How does the quality of EIA reports impact the effectiveness of planning and execution processes?
10. What factors impede the incorporation of EIA findings in managerial decision-making?
11. What strategies and measures can be adopted to better exploit EIA findings in project management?

2.6 Hypotheses:

In order to guide the research direction and empirical analysis, this study is grounded on a set of interrelated hypotheses that reflect the expected relationships between Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) practices and project management performance. These hypotheses are formulated as follows:

1. Efficiency and Integration Hypothesis:

There is a positive relationship between the comprehensive integration of EIA into project planning and execution, and the overall efficiency of project management. It is hypothesized that the more systematically and accurately EIA findings are embedded within the project's strategic and operational phases, the more effective the management of time, cost, scope, and resources will be. This integration is expected to enhance coordination among project teams, reduce rework, and contribute to smoother project delivery.

2. Risk Reduction Hypothesis:

EIA contributes significantly to the reduction of economic, environmental, and operational risks when implemented proactively during the early planning stages. By identifying potential environmental constraints and community-related concerns early in the process, EIA helps project stakeholders to avoid unexpected delays, regulatory penalties, redesign costs, and social conflicts. This proactive risk management strengthens the project's structural and financial stability.

3. Stability and Regulatory Compliance Hypothesis:

Integrating EIA into project management practices improves legal compliance, reduces long-term operational costs, and promotes project sustainability.

It is assumed that projects which systematically implement EIA recommendations—especially those related to environmental mitigation—are more likely to meet regulatory requirements, enhance environmental performance, and support long-term development goals consistent with the SDGs and national sustainability strategies.

4. Challenges and Innovation Hypothesis:

Despite the procedural, financial, and time-related challenges associated with EIA, the application of innovative tools and participatory approaches can enhance its effectiveness and stakeholder acceptance.

This hypothesis suggests that the obstacles often faced in EIA implementation—such as high upfront costs, delays, and complex bureaucratic procedures—can be mitigated through the adoption of modern technologies (e.g., Geographic Information Systems, remote sensing) and stronger collaboration with local communities and experts. Such innovations are expected to lead to more accurate assessments and reduce friction during project execution.

5. Quality and Project Execution Efficiency Hypothesis:

There is a direct and positive correlation between the quality of EIA studies and the efficiency of project execution.

High-quality EIA reports—those characterized by methodological rigor, comprehensive data, and actionable recommendations—are believed to enhance informed decision-making, reduce uncertainties, and improve coordination across project phases. Consequently, this leads to more predictable, efficient, and sustainable project outcomes.

2.7 Theoretical Framework:

- **Key Milestones in EIA Legislation:** This section would cover significant legal developments and landmark decisions that shaped EIA globally.
- **Evolution and Expansion of EIA:** Discuss how EIA has evolved from its initial focus to encompass broader environmental, social, and economic considerations.
- **Principles and Practices of EIA** This section would detail the fundamental principles guiding EIA processes, such as transparency, public participation, and early integration. It would also cover common practices in conducting an EIA.
- **Methodology of EIA:** Outline the systematic approach used in EIA, including scoping, baseline studies, impact prediction, and mitigation measures.
- **Phases of EIA:** Describe the typical stages of an EIA process, from screening and scoping to monitoring and auditing.
- **Limitations in EIA Effectiveness**
- This section would address common challenges and shortcomings that can limit the effectiveness of EIA in practice.
- **Risk Management Theory:** This theory focuses on identifying, analyzing, and mitigating potential risks associated with projects. By incorporating elements like EIA, it helps reduce unexpected costs and supports proactive risk control, ensuring project sustainability.
- **System Theory:** This perspective views projects as integrated systems that dynamically interact with their surrounding environment. It highlights the complex

relationships and mutual influences between a project and its broader environmental context, emphasizing a holistic assessment.

- Total Quality Management (TQM): TQM advocates for continuous improvement in procedures and quality. When applied to project management, it leverages EIA findings to minimize errors and unnecessary changes, thereby enhancing the overall quality and efficiency of the project.

2.8 Methodology and Research Methods:

- Theoretical Narrative
Analyzing the literature and previous studies to understand the theoretical framework of the phenomenon under study, and identifying the knowledge gaps that the research seeks to fill.
- Historical Methodology:
Aims to understand past events and phenomena by analyzing historical sources.
- Document Analysis:

Data Review: The study incorporates a thorough analysis of existing documentation, including:

- I. National and international laws and policies related to EIA.
- II. Governmental reports, consultancy documents, and previous research studies.
- III. Detailed project reports that outline the EIA process (from initial screening and scoping through to data collection, analysis, and final assessment)
- IV. Critical Literature Review.
- V. Matrix Linking From literature review Results to Hypotheses.

2.9 Research Tools:

- Databases and Electronic Libraries: Such as Google Scholar, Scopus, and others to access previous studies and theoretical references.
- Reference Management Software: Tools like EndNote or Mendeley for organizing sources and literary research.
- Archives and Historical Libraries: To access primary and secondary sources, such as historical documents, memoirs, and old records.
- Digital Archival Sources: Websites that provide historical documents, such as university archives or national libraries.
- Legal and Legislative Sources: Official websites and national or international organizations that publish relevant laws and policies.
- Government and Consultancy Reports: Reviewing reports available on ministry websites or specialized institutional platforms.

2.10 Study Limitations:

- Geographical and Sectoral Boundaries: This limitation can affect the generality of findings for other geographical regions, project types or small -scale endeavors.

- **Exclusion of External Influences:** The study does not take into account external influences including political and economic conditions, which can profoundly affect both project outcomes and the execution of environmental impact assessments.
- **Data Biases:** Reliance on pre-existing EIA reports may introduce incomplete data or institutional bias, particularly if these reports prioritize expediting official approvals over conducting thorough and accurate environmental assessments.
- **Legal and Cultural Considerations:** Variations in environmental legislation and compliance levels across countries may influence study outcomes.
- **Measurement Limitations:** Objectively measuring "efficiency" is challenging due to its multifaceted indicators (time, cost, quality) and differing priorities across projects.

4-. Previous Studies

3.1 Review of Previous Studies:

1. *The role of environmental impact assessment in achieving sustainable development*
Dr. Essam El Badry Fadl

Integration of Environmental Considerations: EIA helps integrate environmental considerations into project planning and decision-making processes. By evaluating potential impacts early, EIA enables the adoption of mitigation measures to minimize negative environmental consequences and enhance project sustainability.

2. *International Principles For Social Impact Assessment*
Vanclay

Vanclay emphasized the importance of integrating EIA into the broader project management lifecycle. He highlighted that when EIA is treated as an integral part of project planning rather than a standalone compliance activity, it enhances overall project efficiency by fostering proactive problem-solving.

3. *Environmental impact assessment: Retrospect and prospect*
Stephen Jay , Carys Jones , Paul Slinn , Christopher Wood

Evolution of EIA and Its Role in Project Management

Historically, EIA emerged with the passage of early environmental laws such as the U.S. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in 1969, which established a framework for considering environmental consequences in federal decision making. As described by Jay and colleagues, EIA has evolved from a primarily procedural tool into a more substantive instrument that also drives sustainable development and risk management in projects. In modern practice, EIA is not only about environmental protection but is increasingly used to inform decision making and to improve the overall design and viability of projects.

4. *Environmental impact assessment as a tool for ongoing environmental management*
Angus Morrison-Saunders

Active public participation and rigorous environmental studies can lead to better-informed decisions, ensuring that projects are designed with a comprehensive understanding of potential impacts. For example, research by Morrison-Saunders and colleagues has shown that the iterative learning process fostered by EIA can lead to organizational improvements and adaptive management practices.

3.2 Summary and Critique:

Academics including Dr. Essam El Badry Fadl argue that early environmental assessments ensure measures are taken to avoid expensive mid-project tweaks and ensure the longer-term salvageability of the project. In the same vein Vanclay emphasises the need to situate EIA in the context of the project cycle generally, noting that an approach which views EIA as part of the project not a mere bureaucratic procedure encourages interfaces between different sections of people and breaks down systemic blockages: But the efficiency savings promised by EIA depend on the quality of its application; superficial assessments, lack of real stakeholder engagement or non-enforcement frequently render EIA impotent. Morrison-Saunders stresses the significance of involving

participatory processes that are conducive to iterative learning and adaptive management, but such inclusiveness can lead to protracted processes, in particular where expressed differences in stakeholder stake er i nterAnCes overlap. Also, differences of technical ability and resources between rich and poor countries lead to uneven results from EIA, and an aggravation of global inequalities. Contemporary tools – ranging from predictive modeling through GIS to digital applications – have enhanced EIA’s ability to contribute to analyses at an increasingly precise level, but quantifying return-on-investment (ROI) mathematically with hard data (as cost-savings and minimized delays) remains a challenge. Areas for future research To overcome these limitations, future research should focus on context-specific case studies, formulate standard frameworks to assess efficiency and investigate possible interactions between EIA and innovative project management approaches such as agile or circular economy. Indeed, the capacity of EIA to be transformational does not reside in any bureaucratic reality as such, but rather is about being effectively integrated strategically into cultures which demand transparency, innovation and sustainability—which, in an age of climate urgency, resource constraints and the need for rapid transitions of all kinds.

4 -.Theoretical Framework of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

4.1 An Introduction to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a comprehensive process used to evaluate the environmental effects of proposed projects, policies, or programs before their finalization, aiming to integrate environmental considerations into early planning stages and support sustainable practices (Gilpin, 1994) (1).

The first is (EIA), a routine and comprehensive process used to assess the environmental effects of projects, policies, or programs before they are finalised. This structured framework is a valuable instrument in the field of environmental management, and it seeks to make it possible for environmental aspects to be considered from the early stages of planning. Through the examination of potential positive and negative effects, EIA enables decisions to contribute to sustainable practices, since they have the lining up required healing and development. Its value is that it is able to predict potential environmental impacts as well as add transparency and accountability during project design and implementation.

The origins of (EIA) can be traced back to the 1970s, a period marked by heightened environmental awareness and the demand for legislation to curb the depletion of natural resources (Whitmee et al., 2015)(2). A significant step in the formalization of EIA was the enactment of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in 1969 in the USA (Whitmee et al., 2015 Act, 1969 McCallum, 1969). This act mandated that federal agencies consider the environmental consequences of their actions, providing a model for other countries to implement similar EIA frameworks (Whitmee et al., 2015 McCallum, 1969). Over the years, EIA has evolved, adapting to changing socio-political contexts and benefiting from advancements in environmental and technological sciences (Whitmee et al., 2015).

The purpose of EIA is to provide decision makers with an indication of the likely effects of a proposed activity on the environment. This ranges from the impacts on ecosystems, air and water quality, biodiversity and socio-economic aspects. In that way EIA can help to find environmental mitigation measures that lessen negative effects on the environment and, in a few scenarios, the measures proposed under the EIA process can be an effective tool to develop the sustainability of the projects. It also has an important role to play in involving stakeholders, including local communities, in decision making and promoting public participation and the legitimacy of the decisions.

In response to the increased complexity of environmental issues, the focus of EIA has widened. Contemporary practices in EIA are also aimed at considering multiple issues, including those related with climate change, social equity, and the possibility of cumulative impacts arising for the interaction of several projects. This progression indicates an acceptance of the interdependence of environmental, social and economic systems and a movement toward more integrated forms of testing.

In addition (EIA) serves as a crucial link between scientific data and policy-making (Craik, 2019)(3) . It translates environmental information into actionable insights, guiding policymakers and developers toward more responsible and sustainable practices in construction and other activities (Craik, 2019). This process extends beyond a procedural step, acting as an essential component of environmental governance that contributes to the broader objective of sustainable development (Craik, 2019).

In conclusion, EIA is a cornerstone in the quest for SD. By making the impacts of possible decisions known to policy-makers and the public EIA is intended to allow policy-makers to make well informed decisions, prevent loss of options and reduce uncertainty while selecting the preferred option. As we start descending into the EIA history, principles and methods the complex piece of fabric which made the EIA one of the essential tools to bring sustainability -- comes out and explains the importance of this tool for bringing sustainability.

4.2 Historical Evolution of EIA:

(EIA) emerged from the escalating environmental consciousness of the mid-20th century, driven by industrial expansion and increasing awareness of human-induced environmental degradation (Bartlett & Malone, 1993) . Although informal appraisals of environmental impacts existed prior to the 1970s, EIA became a systematized procedure with the promulgation of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in the United States in 1969 (Bartlett & Malone, 1993) . NEPA mandated a formal environmental review for all significant federal actions that could profoundly affect the environment, setting the stage for modern EIA practices (Bartlett & Malone, 1993) (4) This legislation was a direct response to public concern regarding environmental pollution, deforestation, and the depletion of natural resources, acknowledging that unchecked development could lead to irreversible damage (Castro et al., 2016)(5).

(EIA) was introduced by law in the United StatesCaldwell, 1988. Following the passage of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in 1969, many countries enacted similar laws to integrate EIA into their national frameworks (Chen & Tsai, 1989 Pearson, 1990).

4.3 Key Milestones in EIA Legislation:

European Economic Community (EEC) Directive The first directive in the field of EIA was issued by the European Economic Community (EEC) in June 1985 (Jörissen & Coenen, 1992 Wood & Lee, 1988 Wiltshire et al., 1987 . This directive, which became operative on July 3, 1988, mandated member states to carry out assessments of the environmental effects of certain public and private projects (Grant, 1988 Wathern, 1988). The EEC directive aimed to integrate environmental considerations into planning and decision-making across EuropeWathern, 1986 Sheate & Macrory, 1989 Lee & Wood, 1985 . It provided a common framework for decision-making procedures throughout the CommunitySheate & Macrory, 1989.

In Canada, the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act was adopted in 1992 (Lee & Walsh, 1992). This act established a federal process for evaluating the environmental impacts of proposed projects (Lee & Walsh, 1992 O'Brien, 1992). Environmental assessment in Canada includes public consultation, environmental protection, and planning, and is considered a planning tool (O'Brien, 1992). The Canadian government has a responsibility to assess environmental impacts, especially for projects with transboundary and interjurisdictional effects (Valiante, 1991) . There has been an increased focus on cumulative impact assessment and sustainability assessment in Canada (Lee & Walsh, 1992).

Integration in Other Countries Following these developments, other countries integrated EIA into their legal and administrative frameworks to support sustainable development (Wirth, 1989)

- Australia: Environmental assessment in Australia was initially influenced by American and Canadian models (Bates, 2002) . The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) represents a fundamental reform of Commonwealth responsibility for the environment (Bates, 2002) . Australia's reserve system includes some important freshwater ecosystems, but a more detailed assessment is needed to identify gaps and expand freshwater protected areas.
- Japan: Japan has examined the development and current state of environmental policy, particularly EIA (Barrett & Therivel, 1992). The application of EIA procedures in Japan has illustrated the conflict between economic growth and environmental protection through various development projects (Barrett & Therivel, 1992)
- South Africa: South Africa has also worked towards introducing EIA procedures (Faure, 1990). The integration of environmental procedures, such as Integrated Environmental Management (IEM), is being considered for projects in South Africa (Faure, 1990)

4.4 Evolution and Expansion of EIA :

(EIA) has undergone a notable evolution, extending its scope beyond individual projects to encompass more strategic levels of assessment (Lee & Walsh, 1992) . This expansion, particularly with the development of Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) in the late 1980s and early 1990s, reflects a growing recognition that many significant environmental impacts stem from decisions made at higher policy, plan, and program levels, rather than solely at the project stage (Lee & Walsh, 1992). This shift enables a more proactive environmental management approach, integrating sustainability considerations early in the decision-making process (Pinfield, 1992 Gardiner, 1992 Brown & Thérivel, 2000).

International treaties and conventions have played a crucial role in shaping the development and adoption of EIA world wide (Eccleston & March, 2010 Bastmeijer & Roura, 2008 Urban, 2023). A landmark event, the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, endorsed environmental assessment as a key mechanism for achieving sustainable development (Foo, 1992). A significant outcome of this summit was Agenda 21, which advocated for the widespread use of EIA, including SEA, to systematically integrate environmental concerns into development planning (Eccleston & March, 2010) . Furthermore, the 1998 Aarhus Convention, an initiative of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE), emphasized the importance of public involvement in environmental decision-making, a principle that is fundamental to contemporary EIA practices (Zhen-hua, 2009) . These international platforms have been instrumental in influencing national EIA policies by promoting more transparent and participatory assessment processes (Wright, 1986 Eccleston & March, 2010 Bastmeijer & Roura, 2008 Urban, 2023).

International treaties and conventions have significantly influenced on the emergence and global acceptance of EIA and SEA. A mile-stone meeting of the world community

adopted environmental assessment as a central tool in working towards sustainable development, promoting the use of EIA and SEA to systematically incorporate environmental considerations into the planning for development. Moreover, another international agreement stressed the significance of public participation in environmental assessment process, a principle which is at the core of modern EIA methodologies. These international infrastructure bodies have contributed substantially to shaping national EIA regulations and in nudging more transparent and participatory forms of assessment.

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a process designed to evaluate the likely environmental impacts of a proposed project or development, considering interrelated socioeconomic, cultural, and human-health impacts, both beneficial and adverse (Morgan, 2020). It serves as a crucial basis for decisions regarding potential conditions imposed on projects (Kassim & Simoneit, 2005). The system in Western Australia, with over 30 years of operation, has gained an international reputation as a strong model for successful EIA practice (Morrison-Saunders, 2011).

EIA is guided by several core principles to ensure its effectiveness and legitimacy (Glasson et al., 1999; Morgan, 1998; You-chun, 2006). These principles include sustainability, public participation, transparency, and the mitigation hierarchy (Garner & O'Riordan, 1982).

Sustainability is an integral aspect of EIA, aiming to align development projects with long-term environmental and social well-being (Petts, 1999; Chun-yan, 2003). The objective is to identify impacts at an early stage, reduce adverse effects, and tailor projects to suit the local environment (Morgan, 2020). The benchmark methodology for EIA can be used to assess whether an activity corresponds to the principles of sustainable development (Pubule & Blumberga, 2010). Social Impact Assessment (SIA), a component of EIA, is particularly useful for those pursuing sustainable development and natural resources development (Barrow, 2002). The "International Principles for Social Impact Assessment" defines SIA as processes for analyzing, monitoring, and managing the intended and unintended social consequences of planned interventions, with a primary purpose of achieving a more sustainable and equitable biophysical and human environment (Vanclay, 2003).

on transparent practices for EIA displays an enduring quandary about the tension between good procedural governance and practical application. Although transparency is frequently pointed out as a central mechanism to increase legitimacy and generate public confidence, little consensus has been reached regarding its operationalization. The literature argues that a participatory process is likely to be perceived as symbolic rather than substantive, without explicit procedures of showing how public input shapes decision-making. This directly challenges the credibility of a stakeholder engagement process and may undermine public trust in environmental governance.

The debate on (EIA) shows its position as a central instrument in assessing the multiple effects of development projects. It is not just a formal procedure, but it is presented as an active instrument that puts together environmental, social and health aspects in decision making. Its implementation within a regime, and in particular a mature one such as Western Australia, shows how EIA may represent an example of best practice internationally.

Several guiding principles are fundamental to the success of EIA. These include, but are not limited to, sustainability, transparency, public participation, and the mitigation hierarchy. Those principles are not abstract concepts, goals that would be nice to achieve, but the basis for measuring both the structure and effects of assessment. Especially the sustainability is perceived not as an abstract entity, but as a quantifiable benchmark against which real projects are compared to. Similarly, the trend of incorporating Social Impact Assessment (SIA) in the EIA model is part of this thrust, acknowledging the need to understand intentional and unintentional social impacts.

What becomes clear is that, if properly conceived and carried forward, EIA is something more than a regulatory tool. It is a strategic tool to link development with long-term ecological and societal health. The literature indicates that early detection of the impacts and preventive measures are gold rules in order to avoid the environmental and social prices of an over developed activity.

4.5 Principles and Practices of EIA :

Transparency is a crucial principle for increasing the legitimacy of EIA (Glucker et al., 2013). It requires clarity on how and to what extent input from participants influences decisions (Glucker et al., 2013). Lack of transparency can hinder trust-building in public participation (Nadeem & Fischer, 2011. For instance, regulation theorists often view third-party involvement and transparency as means to maintain accountability in EIA (Richardson & Razzaque, 2011). It is essential for processes to be transparent and involve meaningful public participation (Yao et al., 2020 Mauerhofer, 2016 Chi et al., 2014 Keshkamat, 2011)

The mitigation hierarchy is a fundamental concept in EIA, although the provided search results do not explicitly detail it as a separate principle with specific passages. However, the aim of EIA is to predict environmental impacts at an early stage and find ways to reduce adverse impacts, shaping projects to suit the local environment (Morgan, 2020). Impact assessment of highways, for example, often occurs too late in the development process, thus it can only mitigate, rather than avoid, serious negative impacts (Keshkamat, 2011). This suggests an inherent recognition within EIA of the need to prioritize avoiding impacts before moving to other mitigation measures.

as a way of guaranteeing accountability is consistent with a more general trend towards external control in regulation. Yet, it tends to deflect attention from the potential role for the EIA as lever for internal institutional changes that might embed transparency more fundamentally in EIA systems. The criticism here is not in the principle but in the veneer with which it is sometimes implemented.

Likewise, the hierarchy of mitigation, a cornerstone of EIA, is frequently dismissed when it comes to the timing of impact assessments. If construction is the sole focus of an environmental analysis, as is often the case for infrastructure projects like highways, the chance to avoid far-reaching impacts is already behind us. This "crisis management" stance is at odds with the preventive approach which the mitigation hierarchy purports to stand for. This suggests that EIA, although theoretically well-founded, could be somewhat limited in its practical application unless its 'think at the project level' approach is formally instilled at the design and missions planning stage.

4.6 Methodology of EIA:

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a process used to evaluate the likely consequences of a proposed development or project (Wood, 2014.) It serves as a vital management tool globally (Wood, 2014). The overall aim of EIA is to predict environmental impacts at an early stage of project planning and design, identify ways to reduce adverse impacts, adapt projects to the local environment, and present these predictions and options to decision-makers (Morgan, 2020). The process is systematic and involves identifying, predicting, and evaluating environmental effects of proposed actions and projects before major decisions and commitments are made (Ibrahim et al., 2020). EIA also considers inter-related socioeconomic, cultural, and human-health impacts, encompassing both beneficial and adverse effects (Morgan, 2020).

Accordingly, even though EIA methodology is relatively sound in theory, it must be constantly monitored in its application, so as not to be transformed into a procedural exercise. The proof, however, will be in the extent to which it is actually able to influence decisions and guide toward environmentally and socially sustainable ends, as opposed to simply mask predetermined development plans.

4.7 Phases of EIA:

EIA is a process that evaluates the likely environmental impacts of a proposed project or development, considering interrelated socioeconomic, cultural, and human-health impacts, both beneficial and adverse (Morgan, 2020). It aims to predict environmental impacts early in planning, identify ways to reduce adverse effects, adapt projects to the local environment, and present predictions and options to decision-makers (Morgan, 2020). EIAs are typically required for all projects within the raw materials processing industry, ranging from screening to full assessments (Brent & Petrick, 2007 Brent & Petrick, 2007).

