

**Midocean University College of Management**

**Course Code: RPC001 – Research Plan**

**Title: The Impact of Toxic Leadership on Organizational Culture and  
Employee Behavior**

**Student Name: Abdulrahman Almontasheri**

**Student ID: 252001744**

**Supervisor: Dr. Fayez Jrad**

**Submission Date: November 2025**

# **The Impact of Toxic Leadership on Organizational Culture and Employee Behavior**

## **(An Applied Study on Corporate Organizations)**

### **1. *Introduction***

In today's rapidly changing business world, leadership remains one of the most critical determinants of organizational success. A strong, ethical, and supportive leader can inspire employees, foster collaboration, and build a positive workplace culture that encourages productivity and innovation. However, not all leaders promote healthy organizational growth. In recent years, scholars and professionals have begun to focus on a darker side of leadership — toxic leadership.

Toxic leadership refers to leaders who consistently display behaviors that harm individuals and the organization as a whole. These behaviors include arrogance, manipulation, self-interest, micromanagement, and emotional abuse. Toxic leaders tend to prioritize personal ambition over team welfare, leading to negative psychological outcomes for employees such as stress, anxiety, and burnout. Over time, this destructive pattern shapes an unhealthy organizational culture where trust, engagement, and motivation decline.

Modern organizations, regardless of industry, rely heavily on the quality of leadership to achieve strategic goals. Yet, toxic leadership continues to exist across both public and private sectors, often masked by short-term performance results or charismatic dominance. The cost of such leadership extends far beyond interpersonal conflicts — it undermines the very foundation of organizational culture, causing turnover, absenteeism, low morale, and reduced performance.

Recent studies have revealed that employees working under toxic leaders are more likely to disengage, demonstrate counterproductive behaviors, or even leave their jobs entirely. This, in turn, damages the organization's stability, innovation capacity, and brand reputation. Despite the growing evidence, the link between toxic leadership and organizational culture remains underexplored, particularly in corporate settings in developing economies.

Therefore, this study aims to investigate the impact of toxic leadership on organizational culture and employee behavior. It will analyze how destructive leadership behaviors influence shared values, communication patterns, and overall employee conduct within corporate organizations. Understanding these dynamics will enable organizations to develop better leadership assessment tools and promote healthier, more resilient workplace cultures.

## ***2. Background of the Study***

Leadership is an essential component of any organization because it shapes vision, culture, and performance. Throughout history, organizations have relied on leaders to provide guidance, build motivation, and maintain harmony among employees. In the modern corporate world, however, the complexity of human interactions, technological advances, and globalization have created new leadership challenges.

While much of the literature highlights positive leadership styles such as transformational, democratic, or servant leadership, far less attention has been given to toxic leadership. Toxic leaders may initially appear competent or charismatic, but their management style often creates an atmosphere of fear and uncertainty. They control rather than empower, criticize rather than develop, and prioritize personal recognition over collective success.

This destructive behavior gradually affects how employees think, feel, and behave in the workplace. Instead of collaboration and open communication, employees tend to withhold opinions, avoid responsibility, and limit their engagement. Over time, these reactions alter the organization's culture — transforming it from a supportive environment to one characterized by stress, low morale, and distrust.

In many cases, organizations tolerate toxic leadership because of short-term success. Some toxic leaders achieve quick results by enforcing strict control or fear-driven motivation, leading top management to overlook the long-term cultural damage being caused. As a result, toxic leadership has become a hidden threat to sustainable growth and organizational well-being.

Several studies across global industries have identified that toxic leaders can significantly reduce job satisfaction and commitment levels, increase turnover rates, and negatively impact organizational culture. Yet, in many developing regions, this topic remains underexplored. This lack of understanding highlights the importance of studying how toxic leadership affects

both organizational culture and employee behavior, especially in corporate organizations operating in competitive and high-pressure environments.

Understanding this issue can help organizations identify early signs of toxicity, establish preventive leadership development programs, and promote healthier workplace environments that encourage innovation and loyalty rather than fear and disengagement.

### ***3. Problem Statement***

Leadership plays a central role in shaping the overall direction, performance, and identity of organizations. However, not all leadership styles contribute positively to achieving organizational goals. The presence of toxic leadership has increasingly become a subject of concern because of its destructive impact on employees and the organization's culture.

