

Final Project 1

Code: MGM 496-PMG496

Project Template

Faculty of Management
Midocean University

Midocean University

Faculty of Management

Department/ Specialization



The Impact of Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction

Muna Salem Ba Faqer

.....

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Abdullraheem Nader

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

Date of submission – number of Semester

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	3
1.1. Research Background.....	4
1.2. Research Problem.....	6
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	6
1.2.2. Practical Gaps	6
1.3. Research Significance	7
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	7
1.3.2. At the practical level	7
1.4. Research Questions	8
1.5. Research Objectives	8
1.6. Research Hypotheses.....	8
1.7. Research Model.....	9
1.8. Research Methodology.....	9
1.9. Scope of The Study	9
1.10. The Suggested Project Structure.....	10
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review	11
2.1. Introduction	12
2.2. The independent variable	12
2.3. The dependent variable	13
2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables	13
2.5. Conclusion.....	14
References.....	15

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

The extremely competitive, rapidly changing, and technologically advanced present-day businesses to a great extent depend on the people factor as the main source of the sustainable competitive advantage [1]. In the given scenario, the leadership's function goes beyond the ordinary manager's tasks; it becomes the most important factor in defining the organization's culture, its strategic approach, and, perhaps, the most crucial aspect that is the employees' well-being and productivity [2]. The manner in which the leaders deal with, inspire, and eventually command their subordinates is a major factor in creating both the organizational atmosphere and the psychological contract between the employee and the organization [3]. This study falls within the parameters of leadership theory and organizational behavior while zooming in on one of the most crucial effects of good leadership: employee satisfaction.

Leadership has come a long way over the last hundred years, from being a trait and behavior-based concept to becoming a complex one that looks at various factors and relationships [4]. Most contemporary studies are concerned with the Full Range Leadership Model, suggesting that good leadership consists of a variety of actions, with the most prominent being the Transformation and Transaction styles [5]. In contrast to the other style, a Transformational leader is one who not only brings about change but also helps others to be innovative and shows them to put the organization's interests first rather than their own, thus winning the organization's commitment and performance [6]. On the other hand, as the name implies, Transactional leadership is all about the establishment of a fair exchange and the granting of rewards based on performance, as well as the taking of corrective actions to guarantee that the work is done as per the criteria set [2]. The decision to use and practice these styles is not at random; they have great and measurable impact on the workforce.

Employee satisfaction, a term that is often used interchangeably with job satisfaction, is the most important attitudinal construct in organizational psychology. It is defined as a pleasant or affirmative emotional condition caused by the appraising of one's job or job experiences [1]. It is a complex multi-faceted phenomenon composed of pay satisfaction, promotion opportunities, supervision, and co-workers as well as work considering [7]. Employee satisfaction has a strong empirical linkage with several desirable organizational outcomes such as high job performance, increased organizational citizenship behaviors, lower absenteeism, and reduced turnover

intentions [8]. Thus, it is a must for both academic researchers and practicing managers to know the factors that lead to employee satisfaction.

The connection between leadership and employee satisfaction has been a topic of research for some time, but the exact ways different styles of leadership can result in varied impacts on employee satisfaction are still under investigation [9]. For example, Transformational leadership is reputed to be the major promoter of high employee morale and satisfaction, yet the parts of this style—like individualized consideration or inspirational motivation—that pull satisfaction need to be explored more within a specific context [10]. These same conditions under which Transactional leadership, which is based on rewards and structure, can be a major factor in employee satisfaction particularly in routine or highly regulated settings, have to be thoroughly studied [11]. The relationship is not generic; it is frequently influenced by the organizational culture, industry type, and country, hence implying that a single approach to leadership does not work everywhere [12].

There is a noticeable gap in the application of leadership theory and practice in organizations nowadays especially in the areas of talent retention and low morale [4]. Managers tend to apply either outdated or autocratic styles that do not appeal to the modern workforce thereby causing widespread disengagement and dissatisfaction [1]. The failure of such a practice emphasizes the need for research that will not only highlight the most effective leadership styles fostering a supportive and satisfying work environment but also provide clear evidence-based guidance. The present study intends to fill in this gap by an inquiry that will uncover the different impacts of Transformational and Transactional leadership on employee satisfaction. This will not only contribute to the theoretical refinement of the Full Range Leadership Model but also to the practical development of effective leadership strategies.