Conceptual and Planning Phases

Environmental impact reduction efforts can focus on incremental changes during detailed design (Haapala et al., 2011). Life cycle assessment (LCA) is considered the most suitable method for assessing environmental impacts in the early stages of building design (Meex et al., 2018). In the conceptual phase of product design, automated concept generation using a design repository and integral LCA approach can be explored to evaluate and reduce environmental impacts (Haapala et al., 2011). For nuclear power plant siting, specific problems deserving attention for environmental impact assessment have been identified based on laws, regulations, and accumulated experience (Wei-dong, 2011). In the planning phase of road projects, feasibility studies are conducted to evaluate project viability, often simplified to economic appraisal and the calculation of Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) (Jusi, 2011). Discussions have included adding environmental factors to these calculations, though it has proven difficult (Jusi, 2011).

Construction and Operational Phases

Environmental impact assessment in the construction phase of expressways can be established using game theory, incorporating factors like water and atmospheric

environment, sound environment, soil and water conservation, and public satisfaction (Shuai-shuai, 2012). Major construction wastes generated during the construction phase can be managed using environmental impact assessment, by analyzing waste discharge amounts and considering six comprehensive environmental impacts (Kim et al., 2014). For an integrated fixed-film activated sludge (IFAS) reactor, LCA has been used to analyze environmental impacts during both construction and operational phases (Singh et al., 2017).

Overall, while EIA continues to evolve as a multidisciplinary and multi-phase process, its effectiveness depends heavily on the willingness of stakeholders to prioritize environmental integrity alongside economic and technical feasibility. The gap between methodological sophistication and real-world implementation remains a critical area for reflection and reform.

Most of these steps (for example, screening) require a decision to be taken, quite apart from the proposal approval decision. As indicated in Figure 1, which summarises these steps, the EIA process is cyclical (Wood, 1995).




Figure1. The whole EIA process stages (Source: Wood, 1995)

Table (1) outlining the stages of an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA).

	Stage	Description
1	Screening	This initial step determines whether a proposed project requires an Environmental Impact Assessment, ensuring assessments are conducted only when needed
2	Scoping	Scoping is a critical element of a good EIA and seeks to concentrate on key environmental components that are scientifically, publicly or regulatory significant and could potentially be affected negatively by the project. II. WHAT TO CONSIDER A.It is not "what are the other reasonable alternatives" to select from. This is what has to be decided.--It is A concept that--includes determining the major issues and all other "reasonable alternatives "to be considered in an assessment.
3	Baseline Studies	This stage involves collecting and documenting data on the existing state of the environment, including physical, biological, economic, and social aspects, to establish a reference point against which future impacts can be tracked and assessed
4	Impact Identification and Prediction	This involves identifying and predicting the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the project, whether positive or negative . It assesses the nature, magnitude, and duration of these impacts
5	Mitigation and Management	During this stage, measures are identified and proposed to avoid, reduce, or compensate for potential adverse environmental impacts identified in the previous step . This can involve modifications to project design or operational practices
6	Development and Evaluation of Alternatives	This involves exploring potential alternative technologies, project locations, or design solutions . The goal is to enhance the benefits of proposed development projects and mitigate potentially adverse impacts to the point of acceptability
7	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Preparation	The findings from the assessment, including predicted impacts and proposed mitigation measures, are compiled into a comprehensive Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) This document serves as a key input for decision-making

	Stage	Description
8	Review and Decision-Making	The EIS is reviewed by competent authorities and other stakeholders Decisions regarding the project's approval, modification, or rejection are made based on the findings and recommendations of the EIA report EIA is explicitly an aid to decision making
9	Monitoring and Auditing/Follow-up	After a decision is made and during project implementation, monitoring and post-auditing activities assess the accuracy of predictions and the effectiveness of mitigation measures Information gained provides feedback to improve predictions and management programs for subsequent projects

Table 1 table outlines various stages and components typically involved in (EIA)

4.8 Limitations in Effectiveness:

A key criticism of EIA is that its effectiveness can be compromised by procedural weaknesses and methodological constraints (Hamid & Long, 2017). Often, EIA reports are seen as mere formalities rather than substantial decision-making instruments. Critics contend that some assessments fail to offer a comprehensive evaluation of environmental impacts, especially when time and budget restrictions limit the depth of analysis (Wright et al., 2013) . For example, while short-term environmental effects might be well-documented, long-term and cumulative impacts, such as gradual ecosystem degradation or prolonged pollutant exposure, are frequently overlooked (Wright et al., 2013) . This limitation is particularly noticeable in large-scale infrastructure projects, where the full environmental consequences may not become evident until years after completion (Wright et al., 2013).

Furthermore, the predictive accuracy of EIA is sometimes challenged due to the complexity of ecological and socio-economic systems (McIntyre, 1975). Environmental impact assessments depend on models and assumptions that might not fully capture the dynamic interactions between human activities and natural environments (McIntyre, 1975). For instance, biodiversity assessments may underestimate the indirect effects of habitat fragmentation or the cascading consequences of species loss (Ritter et al., 2017). Similarly, social impact assessments might fail to account for the intricate ways in which development projects affect communities, leading to insufficient mitigation strategies (Ortolano & Shepherd, 1995). These deficiencies can lead to environmental degradation that was not adequately foreseen or addressed during the EIA process (Laurance, 2022).

In spite of its wide uptake and theoretical sophistication, EIA still struggles to deliver on early policy promise of practical environmental security. It is a common criticism that EIA is often used more for procedural purpose than a decision-making and a decision

guiding vehicle on sustainable environmental management. This misperception is only reinforced when analysis is limited by time and money, creating surface but facile impact analysis of immediate costs while ignoring longer-term and compounded costs. This sort of neglect is especially relevant in the context of massive infrastructure projects, at which the environmental impacts might take some time to materialize, going unnoticed until long after the project is finished.

Furthermore, EIA is often doubted upon its predictive validity because of the complexity of ecological and socio-economic systems. Dependence on models and assumptions results in an uncertainty to a certain degree that can hide the secondary or cascading effects, like those generated by the loss of biodiversity or social dislocation. These methodological shortcomings may indicate a lack of capacity in EIA to account effectively for the full range of environmental and social dynamics, and ultimately undermine its use for this application as a way of informing an inclusive mitigation strategy.

In the end, the criticisms all suggest that the method should be further improved and more clearly coordinating with relevant interdisciplinary dimensions. Without such enhancements, EIA could turn out to be the symbolic ritual that rationalises development rather than protecting environmental and social well-being.

4.9 Risk Management Theory:

EIA is essentially a process consisting of four elements: identification, prediction, evaluation, and monitoring (Ratanachai, 1991). Properly conducted EIA studies can identify linkages between injurious events or activities and their damaging consequences, thereby aiding in risk management decisions (Ratanachai, 1991). EIA provides an appropriate legal and procedural framework for integrating Risk Analysis (RA) into project assessment (Zeledáková et al., 2014). Despite its potential, RA has not been widely used in European EIA practice to date (Zeledáková et al., 2014). A proposed model for integrating RA into EIA is organized according to the generic stages of the EIA process, aiming to assess activities, particularly in water management, to select the option with minimum environmental risk (Zeledáková et al., 2014). Incorporating RA principles into EIA studies can encourage integrated thinking by interdisciplinary teams, focus attention on risk reduction activities, and emphasize emergency response measures in case of accidents (Canter 1993).

The incorporation of risk analysis approach in the (EIA) indicates a shift of emphasis towards providing more prospective and responsive environment governance. The process of EIA is generally based on the identification, prediction, evaluation and monitoring throughout the project duration and, hence, it presents a rational framework for incorporating the RA into the planning of projects. If incorporated effectively, this integration can strengthen EIA's ability to evaluate potential impacts and it's also to guide strategic choices about reducing impacts on the environment.

Yet even though RA is part of the EIA set, it is used only to a low extent in practice—especially in European systems. This distance implies a disjuncture between theoretical possibility and institutional implementation. The limited utilization of RA may be attributed to an absence of inter-disciplinary interaction, the absence of regulatory

encouragement, or the perceived difficulty in incorporating probabilistic risk modeling into conventional EIA practice.

Some proposed models, such as where RA is linked to general stages of EIA identified for particular sectors, such as water resource management, present promising avenues of how to establish more rigorous environmental decision making. These models underscore the need for early risk detection, scenario planning, and emergency preparedness—all of which have been neglected in traditional assessments. Promoting interdisciplinary dialogue and nurturing a culture of risk recognition may greatly improve the responsiveness and flexibility of EIA system.

In conclusion, although the theoretical synergies between EIA and RA are well recognized, unlocking full synergy potential necessitates institutional support, development of more innovative methods and a move for more integrated and precautionary planning approaches.

4.10 System Theory:

Projects are seen as dynamic systems that interact with their surrounding environment (Kolesnikov et al., 2016 .) This perspective, rooted in system theory, emphasizes the interrelationships between a project and its broad environmental context (Kolesnikov et al., 2016.) The World Development Report 1992 explores the links between economic development and the environment, advocating for integrating environmental considerations into development policymaking for sustainable outcomes (Bank, 1992.) Environmental economics plays a key role in incorporating environmental concerns into development decision-making (Munasinghe, 1993.) In project management, system dynamics is an example of success in the field, with research focusing on synthesizing policy implications for specific areas of project management (Lyneis & Ford, 2007.) Studies also reflect upon the project environment and the need to flexibly reorganize processes within it (Ying & Pheng, 2014).

the focus on flexibility and dynamic reconfiguration of processes reflects the recognition of the dynamic nature of project contexts. Such flexibility is important to address surprise challenges and remain on course in reaching sustainability objectives. However the difficulty is in the tensions between theory and practice as they come up against long-established organizational and legislated structures that are reluctant to change.

Fundamentally, systems theory enhances EIA thinking by furthering the development of ‘synthesising’ concepts. But whether or not complex adaptive systems can become more than a useful way of thinking about land use and environmental management is a matter of whether those making policy and those applying it have the courage to look at this, and see beyond compliance into the systemic nature of environmental planning.

4.12 Total Quality Management (TQM):

Total Quality Management (TQM) and continuous improvement systems advocate for continuous process improvements by identifying and reducing variation (Savolainen & Haikonen, 2007 Iyer, 2018.) Ideally, the findings of EIA are expected to be communicated

to all relevant parties, and the quality of EIA reports relies on expert contributions, adequate identification, interpretation, and management plans (Arora & Kulshrestha, 2019) . TQM provides a culture-oriented approach to continuous process improvement, where educationalists define problems, collect data, and ascertain solutions in the planning phase (Iyer, 2018) Performance measurement techniques serve as the foundation for developing reward and recognition mechanisms in TQM (Chang, 2005).

The integration of TQM principles with EIA provides an innovative approach to generate rigorous and dependable environmental assessments. TQM's focus on continual improvement and minimizing process variability is a good fit for the cyclic nature of EIA, as well as EIA's aim to continually improve environmental predictions and mitigation actions over time. TQM by promoting the culture of systematic problem solving and data based decision making, can elevate the quality of EIA outputs from compliance to something more substantive.

But the application of TQM in an effective form to EIA procedures has been patchy. In a perfect world, that would mean clear reporting of what we know and don't know, with input from the best possible voices in the field, but in reality it has been a messy and uneven process with a lot of conflicting messages. Though it would appear necessary under this condition to rely on some judgement by expert, but at the same time it introduces variation of interpretative activity of a team expert to a join team for the condition that TQM tries to minimise by using standard performance measure and feedback.

Furthermore, the application of performance measurement tools in TQM provides the means to facilitate the institutionalization of accountability in EIA. But the difficult part is converting these measures into meaningful incentives and recognition schemes that can be used to reinforce environmental responsibility. Without such a process, TQM might not be effectively harnessed to promote the continuous improvement of EIA.

On the whole, however, although TQM supports a powerful framework to improve EIA, the effectiveness largely relies on the extent of corporate commitment to quality culture and capability to integrate continuous improvement into environmental governance system.

5 -. Case Study and Analysis

5.1 The Impact of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) on Project Management Efficiency :

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has emerged as a crucial instrument for integrating environmental considerations into project planning and execution, thereby fostering sustainable development globally (Sengupta, 2021 C. & S., 2024). Over the last decade, extensive research has explored the multifaceted influence of EIA on project management efficiency, examining its effects on variables such as time administration, cost management, scope establishment, quality control, risk reduction, and overall project performance (Sengupta, 2021 Lima et al., 2021P & A, 2023 Sallam, 2020) .The comprehensive integration of EIA throughout the project lifecycle is consistently linked to enhanced project outcomes, offering insights into both its advantages and the persistent challenges to its effective implementation (Sengupta, 2021 Khatib et al., 2020).

The changing role of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in project planning is one manifestation of a wider trend to mainstream sustainability within development planning. No longer confined to environmental compliance, EIA has become more recognized as a strategic tool with influence over many important aspects of project performance—from efficiency to time and cost, risk and quality. Such broader focus implies that integrated EIAs throughout the life of a project can significantly contribute both to environmental responsiveness and to operational success.

Although great value can be added to projects through EIA, its relationship with better project completion is not that simple. Even though empirical work points to positive associations, the persistence and level of EIA influence seem to be necessarily contingent on institutional capacity, regulatory enforcement, and stakeholder involvement. In other words, frequently, the promise of EIA to improve project success is not fully realized due to the piecemeal nature or the incoherence of environmental objectives with project management practices.

The continuing difficulties of translating EIA into project decisions reflect a continuing need for more adaptive and complex frameworks. Not only a technical fine-tuning, but a change in the organizational culture is required to close the gap between environmental assessment and implementation – and to appreciate long-term sustainability as much as short-term delivery.

5.2 Evaluation of EIA Effects on General Project Management Performance :

Environmental Impact Assessment significantly influences project management performance variables, including time administration, cost management, scope establishment, and quality control (Lima et al., 2021 Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021) .EIA procedures act as a guide for the development and management of new projects Sengupta, 2021. Early identification of environmental, social, and economic impacts through EIA enables the proactive incorporation of mitigation measures, which helps to minimize project delays and the need for costly redesigns or rework during the implementation phases (P & A, 2023 Sallam, 2020). This foresight contributes to better time management, ensuring projects adhere more closely to schedules and mitigating timeline overruns (Lima et al., 2021 P & A, 2023).

Processes have also been identified more and more as beneficial for the environment and for operations. By making environmental, social and economic risks easier to spot in the early stages, EIA allows project teams to address potential disruptions before they become costly delays or engineering interventions. This 'taking-charge' attitude results in effective time management and total cost control, supporting the contention that environmental planning is not a restriction but a competitive advantage.

The EIA process acts as an organising principle through the project lifecycle, and scope and quality are set early. This consistency in environmental planning will improve the chances of coming in on time and within budget. Nevertheless, the extent of these advantages is influenced by how early and how deep EIA is integrated. Characterising something as a late-stage compliance activity reduces the ability to influence fundamental project levers.

Accordingly, the literature does indeed weigh in favor of a positive relationship between EIA and good project outcomes: the degree of institutional commitment to integrating EIA in the project cycle – not an adjunct or nice addition to the project process – is the defining element.

In terms of cost management, the systematic integration of EIA helps to optimize budgets by forecasting potential environmental risks and implementing preventive measures early in the project lifecycle (Lima et al., 2021 Ye, 2021). This proactive approach reduces unforeseen expenditures that might arise from regulatory penalties or environmental remediation efforts (Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021). For instance, a techno-economic analysis shows how environmental impacts influence the minimum selling price of products, emphasizing the financial implications of environmental considerations (Wang et al., 2020). The integration of EIA into project management supports long-term cost reductions through adherence to environmental compliance and sustainability strategies aligned with national and international standards (Lima et al., 2021 Bakare et al., 2024). Case studies, such as an expressway project, explain how EIA, Environmental Management Plans, and mitigative measures are taken for project cost control (C. & S., 2024). Projects that systematically implement EIA recommendations are more likely to meet regulatory requirements, enhance environmental performance, and support long-term development goals (Bakare et al., 2024 Morrison-Saunders et al., 2021).

The incorporation of EIA into cost planning accounts for the trend in more proactive and cost-sustainable project planning. By assessing environmental risks early in a project's life cycle, EIA allows the project team to establish mitigation strategies which can mitigate surprises throughout the life span of a project (e.g. fines for non-compliance, environmental mitigation, or costly design changes). This proactive approach not only facilitates financial thrift, but also meets the demands of more general sustainability goals.

Economic and environmental assessments put in evidence, also from a financial point of view, the relevance of the environmental aspects to the extent that the environmental affect on the product price and on the long-term profit related to environmental considerations, can be even stronger. EIA in this setting is not simply a compliance mechanism, but instead is deployed as a strategic asset to shape in budget optimization and risk-adjusted investment planning.

paradigm-cases (e.g. on infrastructure) show the relation between the scientific knowledge provided by the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and the supporting role of Environmental Management Plans (EMPs) and the mitigation strategy for the cost control. Such instances demonstrate the benefit of systematic follow-up practices, where compliance with EIA-specific recommendations is likely to facilitate regulatory compliance, lead to better environmental performance and be in line with long-term development aspirations.

the question of how much EIA contributes to cost-effectiveness of ES depends on degree of organization commitment, technical capacity, and environmental foresight being integrated into fiscal planning. Without such tools the economic potential of EIA may be more theoretical than realised in practice.

Scope establishment benefits from EIA as these assessments contribute to a clearer definition and adjustment of project boundaries and deliverables (Lima et al., 2021 P & A, 2023). EIA findings help to ensure that environmental factors are adequately considered in project planning, leading to better-aligned stakeholder expectations and project objectives (Lima et al., 2021). Effective management of industrial construction projects, for example, is influenced by the economic, environmental, and legal policies of the state, which are often informed by EIA (Sobieraj et al., 2023).

The impact of EIA on scope development is perhaps most clear in sectors where economic, environmental and legal issues come together, such as in industrial building projects. In this kind of framework, EIA findings do not only contribute to specify technical activities, but also to define the strategy of the project to fit into the objectives of national development and sustainable levels of an area. Yet, the extent of influence appears to be contingent upon the extent to which EIA is adopted early and fully in the planning process. When environmental assessments are merely an afterthought, their potential to influence project scope meaningfully is substantially diminished.

EIA assists scope management not only by revealing adverse environmental effects, but also by promoting a plan-making culture that is more open, prospective, and sensitive to the long-term visions of development.

Quality control is strengthened by high-quality EIA reports, which provide comprehensive, accurate, and clear data for managerial decision-making (Lima et al., 2021 Morgan, 2020). These reports facilitate risk management, enhance coordination among project teams, and contribute to sustainable project execution with improved environmental and social outcomes (Lima et al., 2021 Sallam, 2020) . The quality of EIA reports is crucial for decision-making that benefits conservation, as seen in South African national parks (Sandham et al., 2020) . EIA also serves as an important environmental policy instrument to proactively inform development decisions that might impact biodiversity (Wentzel et al., 2023).

EIA interventions influence projects across all implementation stages: initiation, design, implementation, monitoring, and phase-out (Begontes et al., 2024) . In the initiation and design phases, EIA identifies impacts early, allowing for mitigation and prevention of delays and cost overruns (Begontes et al., 2024 P & A, 2023). During implementation, continuous environmental monitoring, often guided by EIA, helps manage unforeseen impacts and ensure compliance (Begontes et al., 2024). At the phase-out stage, EIA helps

guide environmental monitoring and auditing to ensure that project closure does not compromise environmental and social values, supporting sustainable lifecycle management (Begontes et al., 2024). The goal of EIA is to identify significant impacts early in the project cycle so that recommendations can be incorporated into the design and cost-benefit analysis without causing substantial delays or increasing design costs (P & A, 2023).

Quality management of project over its lifecycle is also more and more recognized both theoretically and practically. Good quality (clear, accurate and environmentally comprehensive) EIA reports are therefore an important source of information for enlightened management decision making. These reports help ensure that risk is managed well and the work across the teams is coordinated, and that environmental and social outcomes are delivered in a responsible manner. It is in this respect that EIA acts as a form of quality control guaranteeing that sustainability principles are ingrained into the way that the project is undertaken.

EIA's impact is felt at every stage of project development—start-up, development, monitoring, and shut-down. At an early stage, EIA provides a means through which significant effects can alert a decision maker to potential problems, so that mitigation strategies can be implemented in time to avoid delays and budget overruns. During operation, on-going control through EIA mechanisms ensures conformity and responsiveness to the evolving environmental urgencies. At the decommissioning stage, EIA facilitates environmental auditing and closure planning to protect of long-term ecological and social values.

If the assessment process is suspect, it is doubtful that EIA can serve a quality review function. Fluctuating reporting guidelines, lack of stakeholder involvement, and superficial assessment may diminish the value of EIA results. Therefore, institutional commitment to challenging assessment procedures and incorporation of EIA learning into project management systems are significant in fulfilling its value-added role.

5.3 Nature and Standard of EIA Reporting and its Impact on Decision-Making:

The nature and standard of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) reporting significantly influence the quality of managerial decision-making, particularly in planning and risk management (Morgan, 2020 Alberts et al., 2021). High-quality EIA documents are characterized by their depth, accuracy, and clarity, providing comprehensive data and actionable recommendations that enable proactive and sustainable project planning (Morgan, 2020 Sheu et al., 2024 Schnackenberg et al., 2020) . The perceived quality of information, encompassing disclosure, clarity, and accuracy, is a three-dimensional construct that underpins transparency in organizations (Schnackenberg et al., 2020) .

The effectiveness of decision making in management mainly with the issue of listing or exclusion, notably through planning and risk management, would also depend on the quality of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) reporting. When front-end feasibility studies are complete and there is a robust, well-defined and well-communicated report, companies are better able to build proactive sustainable project strategies. These attributes—depth, clarity, and precision—are not purely technical features, but critical elements of organizational transparency that shape how environmental data are

understood and acted upon. So the credibility of findings from EIA is as much a matter of practical usefulness as scientific credibility, and as such, reliance cannot be placed on anything other than strict standards in the conduct and communication of its results.

Studies have shown that the effectiveness of EIA is intrinsically linked to the quality of its reports (Mahmud et al., 2021 Alberts et al., 2021). For instance, a review of EIA system performance in Turkey highlighted that despite long-standing implementation, expectations regarding the EIA process have not been fully realized, often due to issues in report quality (Çolakkadioğlu, 2021). In South African national parks, EIA report quality was generally good, but weaknesses were identified in addressing waste management, significance determination, and mitigation measures (Sandham et al., 2020) Claassens et al., 2022 . These findings suggest that while overall report quality might be satisfactory, specific areas often require improvement to fully support decision-making Sandham et al., 2020 Claassens et al., 2022 . In Malaysia, factors such as the cost of EIA preparation, sufficient time for studies, and the skills and expertise of consultants and Department of Environment (DOE) officers are key influences on report quality Mahmud et al., 2021 . Recommendations for improvement include enhanced training, strengthening EIA database systems, and increasing project developers' understanding of EIA procedures Mahmud et al., 2021 .

The clarity and depth of EIA documentation are crucial for effectively communicating potential impacts and proposed mitigation strategies to decision-makers (Schnackenberg et al., 2020 Alberts et al., 2021). When EIA reports lack clarity or accuracy, it can lead to poorly informed decisions, increased uncertainties, inefficient resource allocation, and regulatory non-compliance, thereby impairing project management performance (Aguda et al., 2020 Retief et al., 2020). For example, a case study on the Vistula Spit Canal in Poland revealed that despite an EIA report describing potential landscape changes as significant and irreversible, authorities approved the project based on moderate environmental impacts in other areas, indicating a tendency to overlook certain considerations when greenlighting large-scale investments (Sas-Bojarska et al., 2023). This underscores the importance of the substance and quality of information feeding into the decision-making process (Alberts et al., 2021).