Toxic leaders are often characterized by arrogance, manipulation, narcissism, micromanagement, and emotional exploitation. They create environments filled with tension, fear, and hostility rather than trust, cooperation, and empowerment. Although organizations may initially perceive these leaders as result-oriented or assertive, the long-term consequences often include decreased morale, reduced productivity, and higher employee turnover.

Many organizations fail to identify toxic leadership early because they focus heavily on performance metrics rather than on employee well-being and workplace climate.

Consequently, the toxic behavior of such leaders gradually erodes the cultural foundation of the organization — affecting shared values, communication patterns, and team dynamics. This situation leads employees to disengage emotionally and mentally from their roles, which ultimately damages both organizational performance and reputation.

Despite the growing literature on leadership and organizational behavior, research gaps still exist in understanding how toxic leadership influences culture and employee behavior within corporate organizations, particularly in developing economies. Most existing studies focus on Western contexts, leaving limited understanding of how these dynamics play out in other cultural and economic settings.

Therefore, the central research problem addressed by this study is:

How does toxic leadership influence organizational culture and employee behavior within corporate organizations?

Answering this question is critical because identifying the mechanisms through which toxic leadership affects culture and behavior can help organizations design interventions that promote positive leadership, enhance employee engagement, and restore a healthy workplace culture.

#### **4. *Research Objectives***

The primary objective of this research is to examine the impact of toxic leadership on organizational culture and employee behavior within corporate organizations. This study aims to explore how toxic leadership traits influence employees' attitudes, motivation, and engagement, and how these behaviors collectively shape the organization's cultural environment.

The specific objectives of the study are as follows:

To identify the major characteristics and manifestations of toxic leadership in corporate environments.

To examine the relationship between toxic leadership and organizational culture, focusing on shared values, communication patterns, and trust.

To investigate how toxic leadership affects employee behavior, including motivation, commitment, and productivity.

To analyze how employees respond to toxic leadership and how their coping mechanisms influence overall workplace dynamics.

To propose practical strategies and recommendations that organizations can use to mitigate the negative effects of toxic leadership and promote healthier leadership models.

#### **5. *Research Questions***

Based on the research problem and objectives, the following key research questions are proposed to guide the study:

What are the main characteristics and patterns of toxic leadership found in corporate organizations?

How does toxic leadership affect the organizational culture, particularly in terms of shared values, communication, and collaboration?

What is the relationship between toxic leadership and employee behavior (e.g., motivation, engagement, and commitment)?

In what ways do employees cope with toxic leadership, and how does this coping behavior affect overall organizational performance?

What strategies can organizations implement to identify and reduce the negative effects of toxic leadership in the workplace?

These questions will serve as the foundation for developing hypotheses, designing the research methodology, and collecting relevant data in subsequent stages of the project.

## **6. *Significance of the Study***

This research is significant because it addresses a critical issue that affects both employees and organizational success — the impact of toxic leadership on culture and behavior.

Leadership is one of the most studied topics in organizational research; however, the darker side of leadership remains underexplored, particularly in developing corporate environments. Understanding the consequences of toxic leadership is essential for creating sustainable organizations that prioritize human well-being alongside profitability.

## **7. *Academic Significance***

From an academic standpoint, this study contributes to the existing body of knowledge in the fields of leadership, organizational behavior, and human resource management.

Most leadership research emphasizes positive leadership models such as transformational, transactional, and servant leadership. In contrast, this study focuses on the destructive aspects of leadership, an area that is still developing theoretically and empirically.

The findings of this research will extend the theoretical understanding of how toxic leadership behaviors influence organizational systems. It will also provide a framework for future researchers to explore related variables such as emotional intelligence, workplace resilience, and employee empowerment in countering toxic environments.

Moreover, the study will help bridge a research gap by examining the phenomenon of toxic leadership within corporate settings, where cultural and economic factors may amplify or moderate its effects.

## **8. *Practical Significance***

From a practical perspective, this study holds value for corporate leaders, HR practitioners, and organizational consultants. By identifying the signs, causes, and effects of toxic leadership, organizations can implement early-detection systems and leadership development programs that minimize such behaviors.