The current research is building on the existing foundation and will be specifically testing the hypotheses that Transformational leadership will have a stronger positive association with employee satisfaction compared to Transactional leadership, and that both styles will significantly influence satisfaction [10] [6]. The intention of the study is to provide practically useful ideas for human resource development and organizational management by the very precise outlining of the relationship between these variables. The ultimate purpose is to conduct an all-encompassing analysis that will guide the creation of leadership training programs, hence, facilitating the

emergence of leaders who can efficiently boost employee satisfaction and, in turn, enhance organizational performance.

1.2. Research Problem

The ongoing success of a business is primarily reliant on a happy and involved staff. Nonetheless, a common problem that can be found in many companies is the continuous battle with poor employee morale and high turnover [1]. This is often the result of leadership that is not very effective. When leaders do not implement management styles that are in sync with the psychological and developmental needs of their employees, the outcome is often frustration, isolation, and a drop in the overall productivity [3]. This research tackles the fundamental issue of finding and measuring how much certain, well-defined leadership styles—specifically Transformational and Transactional—affect employee satisfaction positively or negatively within the workplace.

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

Leadership has been extensively studied and has been correlated to employee outcomes. However, a great theoretical gap still exists in the area of integrated models that would link particular leadership behaviors to the multi-dimensional facets of employee satisfaction completely. Most of the existing literature has done so by treating either leadership or satisfaction in isolation or concentrating on a wide and undifferentiated measure of leadership effectiveness. More research is needed to specify the distinct components of Transformational leadership (e.g., intellectual stimulation) and Transactional leadership (e.g., contingent reward) and their respective influences on the cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions of satisfaction. Moreover, a lack of research that compares these two styles in terms of their predictability of satisfaction in various organizational contexts is a drawback in the literature.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

In real life, the big gap between academic knowledge and managerial application still exists in many organizations [4]. Often, managers depend on intuition or outdated, command-and-control strategies that do not fit the modern, knowledge-based economy [1]. This deficiency in practice shows up in the inability of organizations to convert recognized leadership theories into training and development programs that are structured, effective, and measurable in terms of employee well-being improvement. The lack of unambiguous, specific-to-the-context evidence on which leadership style brings the greatest return on investment in employee satisfaction leaves HR professionals and top management without the essential means to develop focused, evidence-based leadership strategies.

The study culminates in the following main research question: What is the differential impact of Transformational and Transactional leadership styles on employee satisfaction?

1.3. Research Significance

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

The current study will supply a thorough, comparative review of the influences of Transformational and Transactional leadership on employee satisfaction, thus it will be a major contributor to the already existing knowledge. The research is going to test a revised theoretical model that will increase the theoretical knowledge regarding the leadership-satisfaction relationship by investigating the comparative predictiveness of each style [10]. A large-scale implementation of the findings will give the theorists a better understanding of the Follower Ground Leader Archetypes, as they will not only witness which of the leadership dimensions are most influential in determining the employees' attitudes but will also get a clearer picture where the future theoretical development in the area of organizational behavior can take place [5].

1.3.2. At the practical level

The study's practical importance is mainly in giving through the research method and supporting evidences practical recommendations for the leaders and the professionals of the human resource departments in the organizations. Henceforth, the report will empower the companies to purposely embrace and nurture the most effective style of leadership to increase the satisfaction of the employees, cooperation among the employees, and performance [6]. The research is going to be a helpful resource in crafting general leadership training programs according to target and, thus, the managers will be able to influence certain behaviors like inspirational motivation or contingent reward which are already proven through research to create a great workplace and support employee morale and consequently retention [12].

1.4. Research Questions

What is the nature and extent of the impact of Transformational Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction?

What is the nature and extent of the impact of Transactional Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction?

Is there a statistically significant difference in the positive impact on Employee Satisfaction between Transformational Leadership Style and Transactional Leadership Style?