It is critical that the clarity and depth of EIA documentation is of such a level as to provide reliable and meaningful feedback to those who need this information as they make their decision(s) to reduce or avoid impacts. When EIA findings are not accurate or transparent, the results can be erroneous decisions, regulatory violations, or inefficient use of resources, all of which may hinder a project's performance. As exemplified by the case of the Vistula Spit Canal in Poland the selective reading of EIA results allows for projects to be authorized despite severe warnings from the environment, showing the potential dangers of neglecting certain knowledge in contexts of high-stakes development. This highlights the need for EIA documents to ensure a level of not just technical robustness but also communicative clarity that enables good decision-making based on the available evidence.

High-quality EIA reports also play a significant role in risk management by enabling project managers to understand and plan for environmental risks early in the project lifecycle (Morgan, 2020 Krzywik, 2023 Bhateria, 2024). The goal of EIA is to identify potential problems at the planning stage so that concerns can be addressed as the project progresses P & A, 2023. Integrating EIA findings into risk management frameworks allows

for proactive identification and management of environmental constraints, which can prevent unexpected delays, regulatory penalties, and social conflicts, thus strengthening project stability (Eastman et al., 2021 Krzywik, 2023 Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021).

Reports are being recognised as critical tools in the strategic management of environmental risks from project initiation through the entire project life-cycle. Not only are they a source of technical information, but they also inform forward-looking decision making at the earliest stages of project definition. In detecting environmental, social and regulatory potential risks prior to construction commencing, EIA allows project managers to plan mitigation measures that can prevent expensive halts, legal sanctions and local opposition. For complex or large projects this can be particularly important because unforeseen environmental constraints can have a massive impact on both schedules and budgets, as well as on stakeholder relations. The incorporation of EIA results into formal risk management regimes enables greater formalised and forward-looking reflection on governance, which supports resilience and adaptive capacity in the face of uncertainty. EIA also promotes long-term stability of the project by integrating development with both national and international environmental norms, thus strengthening adherence and public responsibility. But the utility of EIA in containing risk depends on the quality, substance and integrity of the reports. Poorly conducted assessments, whether from lack of expertise, resources, organizational pressure, or limited time, can lead to missed risks and bad decisions. As such, the production of EIA documentation responsibilities are not just procedural but strategic in approach, stitching implementation to documentation, collaborative web of interdisciplinary activities and unwavering faith on capacity building are important pivot on which responsible development can best scale up, and create sustainable environment.

5.4 Advantages, Constraints, and Institutional Obstacles for Integrated EIA:

Integrated Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) offers significant advantages for project management, but its implementation is frequently hampered by various constraints and institutional obstacles (C. & S., 2024 Osuizugbo & Nnodu, 2023 Mohammed et al., 2022 Sarabi et al., 2020 Mahmud, 2022).

5.4.1 Advantages:

Enhanced Project Management Performance:* EIA improves project management efficiency by integrating environmental considerations into decision-making, leading to better time administration, cost management, and quality control (Lima et al., 2021 González-Algarra & Moreno, 2025 Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021) . It serves as a guide for new project development and management (Sengupta, 2021).

Risk Reduction:* EIA identifies and evaluates potential environmental, social, and economic impacts early in the project lifecycle (Morgan, 2020 Krzywik, 2023 Bhateria, 2024). This allows for the prediction and reduction of adverse impacts, thus minimizing economic and operational uncertainties, and avoiding costly redesigns or regulatory penalties (Morgan, 2020 Krzywik, 2023 Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021).

Regulatory Compliance and Sustainability:* EIA helps projects adhere to environmental regulations and policies, aligning them with national sustainability strategies and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (C. & S., 2024 Fadl, 2021 Bakare

et al., 2024). Projects systematically implementing EIA recommendations are more likely to meet regulatory requirements and achieve long-term environmental performance (Bakare et al., 2024).

Improved Decision-Making:* High-quality EIA reports, characterized by depth, accuracy, and clarity, provide robust data for informed managerial decision-making, supporting proactive and sustainable project execution (Morgan, 2020 Schnackenberg et al., 2020 Retief et al., 2023).

Stakeholder Engagement:* EIA promotes stakeholder engagement, which can lead to increased project acceptance and improved environmental outcomes (Suprpto, 2023 Velnampy, 2024). It helps to address social and economic impacts alongside environmental ones (Morgan, 2020).

(EIA) makes a multidimensional contribution to project management, integrating environmental, social, and economic aspects into the planning and implementation driving values. Among its foremost benefits is the ability to improve project performance overall - especially in terms of time, cost, and quality - as it creates a structured process that can help anticipate and mitigate potential impacts early in a project's evolution. Shaping smart regulation at "front end" of the technology life cycle Such foresight not only reduces the prospect of costly re-engineering and regulatory delay but also enhances risk management by making it possible to identify and address environmental and operational uncertainties early in the process of technology development. In addition, EIA is an important tool to guarantee regulatory compliance and to harmonise projects according to sustainability frameworks and national policies or Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The influencing power of EIA reports which is essentially based on their clarity, depth and analyticity—It is significant because they shape and contribute to an informed top-down decision-making process that, in turn, emphasises the strategic importance of EIA beyond the procedural necessity of EIAs. EIA also promotes inclusive stakeholder engagement, increasing project legitimacy, social acceptance, and fairness in and soundness of project results. Taken together, these benefits illustrate the catalytic effect of integrative EIA when routinely applied and when institutionally embedded in the life of a project.

5.4.2 Constraints and Institutional Obstacles:

Technical Barriers:* Challenges include inadequate availability of accessible and fit-for-purpose environmental data, limitations in methodological rigor, and insufficient incorporation of advanced technologies (Lima et al., 2021 Fellin & al., 2021). For instance, despite the existence of guidelines, actual practices may fall short in integrating biodiversity impacts or public participation effectively (Wentzel et al., 2023).

Institutional Barriers:* These involve fragmented regulatory frameworks, unstable regulatory regimes, and weak enforcement mechanisms (Suprpto, 2023 Zahroh & Najicha, 2022). Cases in Nigeria, Malawi, and China highlight issues such as overlapping mandates, insufficient inter-agency cooperation, and lack of political will, which collectively undermine EIA effectiveness (Zahroh & Najicha, 2022 Msiska, 2023 Mahmud, 2022). In some cases, poor administration and restrained consideration of assessment findings in decisions also limit effectiveness (Nakwaya-Jacobus et al., 2023 Icenogle & Cauffman, 2021).

Administrative Barriers:* Bureaucratic red tape, complex approval procedures, and a lack of procedural fairness hinder timely and effective EIA integration (Zahroh & Najicha, 2022; Eliantonio & Ivanchykava, 2025). Enforcement issues, inadequate public participation mechanisms, and limited monitoring and follow-up also reduce practical impact (Suprpto, 2023; Zahroh & Najicha, 2022). In Indonesia, challenges persist in stakeholder engagement, monitoring, enforcement, and integrating EIA outcomes into decision-making processes (Suprpto, 2023).

Budgetary Constraints:* Limited financial resources for conducting comprehensive EIAs, as well as insufficient allocations for monitoring and capacity building, compromise the quality and impact of assessments (Rabiu et al., 2024; Kantamaturapoj et al., 2023). Economic limitations can also impede technology adoption and public participation initiatives (Rabiu et al., 2024; Kantamaturapoj et al., 2023). Projects may avoid expenses by neglecting environmental concerns (Bakr, 2024).

Socio-Cultural Barriers:* These include cultural norms, deficits in public environmental awareness, and societal resistance that can limit community participation and acceptance of EIA processes (Kantamaturapoj et al., 2023; Serikzhanova et al., 2025). A lack of public knowledge regarding legal rights and limited outreach activities can reduce meaningful stakeholder involvement. Moreover, the perception that EIA is merely a bureaucratic hurdle can prevent its proactive adoption (Bakr, 2024).

Although recognition of the merits of Integrated Environmental EIA is widespread, its uptake is impeded in practice by technical, institutional, administrative, financial, and socio-cultural constraints. The absence of available high-quality environmental data and the limited methodological rigor – notably in genetic diversity assessment and stakeholder engagement – undermine the reliability and completeness of EIA outputs. Institutionally, fragmented legal system and overlapping mandates, accompanied by weak enforcement are also common in diverse national contexts, such as Nigeria, Malawi, and China, where inconsistent application and lack of inter-department communication can be found. However, the practical application of EIA is weakened by administrative imperfections (e.g. bureaucratic inertia, lack of transparency in the approval process and lack of due process) and especially in the absence of strong or non-existent monitoring and follow-up of its recommendations. Budget limitations are an important constraint, and resources for thorough assessment plans, expert development, and technology integration are scarce, causing only limited or no evaluation to consider an environmental aspect. These challenges are exacerbated by socio-cultural constraints such as poor public environmental knowledge, a low level of legal literacy and cultural reluctance for participatory action which undermines stakeholder engagement. EIA is in some places considered part of standard procedure, rather than a useful and decision-altering planning tool, which detracts from its influence on development planning. These complex constraints cannot be fully overcome by technical and institutional changes alone; they necessitate a cultural change in which environmental assessment is more respected as an integral part of governance in sustainable development.

5.5 Unified Systems and Policy for EIA in Project Management:

Establishing unified systems and policy models for mainstreaming Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) into all project phases is crucial for increasing operational efficiency, optimizing project costs, and ensuring environmental and social values are not forfeited (Siqueira-Gay et al., 2025; Yang et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2020; González-Algarra & Moreno, 2025). This approach aims to integrate environmental governance deeply into decision-making processes, ensuring that EIA is a continuous and systematic part of project management rather than an isolated procedural step (Wang et al., 2020; González-Algarra & Moreno, 2025; Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023).

Establishing common standards and policy arrangements to integrate EIAs in the entire life cycle of projects is increasingly seen as critical for due diligence, efficiency and effectiveness of operations, and for integrating and protecting environmental and social values. This integrative role of the EIA - instead of considering the EIA as a separated step and input - is helpful in understanding it as non-linear and adapted to the changing purpose of decision-making throughout the life of a project, from the conception to its conclusion. Through the institutionalization of EIA within wider environmental governance arrangements, projects can more predictively manage risks, conform to sustainable development objectives and adapt to changing regulatory and environmental systems. This shift in paradigm not only provides for more coherence and consistency in environmental control but also underlines the strategic character of EIA towards the reconciliation of development imperatives and the long-term ecological soundness and social accountability.

One key aspect of a unified system involves legally backed environmental management frameworks that harmonize sectoral policies with EIA procedures (González-Algarra & Moreno, 2025; Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). These frameworks should establish clear compliance requirements and robust monitoring mechanisms to maintain environmental safeguards throughout the entire project lifecycle, from planning to execution and closeout (Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). This embeds EIA considerations from the earliest stages, ensuring continual alignment with environmental and sustainability goals (Morgan, 2020; Wang et al., 2020; González-Algarra & Moreno, 2025).

An essential element of integrated EIA system is to set up legal-based environmental management paradigms which integrate sectoral policies and EIA system. Not only do these institutional arrangements work to embed environmental governance but also to secure compliance and responsibility in (varying) regulatory and operational contexts. By incorporating EIA processes into legislated requirements, such systems have the potential to articulate unambiguous compliance responsibilities and to create strong monitoring and enforcement measures that operate throughout the full project cycle—from start up and running through to decommissioning. This linking up of law ensures that environmental issues are not treated as add-ons, but rather are integrated throughout all the stages of project creation. Furthermore, these frameworks help advance broader concordance between project-based actions and national (SDGs) and global environmental undertakings, which serves as a dual asset to efforts to maintain coherence in regulation and promote far-sighted stewardship of the environment.

Policy models strongly recommend leveraging digital technologies to support this integration (Yang et al., 2025; Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). Building Information Modeling (BIM) combined with Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tools, for example, can create a seamless data environment where environmental impacts can be visualized and analyzed in real time (Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). This technological integration improves multidisciplinary coordination, enhances reporting accuracy, increases transparency, and facilitates adaptive project management responsive to environmental risks (Yang et al., 2025; Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). The application of advanced BIM models can support sustainability analysis for EIA studies and enable the development of mitigation measures and design optimization (Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023).

Current policy templates encourage the use of digital technologies as a means for enhancing the quality and operationalisation of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) throughout stages of the project cycle. In this context, tools like Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) are of a great interest, as they provide a single integrated digital space in which environmental effects can be shown, calculated and controlled in real time. This convergence of technology provides better informed transparent reporting, improved interdisciplinary coordination and more adaptive project management that can react to continually changing environmental risk. Advanced BIM models, in particular, support sustainability analyses to be integrated directly to the design process, in effect permitting the early generation of mitigation strategies and project optimization. Facilitated by dynamic feedback loops and data-driven decision making, these technologies enhance the technical quality of EIA studies and help not only to achieve, but also stimulate integrated and forward-looking environmental governance.

Furthermore, incorporating comprehensive risk-oriented management approaches is vital (Krzywik, 2023; Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021). This means that EIA outcomes should feed directly into project risk registers and management plans (Eastman et al., 2021). Proactive inclusion of environmental risks helps optimize resource allocation, mitigate cost and schedule overruns, and strengthen regulatory compliance, thereby contributing to project sustainability (Eastman et al., 2021; Krzywik, 2023; Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021).

Incorporation of a suite of comprehensive risk based management approaches into EIA frameworks is necessary to ensure environmental planning and project delivery are closely aligned at a strategic level. Instead of treating environmental risks as issues at the periphery of business, this integration places EIA results within the project risk registers and management plans, so that environmental, social and legal risks that face a project are systematically recognized, analyzed and managed. This integration will help project teams better allocate resources, better understand looming interruptions to workflows and develop mitigation plans that prevent cost overruns, delays and compliance breaches. Through their active integration into project governance and how project controls are set up, EIA would then act as a dynamic mechanism for maintaining the stability and resilience of projects. This enhances both the environmental credentials of development and the degree to which development plans are consistent with long-term sustainability and are not in conflict with regulatory demands.

The establishment of clear procedural standards for EIA compliance assessment is also crucial (Sotiralis et al., 2024; Morrison-Saunders et al., 2021). By systematically

monitoring adherence to environmental policies through expert-based frameworks, agencies can ensure that environmental considerations are effectively mainstreamed in project management (Morrison-Saunders et al., 2021). This also helps reduce bureaucratic redundancies and administrative delays (Elia Antonio & Ivanchykava, 2025; Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). Overall, unified systems and policy models emphasize an integrated approach that combines legal harmonization, advanced digital tools, risk management embedding, and compliance monitoring to enhance project delivery efficiency without compromising environmental or social values (Yang et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2020; Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023).

Procedural norms for EIA compliance is an essential facet of sound environmental governance. Proper use of expert-based procedures for monitoring compliance to environmental obligations will enable institutions to guarantee that EIA is not only done but meaningful to the project control process. These mechanisms are such that they contribute to environmental considerations at all stages of project, meanwhile cutting through red tape and bureaucratic inordinate delays. Furthermore, such procedural guarantees enhance the legitimacy and the enforcement of EIA results, especially when accompanied by standardised legal provisions and institutional co-operation. It is in this light that integrated systems and policy models call for a unified approach using a combination of law integration, digital innovation, risk-focused planning and strong compliance monitoring. Collectively, these components improve project delivery efficiencies and accountabilities, without compromise of the environmental integrity and social responsibility, and into the larger spectrum of development as related to sustainability.

5.6 How EIA Affects Project Management Efficiency:

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) significantly affects project management efficiency by introducing environmental considerations early in the project lifecycle, leading to improved outcomes across various performance indicators (Lima et al., 2021; C. & S., 2024). By predicting environmental impacts at an early stage in project planning and design, EIA allows for the identification of ways to reduce adverse impacts and shape projects to suit the local environment (Morgan, 2020). This proactive approach minimizes delays and redesigns, which are common causes of inefficiency and cost overruns in projects (P&A, 2023; Sallam, 2020).

(EIA) has proved to be a valuable tool for increasing project management efficiency as it incorporates environmental logic within the initial phase of project planning and design. Such early integration ensures that potential environmental liabilities are identified and mitigation strategies developed prior to the investment of large-scale resources, diminishing the chances that expensive project delays, re-designs, or regulatory problems will arise late in the planning or development process. Matching project aims with local environmental contexts and sustainability aspirations EIA generates outcomes that are more place-based and robust. Proactive EIA enhances performance with respect to time, cost, quality as well as the intelligence and adaptability of the decision-making process. In this respect, EIA is not simply a tool for ensuring adherence to policy requirements, but it is a tool for strategic planning in the delivery of efficient, sustainable and socially responsible development.

EIA directly influences time administration by enabling early detection of potential environmental or social issues that could lead to project delays (Lima et al., 2021; P&A, 2023). For example, an EIA can identify geological constraints or legislative regulations that need to be considered, preventing later disruptions (Gharehbaghi et al., 2022). Proper environmental approval conditions can help ensure the implementation of proposed mitigation measures, particularly in the construction phase, thereby maintaining project timelines (Rathi, 2022).

In terms of cost management, EIA helps optimize budgets by necessitating the consideration of environmental risks and the implementation of mitigation measures upfront (Lima et al., 2021; Ye, 2021). This can include costs for environmental impact assessment fees as part of project construction costs (Ye, 2021). By addressing these costs early, projects can avoid more significant expenses associated with non-compliance, legal disputes, or environmental damage rectification later on (Therivel & González, 2020; Saqib et al., 2023).

EIA contributes to scope establishment by ensuring that environmental factors are integrated into the project's foundational planning (Lima et al., 2021). This often involves evaluating inter-related socioeconomic, cultural, and human-health impacts, both beneficial and adverse, which helps define a more comprehensive and responsible project scope (Morgan, 2020).

Furthermore, EIA enhances the quality control of projects by promoting environmentally sound practices and ensuring adherence to sustainability principles (C. & S., 2024; Sallam, 2020). High-quality EIA reports provide clear guidelines and predictions, allowing project managers to monitor and verify environmental performance throughout the project's execution (Wu, 2021; P&A, 2023). This contributes to higher overall project quality and reduces the likelihood of negative environmental outcomes (Wu, 2021).

Project management performance is notably influenced by Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) as it incorporates environmental thinking into basic planning and management processes. In time management, EIA advances identification of environmental and social risks, such as geological barriers or regulatory constraints, that could cause costly project schedule delays. By commencing this review early in the project lifetime, the project moves more efficiently, in particular, through the construction period, by identifying, defining and implementing mitigation. In terms of cost management EIA is concerned with costs saving as a result of early consideration of environmental related risks (and therefore potential cost of involving to eliminate them) which reduced the potential of legal claims or expensive corrective action later in project life. Furthermore, EIA is an essential tool for defining the scope, on the basis of which the environmental, social and health dimensions are integrated into the initial planning, leading to more comprehensive and situation-specific project definitions. In addition, EIA enhances quality control by caring for the environment in everything that it does and by offering straightforward and fact-based guidance for monitoring performance of the environment. Well-written EIA reports help to monitor Kenya compliance and the sustainability results leading to more quality projects and lessening of the damage to the environment. Together, these contributions situate the EIA as a strategic instrument that not only complies with regulation, but also improves the effectiveness, adaptiveness and sustainability of project delivery.

5.7 Main Benefits of Implementing EIA:

The implementation of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in project management yields several significant benefits that contribute to more efficient, sustainable, and responsible project outcomes (Nadir & Ahmed, 2023; Sallam, 2020).

- **Early Identification and Mitigation of Impacts:** EIA identifies potential environmental, social, and economic impacts at the very early stages of project planning, before decision-making. (Morgan, 2020; P&A, 2023; Sallam, 2020). This anticipatory function allows project teams to incorporate mitigation measures into the design, minimizing negative impacts and preventing costly delays or redesigns during later phases (Morgan, 2020; Ghanshyam et al., 2021; P&A, 2023).
- **Improved Decision-Making:** EIA provides decision-makers with a clear understanding of a project's potential consequences, fostering informed judgments about the adequacy of a proposed development (Morgan, 2020; Glasson, 2022; J et al., 2020). The predictive nature of EIA helps decision-makers select the best use of natural resources and ensure development and environmental health go hand-in-hand (Jet et al., 2020).
- **Risk Reduction:** By anticipating environmental constraints and potential social concerns, EIA helps reduce economic and operational uncertainties (Krzywik, 2023; Bhatería, 2024; Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021). This proactive risk management can prevent regulatory penalties, legal challenges, and unforeseen costs associated with environmental damage (Bakare et al., 2024).
- **Enhanced Regulatory Compliance:** EIA ensures that projects comply with environmental laws, regulations, and international standards, thereby reducing the likelihood of legal issues and contributing to long-term operational stability (C. & S., 2024; Sotiralis et al., 2024; Bakare et al., 2024). India's Environment Protection Act of 1986, for instance, statutorily backs EIA, ensuring compliance (Morgan, 2020).
- **Promotion of Sustainable Development:** EIA is widely recognized as a tool for sustainable development, helping to integrate environmental considerations into decision-making processes for various projects, including infrastructure and industrial activities.(C. & S., 2024; Fadl, 2021; Sallam, 2020). It ensures that projects are environmentally responsible and contribute to broader sustainability goals, such as those outlined in the SDGs (Fadl, 2021).
- **Better Resource Utilization:** By identifying environmental sensitivities, EIA can guide better resource allocation and project prioritization, ensuring that resources are used efficiently and sustainably (Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021; Rathi, 2022).
- **Increased Transparency and Stakeholder Engagement:** The EIA process, particularly through public participation, enhances transparency and facilitates dialogue among project proponents, regulators, and affected communities. (Morgan, 2020; Suprpto, 2023; Gam & Soprinnye, 2024). This engagement can lead to greater project acceptance and more socially equitable outcomes (Dewangan et al., 2025; Hung, 2020).

The application of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) provides for a tool to be developed to facilitate directly integration of the environmental, social and economic aspects of power projects in the initial phases of development, for an augmented planning and management of any such undertaking. By virtue of its predictive nature, EIA provides for early discovery of potentially adverse effects, and the application of corrective measures that minimize the probability of expensive rework or schedule slippage. It also enhances the quality of decision-making by supporting a systematic assessment of impacts of a project, so that decisions are well-founded, justified, and within sustainable limits of the use of resources. In addition, EIA is crucial in supporting the risk management analysis, by indicating those environmental and social constraints that may result in legal, financial or even operational challenges to the industry (and therefore to the overall sustainability) of the project over time. The alignment with environment regulations and housekeeping compliances prevent in the operational consistency as in the case of that of the India's Environment Protection Act. In addition to regulations, EIA is actively engaged in facilitating sustainable development by mainstreaming ecological responsibility across the infrastructure and industry planning, and has contributing to the global sustainable development objectives as defined by the SDGs. It also leads to more balanced use of resources, identifying environmental sensitivity, and guiding project scarcity. Crucially, EIA promotes transparency and inclusion through stakeholder consultations and public involvement, that contribute to both social acceptance and more just, locally-adaptable results. In other words, EIA is not just a box-ticking exercise but a mechanism that reframes how we think and approach development to make sure that it is both sustainable and prepared.