The results of this study can assist companies in developing leadership assessment tools that evaluate not only performance but also emotional competence, empathy, and ethical conduct.

Furthermore, the insights gained will help managers build a positive organizational culture that encourages collaboration, trust, and open communication — all of which are essential for innovation and long-term success.

Ultimately, this study provides practical guidelines for reducing toxicity in leadership and fostering a work environment that promotes employee satisfaction, engagement, and productivity.

## **9. *Definition of Key Terms***

To ensure conceptual clarity, the following key terms are defined as used in this research:

### *Toxic Leadership:*

A leadership style characterized by consistent negative behaviors such as arrogance, manipulation, micromanagement, and emotional abuse that harm employees and the organization.

### *Organizational Culture:*

The system of shared values, beliefs, and norms that influence how employees interact, make decisions, and approach their work within the organization.

### *Employee Behavior:*

The actions, attitudes, and performance outcomes exhibited by employees in response to organizational conditions, leadership style, and workplace culture.

### *Corporate Organizations:*

Formal business entities that operate under structured management systems, typically in competitive markets, aiming to achieve strategic and financial goals.

### *Leadership Effectiveness:*

The ability of leaders to influence and motivate followers toward achieving common organizational objectives in a positive and ethical manner.

## ***10. Theoretical Framework***

The theoretical framework of this study is built on two main theories that explain the relationship between leadership behavior, organizational culture, and employee outcomes:

(1) Social Exchange Theory, and (2) Toxic Triangle Theory.

### *1. Social Exchange Theory (SET):*

Proposed by Blau (1964), this theory emphasizes that social relationships are based on reciprocal exchanges between leaders and followers. When leaders treat their subordinates with respect, fairness, and support, employees reciprocate through loyalty, motivation, and commitment. However, in toxic environments, leaders violate this exchange by displaying hostility and manipulation. This causes employees to withdraw emotionally and cognitively, leading to disengagement, low morale, and reduced productivity.

### *2. The Toxic Triangle Theory:*

Introduced by Padilla, Hogan, and Kaiser (2007), the toxic triangle explains how destructive leadership arises from three interacting components:

(1) toxic leaders, (2) susceptible followers, and (3) conducive environments.

Toxic leaders possess destructive traits such as narcissism and authoritarianism; susceptible followers enable their behavior either out of fear or conformity; and conducive environments — often characterized by poor accountability and pressure for results — allow toxicity to thrive.

These theories jointly explain how toxic leadership behaviors can reshape organizational culture and affect employee behavior. They provide a conceptual foundation for analyzing cause-and-effect relationships in this study.

## ***11. Conceptual Framework***

Based on the theories discussed, this study develops a conceptual framework to explain the relationship between toxic leadership, organizational culture, and employee behavior within corporate organizations.

The framework suggests that toxic leadership (independent variable) directly influences both organizational culture and employee behavior (dependent variables). A toxic leader creates a work environment characterized by fear, mistrust, and low morale. Over time, these negative cultural elements affect how employees think, feel, and act within the organization.

When toxic behaviors such as manipulation, favoritism, and emotional abuse become part of daily interactions, they distort communication patterns and weaken shared values. This leads employees to disengage, reduce effort, or exhibit counterproductive behaviors such as absenteeism and resistance to collaboration.

The framework also assumes a mediating relationship, where organizational culture acts as a bridge between toxic leadership and employee behavior. In other words, toxic leadership changes the organization's culture first, which then influences how employees behave.

## ***12. Conceptual Framework Diagram (Description)***

Although not shown graphically here, the conceptual model can be described as follows:

Toxic Leadership → Organizational Culture → Employee Behavior

- Independent Variable: Toxic Leadership
- Mediating Variable: Organizational Culture
- Dependent Variable: Employee Behavior

This conceptual model will guide data collection, analysis, and interpretation in later stages of the research. It clarifies the expected pathways through which toxic leadership impacts employee attitudes and actions within an organizational setting.