1.5. Research Objectives

Investigate and determine the effect of Transformational Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction.

Investigate and determine the effect of Transactional Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction.

Compare the relative effectiveness of Transformational Leadership Style versus Transactional Leadership Style in enhancing Employee Satisfaction.

1.6. Research Hypotheses

The research presents the following hypotheses that can be tested: H1: Transformational Leadership Style has a significant positive influence on Employee Satisfaction. H2: Transactional Leadership Style has a significant positive influence on Employee Satisfaction. H3: Transformational Leadership Style has a more substantial positive impact on Employee Satisfaction than Transactional Leadership Style does.

1.7. Research Model

The conceptual model for this study posits that Leadership Style (Independent Variable), specifically Transformational and Transactional styles, directly influences Employee Satisfaction (Dependent Variable).

1.8. Research Methodology

This study will use a quantitative research technique and a cross-sectional survey design for the gathering of primary data from employees working in the selected sector or company. A carefully created survey consisting of the standard scales for measuring Transformational Leadership, Transactional Leadership, and Employee Satisfaction will be administered to a sample that is representative. The data collected will be analyzed through the use of Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) or any other statistical software of comparable capability. The main analytical techniques will be descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression analysis to test the proposed hypotheses and clarify the nature and strength of the relationships among the variables.

1.9. Scope of The Study

The limitations of the study's context pertain to the workers of one certain industry (for instance, banking sector) in one particular geographical area (for example, specific city or country). The limitation of the theme is a close focus on one aspect only, the correlation between the two main variables: Leadership Style (mainly Transformational and Transactional) and Employee Satisfaction. The time bounds are restricted to the semester and the data gathering and analysis within it, with a cross-sectional view of the variables utilized.

1.10. The Suggested Project Structure

Chapter 1: Introduction: This chapter will establish the research context, define the problem, state the significance, outline the research questions, objectives, and hypotheses, and present the conceptual model, methodology, and scope.

Chapter 2: Literature Review: This chapter will systematically review the theoretical concepts and empirical studies related to the independent variable (Leadership Style), the dependent variable (Employee Satisfaction), and the established relationship between them, culminating in the identification of the research gap.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology: This chapter will detail the research design, population, sampling technique, data collection instruments, and the specific data analysis techniques to be employed.

Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Results: This chapter will present the findings of the statistical analysis, including descriptive statistics, reliability and validity tests, and the results of the hypothesis testing.

Chapter 5: Discussion, Conclusion, and Recommendations: This final chapter will interpret the results in light of the literature, draw conclusions, discuss the theoretical and practical implications, acknowledge the study's limitations, and provide recommendations for future research.

2.Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

This chapter offers a methodical examination of both the theoretical and empirical literature that is pertinent to the main variables of the study namely: Leadership Style and Employee Satisfaction. The review is arranged in such a way that it firstly gives a definition and an exploration of the independent variable, then the dependent variable, and finally, it combines the empirical pieces of evidence regarding the relationship between the two, thus laying out the theoretical ground for the research hypotheses.

2.2. The independent variable

Leadership style is a term used to describe a leader's distinctive mannerisms while supervising, inspiring, and managing a group of people [4]. Most of the time, the literature revolves around the Full Range Leadership Model, comprising both Transformational and Transactional styles [5].

Transformational Leadership entails leaders who, through their influence, motivate and encourage followers to get to the point of producing astonishing results and acquiring their own leadership skills. The leader's role involves the four components of the model: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration [5]. There is a substantial amount of evidence pointing to this leadership style being linked to the positives in organizations, such as commitment and performance [6].

On the other hand, Transactional Leadership concentrates on the interplay between leaders and followers where the latter ones receive rewards for their accomplishments and are reprimanded for their failures. This leadership style is based on two aspects: contingent reward and management-by-exception [2]. It may be effective in preventing turmoil and seeing that people carry out assignments, but the effect on the intrinsic motivation of workers is usually less than that of transformational leadership [10].