5.8 Challenges and Obstacles Hindering the Integration of EIA into Project Management:

Despite the recognized benefits of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), several significant challenges and obstacles hinder its effective integration into project management practices globally (Osuizugbo & Nnodu, 2023; Mohammed et al., 2022; Bakr, 2024; Mahmud, 2022). These barriers span technical, institutional, administrative, budgetary, and sociocultural dimensions, often interacting to complicate EIA implementation.

- **Technical Barriers:** A primary challenge is the inadequacy of available, accessible, and fit-for-purpose data, which compromises the accuracy and comprehensiveness of EIA reports. Issues such as limited methodological rigor, imprecise prediction tools, and a lack of standardized assessment criteria can further weaken EIA's scientific basis (Lima et al., 2021). The need for improved data, modeling, and research on thresholds is evident, especially for assessing ecological connectivity (Cumming & Tavares, 2022).

There remains a major technological hurdle to the efficacy of EIA in the continued unsatisfactory nexus of freely-available data to the needs of environmental assessment. This lack of personnel resource adversely affects the scientific integrity of EIA reports and may result in incomplete, superficial or unreliable assessments. Calhoun et al., racey, Rastetter, & Ehleringer, researchers are unable to accurately forecast the impacts of their actions on the environment, particularly in complex ecological systems, this is not necessarily the fault of the practitioners. Adding to this problem, the low level of methodological robustness that commonly characterizes EIAs: due to the use of outdated

or inconsistent predication tools, and the absence of standardised criteria for assessment as well, also undermines the legitimacy of such findings. These methodological limitations not only compromise the objectivity of the evaluations, but they also reduce comparability between projects and regions. Further, the increasing realization of ecological connectivity and cumulative impact necessitates more advanced modelling and investigation on thresholds of incorporation — issues where traditional EIA fails. Recent studies have shown that there is a gap between scientific advances and practical use in EIA, which suggests that an integrated approach, through advanced data analytics, ecological modeling, and interdisciplinary research, is needed to improve the technical basis for environmental assessments.

- **Institutional Barriers:** These include unstable regulatory regimes, fragmented administrative processes, and weak enforcement mechanisms. (Zahroh & Najicha, 2022; Mahmud, 2022). In countries like Nigeria and Malawi, institutional fragmentation and a lack of coordination among regulatory bodies often result in inconsistent application and oversight of EIA regulations (Zahroh & Najicha, 2022; Msiska, 2023). Furthermore, insufficient capacity and expertise among EIA practitioners and project managers contribute to procedural shortcomings (Mahmud et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2020).

Institutional constraints are a fundamental impediment to the effective performance of EIA and to their role in advancing sustainable development. Very common among these problems is the regulatory instability that results from continual policy change and ambiguous responsibilities leading to uncertainty and dilution of EIA enforcement. The problem is compounded by fragmented administrative system, a situation where roles are not properly delineated while the various regulatory agencies do not work together as a cohesive policy and regulatory system. In countries such as Nigeria and Malawi, the EIA process has suffered from institutional fragmentation leading to the implementation of disjointed and inconsistent EIA processes, which have lost credibility and legitimacy. In addition, where enforcement is weak—whether due to political meddling, limited mandate, or bureaucratic inertia—well-designed assessments can fail to influence project outcomes. These structural weaknesses are made worse by lack of capacity of the staff and consultants in EIA and project management, most of whom do not possess the appropriate technical skills, knowledge, or resources to properly undertake unbiased and rigorous reviews. This skills deficit manifests as inadequacies in procedures, including cursory scrutiny, limited stakeholder involvement, and ineffective utilization of EIA-generated information for decision-making. Taken together, these institutional deficits demonstrate the pressing requirement of governance reforms, capacity-building programs, and greater inter-agency co-operation to render EIA an effective instrument of environmental protection, and not a procedural ritual.

- **Administrative Barriers:** Bureaucratic red tape, complex approval procedures, and a lack of procedural fairness frequently lead to delays and inefficiency in EIA processes (Zahroh & Najicha, 2022; Eliantonio & Ivanchykava, 2025). For instance, despite the existence of environmental laws, implementation in Indonesia often faces challenges due to weak enforcement and a lack of effective penalties for non-compliance (Zahroh & Najicha, 2022). Difficulties in

monitoring and follow-up activities after EIA approval also limit its effectiveness (Suprpto, 2023).

Procedural obstacles and red tape, they said, strongly undermine the effectiveness and legitimacy of EIAs, which too often become more a bureaucratic chore than a proactive planning instrument. One of the perennial problems has been multilayered bureaucracy; project approval is often so complicated and onerous that the process itself compromises the vigorous analysis of projects' environmental impacts. These inefficiencies are also often accompanied by a lack of procedural fairness, such that conflicting interpretation and application of EIA regulations can create perceptions of bias or favoritism. Also, in a practical sense, in countries with stringent environmental laws, for example Indonesia, implementation is weak because of very little enforcement and lack of severe punishment for corporate noncompliance. This loophole means that environmentally damaging projects can go ahead with very little oversight. Additionally, poorly kept tabs on monitoring and follow up to the EIA approvals in place weakens the continued efficacy of the EIA, as mitigation measures and compliance commitments are seldom ever monitored and enforced. Post-approval regulation: Without a systemic framework for post-approval monitoring, the EIA would devolve into being a "one-off" procedural bottleneck, rather than an on-going instrument of environmental governance. The administrative lapses underscore the pressing need to rationalize processes, increase transparency, and embed accountability measures that ensure EIA delivers on its promise of protecting environmental and social interests.

- **Budgetary Constraints:** Limited financial resources for conducting thorough EIAs, as well as insufficient funding for monitoring and capacity-building initiatives, directly impact the quality and depth of assessments.(Rabiu et al., 2024; Kantamaturapoj et al., 2023). Project proponents may try to avoid upfront expenses by neglecting environmental considerations, viewing the EIA as an additional cost rather than an investment (Bakr, 2024; Khatib et al., 2020).
- **Financial constraints** are an overarching limitation in the reliability and credibility of Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA), since lacking of adequate funds significantly reduces the quality, extent, and depth of environmental reviews. When resources are compressed, such core analyses as baseline data gathering, stakeholder engagement, and sophisticated modeling are often truncated or eliminated, and cursory assessments risk overlooking a range of impacts. Further, the absence of funding specifically earmarked for post-approval monitoring and adaptive management undermines EIA's long-term effectiveness, as mitigation measures are seldom monitored (or sanctions implemented if the developer doesn't follow through). and it is Implications This funding gap is not merely a technical problem but a function of a more general institutional mentality in which the EIAs are seen as a cost by the project proponents and even by some of the regulatory offices than as investor for sustainable development. As a consequence, environmental concerns are short-changing in favor of limited term economic interests, compromise on preventive and precaution principles of EIA. Solving this budget problem demands a new how to think about the value of doing EAs, including capitalizing the cost of EIA in project finance and creating public funds to pay for independent assessments and long-term environmental oversight.

- **Socio-Cultural Barriers:** These challenges involve insufficient public awareness of EIA principles, limited public knowledge of legal issues, and socio-cultural resistance that can impede effective stakeholder and community participation. (Kantamaturapoj et al., 2023; Nirmani, 2024). In some contexts, cultural norms and gender roles can limit community involvement (Nirmani, 2024). The perception of EIA as a bureaucratic hurdle rather than a tool for sustainable development can also curtail its proactive adoption (Bakr, 2024). A study in Indonesia highlighted that recent legal changes have reduced community rights and obligations in the EIA process, limiting transparency and participation (Mutolib et al., 2024).

Social-cultural barriers and the effectiveness of EIAs in the absence of public environmental awareness Effectiveness of Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA), like other relevant procedures, curtails the effectiveness of EIA by applying to it a “b/subtle but profound b” test. A common lack of awareness of EIA principles and rights frequently prevents communities from effectively participating in the assessment process. Such disconnect is reinforced by attitudes and gender roles that prevent a broad participation, especially by the most vulnerable, conditioning inclusiveness and representativeness of stakeholders consultations. In some cultures, EIA is considered not as a participatory planning process but a bureaucratic step that undermines its credibility and participation. This view is confirmed when legal regimes undermine or erode community rights as is happening under recent legal changes in Indonesia which have reduced public voice and transparency in the EIA process. These developments undermine not only the democratic basis of environmental governance but also trust between communities and regulatory bodies. Addressing these sociocultural barriers involves more than procedural tinkering; it necessitates ongoing public education, culturally sensitive outreach, and legal provisions that provide a framework for communities to serve as meaningful collaborators in the environmental decision-making process.

- **Lack of Integration with Decision-Making:** EIA findings are sometimes not effectively incorporated into managerial decision-making due to various factors, including political interference, a focus on economic benefits over environmental concerns, and a failure to translate technical findings into actionable recommendations (Enriquez-de-Salamanca, 2021; Sas-Bojarska et al., 2023; Sallam, 2020).

political intervention often affects the objectivity of EIA results. Environmental concern may be overridden in certain cases by political expediency, short-term economic advantage, or vested interests. According to Weiss (2014), these external pressures can sometimes result in adverse environmental assessments being sidelined or simply ignored, making the EIA a performative (rather than, to use Jasanoff’s terminology, a performative) act. When political expediency becomes more important than scientific integrity, then the idea behind an EIA to provide a disinterested assessment of possible impacts of a proposal, is compromised.

The other is that the attention has always been to the money and not to the greenery, effectively a contradiction in development planning. Economic growth is obviously essential, but overemphasis on this may devalue or ignore ecosystems services and the long-term environment sustainability. The economic bias takes the form of a disinclination to incur the costs of mitigation or to refuse projects that promise economic returns, but pose substantial environmental hazard. The problem is to strike a true balance where the environment is considered -not a separate facet of sustainable economic development, but part and parcel of it.

And last but not least, we do not know what to do with technical intelligence, a big piece in the puzzle of how we fail to connect findings with action. Their reports are extremely technical where they often use of specific terminology combined with obscure data. And if these kinds of evidence are not formulated into 'smart, bite-size, usable' recommendations, the findings can be lost on decision-makers who may not have the science skills to understand them. It is this divide that can result in valuable knowledge about the environment only making it into 'expert reports' rather than influencing policy and management decisions. Closing this gap depends not only on efficient communication approaches, but also on an awareness of the types of information required by the various stakeholders participating in decision making.

In other words, poor integration of EIA results into the decision making process is systemic. It also highlights the importance of strong governance processes that protect environmental assessment from inappropriate political intervention, a re-orientation towards sustainable development as opposed to short-term economic returns, and better methods of communicating complex environmental information in a way that is accessible and useful. Without dealing with these underlying problems, EIAs are at risk of being an empty bureaucratic exercise, rather than a powerful environment-protecting instrument.

5.9 Improvements and Supporting Tools/Methods for EIA Integration:

Enhancing the integration of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) into project management requires a combination of improved methodologies, advanced tools, and strategic approaches (Henkens et al., 2020; Matalka et al., 2025; Cumming & Tavares, 2022; Chubarkina et al., 2024). These interventions aim to overcome existing challenges and maximize the effectiveness of EIA in achieving sustainable and efficient project outcomes.

Improving the integration of EIA into the project management requires a variety of interventions involving: a) method/methodology improvement; b) technological innovation; and c) strategic alignment of EIA with decision-making. Enhancements to common methodologies, particularly including the concept of cumulative impact assessment, scenario analysis and ecosystem-based approaches, could help in promoting a more comprehensive view of environmental risks and interactions, thus increasing the transfer of EIA findings into project planning. The application of high-tech tools such as GIS, remote sensing, and predictive modeling supplements and boosts the analytical capability of EIA process to provide more precise and spatially explicit evaluation. But technical fixes are not enough: institutional arrangements are required to ensure that EIA findings can be systematically integrated in the project design, approval and implementation process. This will demand closer policy coordination, clearer lines of

accountability, and better communication between environmental scientists and policy-makers. Capacity-building programmes oriented towards both public and private interest groups are also important for promoting a climate of environmental accountability and to provide professionals with the necessary EIA tools for practice. In the end, it is the aspiration that EIA would be repositioned where as a process it would rather not be seen as a mere gate keeper but an integral feature of strategic project management as a tool for sustainability; efficiency and value creation over time.

5.9.1 Improved Methodologies and Approaches:

Integrated Assessments: Moving towards holistic approaches that combine EIA with other assessment types, such as Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), ensures a broader context for individual project assessments and addresses cumulative impacts (Begontes et al., 2024; Cumming & Tavares, 2022). Integrating environmental management accounting and comprehensive impact assessments can also enhance decision-making (Anikina & Anikin, 2020). **Risk-Oriented Project Management:** Systematically embedding EIA findings within comprehensive project risk management frameworks allows for proactive identification and mitigation of environmental hazards (Krzywik, 2023; Bhatería, 2024). This approach ensures that environmental risks are accounted for in project planning, resource allocation, and overall decision-making (Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021). **Clear Terms of Reference and Guidelines:** Developing context-specific guidelines that deal with significance thresholds, alternatives, mitigation measures, and monitoring plans can address unsatisfactory results in EIA, particularly in sensitive areas like biodiversity hotspots (Wentzel et al., 2023). Transparent and clear procedural guidelines for transnational administrative cooperation are also needed (Eliantonio & Ivanchykava, 2025). **Adaptive Management Practices:** Implementing adaptive management practices allows for ongoing monitoring and adjustment of mitigation strategies based on real-world outcomes, ensuring EIA remains relevant throughout the project lifecycle (Begontes et al., 2024). **Behavioral and Institutional Interventions:** Addressing managerial biases and decision-making styles, fostering open communication, and promoting stakeholder engagement can significantly improve the utilization of EIA findings (Rusdianto, 2020; Rweyendela, 2021). Administrative reforms to streamline procedural complexity and enhance transparency further support effective use (Retief et al., 2020; Rathi, 2023).

To advance the integration and efficacy of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within project planning, a move towards more holistic, risk-sensitive, and dynamic systems is required that transcend proceduralism. A promising approach is to use integrated assessment, where EIA is integrated with SEA and other tools to encompass spatial and temporal scales that transcend project boundaries and focus on cumulative and cross-sectoral matters. This integration will provide more context for project-level evaluations and allow for decision-making at a higher level. Incorporating EIA results into all-encompassing risk management systems also serves to ensure that environmental risks are not considered in isolation but are methodically dealt with as part of financial, operational and social considerations for the life of a project. Transparent and specific terms of reference – for example in a context of sensitive areas ecologically speaking – are essential to increase precision and accountability of the process of EIA, and also procedural guidelines would enable cross-border cooperation and consistency of regulation. Adaptive management techniques of monitoring and learning through iterations enable modifications of mitigation strategies in real time so EIA can address changing-project dynamics. Furthermore, behavioural as well as organizational interventions, like

managerial bias reduction, the creation of open work climates and the support of broad stakeholder participation, can increase the probability of U&U from EIA. This change is also buttressed by administrative reforms aimed at simplifying procedures and adding transparency. Together, they provide a holistic chart for rebuilding EIA as a live, decision-engaging tool of practice, genuinely shaping sustainable and resilient development.

5.9.2 Supporting Tools and Technologies:

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Remote Sensing:* These technologies improve data collection, analysis, and visualization of environmental information, enhancing the accuracy and scientific robustness of EIA (López et al., 2020; Kutliahmetov et al., 2020). They facilitate spatially explicit environmental impact analysis and monitoring (Chanchitpricha & Fischer, 2022). **Building Information Modeling (BIM):** BIM can be a key tool for integrating EIA data within project management workflows, enabling multidimensional data integration and real-time visualization of environmental impacts (Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). It supports better coordination across disciplines and improves reporting accuracy (Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). **Digital Platforms and AI-powered Tools:** Emerging digital approaches facilitate data management, analysis, and communication in EIA, improving accessibility and transparency of reports (Northmore & Hudson, 2022; Balashova, 2022; Sravanthi et al., 2023). AI and machine learning can enhance efficiency, decision-making, and resource allocation in project management (Salimimoghadam et al., 2025; Sravanthi et al., 2023). **Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Tools:** LCA can be integrated with EIA to evaluate the total environmental impact of projects or products across their entire lifecycle, providing a more comprehensive environmental performance analysis (Lima et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020; Sartori et al., 2020). **Visual Communication Strategies:** Innovative approaches, such as visual strategies for engineering project management, can enhance the clarity and impact of EIA results, making them more understandable to stakeholders and decision-makers (Hossain et al., n.d.). **Environmental Quality Assessment Tools:** These tools help assess the level of development and environmental safety of areas, reducing risks and increasing project efficiency, particularly during the pre-investment phase (Chubarkina et al., 2024).

The introduction of advanced digital technologies into Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a transformational process that affects how environmental data are acquired, communicated, and analyzed. The recent advances in Geographical Information Systems (GIS), and remote sensing have greatly improved the spatial precision and scientific basis of environmental impact assessment, by allowing simultaneous, and location specific data acquisition while also being able to visualize the result of the collection. These techniques enable greater accuracy in impact predictions and provide for continued environmental monitoring throughout the project lifespan. Equally, Building Information Modelling (BIM) provides a robust context for seamlessly embedding EIA data within project management processes to unify multidimensionality of data and synchronization among professionals. The availability of digital platforms and AI tools in turn facilitates the handling and analysis of information, and contributes to easier accessibility, transparency and responsiveness of EIAs. AI and ML models help business to make data-driven decision by pattern recognition, risk prediction and allocating resources in the right place. Life cycle assessment (LCA) tools complement EIA by assessing environmental impacts from the

cradle to the grave of a project or product, thus providing for a more holistic understanding of sustainability performance. Moreover, visual communication techniques – e.g., infographics, and interactive dashboards – render intricate EIA results more readily understandable to stakeholders and decision-makers, thus enhancing engagement and comprehension. Environmental quality assessment instruments also take highly significant roles in pre-investment agendas as they identify development vulnerabilities and direct the choice of sites. Together, these technologies improve not only the technical quality of EIA, but also the strategic significance of EIA in sustainable project planning and management.

5.9.3 Enhancing Collaboration and Capacity Building:

Stakeholder Participation:* Promoting meaningful stakeholder engagement, including local communities, is vital for successful EIA integration and project acceptance (Suprpto, 2023; Dewangan et al., 2025). This involves improving access to information, providing education, and fostering trust (Nirmani, 2024; Loomis et al., 2022). **Training and Expertise Development:**** Improving the skills and expertise of EIA consultants, project managers, and regulatory officers through targeted training programs is crucial for enhancing report quality and effective implementation (Mahmud et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2020). **Inter-agency Cooperation:**** Strengthening collaboration and coordination among government agencies involved in the EIA process can streamline administrative procedures and improve overall effectiveness (Ellantonio & Ivanchykava, 2025).

The degree to which Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is successfully incorporated into project development and implementation, is vitally related to the quality of stakeholder involvement, the skill base of the professionals involved, and the appropriateness of institutional cooperation. Genuine stakeholder involvement—including in the local context—is necessary not just for project legitimacy and social acceptance, but also to make certain that multiple points of view and local knowledge contribute to environmental decision making. It will take more than just consultations in rooms; it will need to involve information in the public domain, educational outreach, and trust-building mechanisms that enable communities to engage actively and with confidence. Equally important, is the capacity building of consultants doing the EIAs, project managers, and regulators. Training programs designed along specific technical lines, and ongoing professional development are needed to elevate the quality of analysis in EIA reports to the point of alignment with the strategies they would inform. In addition, cooperation between agencies is a key approach to simplification and less fragmentation within the regulation-issuing bodies. Improved co-ordination promotes uniformity, minimises duplication and involves the environmental perspective at all levels of administration. The components discussed above collectively make-up the institutional legs of a strong EIA system— participatory, competent and strategically integrated with sustainable development objectives.

5.10 How EIA Affects Resource Allocation and Project Prioritization:

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) significantly influences resource allocation and project prioritization by embedding environmental considerations into strategic decision-making processes (Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021; Rathi, 2022; Joseph et al., 2020). This integration transforms how resources are distributed and which projects are given precedence.

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a powerful tool for influencing resource allocation and the selection of projects, as it integrates environmental concerns with strategic decisions. Instead of environmental issues being a minor or incidental aspect, EIA puts forward environmental considerations as one of the main components when determining the sustainability and acceptability of development plans and programmes of work. This paradigm shift of values now allows planners and policy makers to apply resources more transparently emphasizing environmentally responsible projects, long-term sustainability and limited environmental disturbance. As EIA systematically identifies environmental sensitivities and risks, it provides a means to avoid investments in certain projects facing restrictions, adverse public reaction or environmental damage, thereby minimizing financial and operational risk. Further, EIA enables prioritization by emphasizing projects that contribute to broader sustainability objectives like climate resilience, biodiversity conservation, and responsible land use. This integration has the potential to shift the development landscape, leading to a more equitable and a more farsighted distribution of resources that reflects both economic and environmental pressures.

5.10.1 Resource Allocation:

- ***Informed Budgeting:*** EIA helps identify potential environmental risks and mitigation measures early in the project lifecycle, allowing for more informed budgetary allocations (Lima et al., 2021; Ye, 2021). This proactive approach ensures that necessary funds are earmarked for environmental safeguards, potentially avoiding costlier rectifications later (Therivel & González, 2020; Saqib et al., 2023). For instance, EIA fees and other environmental costs are part of project expenses, influencing the overall budget (Ye, 2021).
- ***Efficient Resource Deployment: *** By clearly defining environmental requirements and potential impacts, EIA guides the efficient deployment of human, technical, and financial resources towards sustainable practices (Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021). This can lead to a proper allocation of limited organizational resources to fulfill environmental requirements (Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021).
- ***AI-Assisted Optimization:*** Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly used to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of resource allocation in project management (Sravanthi et al., 2023). AI-assisted techniques such as linear programming, genetic algorithms, and neural networks can optimize the allocation of personnel and equipment to tasks, leading to better resource utilization, reduced costs, and improved scheduling (Sravanthi et al., 2023). Integrated systems using random forest models and Natural Language Programming (NLP) can optimize resource and task allocation, reducing operational costs and planning phases (Pratama et al., 2023).

a critical part in leading resource allocation by allowing for a better, more effective, and forward-looking budgetary decision-making during the project life cycle. Through the process of identifying environmental risks and needed protection actions at an early planning stage, EIA enables project initiators to allocate money and resources that are needed from the beginning to protect the environment, rather than devising remedial measures after the damage is done. This level of foresight not only minimizes the risk of

expensive environmental "do-overs" down the road, but it also improves financial predictability and project robustness. Furthermore, EIA provides environmental consideration and impact with the possibility of focusing human, technical and capital resources on sustainable activities. This also helps ensure that scarce organizational resources are targeted to where they are most needed to comply with regulations and ecological requirements. The addition of AI in project management enhances this efficiency. AI-enhanced optimization methods -including linear programming, genetic algorithms and neural networks- allow to dynamically assign people, equipment, and time, leading to an optimised time management, more efficient business, and an improved project execution. "State-of-the-arts approaches incorporating ML models and NLP even offer real-time decision-support and resource planning. In combination, these instruments and kinds of considerations put EIA not just as a mechanism for preventing environmental deterioration, but a tool for better resource management.