## ***13. Review of Related Literature***

The relationship between leadership and organizational outcomes has been one of the most explored topics in management and behavioral science. However, while the majority of studies emphasize the benefits of positive leadership, there has been a growing interest in

understanding the dark side of leadership, particularly toxic leadership and its consequences for organizations.

#### ***14. Global Perspectives***

Globally, research on toxic leadership has identified it as a major contributor to employee dissatisfaction, stress, and turnover. According to Schmidt (2014), toxic leadership behaviors such as arrogance, unpredictability, and narcissism significantly reduce job satisfaction and increase psychological strain. Similarly, Mehta and Maheshwari (2013) found that toxic leaders undermine organizational culture by promoting fear and competition instead of trust and collaboration.

A study by Pelletier (2010) highlighted that toxic leaders manipulate subordinates and organizational systems for personal gain, often masking their actions under the guise of performance excellence. This manipulative behavior leads to a toxic climate where employees feel undervalued and disempowered, which directly affects their motivation and engagement.

Padilla, Hogan, and Kaiser (2007) also developed the Toxic Triangle Model, emphasizing that toxic leadership does not exist in isolation but rather emerges through the interaction of destructive leaders, vulnerable followers, and conducive environments. This framework has since become one of the key theoretical foundations for analyzing toxic leadership dynamics in organizations worldwide.

#### ***15. Regional Studies (Middle East Context)***

Within the Middle Eastern context, toxic leadership has been linked to hierarchical management cultures and limited employee voice. Al-Khatib (2018) observed that leaders in high power-distance environments tend to exhibit more authoritarian behaviors, which can foster toxicity when accountability mechanisms are weak. Similarly, Al-Harbi and Al-Zahrani (2021) found that employees in Gulf-based organizations often tolerate abusive supervision due to social norms and economic dependence, creating a cycle where toxic behaviors persist unchallenged.

These studies indicate that regional cultural factors — such as respect for authority and avoidance of conflict — may amplify the effects of toxic leadership and make employees more susceptible to negative workplace experiences.

## ***16. Local Studies***

In the Saudi Arabian context, research on leadership has traditionally focused on transformational and transactional leadership styles, while the concept of toxic leadership remains relatively underexplored. However, a few recent studies have begun to shed light on its existence and impact.

For example, Al-Mutairi (2020) investigated the relationship between leadership behavior and employee commitment in Saudi corporate organizations. The study found that authoritarian and manipulative leadership styles led to higher turnover intentions and lower morale among employees. Similarly, Al-Qahtani (2022) examined workplace stress in service sectors and reported that toxic managerial practices such as favoritism, excessive monitoring, and lack of recognition were major predictors of burnout and disengagement.

Another study by Al-Shehri (2023) emphasized that employees exposed to toxic supervision often experience reduced job satisfaction and organizational loyalty. The research also highlighted that many organizations in the Kingdom lack formal mechanisms to identify and manage toxic leadership, especially when such leaders deliver short-term performance gains that mask long-term harm.

These local findings collectively indicate that toxic leadership has tangible and measurable effects on employee behavior, job satisfaction, and the broader workplace culture in Saudi organizations. Yet, the phenomenon remains insufficiently studied, especially in the private corporate sector where competition and performance pressure are high.

## ***17. Research Gap Identification***

Although numerous studies have explored leadership styles and their influence on organizational outcomes, there is still a limited understanding of how toxic leadership specifically affects organizational culture and employee behavior within corporate organizations in the Middle East and particularly in Saudi Arabia.

Most existing literature is descriptive and lacks empirical data linking toxic leadership to measurable outcomes such as engagement, turnover, and performance. Additionally, few

studies have examined how cultural norms — such as power distance and social conformity — mediate the relationship between leadership toxicity and workplace culture.

Therefore, this study fills the gap by conducting an applied investigation of toxic leadership and its consequences for both organizational culture and employee behavior in Saudi corporate settings. By doing so, it contributes both theoretically and practically to leadership studies and provides actionable insights for corporate governance and human resources management.

### ***18. Research Methodology***

The research methodology outlines the systematic approach that will be used to achieve the objectives of this study. It explains the research design, population, sampling techniques, data collection tools, and methods of analysis. This structured approach ensures that the findings are valid, reliable, and relevant to the research questions.