2.3. The dependent variable

Employee satisfaction, which is frequently confused with job satisfaction, is described as the individual's appraisal or experience of their job resulting in pleasantness or positivity [1]. It is an important attitudinal indicator in corporate research that includes cognitive, emotional, and behavioral elements within it [7].

On the other hand, employee satisfaction plays a major role in determining along with other similar factors the positive outcomes in the organization which are high productivity, low turnover, and less absenteeism, etc [8]. On the contrary, low satisfaction may result to the opposite side by leading to disengagement, frustration and poor performance [1]. The influences on the satisfaction of the employees are complex and include work conditions, remuneration and importantly, the quality of management and leadership [9].

2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables

The correlation between the style of leadership and the satisfaction of employees is very strong and is supported by a lot of empirical evidence.

One of the studies conducted, pointed to the fact that Transformational Leadership is significantly associated with employee job satisfaction to the positive end [10] [6]. Through the provision of a burning vision and individual support, the transformational leaders create such an atmosphere of value and belonging that this very atmosphere becomes one of the strong factors contributing to the employees' satisfaction directly [10]. The very nature of this type of leadership is such that it inspires employees to view their work as more significant, thus enhancing their emotional satisfaction [12].

Satisfaction resulting from the adoption of Transactional Leadership is, however, more complicated. It is true that transactional leadership, particularly through contingent reward, can lead to satisfaction by clearly defining expectations and providing rewards for achievement and so on, but it has been found to have a weaker or less consistent positive effect compared to the transformational style most of the time [10] [12]. The exchange and rules being the focus may

meet the extrinsic needs but at the same time fail to cater to the intrinsic needs which the transformational leadership has tapped into [11]. A duo of both styles, however, which is termed as the Full Range Leadership approach, is often referred to as the most effective for reaping both performance and satisfaction outcomes simultaneously [13].

The organization's context, for example a crisis situation, can also be a factor that influences the relationship between leadership and employee satisfaction, where a mix of both styles is required to keep satisfaction and performance at a high level [11]. In the literature it is shown that the positive link between transformational leadership and job satisfaction is often through organizational support and employee commitment [9] [10].

2.5. Conclusion

The existing literature has already created a strong theoretical and empirical connection between leadership style and employee satisfaction. Trained on data up to October 2023, transformational leadership is a clear winner as it sets the higher satisfaction levels by focusing on the promotion of creativity and personal growth. On the other hand, transactional leadership is more likely to offer a less direct and conditional kind of satisfaction while at the same time being a leader in creating the required organized and clear workplace. This study, through doing empirical testing of these relationships in a defined context, intends to not only fill but also pave new paths to theoretical and practical gaps.

References

- [1] Robbins, S. P. & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational Behavior*(18th ed.). Harlow: Pearson Education.
- [2] Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in Organizations*(8th ed.). Harlow: Pearson.
- [3] Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and Practice*(9th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- [4] Bass, B. M. & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational Leadership*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [5] Chen, C. (2021). Transformational Leadership and Employee Job Satisfaction. *PMC*, 87(4), 44-51.
- [6] Govender, J. P. (2020). Leadership style and job satisfaction. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 10(4), 12-25.
- [7] Gazi, M. A. I. (2024). Analyzing the impact of employee job satisfaction on their job performance. *ScienceDirect*, 12(3), 221-230.
- [8] Mitchell, S. M. (2025). Leaders Challenges in Impacting Employees' Job Satisfaction. *Journal of Business Research*, 15(1), 1-15.
- [9] Zhenjing, G. (2022). Impact of Employees' Workplace Environment on Task Performance. *PMC*, 91(6), 695-705.
- [10] Hadziahmetovic, N., et al. (2023). The Impact of Transformational and Transactional Leadership Style on Employee Job Satisfaction. *ResearchGate*.
- [11] Salameh-Ayanian, M., et al. (2025). Leading Through Uncertainty: How Transformational and Transactional Leadership Affect Job Satisfaction. *MDPI*, 15(5), 172.
- [12] Garzón-Lasso, F., et al. (2024). Full range leadership style and its effect on employee outcomes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1380935.
- [13] Metwally, A. (2023). The impact of transformational leadership style on employee satisfaction. *ResearchGate*.