5.10.2 Project Prioritization:

- ***Sustainability as a Prioritization Criterion:*** EIA introduces environmental sustainability as a critical criterion for project prioritization (Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021). Projects with lower environmental impact or those offering significant environmental benefits may receive higher priority, aligning with broader sustainability goals and policies (Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021; Joseph et al., 2020). **Risk-Based Prioritization:** EIA helps identify environmental risks, allowing them to be incorporated into project prioritization decisions (Krzywik, 2023). Projects with high environmental risks, if not adequately mitigated, may be deprioritized or require more scrutiny and resources (Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021). Risk analysis and management are crucial for project managers in determining priorities (Krzywik, 2023).
- ***Analytical Tools for Prioritization:*** Methods like Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) can be used for project prioritization, taking into account various factors, including environmental considerations (Petrović, 2023; Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021). These tools provide a structured way to compare and rank projects based on multiple criteria (Petrović, 2023).
- ***Cost of Delay Integration:*** Incorporating the "cost of delay" for various projects, as highlighted by EIA-related potential hold-ups, can inform better prioritization decisions (Riesener et al., 2023). This methodology helps organizations understand the financial implications of delaying certain projects due to environmental assessments or mitigation, leading to more strategic prioritization (Riesener et al., 2023).
- ***Policy and Regulatory Influence:*** Environmental approval conditions derived from EIAs often include terms and conditions that guide resource supervision and the implementation of mitigation measures, effectively influencing which projects move forward and how quickly (Rathi, 2022). This can lead to the prioritization of certain conditions and stakeholder engagement (Rathi, 2022).

(EIA) is an important tool of project prioritisation, sustainability and environmental risk are included as a matter of course in the list of evaluation or selection plan of development to be implemented. By showcasing the ecological footprints and lasting environmental impacts of planned projects, EIA can help decision makers choose which to pursue and

which to avoid in favor of more sustainable activity. This "green" prioritization means that projects that have environmental advantages and/or have strong mitigation components are given priority. Furthermore, EIA enables risk-based prioritization by determining at risk projects in terms of environmental risks that need to undergo additional scrutiny, have more resources allocated to them, or may need possible reevaluation. Tools such as the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) also facilitate systematic, multiple criteria decision-making, which makes it possible to compare between projects in terms of environmental, economic, and social aspects. The incorporation of "cost of delay" measurements—including those related to scheduling delays caused by EIAs—brings an additional dimension to inform strategy by allowing organisations to consider the financial impact of putting off various projects based on their environmental considerations. Furthermore, regulatory requirements informed by EIA outcomes typically influence the speed and viability of project development, resulting in what projects move forward and under which conditions. 'In this manner, EIA not only informs, but actually influences the determination of projects which are to take priority, so that environmental responsibility is integrated into the very heart of development planning.

5.11 Relationship Between EIA Application and Long-Term Cost Reduction:

- The application of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has a significant and positive relationship with long-term cost reduction in projects (Therivel & González, 2020; Saqib et al., 2023; Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021). This relationship stems from EIA's ability to proactively address potential issues, enhance compliance, and foster sustainable practices throughout the project lifecycle.

Utilization of EIA has proven to be beneficial for long term cost effectiveness in project implementation in two ways, i.e. due to its proactive nature and preventive mode of operation (Ugwu, 2007). By identifying possible environmental hazards and compliance challenges at the onset of the project and not later, EIA allows developers to address such risks and challenges before they develop into expensive construction delays, re-design, or litigation. This anticipation will reduce the probability of unexpected costs associated with ecological harm, regulatory sanctions, or community resistance. In addition, EIA promotes efficient use of resources that increase operating performance and reduce operating costs over the long term, including reduced resources, waste and energy. The systematic procedure of EIA also increases regulatory compliance, reducing financial risks, such as non-compliance or reputation damage. Practically EIA may have 'costs' measures upfront but is considered as a strategic investment that realises better financial performance during and over the life of a project than not implementing EIA due to reduced environmental liabilities and increased project sustainability.

- Prevention of Costly Delays and Redesigns: EIA identifies potential environmental, social, and economic impacts at the early stages of project planning (Morgan, 2020; P&A, 2023). By addressing these impacts upfront, projects can avoid unforeseen delays, legal challenges, and the need for expensive redesigns or rework during later implementation phases (P&A, 2023; Sallam, 2020). The earlier issues are identified, the less costly they are to resolve (P&A, 2023).

The most overt of the economic benefits of EIA is EIA's capability to avoid costly project delays and overruns through early project planning that incorporate the potential for

environmental, social, and economic impacts. With such early warnings, project teams can now take proactive measures to tackle challenges such as habitat encroachment, community resistance or regulatory failures before they snowball into the formal implementation stage. EIA's early incorporation of EPPs in the planning of the project minimizes the probability of delays, court challenges or system retrofits that can inflate costs and prolong schedules. The wisdom of early discovery and mitigation of issues is especially important in large scale infrastructure or industrial projects, where a late change in a project can be technically difficult and costly. Consequently, EIA is not only an environmental protection but it

- **Avoidance of Regulatory Penalties and Fines:** Through its emphasis on regulatory compliance, EIA helps projects meet environmental laws and standards (C. & S., 2024; Bakare et al., 2024). This adherence reduces the risk of penalties, fines, or injunctions that can result from non-compliance, which can be substantial and lead to significant long-term costs (Sotiralis et al., 2024; Bakare et al., 2024).

EIA is a crucial tool for regulatory adherence, therefore shielding the projects from the financial and legal penalties associated with environmental disruptions. Driver 17 Applying, establishing and maintaining compliance; minimizing noncompliance By aligning project activities systematically with national and international environmental regulations, the EIA assists developers in predicting and tackling likely non-compliance issues before they develop into problems. By being proactive it is possible to substantially mitigate ones liabilities and make sure one doesn't end up with costly fines and penalties with ongoing financial consequences. Non-compliance with environmental regulations in some countries can stop projects, damage reputations and generate expensive litigation. EIA addresses these challenges by grounding the planning and implementation of projects in legal standards, so that environmental protections are not just recognized, but guaranteed. Therefore, EIA serves not only as the technical instrument, but also the legal shield, to improve project resilience and financial security through the formalized environmental governance regimes.

- **Reduced Operational Costs:** Projects designed with environmental considerations in mind, as guided by EIA, often incorporate more sustainable and resource-efficient technologies. (Godina et al., 2020). For instance, optimizing material consumption, customizing designs, and shortening production times can reduce costs in production, logistics, and inventories (Godina et al., 2020). These measures can lead to lower energy consumption, reduced waste generation, and more efficient use of raw materials, translating into lower operational expenses over the project's lifespan (López et al., 2020; Godina et al., 2020).

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is vital in reducing the high operational costs in the long run through stimulating the incorporation of environmentally friendly and resourceful practices in project planning and execution. Projects with integrated EIA outcomes typically include the use of "clean" technologies and best practice that are conservative in the consumption of materials, use less energy and produce less waste. For example, when designs are customised and production processes are optimised, developers can reduce production lead times and also lower logistics and inventory costs. Not only does this reduce the environmental impact of a project, they also deliver real cost savings over the life of a project. What's more, sustainable design decisions -- from energy-saving

fixtures, water-saving equipment and the use of circular materials -- can also help cut back on utility expenses, maintenance headaches and onerous regulations. In doing so, EIA acts as an enabler of operational best-practice, connecting environmental stewardship with financial success and underpinning the sustainability of our development ambitions.

- **Enhanced Reputation and Reduced Social Conflicts:** By involving stakeholders and addressing community concerns through the EIA process, projects can build trust and acceptance, minimizing the likelihood of social conflicts or protests. (Suprpto, 2023; Gam & Soprinye, 2024). Such conflicts can lead to project stoppages, legal battles, and reputational damage, all of which incur significant costs (Bakr, 2024).

Actively involving affected stakeholders in the early stages of the project development process is essential to build trust and decrease the likelihood of community conflict. Local peoples who are listened to and respected will support, or at the very least accept, the development, and thus not stage protests, initiate legal cases or mount organized resistance. These types of resistance, if not managed well, can result in expensive project delays, reputation loss and even the abandonment of a project. Transparent communication, open consultation processes and responsiveness to local values and expectations serve the not only to facilitate social acceptance, but also to locate the projects as ethically informed and socially responsible. This 'reputational capital' is a valuable asset – especially in industries where public face and regulatory visibility are high. In the end, including community input in project planning it is not something that should be done for building's sake (or for the community looking for a little good will to streech). It's an investment in reducing risk and promoting stability in a long-term project.

1. **Long-term Environmental Performance:** EIA aims to ensure that projects have a minimal negative impact on the environment (Sallam, 2020). This commitment to environmental protection can lead to a healthier ecosystem, preserving natural capital, and avoiding future environmental degradation costs, such as clean-up or restoration expenses (Saqib et al., 2023). The STM Validation Project, for example, aims to reduce the shipping industry's carbon footprint and decrease accidents, leading to increased efficiency and environmental sustainability (López et al., 2020).

One of the basic principles for maintaining ecological and economical sustainability over the years is to minimize environmental impacts caused by project construction. Environmentally friendly projects help to create healthier ecosystems, preserve natural capital, and save future liabilities from environmental degradation, such as expensive clean-ups or habitat restoration. This forward-thinking practice ensures the conservation of biodiversity and natural resources, while strengthening the resilience of neighbouring communities and industries. Measures to reduce carbon and operational risk such as those in the STM Validation Project show how improvement in environmental performance can drive improved efficiency and cut rates of accident. These initiatives are part of a more comprehensive sustainability commitment that brings the environmental challenge to the heart of project planning and implementation, yielding far-reaching results beyond the reach of current operational targets.

2. **Improved Access to Green Finance:** Projects with strong EIA credentials and sustainable practices may have better access to green finance options, which often come with favorable terms or incentives, contributing to overall cost efficiency. (Zhang et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022).

Green finance is increasingly supporting projects that evidence strong environmental performance and incorporate sustainable practices in their design and construction. Banks and multilateral/bilateral investors are more likely to support projects that meet environmental, social, and governance (ESG) standards, providing access to green finance in the form of green bonds, sustainability-linked loans, or other types of funding that can be more concessional, have better terms, or be performance-based. This economic gain is not only about a lower cost of capital but also about increasing project credibility and project attractiveness for the stakeholders. Developers who incorporate sustainability into their basic planning strategies will be poised to take advantage of a widening base of environmentally minded investors and funding sources. Such way of doing business makes environmental stewardship not only a moral and regulatory obligation, but also a financial asset strategically speaking, as it builds towards long-term cost efficiency and investment resistance.

5.12 Enhancing Local Community Participation in the EIA Process:

Enhancing local community participation in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process is critical for improving project acceptance, ensuring environmental justice, and achieving sustainable development outcomes (Mosquera-Benitez, 2020; Gam & Soprinye, 2024; Ma, 2022).

Involving local communities in the EA system is necessary for more inclusive development, furthering the interests of environmental justice and ensuring that projects reflect the values and priorities of those most directly impacted. When local communities where people live are included in meaningful ways they can bring precious knowledge about what affects them as local people and some of the risks they might face in their community and provide more legitimacy and acceptance of potential development. This inclusive process improves the quality of EA as well as empowers disenfranchised groups, reduces the potential for dispute and is conducive to fairer outcomes. Effective community participation, however, is impeded by a variety of barriers which include restricted access to information, socio-cultural restraints and institutional reluctance. Strategies to address these challenges and to deepen community engagement include capacity building, and culturally appropriate communication, legal empowerment, and digital tools that can improve transparency and access.

Research highlights several key strategies to bolster community engagement and overcome existing barriers:

- **Early and Meaningful Engagement:** Involve communities from the very beginning of the EIA process, not just during formal public hearings (Mosquera-Benitez, 2020). Meaningful engagement means providing information, seeking input, and considering community perspectives before decisions are made (Mutolib et al., 2024; Ma, 2022).
- **Transparent and Accessible Information:** Ensure that information related to the project and EIA findings is transparent, accessible, and presented in formats understandable to local communities.(Nirmanani, 2024; Dewangan et al., 2025). This includes using local languages, culturally appropriate communication methods, and avoiding overly technical jargon (Nirmanani, 2024). Digital tools can facilitate this by improving information flow and accessibility (Northmore & Hudson, 2022).

- **Capacity Building and Education:** Provide educational programs, workshops, and training sessions to empower community members with the knowledge and skills needed to understand environmental impacts and effectively participate in the EIA process (Gam & Soprinnye, 2024; Nirmani, 2024). Increasing awareness and knowledge about EIA procedures and regulations among the public is crucial (Mahmud et al., 2021).
- **Multi-faceted Participation Techniques:** Employ a variety of participation techniques beyond traditional public hearings, such as focus groups, discussions, community meetings, and collaborative workshops, to accommodate diverse community needs and ensure broader representation (Parikh et al., 2022; Ghimire, 2020).
- **Inclusion of Community Acceptability as a Variable:** Incorporate community acceptability as a specific variable or attribute within the environmental impact assessment methodology (Mosquera-Benitez, 2020). This ensures that the collective will of affected communities is formally considered alongside other environmental factors in decision-making (Mosquera-Benitez, 2020).
- **Strengthening Legal and Policy Frameworks:** Ensure that legal frameworks explicitly support and protect community rights to Information, participation, and influence in environmental decision-making (Wisnumurti, 2024; Mutolib et al., 2024; Tjahjani, 2021). Some recent legal amendments, like Indonesia's Omnibus Law on Job Creation, have been criticized for potentially limiting community participation rights, underscoring the need for robust legal protections (Mutolib et al., 2024).
- **Addressing Socio-Cultural Barriers:** Recognize and address socio-cultural barriers such as gender roles, traditional beliefs, and power imbalances that might limit certain groups' participation (Serikzhanova et al., 2025; Nirmani, 2024). Fostering trust among community members and with project proponents is also essential (Nirmani, 2024).
- **Leveraging Local Leadership and Community-Based Organizations:** Engage with local leaders and existing community-based Organizations to facilitate communication and mobilize participation, as they often have established networks and credibility within the community. (Gam & Soprinnye, 2024).
- **Clear Conflict Resolution Mechanisms:** Establish clear mechanisms for resolving disputes that may arise during the EIA process, especially concerning community involvement in document preparation or impact assessment.(Mosquera-Benitez, 2020).

It is important that community involvement in the environmental assessment process be enhanced, to help contribute to more equitable and sustainable development. Successful engagement starts with early and real engagement—bringing communities into the process from the beginning and not just in formal hearings and allowing their concerns and advice to influence project planning before decisions are set. It is equally important that communication is transparent and accessible and that information is provided in local languages, in culturally suitable formats without reliance on technical jargon, also increasingly using digital tools to improve access. With capacity-building activities such as workshops and training sessions, broad community members gain the knowledge and courage they need in order to engage effectively. In response, a range of participatory methods, from focus groups to productive workshops to casual interaction, need to be used to meet diverse needs and ensure widespread representation. Crucially, community acceptability should be considered as a critical variable in assessment approaches. Such an

approach would also guarantee that local perspectives are not only voiced, but become an integral part of decision making guided by a formal mechanism. Legal and policy environments should also be re-inforced to ensure communities have rights to information, to participate meaningfully and to be influential in shaping decisions—including in cases where recent legal reforms have diluted these protections. Overcoming socio-cultural barriers, including gender roles and traditional power structures, is key for promoting inclusive participation, as is creating trust through ongoing, respectful dialogue. Local leaders and community organizations can be approached to facilitate outreach and mobilization, and can utilise existing networks and trust. Lastly, setting up transparent and accessible mechanisms for conflict resolution helps resolving the disputes in a constructive manner, maintaining transparency and accountability in the process.

5.13 Role of Modern Technology in Enhancing EIA Effectiveness

Modern technology plays a transformative role in enhancing the effectiveness of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) by improving data accuracy, streamlining processes, facilitating stakeholder engagement, and integrating comprehensive environmental information into project management (López et al., 2020; M. et al., 2021; Yin et al., 2021).

- **Improved Data Collection and Analysis:** Technologies such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS), remote sensing, and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) provide high-resolution, real-time data for environmental assessments (Kutliahmetov et al., 2020). GIS is crucial for screening environmental impacts by mapping sensitive features and identifying cumulative impacts (Begontes et al., 2024; Cumming & Tavares, 2022). These tools enhance the scientific robustness and precision of the EIA process (Kutliahmetov et al., 2020).
- **Enhanced Prediction and Modeling:** Advanced computational intelligence methods, including fuzzy-based decision support systems and statistical models, improve the accuracy of impact predictions during the screening and assessment phases (Yang et al., 2025). These models can integrate quantitative evaluation methods for policy environmental impacts (Yang et al., 2025).
- **Streamlined Processes and Efficiency:** Digital platforms and integrated software solutions automate various aspects of the EIA workflow, from data input to report generation, reducing manual effort and processing time.(Northmore & Hudson, 2022; Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023). This helps manage the complexity of EIA procedures and leads to increased operational efficiency (Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023).
- **Improved Reporting Quality and Clarity:** Digital reporting approaches, as well as tools for generating figures and tables, enhance the presentation and clarity of EIA data and findings. (Hossain et al., n.d.; Vickers et al., 2020; Northmore & Hudson, 2022). This makes complex environmental information more digestible and actionable for decision-makers and stakeholders (Northmore & Hudson, 2022; Silapasuphakornwong et al., 2021).
- **Facilitating Stakeholder Engagement:** Digital tools and visual communication strategies make EIA information more accessible and interactive for the public, fostering greater transparency and participation. (Hossain et al., n.d.; Hung, 2020). This can include showing EIA results through various means and enabling stakeholder input (Hossain et al., n.d.). Such engagement improves the normative and legitimacy functions of EIA (Hung, 2020).

- **Real-time Monitoring and Adaptive Management:** Technologies enable continuous, real-time monitoring of environmental parameters during project implementation (Kutliahetov et al., 2020). This allows for prompt detection of deviations from predicted impacts and facilitates adaptive management, where mitigation strategies can be adjusted in response to actual conditions (Begontes et al., 2024).
- **Decision Support Systems:** Modern technology assists in decision-making by enabling rapid data processing, searching for alternative solutions, and modeling of future events, improving the quality of project solutions (Balashova, 2022). Digitalization drives efficiency, safety, and environmental sustainability in industries such as maritime shipping (López et al., 2020).
- **Integration with Project Management Tools:** AI and other digital tools can be integrated with project management information systems (PMIS) to optimize resource and task allocation, improve scheduling, and enhance overall project performance. (Salimimoghadam et al., 2025; Sravanthi et al., 2023). This allows for seamless incorporation of environmental considerations into project management software (Jannat et al., 2024).

Modern technology has a potential to impact ecological assessment in a transformative manner to improve accuracy, efficiency and inclusivity. Advanced technologies, such as GIS (Geographic Information System), remote-sensing, and UAV (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle), allow high-resolution, current information gathering that can produce the more precise mapping of vulnerable ecosystems, as well as cumulative impact analysis. These tools aid the scientific robustness of assessments and the ability to make better decisions. Moreover, computer intelligence techniques like fuzzy logic systems and statistical modeling enhance the precision of impact predictions, particularly in the early stages of screening and evaluation. Computer platforms and embedded software solutions simplify the flow of data by automating data entry, analysis, and reporting, easing the effort required by humans, as well the amount of time for processing. Additionally, such tools increase the availability and transparency of reports via more compelling visualization so that the intricate nature of the environmental data becomes palatable to stakeholders and decision makers.

Furthermore, digital communication mechanisms and interactive platforms promote the engagement of a wider range of stakeholders, with the information presented in a palatable way and feedback (constant, instant or real time) being possible. This promotes transparency and enhances the credibility of the evaluation process. Monitoring in real time enables environmental parameters to be tracked during project implementation so that management is adaptive and mitigation measures can be adjusted in a timely manner. AI-enabled decision support systems help evaluate options, predict outcomes and optimize project solutions. In addition, the incorporation of environmental data into system project management information systems (PMIS) allows the sustainability as part of scheduling, resource management and performance measurement. Overall, these technological innovations not only increase the technical rigor of environmental assessment, but also strengthen its strategic role in the delivery of sustainable, integrated and socially responsible development.

5.14 How the Quality of EIA Reports Impacts the Effectiveness of Planning and Execution Processes:

The quality of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) reports profoundly impacts the effectiveness of planning and execution processes in project management (Sheu et al., 2024; Mahmud et al., 2021; Rathi, 2023). High-quality EIA reports serve as foundational documents that guide informed decision-making, risk management, and the overall efficiency of a project.

Recent technology has had a transformative impact to improve accuracy, efficiency, and foster inclusivity in environment assessment processes. Advanced technology such as Geographical Information Systems (GIS), remote sensing, and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) could provide high-resolution real-time data to map sensitive areas and cumulative impacts more accurately. Such tools improve the scientific rigor of assessments and help in evidence-based decision-making. You should also integrate computational intelligence techniques such as fuzzy logic systems and statistical modeling to enhance the precision of impact forecasting, predominantly in the screening and evaluation stages. Digital systems and software solutions enable automation of data entry, analyses and reporting to deliver efficiencies in workflow and save time and effort compared to manual processing. These tools also increase clarity and availability of these reports through better visual representations; translating difficult environmental information into something stakeholders and decision-makers can apply.

The effectiveness in both planning and execution stages of project management largely depends on the quality of environmental assessment reports. Reliable reports serve as an evidence-based reference for informed decision making by providing accurate baseline information, well-structured forecasts of impacts, and actionable measures for prevention. " These components are necessary for early identification of environmental risks, for the synchronization of project goals with environmental requirements, and for the incorporation of sustainability into project decisions. Well-conducted, well-reported reviews can strengthen the validity and credibility of the review process so that regulatory acceptance is easier to obtain. They also enable better resource allocation, more accurate scheduling and the ability to develop contingency plans, lending predictability and cost-effectiveness to the execution of the project. Conversely low quality, reported as vague or subjective assessments, compliance with fragmented regulations or poor justification of measures, is likely to result in ill-informed decisions, regulatory delays, loss of confidence by stakeholders and expensive-to-implement redesigns. In the end, however, the standards of the reports determine whether environmental considerations are truly integrated into the life of the project, or remain superficial check-the-box exercises, with ramifications for project success, compliance and long-term viability.

5.14.1 Impact on Planning Processes:

Informed Decision-Making: High-quality EIA reports, characterized by methodological rigor, comprehensive data, and clear identification of environmental and social impacts, provide decision-makers with the necessary information to make informed judgments (Sheu et al., 2024; Alberts et al., 2021; Sas-Bojarska et al., 2023). This enables a better understanding of the project's implications and allows for the selection of the most

sustainable alternatives (Morgan, 2020; Rathi, 2023). Proactive Risk Management: Reports that accurately assess potential environmental constraints and community concerns allow project planners to anticipate and address risks early (Krzywik, 2023; Bhateria, 2024). This proactive approach reduces the likelihood of costly delays, redesigns, and legal challenges that might arise from unforeseen environmental issues (P&A, 2023; Shaktawat & Vadhera, 2021). Scope Definition and Optimization: A comprehensive EIA report contributes to a more precise definition of the project scope, ensuring that environmental factors are thoroughly integrated into the design and planning (Lima et al., 2021; Morgan, 2020). This helps avoid future scope creep related to unaddressed environmental impacts (P&A, 2023). Resource Allocation: Clear and accurate EIA reports enable more efficient allocation of resources, as the environmental requirements and mitigation measures are well-defined from the planning stage (Banihashemi & Khalilzadeh, 2021; Rathi, 2022). *Sustainability Alignment:** High-quality reports help align project planning with broader sustainability goals and regulatory requirements, ensuring that projects contribute positively to environmental protection and sustainable development (C. & S., 2024; Fadl, 2021).