### ***19. Research Design***

This study will adopt a quantitative descriptive research design.

A descriptive design is appropriate because it allows for the collection and analysis of data that describe the current situation regarding toxic leadership and its influence on organizational culture and employee behavior. Quantitative methods are selected to provide measurable, objective, and generalizable findings that can be applied to similar organizational contexts.

Through structured data collection (e.g., questionnaires), the study will assess perceptions of employees and their experiences under various leadership styles, identifying patterns that reveal how toxicity manifests and impacts organizational dynamics.

### ***20. Population of the Study***

The target population for this research will consist of employees working in corporate organizations in Saudi Arabia, specifically within the private service and industrial sectors. These organizations are chosen because they typically operate under hierarchical management structures where leadership behavior directly influences work culture and employee engagement.

The population includes employees across multiple levels — from entry-level staff to middle managers — ensuring that the study captures diverse perspectives on leadership behaviors and workplace experiences.

### ***21. Sampling Technique***

A stratified random sampling technique will be employed to ensure adequate representation from different departments, job levels, and genders within each selected organization. Stratified sampling helps achieve a balanced dataset by minimizing sampling bias and increasing the precision of results.

The anticipated sample size will range between 150 to 200 participants, which is statistically sufficient for conducting reliable quantitative analysis using descriptive and inferential statistics.

### ***22. Data Collection Methods***

This study will primarily utilize quantitative data collection methods, relying on structured questionnaires distributed to employees in selected corporate organizations. The questionnaire will be designed to gather information on employees' perceptions of leadership behaviors, organizational culture, and their personal work attitudes.

The use of a questionnaire is appropriate because it allows for the collection of standardized data from a large sample within a relatively short period. It also ensures anonymity, which encourages honest responses—particularly important when addressing sensitive issues such as toxic leadership.

Data will be collected electronically using Google Forms and physically distributed to employees who have limited access to digital platforms. This dual method ensures broader participation and higher response accuracy. The responses will then be compiled, coded, and entered into a statistical software package (SPSS) for analysis.

The questionnaire will consist of four main sections:

Demographic information (age, gender, job position, years of experience).

Leadership behavior assessment (identifying toxic leadership traits).

Organizational culture evaluation (shared values, communication, teamwork).

Employee behavior and performance indicators.

### ***23. Research Instrumentation***

The main research instrument for this study will be a structured questionnaire consisting of close-ended questions rated on a five-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree). This format allows respondents to express the degree of their agreement or disagreement with each statement and facilitates quantitative analysis.

The questionnaire will be developed based on validated instruments from prior research on leadership and organizational behavior. Adjustments will be made to fit the Saudi corporate context and ensure cultural relevance. To validate the instrument, a pilot study involving 15–20 participants will be conducted to assess reliability and clarity of questions. Cronbach's Alpha will be used to determine internal consistency, with a reliability coefficient of 0.7 or above considered acceptable.

Additionally, expert review from two academic supervisors will be sought to ensure that the instrument accurately captures the constructs of toxic leadership, organizational culture, and employee behavior.

### ***24. Research Procedure***

The research will be conducted in several systematic stages to ensure accuracy and reliability of results. The procedure is outlined as follows:

#### **1. Planning Stage:**

The researcher will obtain approval from the university and selected organizations to conduct the study. Ethical guidelines will be reviewed, and permission will be secured to distribute the survey among employees.

#### **2. Instrument Development:**

The questionnaire will be adapted from established scales related to toxic leadership, organizational culture, and employee behavior. The draft will be refined based on feedback from academic experts.

#### **3. Pilot Testing:**

A pilot test involving 15–20 employees will be carried out to ensure clarity and reliability of the questions. Feedback from the pilot study will be incorporated to finalize the instrument.

#### 4. Data Collection:

Questionnaires will be distributed both physically and electronically over a period of two weeks. Respondents will be assured of anonymity and confidentiality.

#### 5. *Data Organization:*

The collected responses will be coded and entered into the SPSS software for statistical analysis. Data cleaning procedures will be applied to eliminate incomplete or invalid responses.

#### 6. Data Analysis and Interpretation:

Descriptive and inferential statistical analyses will be performed to test the relationships between toxic leadership, organizational culture, and employee behavior.