Sound Environmental Assessment Reports are key to ensuring good project design and delivery, offering evidence based solid-foundation for decision taking. Methodologically rigorous, well-analysed, clearly presented reports allow decision makers to assess implications for projects' environmental and social footprints fully (not one-size-fits-all) and to make informed choices for the most sustainable and context-relevant options. This deep understanding facilitates active risk management, allowing planners to anticipate environmental impacts and community opposition from an early stage that could result in delays, re-design work or legal contention. In addition, a comprehensive evaluation enables increased project definition and would help ensure that environmental expectations are fully embedded in the design and planning process, and aid in avoiding scope creep as a result of emergent impacts. Accurate, well-written reports also make it easier to allocate resources for mitigation and compliance a way as the requirements for them are set out up front. Beneath the operational advantages, good reports connect project aspirations to systemic sustainability requirements and regulations further embedding environmental accountability and long-term development durability. To a large extent, the degree to which environmental considerations are effectively incorporated into the life of a project--or treated as a surface-level matter--often depends on the quality of these reporting activities--the consequences of which are very significant in terms of both project success and environmental integrity.

5.14.2 Impact on Execution Processes:

*Smoother Implementation:** When environmental impacts and mitigation measures are clearly outlined in a high-quality EIA report, project execution can proceed more smoothly (Wu, 2021). This reduces the need for reactive adjustments during construction or operation, contributing to efficiency (Wu, 2021). *Effective Monitoring and Compliance:** Well-prepared EIA reports define the parameters for environmental monitoring and compliance during execution (P&A, 2023). This allows for effective tracking of environmental performance and ensures that mitigation measures are implemented as planned (Wu, 2021; Morrison-Saunders et al., 2021). *Accountability and

Transparency:** High-quality reports provide a transparent record of environmental commitments, enhancing accountability for project proponents and regulators (Rweyendela, 2021). This can foster trust with stakeholders and improve overall project governance (Velnampy, 2024). *Reduced Operational Issues and Costs:** Projects that adhere to the findings of a robust EIA report are less likely to encounter environmental incidents or non-compliance issues during operation, leading to reduced long-term operational costs and improved environmental performance (Therivel & González, 2020; Saqib et al., 2023).

Good quality environmental assessment reports help a lot smooth the transition from project development to project realisation by more clearly defining expected impacts and their mitigation the need to react in the implementation or the operations of the project. This clarity increases flow and reduces interruptions. Good quality reports will also set out strong foundation for environmental monitoring and compliance – clarify what to measure and what performance metrics to compare so that the trends in the environmental performance be measured systematically. That way, mitigation measures can be planned — and executed — effectively, and can be adjusted if necessary. The ‘open book’ nature of these reports also enhances transparency and accountability — there is a written record of environmental commitments that regulators, stakeholders and members of the general public can refer to as necessary. This builds trust and governance in the whole life of the project. Furthermore, compliance to a thorough investigation’s findings and recommendations should see that no environmental incidents, regulatory non-compliance or plant harm is caused in operation. Projects therefore enjoy lower long term operating costs, improved environmental performance and increased resilience to unexpected shocks. This means the ability to report well (or not) affects not just a project’s technical and regulatory outcome, but its social and financial success.

5.14.3 Factors Influencing Report Quality:

Factors that influence EIA report quality include the cost and time allocated for EIA preparation, the skills and expertise of consultants, and the adequacy of training for both consultants and review officers (Mahmud et al., 2021). Strengthening EIA database systems and increasing the understanding of EIA procedures among project developers also play a significant role (Mahmud et al., 2021). Challenges in integrating biodiversity impacts, public participation, and continuous monitoring can still lead to unsatisfactory results, even if baseline environmental descriptions are adequate (Wentzel et al., 2023).

The extent of good quality of EIA (Environmental Impact Assessment) reports would seem to be determined by a set of interconnected circumstances, many of which are influenced by issues that go beyond the technical contents of the EIA reports. It has been noted that resource availability--in terms of time and money--directly affects the type and quality of EIA documentation. Such lack of resources may lead to inadequate comprehensiveness of assessments, irrespective of the intentions to do so.

The competency and training of consultants and review officers are important influences. The lesson is that well-crafted procedural structures can be lost if the people who work in specific areas do not truly possess the requisite knowledge or are not well trained. This indicates a systemic weakness, which could challenge the legitimacy of EIA procedures in those areas where institutional capabilities are uneven.

Another factor that indirectly affects the quality of the reports presented is the accessibility and completeness of the EIA databases, which are the building blocks for an evidence-based reasoning. Without the basic foundation of data infrastructure, even smart people will have difficulty coming up with good estimates. The knowledge and comprehension of EIA process by the project developers, is not only a procedural issue but rather a strategic one due to its effect on the level of development compliance and the early incorporation of the environmental concerns in the project planning.

where baseline environmental information is adequate, own other issues remain such as controlling on biodiversity, involving stakeholder and after-approval monitoring. This speaks to a fundamental problem: procedural adequacy of EIA may not deliver substantive environmental protection if these more structural and process-oriented factors are not in place. The suggestion is that merely being technically sufficient is not sufficient; it must also be socially inclusive and ecologically sensitive.

5.15 Factors Impeding the Incorporation of EIA Findings in Managerial Decision-Making:

Several factors impede the effective incorporation of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) findings into managerial decision-making, thereby limiting its potential to enhance project management efficiency and sustainability (Alberts et al., 2021; Caro-Gonzalez et al., 2023; Osuizugbo & Nnodu, 2023; Dai et al., 2022). These impediments often fall into behavioral, institutional, and informational categories.

There are many systemic, and behavioural barriers that prevent evaluative evidence from being integrated into strategic and operational decisions. These obstacles typically emerge within three key spheres: behavioural proclivities, organisational structures, and information dynamics.

From a behavioral aspect, for decision-makers are inclined to be resistant to believe if the results challenge existing priorities or complicate existing work processes. Such resistance, which is more often due to a short-term oriented interest in opportunistic profits rather than sustainability gains in the long run, indicates a mismatch between assessment and management incentive.

Institutionally: a lack of strong mechanisms for integrating assessment results into planning and implementation mechanisms, that results in their marginalization. Fragmented governance, absence of accountability tools and insufficient policy mainstreaming all conspire to reduce the impact of assessment input on real decision-making.

Knowledge barriers also contribute to the problem. Conversely, the value of results diminishes substantially when they are reported with overly technical terminology, do not have context, or are not communicated well. Such divergence highlights the crucial need for high-quality analyses not just to be produced, but accessible and appealing to the audiences making decisions.

Taken together, these conditions indicate that effectiveness of evaluative practices is not only related to the methodological robustness, but also depends on an organisational and cultural capacity to listen to and act upon insights they may produce. Addressing such a gap will require targeted interventions to synchronise organisational practices, improve

modes of communication and create an environment where evidence-informed input is sought out.

5.15.1 Behavioral and cognitive factors:

"Managerial Preferences and Biases:** Managerial preferences, influenced by factors such as resource availability bias, stakeholder claims, or affiliations, can override or sideline EIA findings in decision-making processes (Rusdianto, 2020). Decision-makers may focus on short-term economic gains over long-term environmental benefits (Sallam, 2020). *Psychological Obstacles:** Decision-making can be affected by psychological biases such as imperfect evaluation, stress, and groupthink, which can lead to irrational choices or a failure to fully consider environmental data (Chillayil et al., 2021; Vinnikova, 2024; P & A, 2023). The subjective nature of significance judgments in EIA, while recognized, highlights the need for a deeper understanding of the psychology underlying these decisions (Retief et al., 2023). Organizational Silence:** Fear of retribution, career implications, or a culture that discourages Dissenting opinions can lead to organizational silence, preventing important environmental information and concerns from reaching decision-makers (Thirathon et al., 2022). Lack of Environmental Awareness/Literacy: Managers may have limited understanding or appreciation of environmental issues and the significance of EIA findings, making them less likely to prioritize and integrate these findings into their decisions (Kantamaturapoj et al., 2023).

5.15.2 Institutional and Procedural Barriers:

Fragmented Administrative Processes: Complex and fragmented administrative processes, coupled with regulatory red tape, can make it difficult for EIA findings to be seamlessly integrated into project management workflows (Zahroh & Najicha, 2022; Eliantonio & Ivanchykava, 2025). Weak Enforcement and Monitoring: Even when EIA reports are of high quality, weak enforcement mechanisms or inadequate monitoring during project implementation can mean that environmental commitments are not upheld, undermining the relevance of initial EIA findings for ongoing managerial decisions (Suprpto, 2023; Alberts et al., 2021). Financial Constraints: Budgetary limitations can lead to insufficient resources for conducting thorough EIAs or for implementing proposed mitigation measures, causing managers to deprioritize environmental considerations to cut costs (Rabiu et al., 2024; Bakr, 2024). Political Interference: In some cases, political considerations or pressure to fast-track development projects can lead to EIA findings being overlooked or diluted in the final decision-making process (Enriquez-de-Salamanca, 2021; Sas-Bojarska et al., 2023). Inadequate Stakeholder Engagement: If public and stakeholder participation is not meaningful or sufficiently integrated, key environmental and social concerns might not be adequately captured in the EIA report or properly considered by managers (Suprpto, 2023; Nirmani, 2024; Mutolib et al., 2024).

The inclusion of environmental aspects in management decision-making is tested for by means of a mixed-behavioural and -cognitive model. And a particularly persistent problem currently results from the exercise of management's preferences, often influenced by biases associated with resource shortages, stakeholder pressures or institutional identities. These desires may result in an emphasis on short-term economic gains over long-term environmental sustainability, underscoring a more general struggle between easy benefits and systemic duty.

Cognitive and affective considerations further cloud this terrain. Decisions made under duress, vulnerability to groupthink, and poor assessment practices mean that decision-makers may not only pick the worst option, but also the worst for the environment. These trends point to the importance of further elucidation of the psychological factors underlying how environmental information is processed and acted upon in organizational arenas.

Another significant obstacle is organizational silence, which, for fear of professional consequences or an environment that perceives negative feedback as unwelcome, ignores the communication of essential environmental grievances. This silence serves to functionally block the flow of important information from reaching those who are in power thus sabotaging the soundness of the decision-making process.

To compound matters, there is an environmental illiteracy amongst managers, restricting their capacity to analyze and attribute value to ecology-related information. This is not because environmental analysis is shut out, or people genuflect to the god of tech alone, but because the knowledge base regarding the environmental, in certain areas of decision making, simply doesn't exist.

Collectively, these behavioral and cognitive obstacles indicate that enhancing environmental integration in decision-making goes beyond procedural change. It requires a culture shift, capacity building and psychological insights — a realignment of both attitude and institutional habits, to produce more thoughtful, informed and accountable leaders.

5.15.3 Informational and Quality Limitations:

Poor Quality of EIA Reports:* Reports that lack depth, accuracy, clarity, or provide non-actionable recommendations are less useful for managers (Schnackenberg et al., 2020; Alberts et al., 2021; Rath, 2023). For example, studies in South Africa found weaknesses in how waste management or significance were addressed in EIA reports (Sandham et al., 2020; Claassens et al., 2022). **Data Deficiencies:** Inadequate availability of reliable and fit-for-purpose environmental data can lead to incomplete or inaccurate assessments, making managers hesitant to fully trust and act upon the findings

Communication Gaps:* A disconnect between EIA practitioners and project managers, or between technical experts and decision-makers, can hinder the effective communication and understanding of EIA findings (Thirathon et al, 2022). *** Underutilization of Technology:**** Failure to leverage modern technologies that can enhance data analysis, visualization, and communication of EIA findings can limit their impact on managerial decisions.

Managers decisions about the environment are commonly limited by various types of deficiencies in environmental assessments knowledge and quality. One of the problems is the variation in report quality, either lacking in analytic richness, crisp presentation, or actionable recommendations. If these documents do not contain accurate or relevant recommendations, decision makers are unlikely to find them useful. Among the lessons already learned from attempts to date, in countries as diverse as South Africa, is recognition of enduring weaknesses in specific themes, such as waste and environmental significance evaluation that mirror broader systemic failings pertaining to EIAs.

An additional serious limitation is the availability and reliability of data. If environmental information is missing, out-of-date, or unspecific to the needs of a particular project, the resulting assessments can be seen as suspect or uncertain. It shakes the faith of management and lowers the probability that conclusions will be significantly factored into next steps.'

Misunderstandings between both technical experts and decision makers compound matters. If results are not well translated into the language and priorities of manager audiences, their meaning is too easily missed. The divide is usually attributed to disciplinary pigeonholes or little cross-understanding between practitioners and management.

the underuse of contemporary technology-based methods and tools—such as advanced data analytics, visualisation, and digital communications technologies—will constrain the opportunity to improve the clarity, accessibility, and strategic import of environmental perspectives. At a time when digital technology can radically enhance the comprehensibility and impact of complex information, their failure to use it to their advantage seldom has a computational equivalent.

United, these shortcomings indicate that enhancing the impact of environmental assessments on decisions is likely to depend not only on better data and reporting standards, but also on more effective communication processes and technological integration. Without a response to these basic questions, the best-intentioned evaluations are likely to be marginal in reality.

5.16 Strategies and Measures to Better Exploit EIA Findings in Project Management:

To better exploit Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) findings in project management, a multi-faceted approach involving strategic measures, improved methodologies, and technological advancements is essential (Henkens et al., 2020; Matalka et al., 2025; Rweyendela, 2021; Rathi, 2023). These strategies aim to bridge the gap between EIA's predictive and evaluative capacity and its practical application in project delivery.

To improve working tool in environmental assessment for project management, a step-by-step strategy combining methodological enhancement, institutionalization, and technical innovation was called for. This enduring chasm between predictive analysis and its actual deployment on the ground indicates that simply "getting the tech right" is not the answer; rather, due consideration must be given to how environmental understanding can be embedded at the heart of project design and delivery.

Strategically, it's about shifting the paradigm of environmental data from peripheral compliance instruments to cornerstones of risk management and value generation. This change requires stronger institutional disciplines, clearer accountability processes, as well as a culture that will value not just short-term performance, but long-term sustainability.

From a methodological perspective, assessments need to be more explicit, relevant and actionable. This means improving the articulation of recommendations, making findings more project-specific, and ensuring evaluations are technically sound, but managerially understandable. Interdisciplinary efforts and the involvement of stakeholders can further enhance the relevance of assessments to realities, ensuring they reflect the complexity of the real world.

Technological advancements offer additional leverage. Computer-based aids for analysis, visualization and scenario modelling of the data, can substantially facilitate access and interpretation of environmental information. These means can be used in project management environments to support decisions that are better informed, timelier and more adaptive.

the successful leveraging of environmental knowledge in project delivery requires a systems change, one that rewards institutions to do that, supports increased methodological diligence, and welcomes innovation to ensure that environmental information is not recognized but actually steers the action.

5.16.1 Improving EIA Report Quality and Focus:

- **Meticulous Scoping:** Conduct thorough and focused scoping to ensure EIA reports address the most significant impacts relevant to the project, preventing irrelevant data and making reports more actionable.(Rathi, 2023).
- **Robust Review Mechanisms:** Implement rigorous review processes for EIA reports to ensure their quality, accuracy, and completeness. (Mahmud et al., 2021; Rathi, 2023). Regular quality checks and adherence to standards can ensure that reports provide a reliable basis for decisions (Mahmud et al., 2021).
- **Clear and Actionable Recommendations:** EIA reports should provide clear, practical, and implementable mitigation measures and recommendations, making it easier for project managers to integrate them into their plans. (Gronow, 2022; Rathi, 2023).
- **Context-Specific Guidelines:** Develop guidelines tailored to specific contexts, such as biodiversity hotspots or particular industry sectors, to ensure that assessments are relevant and comprehensive (Wentzel et al., 2023; Sandham et al., 2020).

To improve the quality and applicability of environmental assessments, it is necessary to re-calibrate the balance between procedural robustness and contextual sensitivity. A key step in this regard is to improve the scope phase, that should be carried out scrupulously in order to focus, also in a timely manner, the assessment to the most important and project related impacts. This not only avoids the dilution of insights with irrelevant data; it also increases the tactical value of the reports by making them actionable.

Equally crucial is to set up strong review processes. Through an organized audit and peer grading system followed by standardized norms a certain degree of credibility and reliability in assessments is built. These mechanisms act as barrier against hasty or insufficient analysis, making sure that the decision makers will have a reliable information base.

The clarity and usefulness of recommendations also are important. Sometimes reports actually do explain remediation - they're much more likely to affect project planning and implementation. This emphasizes the need to translate technical results into operational advice consistent with the manager interest and constraints.

Context-specific guidelines—targeting ecological, geographical, or sector specificities—may improve the relevance and coverage of assessments. Such guidelines, if tailored to the specific characteristics of the project environment, would help to ensure that

evaluations are not only technically valid but also relevant in the context of the specific environment.

These developments are representative of a higher level of challenge that this technique represents, not just to be followed but to be strategically embedded in a context-sensitive, decision-based approach to evaluation.

5.16.2 Strategic and Tiered Environmental Planning:

- **Integration with SEA:** Align project-level EIA with Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) frameworks to ensure consistency with broader regional and national development plans and policies (Begontes et al., 2024; Cumming & Tavares, 2022). This provides a stronger strategic context and addresses cumulative impacts (Begontes et al., 2024).
- **Tiering Practices:** Implement a tiered approach where policy, plan, and program-level assessments inform and streamline project-level EIAs, reducing redundancies and promoting coherent environmental management (Cumming & Tavares, 2022).

To improve the quality and applicability of environmental assessments, it is necessary to re-calibrate the balance between procedural robustness and contextual sensitivity. A key step in this regard is to improve the scope phase, that should be carried out scrupulously in order to focus, also in a timely manner, the assessment to the most important and project related impacts. This not only avoids the dilution of insights with irrelevant data; it also increases the tactical value of the reports by making them actionable.

Equally crucial is to set up strong review processes. Through an organized audit and peer grading system followed by standardized norms a certain degree of credibility and reliability in assessments is built. These mechanisms act as barrier against hasty or insufficient analysis, making sure that the decision makers will have a reliable information base.

The clarity and usefulness of recommendations also are important. Sometimes reports actually do explain remediation - they're much more likely to affect project planning and implementation. This emphasizes the need to translate technical results into operational advice consistent with the manager interest and constraints.

Context-specific guidelines—targeting ecological, geographical, or sector specificities—may improve the relevance and coverage of assessments. Such guidelines, if tailored to the specific characteristics of the project environment, would help to ensure that evaluations are not only technically valid but also relevant in the context of the specific environment.

These developments are representative of a higher level of challenge that this technique represents, not just to be followed but to be strategically embedded in a context-sensitive, decision-based approach to evaluation.

5.16.3 Enhancing Stakeholder Participation and Communication:

- **Meaningful Engagement:** Foster genuine and inclusive stakeholder engagement throughout the EIA process, ensuring that local communities and affected parties

have a voice and their concerns are integrated into the findings. (Suprpto, 2023; Gam & Soprinye, 2024). This increases project acceptance and sustainability (Dewangan et al., 2025).

- **Transparent Communication:** Improve communication channels and strategies to disseminate EIA findings clearly and accessibly to all stakeholders, using non-technical summaries and visual aids (Hossain et al., n.d.; Northmore & Hudson, 2022).
- **Address Behavioral Biases:** Recognize and address the behavioral biases and managerial preferences that can impede the incorporation of EIA findings, promoting a culture of environmental responsibility and evidence-based decision-making. (Rusdianto, 2020; Rweyendela, 2021).

Facilitating stakeholders' participation in environmental planning and deciding is not simply a matter of "let them in." It's far more than an issue of procedural inclusion, and it necessarily involves honest engagement, open communication, and behavior change. One of the most effective solutions is the encouragement of real and inclusive participation in which local communities and affected groups are not just consulted, but meaningfully involved. Their respective concerns being taken into account and (to the extent possible) included in the planning of projects frequently creates the basis for the greater legitimacy, social acceptability, and long-term continuation of the project.

Clarity and transparency in communication is also crucial. Strong technical results are meaningless if not communicated well to diverse audiences. Interactive summaries, visual illustrations and multilingual resources are resources that can help narrow the gap, no stakeholders should be left on the outside looking in, unable to engage and participate simply because the conversation is in a different language or not breaking into technical jargon. This transparency coincides with the development of trust between the project developer and the public.

A related important aspect is to correct behavioural and cognitive biases in the interpretation and use of environmental information. It may well be that managerial preference risk aversion, or institutional inertia may impede the assimilation of green learning. Cultivating an orientation toward data-based decision-making and environmental accountability involves not just raising consciousness; individuals need structural incentives and a leadership mandate to embrace sustainability.

These approaches highlight the imperative to democratize environmental governance, making decisions not only on the basis of data, but also through the values, experiences and knowledge of those most affected.

5.16.4 Adoption of Advanced Technologies and Tools:

- **GIS and Remote Sensing:** Utilize Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing for accurate data collection, spatial analysis, and visualization of environmental impacts, improving the scientific basis of EIA findings (López et al., 2020; Kutliahetov et al., 2020).
- **Building Information Modeling (BIM):** Integrate EIA data and environmental performance metrics into BIM for holistic project design and management (Ismaeel

& Lotfy, 2023). This facilitates real-time impact assessment and supports design optimization (Ismaeel & Lotfy, 2023).

- **AI and Data Analytics:** Employ AI-powered tools and data analytics for more objective prediction, real-time decision support, and efficient processing of large datasets, enhancing the speed and accuracy of EIA (Salimimoghadam et al., 2025; Sravanthi et al., 2023).
- **Life Cycle Assessment (LCA):** Integrate LCA with EIA to provide a comprehensive evaluation of environmental impacts across the entire life cycle of a project or product (Lima et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020; Sartori et al., 2020).

Use of cutting-edge technologies in environmental planning and project management is part of a revolutionary change in moving towards data-driven, realtime and system-oriented decision-making. One of the powerful techniques are remote sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS), by which accurate spatial analysis and visualization of environmental variables are possible. These technologies augment the science basis of assessments by providing the dynamic, location-specific information needed for baseline assessments and projections of impact.

The use of Building Information Modeling (BIM), one of the most promising new innovations, can ensure that environmental performance largely becomes embedded into the planning and administration of infrastructure projects. Through incorporating environmental information into digital project models, BIM supports instant analysis of impact and iterative design optimization, aligning sustainability objectives with engineering and architectural workflows.

The use of artificial intelligent and data analysis enhanced the analytical capability of environmental analysis. Such instruments can help the organizations in predicting data in a less cartainty manner, fast processing of the data and helps the decision markers with the accurate ones in real time. They are also particularly useful in scenarios where traditional methods may not perform well due to the size or complexity of the data.

The integration of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodologies provides a systemic approach to assessing environmental impacts over the entire lifetime of a project or product. This approach departs from short term or local effect and emphasizes broader implications of sustainability that reflect upstream and downstream repercussions.

These technologies contribute to making environmental assessments more accurate, efficient and responsive, while enabling a better informed, more proactive and agile way of thinking about project planning and sustainability governance.