#### 7. Reporting:

The final stage will include writing and presenting the research findings in accordance with academic standards and university requirements.

### **25. *Data Analysis Methods***

The collected data will be analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, and frequency will be used to summarize demographic data and participants' responses.

To test the study's hypotheses and relationships between variables, correlation analysis and regression analysis will be conducted. Correlation analysis will determine the strength and direction of the relationship between toxic leadership and organizational outcomes, while regression analysis will assess the predictive impact of toxic leadership on both organizational culture and employee behavior.

Inferential statistics will also help validate whether the observed patterns are statistically significant. The results will be presented in tables and figures for clarity, followed by interpretation and discussion in later chapters of the final project.

### **## Research Limitations**

Like any empirical research, this study has certain limitations that must be acknowledged to maintain academic transparency.

First, the study focuses exclusively on employees within selected corporate organizations in Saudi Arabia. Therefore, the results may not be fully generalizable to public sector institutions or organizations in different cultural contexts.

Second, the data are based on self-reported perceptions collected through questionnaires. Although anonymity will be assured, respondents may provide socially desirable answers or underreport negative experiences related to leadership.

Third, the study uses a quantitative design, which allows for statistical analysis but may not capture the full depth of personal experiences or emotional effects of toxic leadership. Future research could complement these findings through qualitative interviews or mixed-method approaches to gain a more comprehensive understanding.

Finally, time constraints may limit the ability to collect data from a wider range of industries. However, every effort will be made to ensure that the sample adequately represents employees from different job levels and sectors within the corporate environment.

## ***26. Scope of the Study***

The scope of this research is confined to examining the impact of toxic leadership on organizational culture and employee behavior in private corporate organizations in Saudi Arabia.

The study will focus specifically on identifying how toxic leadership behaviors — such as manipulation, micromanagement, and emotional abuse — influence employees' engagement, satisfaction, and performance. It also aims to analyze how these behaviors alter the broader cultural values of the organization, including trust, communication, and collaboration.

Geographically, the study will focus on corporate organizations located in major Saudi cities such as Jeddah, Riyadh, and Dammam. The population will include employees from various departments to ensure that the collected data reflect a comprehensive view of the organizational climate.

The findings of this study are expected to provide valuable insights for corporate leaders, HR departments, and policymakers seeking to improve leadership development and workplace well-being across the Kingdom.

## ***27. Ethical Considerations***

Ethical integrity is a fundamental aspect of this research. All procedures will be conducted in strict accordance with academic and institutional ethical standards to protect participants' rights, dignity, and privacy.

Before the data collection phase, approval will be sought from the research ethics committee of Midocean University. Informed consent will be obtained from all participants, clearly explaining the purpose of the study, the voluntary nature of participation, and their right to withdraw at any time without any consequence.

Confidentiality will be maintained by ensuring that no personal identifiers such as names, job titles, or company details are recorded. The data collected will be used strictly for academic purposes and will not be shared with any third party.

All digital responses will be stored securely on password-protected devices, and any printed materials will be kept in a locked location accessible only to the researcher. After the completion of the study, all data will be permanently deleted or destroyed to maintain confidentiality and compliance with ethical research standards.

## ***28. Reliability and Validity***

To ensure the reliability and validity of the data collected, multiple procedures will be employed throughout the research process.

*Reliability* refers to the consistency and stability of the measurement tool over time. A pilot test will be conducted with 15–20 participants to evaluate the questionnaire's clarity and consistency. The internal reliability of the instrument will be tested using *Cronbach's Alpha*, where a coefficient of 0.7 or higher will be considered acceptable.

*Validity* refers to how accurately the instrument measures the intended constructs — toxic leadership, organizational culture, and employee behavior. To ensure *content validity*, the questionnaire will be reviewed by academic experts and field professionals to confirm that each item aligns with the research objectives.

Construct validity will be tested through factor analysis to verify that the questionnaire items cluster appropriately around their respective variables. By ensuring both reliability and validity, the study guarantees that its results are scientifically sound and can be confidently used to inform organizational decision-making.