5.16.5 Capacity Building and Institutional Strengthening:

- **Training and Education:** Invest in continuous training and education for EIA consultants, project managers, and regulatory staff to enhance their skills, expertise, and understanding of EIA principles and new technologies (Mahmud et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2020).
- **Strengthening Legal and Regulatory Frameworks:** Implement coherent and robust legal frameworks that mandate EIIA integration and ensure effective enforcement,

thereby promoting consistent application and compliance (Suprpto, 2023; Huang, 2024).

- Improved Coordination: Foster better coordination and collaboration among various government agencies, project proponents, and EIA practitioners to streamline processes and reduce administrative hurdles (Eliaantonio & Ivanchykava, 2025).

Developing effectiveness of environmental governance in projects necessitates long-term commitment in human capital, institutions, and inter-organizational coordination. One of those cornerstones is the enhancement of competence through continuous training and education. Facilitating the development of the technical and conceptual skills of both consultants and managers as well as regulators, also leads not only to technically correct environmental assessments, but to ones that are in step with changes in the industry as a result of technology and best practices.

Of equally importance is to reinforce legal and regulatory frameworks. A sound and enforceable structure underpin consistent application and adherence. Where there are legal provisions that are easy and, are enforced, then in that case, the environmental aspect is unlikely to appear as mere procedural rituals but is more likely to be mainstreamed in the projects planning and implementation.

Institutional collaboration is vital for rationalizing and eliminating administrative duplication. such fragmentation among administration and stakeholders often results in duplication, delays and contradictory mandates. Fostering collaboration and sharing of information across sectors and levels of government can greatly improve the effectiveness and cohesiveness of environmental governance.

These steps represent a larger move toward institutional maturity and system resilience, where environmental concerns are hardwired into policy and practice supported by expertise and clear rules, and grounded in a collaborative governance system.

6 -. (Results, Discussion, Recommendations, and
References

6.1 Results and Discussion on Research:

When Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is integrated into project management practices, the study showed that project performance can be dramatically increased. The study found EIA can help in time management by already identifying key environmental risks at an early stage and planning accordingly. This saves money because there is no need to redesign work following the insertion of one or more EIA requirements, as well as avoid environmental fines for unintended damage or delay. In this way, EIA can be seen as another process improvement tool for scope management or studied further in itself. For quality control, the benefits of project monitoring are evident to experience. Moreover and significantly, this systematic approach to periodic review leads directly to an interactive team of interest-sharing members who systematically assist in the project.

The findings are consistent with those for previous studies compared to Vanclay (2003) and Morrison-Saunders et al. (2021), who underscore EIA's strategic value beyond mere compliance. The results also confirm Jay et al. (2007), such as when he said that EIA is changing from a procedural tool into an important method of promoting decision making. Nevertheless, our research recognizes that institutional fragmentation, a lack of public participation, and the quality of EIA reports remain major obstacles--a view that is also consistent with the opinions of Sandham et al. (2020) and Mahmud (2021).

In conclusion, while EIA has proven to be a valuable asset for project success, the actual impact of EIA rests on reports' quality, early incorporation into the project cycle, and active stakeholder participation. That EIA is not just a regulatory obligation but also an instrument for steering sustainable and effective project execution was supported by this.

6.2 Research Recommendations:

1. As early as possible integrate the Environmental Impact Assessment process into the entire project period in order to enable risks to be identified and rectified as early as possible.
2. Push environmental authorities, project managers and stakeholders for greater institutional coordination.
3. Use structured writing templates and standardized rules for drafting in order to raise the quality of reports. Provide professional training and carry out quality audits.
4. Equip professionals in EIA with digital devices using such systems as GIS, remote sensing, and spatial computation to improve the accuracy and accessibility of data being collected for their Impact Assessments.
5. Carry out transparent consultation with the public to secure its involvement, and make local knowledge an integral part of decision-making.
6. Establish national frameworks for EIA reporting that tie directly into project planning and budgeting.
7. Set up government agency and consultant training programs on environmental protection and sustainable project development.
8. After carrying out the evaluations, the EIA outcomes will need to be continuously monitored and appraised so that cycles of improvement can be set in place.

6.3 References::

- Á Enríquez-de-Salamanca. (2021). Project justification and EIA: Anything goes? In *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925520308180>
- A Morrison-Saunders, J Arts, A Bond, & J Pope. (2021). *Reflecting on, and revising, international best practice principles for EIA follow-up*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925521000469>
- A Shaktawat & S Vadhera. (2021). Risk management of hydropower projects for sustainable development: a review. In *Environment*.
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10668-020-00607-2>
- Abdul Mutolib, Uu Nurul Huda, & Ine Fauzia. (2024). Community Participation in the Process of Making an Environmental Impact Assessment Associated with Law Number 6 of 2023 Concerning Job Creation. In *JATISWARA*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/79d11d4ef2f5f209043e54543a8de2c167bbe671>
- Abdul Rahman Mahmud, Malaysia Jabatan Alam Sekitar, Zaini Sakawi, Khairul Nizam Abdul Maulud, & Fakulti Sains Sosial Kemanusiaan Program Geografi. (2021). Faktor mempengaruhi kualiti Laporan Penilaian Kesan Alam Sekitar di Malaysia. In *Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/4aa3c058b3d15a50a085ad78818b63103302d851>
- Abdul Rahman Mahmud. (2022). Challenges in Implementation of Environmental Impact Assessment Among Islamic Countries. In *Sains Insani*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/b89722ba314a883e3e3f3811bd1319a5aec54ad0>
- AC Brent & W Petrick. (2007). Environmental impact assessment during project execution phases: towards a stage-gate project management model for the raw materials processing industry of the In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3152/146155107X205832>
• [Aigerim Serikzhanova, Roza Nurtazina, Inabat Serikzhanova, & Bayan Nagasbekova. \(2025\). SOCIO-CULTURAL BARRIERS TO WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT IN KAZAKHSTAN. In Qogam jane Dauri.](#)
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/224ae393a752da2167215b2eaaad51c1cb77f76ef>
- Alamu Opeyemi Sheu, Majid Ali Balouch, & Z. Abdul-Samad. (2024). Sustainable project management: Integrating environmental responsibility into project practices. In *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/a587093b5dc8566eb3489f8f50a15987f3250d79>
- Aleksandra Krzywik. (2023). RISK AWARENESS IN RESEARCH PROJECT MANAGEMENT. In *Zeszyty Naukowe Wyższej Szkoły Humanitas Zarządzanie*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/7fc206e10d7804fef72924363d24e62726ac3099>
• [Aleksandra Sas-Bojarska, Iwona Orzechowska-Szajda, Krystian Puzdrakiewicz, & Magdalena Kiejzik-Głowińska. \(2023\). Landscape, EIA and decision-making. A case study of the Vistula Spit Canal, Poland. In Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal.](#)

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/c831373b4153a34ac1d2864770aa8d0a7f0d12e8>

- Aleksandra Sas-Bojarska, Iwona Orzechowska-Szajda, Krystian Puzdrakiewicz, & Magdalena Kiejzik-Głowińska. (2023). Landscape, EIA and decision-making. A case study of the Vistula Spit Canal, Poland. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/c831373b4153a34ac1d2864770aa8d0a7f0d12e8>
- AN Glucker, PPJ Driessen, & A Kolhoff. (2013). *Public participation in environmental impact assessment: why, who and how?*
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925513000711>
- Anikina & A. Anikin. (2020). *Methodological Tools of Management Decision Making on Supporting Regional Environmental Projects*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8e13407e2819c92594f866df755ebc4e297d7df0>
- B Dewangan, G Tamrakar, & K Khatter. (2025). *Stakeholder Engagement Strategies in Environmental Impact Assessments*.
<http://theaspd.com/index.php/ijes/article/view/1259>
- B. Barrett & R. Therivel. (1992). Environmental Policy and Impact Assessment in Japan. In *The Journal of Asian Studies*.
<https://read.dukeupress.edu/journal-of-asian-studies/article/51/1/163/335177>
- Barrow. (2002). Evaluating the Social Impacts of Environmental Change and the Environmental Impacts of Social Change: An Introductory Review of Social Impact Assessment. In *International Journal of Environmental Studies*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00207230210922>
- Bartlett, R. V., & Malone, C. R. (1993). *Guidance for environmental impact assessment*. Retrieved from <http://www.osti.gov/scitech/biblio/6333859-guidance-environmental-impact-assessment>
- Bond, A., Pope, J., Morrison-Saunders, A., Retief, F., & Fundingsland, M. (2020). EIA and project efficiency: A meta-review. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 81, 106357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2019.106357>
- C Wood. (2014). *Environmental impact assessment: a comparative review*.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315838953/environmental-impact-assessment-chris-wood>
- C.E. Claassens, D. Cilliers, F. Retief, C. Roos, & R. Alberts. (2022). The consideration of waste management in environmental impact assessment (EIA) for developments in protected areas. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/70838143ce8d55a766551ab43e620054322f9bc2>
- Castro, M. C., Krieger, G. R., Balge, M. Z., Tanner, M., Utzinger, J., Whittaker, M., & Singer, B. H. (2016). Examples of coupled human and environmental systems from the extractive industry and hydropower sector interfaces. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(51), 14528–14535. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1605678113>
- CD Ritter, G McCrate, RH Nilsson, & PM Fearnside. (2017). *Environmental impact assessment in Brazilian Amazonia: Challenges and prospects to assess biodiversity*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006320716311223>

- Chandramouly Y J, S. R, Gandhavalla Madhavarao, K. Harish, & Thirumalairaja R. (2020). Environmental Impact Assessment: A Tool for Sustainable Development. In *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science and Technology*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/12c6386ac8f9ec012f113591883f90c00c4e621f>
- Charles H. Eccleston & Frederic March. (2010). *Global Environmental Policy: Concepts, Principles, and Practice*. <http://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BB07872379>
- Chaunjit Chanchitpricha & T. Fischer. (2022). The role of impact assessment in the development of urban green infrastructure: a review of EIA and SEA practices in Thailand. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/9d640974ea2bf9197f21d0460b1104e3aef54bb5>
- Chenggang Li, Ziling Chen, Yiping Wu, Xintong Zuo, Han Jin, Yunbao Xu, Bingying Zeng, Gang Zhao, & Yikang Wan. (2022). Impact of green finance on China's high-quality economic development, environmental pollution, and energy consumption. In *Frontiers in Environmental Science*.
<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1032586/full>
- Chris Joseph, T. Gunton, & James Hoffele. (2020). Assessing the public interest in environmental assessment: lessons from cost-benefit analysis of an energy megaproject. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14615517.2020.1780371>
- Chubarkina, V. Volokitin, & O. Volokitina. (2024). Formation of environmental quality assessment tools. In *BIO Web of Conferences*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/564d69651ed3ef95819770a290e719eb00c7431b>
- Craik, N. (2019). The assessment of environmental impact. In E. Lees & J. E. Viñuales (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of comparative environmental law*. Oxford University Press. <https://academic.oup.com/edited-volume/41304/chapter/352054481>
- CSF Chi, J Xu, & L Xue. (2014). *Public participation in environmental impact assessment for public projects: A case of non-participation*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09640568.2013.810550>
- D Ye. (2021). An algorithm for construction project cost forecast based on particle swarm optimization-guided BP neural network. In *Scientific Programming*.
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1155/2021/4309495>
- DE Faure. (1990). *A procedure for the environmental evaluation of roads in South Africa*. <https://open.uct.ac.za/bitstreams/50a28ea5-062f-47f5-8422-22db2fd26ecd/download>
- Deniz Çolakkadıoğlu. (2021). An evaluation of EIA system performance in Turkey in the context of procedural effectiveness. In *Journal of Property, Planning and Environmental Law*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8b94d118c9875e76942614efdd8597f61728dfb9>
- Dietlinde N. Nakwaya-Jacobus, M. Hipondoka, S. Angombe, L. Stringer, & A. Dougill. (2023). Substantive, normative and transactive effectiveness of EIA: perception of key actors in Namibia. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/00ede79122281d5c10fe1bd7c126e8ccc27dbb1f>

- E González-Algarra & E Moreno. (2025). *Assessing awareness and perceptions of health impact assessment (HIA) among students and young professionals in Spain: An online survey*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925524002749>
- E Meex, A Hollberg, E Knapen, & L Hildebrand. (2018). *Requirements for applying LCA-based environmental impact assessment tools in the early stages of building design*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318300829>
- Eatim Katundu Msiska. (2023). Environmental and Social Impact Assessment in Malawi: A Review. In *Journal of Global Ecology and Environment*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/dc028aa965e269eda60c6a8e40a477f6369ce18a>
- Edison J. C. & G. Chandrakant S. (2024). Environmental Impact Assessment: Case Study of an Expressway Project. In *Ecology, Environment and Conservation*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2ae806724d071b01442b99078c8a9e7b12b9e86c>
- Evan M. Eastman, Anne C. Ehinger, & Jianren Xu. (2021). Enterprise Risk Management and Corporate Tax Planning. In *ERN: Business Taxes & Subsidies (Topic)*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8e95c6d75adab400ffb07d088520ef74282f658d>
- F. Retief, T. Fischer, R. Alberts, C. Roos, & D. Cilliers. (2020). An administrative justice perspective on improving EIA effectiveness. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8e2530f52617a66d7d3274bd4c8a308eb204daaf>
- F. Retief, T. Fischer, R. Alberts, C. Roos, & D. Cilliers. (2020). An administrative justice perspective on improving EIA effectiveness. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8e2530f52617a66d7d3274bd4c8a308eb204daaf>
- F. Vanclay. (2003). International Principles For Social Impact Assessment. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3152/147154603781766491>
- G. Icenogle & E. Cauffman. (2021). Adolescent decision making: A decade in review. In *Journal of research on adolescence : the official journal of the Society for Research on Adolescence*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/1024f45e215de4fdbbeef0f81f2c28bc6b451af64>
- G. Rweyendela. (2021). Getting closer to SDG12: incorporating industrial ecology principles into project EIA. In *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ee6e066944a20f5f416da8436086caf93d52e405>
- Gerry Bates. (2002). Environmental Assessment Australia's New Outlook under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth). In *Environmental Law Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/146145290200400402>
- Ghanim Bakr. (2024). Challenges Encountered in Environmental Impact Assessment Procedures in Jordan: Perspectives from Construction Industry

Experts. In *ARPHA Proceedings*.

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/09be8251e7173f88538ecba291468cb369f82222>

- Gilpin, A. (1994). *Environmental impact assessment: Cutting edge for the 21st century*. Retrieved from <http://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BA23823071>
- Glasson, J., Therivel, R., & Chadwick, A. (2012). *Introduction to environmental impact assessment* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Graham Pinfield. (1992). Strategic environmental assessment and land-use planning. In *Project appraisal*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02688867.1992.9726857>
- H. Nadir & Ash Ahmed. (2023). *The Critical Review of the Efficacy of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process as A Tool Intended to Protect the Environment from Construction/ Development's Hazards*. In *Journal of Civil Engineering Research & Technology*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/007933d665c5e1fa57b399ed4e12eee54c725865>
- Héctor Damián Mosquera-Benítez. (2020). Public participation in Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA): acceptance of the impact. In *Luna Azul*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/bdd1ec6fb5330c1cc47fdfa5fe655bbc567b9c39>
- HH Chang. (2005). *The influence of continuous improvement and performance factors in total quality organization*. In *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14783360500053790>
- I.A.P. Nirmani. (2024). Challenges affecting public participation in environmental impact assessment in Sri Lanka. In *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/bab24b4148c421771eea20d96feb9a547faafd14>
- I.A.P. Nirmani. (2024). *Challenges affecting public participation in environmental impact assessment in Sri Lanka*. In *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/bab24b4148c421771eea20d96feb9a547faafd14>
- Ibrahim, Abbas Sani, Ali Mustapha Gado, M. Ibrahim, M. Sulaiman, & I. U. Zungum. (2020). Environmental impact assessment in Nigeria- A review. In *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*.
<https://wjarr.com/content/environmental-impact-assessment-nigeria-review>
- Ilham Nur Pratama, M. Dachyar, & Novandra Rhezza Pratama. (2023). Optimization of Resource Allocation and Task Allocation with Project Management Information Systems in Information Technology Companies. In *TEM Journal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/899615d43bfd84a14ab660f16d11d243fa9f6200>
- Irene McIntyre. (1975). *Environmental Impact Assessment - Problems of Methodology*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/92a47813fee8d067e9d731a68f7169a4b54e591f>

- [Ismail Rabi, Abdulazeez Muhammed, Halima Tukur Ibrahim, Fatima Garba Rabi, Jaafaru Isah Abdullahi, Khadijat Abdulfatai, & Hafsat Abubakar Musa. \(2024\). Artificial intelligence-enabled antibiotic prescribing and clinical support in Nigerian health-care settings: Budgetary constraints, challenges, and prospect. In *Global Health Economics and Sustainability*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/aff7ae41bb109c6dc12ea75055c9ca23cfbf3d8f>](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/aff7ae41bb109c6dc12ea75055c9ca23cfbf3d8f)
- Ismail Rabi, Abdulazeez Muhammed, Halima Tukur Ibrahim, Fatima Garba Rabi, Jaafaru Isah Abdullahi, Khadijat Abdulfatai, & Hafsat Abubakar Musa. (2024). Artificial intelligence-enabled antibiotic prescribing and clinical support in Nigerian health-care settings: Budgetary constraints, challenges, and prospect. In *Global Health Economics and Sustainability*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/aff7ae41bb109c6dc12ea75055c9ca23cfbf3d8f>
- [J Jörissen & R Coenen. \(1992\). The EEC Directive on EIA and its implementation in the EC member states. In *Environmental Impact Assessment*.
\[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-011-2528-4_1\]\(https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-011-2528-4_1\)](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-011-2528-4_1)
- [J Siqueira-Gay, APA Dibo, & AC Malvestio. \(2025\). Developing strategic environmental assessment in contexts of non-systematic practice: contributions for offshore energy transition.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14615517.2025.2496863>](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14615517.2025.2496863)
- J Wang, Z Cui, Y Li, L Cao, & Z Lu. (2020). Techno-economic analysis and environmental impact assessment of citric acid production through different recovery methods. In *Journal of cleaner production*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095965261934185X>
- J. Gardiner. (1992). Strategic environmental assessment and the water environment. In *Project appraisal*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02688867.1992.9726858>
- J. Garner & T. O’Riordan. (1982). Environmental Impact Assessment in the Context of Economic Recession. In *The Geographical Journal*.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/633152?origin=crossref>
- J. Glasson, R. Therivel, & Andrew Chadwick. (1999). *Introduction to Environmental Impact Assessment*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/529e0294fb83a90df2834f08c65c0ee4a6087592>
- J. Petts. (1999). *Environmental impact assessment : process, methods and potential*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/edfe2db389c7f83d807a4cc5a43ec410fe2ce533>
- J. Pubule & D. Blumberga. (2010). *Use of Benchmark Methodology in Environmental Impact Assessment*. <https://sciendo.com/article/10.2478/v10145-010-0023-6>
- [J. Sobieraj, D. Metelski, & P. Nowak. \(2023\). The View of Construction Companies’ Managers on the Impact of Economic, Environmental and Legal Policies on Investment Process Management. In *Archives of Civil Engineering*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/dfc29f83a8282c491d646c31ff86aa30b3ab45d1>](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/dfc29f83a8282c491d646c31ff86aa30b3ab45d1)

- Jakkula Sravanthi, R. Sobti, Amit Semwal, M. Shravan, A. Al-Hilali, & Malik Bader Alazzam. (2023). AI-Assisted Resource Allocation in Project Management. In *2023 3rd International Conference on Advance Computing and Innovative Technologies in Engineering (ICACITE)*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/f85eacec75f1686b73ea78fde8d1edf156f6a371>
- Jayaraman Chillayil, Suresh M., P. Viswanathan, & S. K. Kottayil. (2021). Is imperfect evaluation a deterrent to adoption of energy audit recommendations? In *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/7266ddd2c7e9cfacde01a9cebd6d97308e276bc8>
- Jingyun Ma. (2022). A Comparative Study on Public Participation in the Chinese-American Environmental Impact Assessment System. In *BCP Social Sciences & Humanities*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8220c7ecca2cb0bd2062d1fd7b50f6c321eaeaaf>
- JJ Loomis, A Bond, & M Dziedzic. (2022). Transformative effectiveness: How EIA can transform stakeholders' frames of reference. In *Environmental Science & Policy*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1462901122001940>
- JM Lyneis & DN Ford. (2007). *System dynamics applied to project management: a survey, assessment, and directions for future research*.
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/sdr.377>
- Joejoen Tjahjani. (2021). CLASS ACTION AS A FORM OF COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT. In *Jurnal Independent*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/b90468a1136920f2bf70d912e9b55bd365c0a0a7>
- Jorge M. Lara López, A. Mestre, L. Calábria, & Julián Martínez. (2020). *STM Validation Project: Estimating the financial and social impact on safety, efficiency and environmental sustainability*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/a222c4a1f25cb596ece23ea58486b411794d42c0>
- Jorge M. Lara López, A. Mestre, L. Calábria, & Julián Martínez. (2020). *STM Validation Project: Estimating the financial and social impact on safety, efficiency and environmental sustainability*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/a222c4a1f25cb596ece23ea58486b411794d42c0>
- K Kantamaturapoj, C Chanchitpricha, & P Hongsuwan. (2023). Contextual attributes associated with public participation in environmental impact assessments in Thailand: Perspectives obtained from authorities and academics. In *Heliyon*.
[https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440\(23\)08994-6](https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440(23)08994-6)
- K. R. O'Brien. (1992). *ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT - A PLANNING TOOL. IN: 1991 TAC ANNUAL CONFERENCE, PROCEEDINGS. VOLUME 6. SEPTEMBER 15-16, 1991, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, CANADA*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/127ebeb21a0e2c352baea62e06149219726072f>
- Karl R. Haapala, K. Poppa, R. Stone, & I. Tumer. (2011). Automating Environmental Impact Assessment during the Conceptual Phase of Product Design.

In *AAAI Spring Symposium: Artificial Intelligence and Sustainable Design*.

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/dac69f8cce7895e85b9dce3c4647c9e61b279147>

- Katarina Petrović. (2023). Analysis of methods for project prioritization in field of program management. In *Tehnika*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/d5abeed2cdc3ce4f403bdef4e1a33acc302d8215>
- Kate Cumming & D. Tavares. (2022). Using strategic environmental assessment and project environmental impact assessment to assess ecological connectivity at multiple scales in a national park context. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/a1e7ace4e6c73bdcc949a258a89bee661bfb9d82>
- Kees Bastmeijer & R.M. Roura. (2008). Chapter 9. Environmental Impact Assessment in Antarctica. In *Brill | Nijhoff eBooks*.
<https://doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004164796.i-400.57>
- Koorosh Gharehbaghi, A. Hosseinian-Far, & P. Hilletoft. (2022). [The predicaments of environmental impact assessment \(EIA\) for transport infrastructure: an examination of policy stagnation and progress](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8218b35d3be633329480a408bc141dc0fd60cfa4). In *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8218b35d3be633329480a408bc141dc0fd60cfa4>
- Kutliahmetov, A. A. Kulagin, F. F. Iskhakov, I. Rakhmatullina, N. R. Nizamutdinova, G. Shaidullina, & V. Safarova. (2020). Environmental impact assessment of gold underground leaching technology. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/f7ad34bcb069edbd9abc63c8e95de1f563e06181>
- L Dai, Q Han, B de Vries, & Y Wang. (2022). Exploring key determinants of willingness to participate in EIA decision-making on urban infrastructure projects. In *Sustainable Cities and Society*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2210670721006739>
- L Lima, E Trindade, L Alencar, & M Alencar. (2021). *Sustainability in the construction industry: A systematic review of the literature*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652620357760>
- L Northmore & MD Hudson. (2022). Digital environmental impact assessment: An exploration of emerging digital approaches for non-technical reports. In *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925521001396>
- L Northmore & MD Hudson. (2022). [Digital environmental impact assessment: An exploration of emerging digital approaches for non-technical reports](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925521001396). In *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925521001396>
- L Northmore & MD Hudson. (2022). Digital environmental impact assessment: An exploration of emerging digital approaches for non-technical reports. In *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925521001396>

- L Ortolano & A Shepherd. (1995). Environmental impact assessment: challenges and opportunities. In *Impact assessment*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/07349165.1995.9726076>
- L. Canter. (1993). *Pragmatic suggestions for incorporating risk assessment principles in EIA studies*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/a132ee99564d25135829b57bb36430e23ec313f9>
- L. Sandham, Carla Huysamen, F. Retief, A. Morrison-Saunders, A. Bond, J. Pope, & R. Alberts. (2020). Evaluating Environmental Impact Assessment report quality in South African national parks. In *KOEDOE - African Protected Area Conservation and Science*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/605160d06c403547e611c39919cd7a27ff41fd38>
- Lex Brown & Riki Thérivel. (2000). *Principles to guide the development of strategic environmental assessment methodology*. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*. <https://doi.org/10.3152/147154600781767385>
- Linzhu Huang. (2024). Environmental Impact Assessment System: Historical Institutional Analysis of Trail, Logic, and Evolutionary Dynamics. In *The Frontiers of Society, Science and Technology*. <https://francispress.com/papers/15538>
- Liu Chun-yan. (2003). The Application of the Public Participation to The Environmental Impact Assessment. In *Arid Environmental Monitoring*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/e4d175a0b0dc7c809fd6bddedc50c1f2f82d075e>
- LK Caldwell. (1988). Environmental impact analysis (EIA): origins, evolution, and future directions. In *Impact Assessment*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/07349165.1988.9725648>
- M Arora & U Kulshrestha. (2019). Role of quality management System in improving the quality of EIA. In *Current World Environment*.
https://www.cwejournal.org/pdf/vol14no2/Vol14_No2_p_205-214.pdf
- M El Khatib, K Alabdooli, & A AlKaabi. (2020). *Sustainable project management: Trends and alignment*. https://www.scirp.org/html/8-1502223_105834.htm
- M Grant. (1988). Implementation of the EC Directive on Environmental Impact Assessment. In *Conn. J. Int'l L*. https://heinonline.org/hol/cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/conjil4§ion=36
- M Khan, MN Chaudhry, SR Ahmad, & S Saif. (2020). *Performance of EIA authority and effectiveness of EIA system in Pakistan*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925518303652>
- M Sengupta. (2021). *Environmental impacts of mining: monitoring, restoration, and control*.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781003164012/environmental-impacts-mining-mritunjy-sengupta>
- M. A. Hamid & K. Long. (2017). *An Assessment of Environmental Impacts Assessment (EIA) in Malaysia*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/d55c348531b655cccd943b8d8e2fe32ec9876ade>
- M. Eliantonio & Tatsiana Ivanchykava. (2025). Transnationality in the eia and sea Directives and the Blind Spots of Judicial Control. In *Journal for European Environmental & Planning Law*.

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/720bc49f635d5d1dc3f78d05166ca02f4229829c>

- M. Fellin & et al. (2021). *Full descriptions of the analytical and modelling procedures together with tables and figures that report and illustrate the data details and the modelling inputs.*
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/78f1c8bcc6eeb6f2e6eea5921f16ad96fbc4c7e6>
- M. Mohammed, N. Shafiq, Noora Abdallah, M. Ayoub, A. Haruna, & M. Ibrahim. (2022). *AL-ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT AT CONSTRUCTION SITES VIA LIFE-CYCLE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT.*
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/77921fecb457f3219392d2d5df0e48c3f3e8da17>
- Madhuri Parikh, B. Dash, & Deba Ranjan Hota. (2022). Trend of Community's Participation in Environmental Decision-making Process Under EIA: A Study. In *Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/457300e422b70addabfa659f3b50ffee8dc044d0>
- Marcia Valiante. (1991). *TRANSBOUNDARY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS: THE LEGAL DIMENSION.*
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.4296/cwrj1603239>
- Martina Zeleďáková, L. Zvijáková, & P. Purcz. (2014). *Risk Analysis for Comparison of the Proposed Activity Alternatives for the Purposes of Environmental Impacts Assessment.*
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/9e9e4bfd70a2ba7c0a3e78860947c932ed0320f2>
- Miao Zhen-hua. (2009). Disclosure of Environmental Information in Construction Project EIA. In *Environment and Ecology in the Three Gorges*.
http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTOTAL-SXHS200904014.htm
- Mohammed Al Matalka, Mohammad Alzoubi, Wadha Al Zouair, Mohamed Kamel AlDowaikat, Shadi Said Alkhazaleh, & Ahmad Ibrahim Khalid Matalqeh. (2025). The impact of project management skills, IT integration, supply chain coordination, process innovation, and communication language on organizational performance in educational institutions. In *Journal of Project Management*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8ac68d7aefd7b2da97458efbb8df3c7328df8900>
- Mohan Munasinghe. (1993). *Environmental Economics and Sustainable Development.* <https://doi.org/10.1596/0-8213-2352-0>
- Morrison-Saunders. (2011). *Principles for Effective Impact Assessment: Examples from Western Australia.*
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/49e2166ff41e5ed40f83a0a97aa73beb439cf79b>
- N. Lee & F. Walsh. (1992). Strategic environmental assessment: an overview. In *Project appraisal*.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02688867.1992.9726853>
- Nadeem & TB Fischer. (2011). An evaluation framework for effective public participation in EIA in Pakistan. In *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S019592551000017X>

- Nitin Kumar Singh, Rana Pratap Singh, & Absar Ahmad Kazmi. (2017). Environmental impact assessment of a package type IFAS reactor during construction and operational phases: a life cycle approach. In *Water Science & Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.110>
- Oluwaseun Adeola Bakare, Onoriode Reginald Aziza, Ngozi Samuel Uzougbo, & Portia Oduro. (2024). A legal and regulatory compliance framework for maritime operations in Nigerian oil companies. In *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/e5019dee2b0ac7187866873c01287c76ad469060>
- Osuizugbo & Chinedu Valerie Nnodu. (2023). Barriers to environmental impact assessment implementation for construction projects in Nigeria. In *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/bab694863bb4973cc5f51ae4c33cdc6c388f85a2>
- P Wathern. (1986). *The environmental impact assessment directive of the European Communities*. <https://bibliothek.wzb.eu/pdf/1986/iug-pre86-11.pdf>
- P. Sotiralis, Manolis Annetis, Faouzi Ntachan, Christoforos Diamantis, Lina Keratsa, & N. Ventikos. (2024). Just in Time Port Call Optimisation: Preliminary Regulatory Compliance Evaluation and Environmental Performance Assessment. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/dbfc93ea70acbd060d32d9a96977c59ea88f3ced>
- PG Wright. (1986). *Environmental impact assessment and native people: a preliminary review of developments in Northern Canada and Northern Australia*. <https://openresearch-repository.anu.edu.au/bitstream/1885/305685/1/991025857969707631.pdf>
- Piyyarat Silapasuphakornwong, H. Torii, Masahiro Suzuki, & K. Uehira. (2021). Effects of Embedded Depth of Internal Printed Ferromagnetic Cell on Data Clarity of Rewritable 3D Objects. In *NIP & Digital Fabrication Conference*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/d146b97f1106a6268817abaefddd6a35cca6c8c6>
- R Bhateria. (2024). *EIA Procedure—Mitigation and Impact Management*. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-66797-8_5
- R Godina, I Ribeiro, F Matos, B T. Ferreira, & H Carvalho. (2020). Impact assessment of additive manufacturing on sustainable business models in industry 4.0 context. In *Sustainability*. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/17/7066>
- R Therivel & A González. (2020). Is SEA worth it? Short-term costs v. long-term benefits of strategic environmental assessment. In *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925519303725>
- R. Aguda, S. Bonilla, Jalel Ben Hmida, & E. Revellame. (2020). Challenges and Opportunities in Developing Project Management Decision-Making Tools. In *Journal of Engineering, Project, and Production Management*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/d8b5662f3a1ee991a398d377e5c4b7dcf3ef2182>
- R. Alberts, F. Retief, C. Roos, D. Cilliers, T. Fischer, & J. Arts. (2021). EIA decision-making and administrative justice: an empirical analysis. In *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*.

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/fb9737eb5deec804e76b6827a97a2c0110fd83cc>

- R. Alberts, F. Retief, D. Cilliers, C. Roos, & M. Hauptfleisch. (2021). Environmental impact assessment (EIA) effectiveness in protected areas. In *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/73cafeda6436159f033787926213d2436418079f>
- R. Henkens, A. Biodiversity, L. Jones-Walters, J. V. Rossum, & KB Programme leaders. (2020). *Mountain biking on Aruba's wild coast : An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of the Aruba MTB trails project proposal*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2b8b6e11837a351baf8de1281164963086ec4ca4>
- R. Sallam. (2020). A review on good practices of environment impact assessment (EIA). In *International journal of applied research*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/e6d2ac69fa357299b7864539f03e6898e7a9caa9>
- Rahadyan Widarsadhika Wisnumurti. (2024). Authority Of Local Governments In Issuing Environmental Impact Assessment Permits. In *JURNAL HUKUM SEHASEN*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/4360bebd414a023ea00de5f76aaaf5797109a584>
- Rakhyun Kim, S. Tae, & Seungjun Roh. (2014). A Study on the Deduction of Major Construction Wastes in Construction Phase through Environmental Impact Assessment. In *Advanced Materials Research*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/0089f7224e15496acbf41905b0f0e34db9e898bf>
- Ratanachai. (1991). Environmental Impact Assessment as a Tool for Risk Management. In *Toxicology and Industrial Health*.
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/074823379100700541>
- Rathi. (2022). Do the Environmental Approval Conditions Enable the Best Practice EIA Follow-up and Hence Strengthen the EIA System? An Indian Case Study Analysis. In *Macro Management & Public Policies*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ebd603140e9a49bdf1607b4bdf60cc6345ada60d>
- Rathi. (2023). Pursuing the Distilled Good Practices to Improve the Quality of Environmental Impact Assessment Reports and Hence Enhance the EIA Effectiveness and Help Address the Concerns of Project Proponents: An Indian Context. In *Macro Management & Public Policies*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/9ee781facb14289bcb9b9f8da428405246343fb0>
- Richard K. Morgan. (1998). *Environmental impact assessment : a methodological perspective*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/b642962a1a2286426309cf98e6ad38d66cf2e4e3>
- Richard K. Morgan. (2020). Environmental Impact Assessment. In *Planning Enforcement*.
<https://iwaponline.com/ebooks/book/781/chapter/1867674/Environmental-impact-assessment>

- Rusdianto Rusdianto. (2020). The Influence of Managerial Orientation in Decision-Making Processes. In *Advances in Computers*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/3c42b776440df60f95d9410c4e0aeb5ef4d0f5c5>
- S Sarabi, Q Han, AGL Romme, & B De Vries. (2020). *Uptake and implementation of nature-based solutions: an analysis of barriers using interpretive structural modeling*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479720306812>
- S Yin, N Zhang, B Li, & H Dong. (2021). Enhancing the effectiveness of multi-agent cooperation for green manufacturing: Dynamic co-evolution mechanism of a green technology innovation system based on In *Environmental impact assessment review*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925520301311>
- S Zhang, Z Wu, Y Wang, & Y Hao. (2021). Fostering green development with green finance: An empirical study on the environmental effect of green credit policy in China. In *Journal of environmental management*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479721012214>
- S. Chen & S. Tsai. (1989). *Environmental impact assessment: Process and implementation*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/5a598f20e34945519a0e6d982ac65b0b0f2c5ce7>
- S. Jusi. (2011). *Software for assessment of economic, environmental and social impacts of road projects*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/a5201e14c124d838db2674558fc52a6b34a9b810>
- S.S. Keshkamat. (2011). *The road less travelled : scale in assessment and planning of highways*. <https://doi.org/10.3990/1.9789061643050>
- SA Banihashemi & M Khalilzadeh. (2021). *Time-cost-quality-environmental impact trade-off resource-constrained project scheduling problem with DEA approach*. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ECAM-05-2020-0350/full/html>
- SA Banihashemi & M Khalilzadeh. (2021). *Time-cost-quality-environmental impact trade-off resource-constrained project scheduling problem with DEA approach*. [https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ECAM-05-Lima, E Trindade, L Alencar, & M Alencar. \(2021\). Sustainability in the construction industry: A systematic review of the literature. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S09596526203577602020-0350/full/html>](https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ECAM-05-Lima, E Trindade, L Alencar, & M Alencar. (2021). Sustainability in the construction industry: A systematic review of the literature. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S09596526203577602020-0350/full/html)
- Saul S. Begontes, John Ulysses V. Sindayen, Efren A.Pagalan, Jr., Raffy E. Cagas, & Sonnie A. Vedra. (2024). Prospects on the use of EIA as a Tool for Coastal Resources Conservation. In *International Journal of Science and Management Studies (IJSMS)*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/737d9c356ef2d1a714e8da88dc96b2cdea755d7c>
- Saul S. Begontes, John Ulysses V. Sindayen, Efren A.Pagalan, Jr., Raffy E. Cagas, & Sonnie A. Vedra. (2024). Prospects on the use of EIA as a Tool for Coastal Resources Conservation. In *International Journal of Science and Management Studies (IJSMS)*.

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/737d9c356ef2d1a714e8da88dc96b2cdea755d7c>

- Schnackenberg, Edward C. Tomlinson, & Corinne A. Coen. (2020). The dimensional structure of transparency: A construct validation of transparency as disclosure, clarity, and accuracy in organizations. In *Human Relations*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2f1920b735adefbc8ae5f14cdfb0f60964c929d3>
- Sergiusz Urban. (2023). Environmental Impact Assessment in the context of armed conflicts. In *The Opole Studies in Administration and Law*.
<https://doi.org/10.25167/osap.5070>
- SF Jannat, MS Ahmed, & SA Rajput. (2024). *AI-powered project management: Myth or reality? Analyzing the integration and impact of artificial intelligence in contemporary project environments*.
https://www.academia.edu/download/118303271/AI_Powered_Project_Management_Myth_or_Reality_Analyzing_the_Integration_and_Impact_of.pdf
- Shadi Salimimoghadam, A. Ghanbaripour, Roksana Jahan Tumpa, Amir Kamel Rahimi, Mehdi Golmoradi, Sara Rashidian, & Martin Skitmore. (2025). The Rise of Artificial Intelligence in Project Management: A Systematic Literature Review of Current Opportunities, Enablers, and Barriers. In *Buildings*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/187352cdb0b1840e9130e63438b30ce9e0484dfa>
- Shiu Fung Hung. (2020). *Public Participation and EIA Effectiveness: Empirical Case Studies in Hong Kong*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/bcddde5425215bbf23db735a2fd5f4d034160b8d>
- Silsilah U P & G. A. (2023). Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Prediction Method: Case Study. In *Journal of Technical Education Science*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/c03120ac6891aeb11c4062f20748c53e2cb090a1>
- Suprpto. (2023). Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in Indonesian Law: Implementation and Effectiveness. In *Journal of Advanced Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8198d78cf1d104e6dcbc2d117636bf44a81f1a5c>
- T Savolainen & A Haikonen. (2007). Dynamics of organizational learning and continuous improvement in six sigma implementation. In *The TQM Magazine*.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/09544780710720808/full/html>
- T. Sartori, R. Drogemuller, S. Omrani, & F. Lamari. (2020). *Analysis of Whole Building Life Cycle Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Tools*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/850e915fce7efdea0afeb310f9c7190b1d9c770b>
- T. Wentzel, F. Retief, R. Alberts, H. J. Moolman, C. Roos, & D. Cilliers. (2023). The Quality of Biodiversity Inputs to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in the Succulent Karoo Biodiversity Hotspot, South Africa. In *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/4b50ade99394834a822e08670c80872ce395238d>

- Ummi A'zizah Zahroh & F. U. Najicha. (2022). Problems and Challenges on Environmental Law Enforcement in Indonesia: AMDAL in the Context of Administrative Law. In *Indonesian State Law Review (ISLRev)*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/948309d8e2e3fdcc073b684307a1f75e3d849f07>
- V Mauerhofer. (2016). Public participation in environmental matters: Compendium, challenges and chances globally. In *Land Use Policy*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S026483771500054X>
- V. Balashova. (2022). Analyzing Problems of Decision-Making in Project Management. In *Vestnik of the Plekhanov Russian University of Economics*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ffb2469232cca3afa438fbb40dbdbe858ffe144a>
- W. Laurance. (2022). Why environmental impact assessments often fail. In *Therya*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/7b25c4b9f420db2fb7cfcf72ff54fd16297a66a8>
- Whitmee, S., Haines, A., Beyrer, C., Boltz, F., Capon, A., de Souza Dias, B. F., Ezech, A., Frumkin, H., Gong, P., Head, P., Horton, R., Mace, G. M., Marten, R., Myers, S. S., Nishtar, S., Osofsky, S. A., Pattanayak, S. K., Pongsiri, M. J., Romanelli, C., ... Yach, D. (2015). Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch: Report of The Rockefeller Foundation–Lancet Commission on planetary health. *The Lancet*, 386(10007), 1973–2028. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)60901-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60901-1)
- Wood, C., 1995. *Environmental Impact Assessment-A Comparative Review*. Harlow: Prentice Hall.
- World Bank. (1992). World Development Report 1992. In *World Bank Publications eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-1952-0876-4>
- World Bank. (2018). *The cost of environmental compliance in infrastructure projects*. World Bank Group. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/29906>
- WR Sheate & RB Macrory. (1989). *Agriculture and the EC Environmental Assessment Directive: Lessons for Community Policy-Making*.
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1468-5965.1989.tb00355.x>
- Wright, S. Dolman, Michael D. Jasny, E. Parsons, D. Schiedek, & S. Young. (2013). Myth and Momentum: A Critique of Environmental Impact Assessments. In *Journal of Environmental Protection*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/887fdf3c203d25b3898c968e6defdc7df7764c1b>
- WSE Ismaeel & RAER Lotfy. (2023). *An integrated building information modelling-based environmental impact assessment framework*.
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10098-022-02443-6>
- X Yao, J He, & C Bao. (2020). Public participation modes in China's environmental impact assessment process: An analytical framework based on participation extent and conflict level. In *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925519303427>
- Xie Shuai-shuai. (2012). Game Theory for Environmental Impact Assessment in Construction Phase of Expressway. In *Journal of Zhengzhou University*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/7fd4b99d6b7839f8da73d39fc442bc835705d930>

- Y Yang, Y Zhang, Y Zhang, D Guo, & H Xu. (2025). *Comprehensive assessment of the environmental impacts of China's new energy industry policy from life cycle perspective*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925525001131>
- Yingying Wu. (2021). The role and progress of EIA in environmental protection in China. In *E3S Web of Conferences*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/d1e707225c7baab4c01f711d107362c51560f594>
- Z Ying & LS Pheng. (2014). *Project communication management in complex environments*. <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-981-4560-64-1.pdf>
- Zahid Saqib, R. Saeed, M. Qasim, Abeera Saqib, & M. Hassan. (2023). Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of CPEC road project by following EIA index approach for sustainability. In *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/0d526090eaf10321775219078b81049bd7a8f043>
- Zhong Wei-dong. (2011). Some Problems Deserving Attention for Environmental Impact Assessment in Nuclear Power Plant Siting Phase. In *Electric Power Survey & Design*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/533cbca9c4aff08b40a277cd4f78edc354e18eb6>
- Zhu You-chun. (2006). Principles and Methods of Environmental Impact Assessment of High Risk Project. In *Safety and Environmental Engineering*.
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/61471a809917dff84aed703d70cb63f9d053afca>
- O Kolesnikov, V Gogunskii, & K Kolesnikova. (2016). *Development of the model of interaction among the project, team of project and project environment in project system*. [http://www.irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis_nbuv/cgiirbis_64.exe?C21COM=2&I21DBN=UJRN&P21DBN=UJRN&IMAGE_FILE_DOWNLOAD=1&Image_file_name=PDF/Vejpte_2016_5\(9\)_4.pdf](http://www.irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis_nbuv/cgiirbis_64.exe?C21COM=2&I21DBN=UJRN&P21DBN=UJRN&IMAGE_FILE_DOWNLOAD=1&Image_file_name=PDF/Vejpte_2016_5(9)_4.pdf)

Appendixes

الملخص العربي Arabic abstract

تناولت هذه الدراسة تأثير تقييم الأثر البيئي (EIA) على كفاءة إدارة المشاريع، وذلك من خلال تحليل نظري وثنائي ومقارن، يهدف إلى إبراز الفوائد العملية والتحديات المؤسسية المرتبطة بدمج EIA في دورة حياة المشروع. وقد أظهرت النتائج أن دمج تقييم الأثر البيئي في مراحل التخطيط والتنفيذ يساهم بشكل كبير في تحسين الأداء العام للمشاريع من خلال الإدارة الفعالة للوقت، وضبط التكاليف، وتعزيز جودة المخرجات، وتوضيح نطاق العمل.

توصلت الدراسة إلى أن استخدام EIA يساعد على تقليل المخاطر البيئية والاجتماعية والاقتصادية من خلال التنبؤ المسبق بها ووضع استراتيجيات تخفيف مناسبة، وهو ما يساهم في تقليل التكاليف غير المتوقعة والامتثال للمتطلبات التنظيمية، ويعزز من مصداقية المشروع أمام الجهات المانحة والمجتمع المحلي. كما أكدت الدراسة أهمية إشراك أصحاب المصلحة في العملية، حيث يعزز ذلك من قبول المشروع ويقلل من فرص المعارضة المجتمعية.

ورغم الفوائد المثبتة، إلا أن الدراسة كشفت عن مجموعة من التحديات مثل ضعف التنسيق المؤسسي، وانخفاض جودة تقارير EIA، وقصور المشاركة المجتمعية، وهي مشكلات تتفق مع نتائج دراسات سابقة دولية. وعليه، توصي الدراسة بضرورة تطوير نماذج وطنية موحدة تربط مخرجات تقييم الأثر البيئي بالتخطيط والميزانية، مع الاستثمار في أدوات رقمية متقدمة وتدريب الكوادر لضمان فعالية التنفيذ.

تُسهم هذه الدراسة في تعزيز فهم العلاقة بين الاستدامة البيئية وكفاءة إدارة المشاريع، وتُبرز تقييم الأثر البيئي كأداة استراتيجية وليست مجرد التزام تشريعي، مما يعزز من فرص تحقيق مشاريع ناجحة ومستدامة بيئيًا واقتصاديًا واجتماعيًا.