### ***29. Expected Results***

The expected results of this study are anticipated to demonstrate a strong relationship between toxic leadership, organizational culture, and employee behavior. It is expected that employees who work under toxic leaders will report lower job satisfaction, decreased motivation, and weaker engagement levels compared to those working under supportive and ethical leaders.

It is also anticipated that toxic leadership will negatively affect the organizational culture by fostering distrust, fear, and limited communication. These cultural shifts are likely to result in decreased collaboration, resistance to innovation, and increased turnover intentions.

Furthermore, the findings are expected to confirm that organizational culture acts as a mediating variable between toxic leadership and employee behavior. Specifically, when toxic leadership deteriorates the organization's culture, it consequently triggers counterproductive employee behaviors such as absenteeism, disengagement, and lack of initiative.

In summary, the expected outcome is that toxic leadership harms both the organization and its employees by damaging the cultural foundation and reducing the overall effectiveness of the workforce.

### ***30. Discussion of Anticipated Findings***

Based on the theoretical and empirical foundations discussed, this study expects to find that toxic leadership has a significant and negative effect on both organizational culture and employee behavior.

The anticipated findings align with Social Exchange Theory, which suggests that when employees perceive unfair or abusive treatment from their leaders, they reciprocate through

lower levels of loyalty and effort. Similarly, the Toxic Triangle Theory supports the expectation that destructive leaders thrive in environments that enable fear and compliance rather than openness and accountability.

It is also expected that the findings will emphasize the importance of leadership development programs that integrate emotional intelligence, ethical training, and accountability mechanisms. Organizations that fail to address toxic behaviors are likely to experience long-term cultural deterioration and reduced competitiveness.

By highlighting these relationships, the study aims to contribute meaningful insights to leadership and organizational behavior research while offering practical solutions for building healthier and more sustainable workplaces.

### ***31. Practical Implications***

The findings of this study are expected to have significant implications for organizations, leadership practitioners, and policymakers in Saudi Arabia. Understanding how toxic leadership influences organizational culture and employee behavior will help corporate leaders recognize and address harmful managerial patterns before they escalate.

Human resource departments can use the study's results to develop more effective leadership assessment tools, performance evaluations, and training programs that emphasize ethical leadership and emotional intelligence. By implementing proactive interventions, organizations can build a healthier work culture, enhance employee satisfaction, and improve overall productivity.

Furthermore, the results may assist policymakers in promoting national leadership development frameworks that align with Vision 2030's emphasis on quality, governance, and sustainable human capital growth.

### ***32. Recommendations***

Based on the expected findings of this study, several recommendations are proposed to enhance leadership effectiveness, promote a positive organizational culture, and improve employee behavior within corporate organizations in Saudi Arabia. These recommendations are directed toward three key stakeholders: organizational leaders, human resource departments, and policymakers.

### ***1. Leadership Development and Accountability***

Organizations should invest in continuous leadership development programs that emphasize emotional intelligence, ethical conduct, and responsible decision-making. Training initiatives must go beyond technical skills and focus on personal awareness, empathy, and communication. Leaders should be periodically evaluated using 360-degree feedback systems to ensure accountability and identify early signs of toxic behavior.

Furthermore, leadership performance should not be measured solely by short-term financial results but also by indicators related to employee well-being, engagement, and retention.

Including such metrics in annual evaluations would encourage leaders to adopt more people-centered management approaches.

### ***2. Strengthening Organizational Culture***

Corporate organizations must establish a culture that discourages toxic behaviors and supports psychological safety. This can be achieved by promoting transparency, open communication, and mutual respect between employees and supervisors. Senior management should model ethical behavior and clearly communicate zero tolerance for harassment, favoritism, or intimidation.

To reinforce cultural alignment, regular workshops, town hall meetings, and feedback sessions should be conducted to allow employees to voice their concerns. By embedding ethical values and trust into daily operations, organizations can sustain a strong culture that resists the spread of toxicity.

### ***3. Human Resource Policies and Early Detection Mechanisms***

Human resource departments play a critical role in identifying and mitigating toxic leadership. It is recommended that HR teams incorporate behavioral indicators into recruitment, performance appraisals, and promotion processes. Psychological safety metrics and anonymous employee surveys should be used regularly to detect early warning signs of leadership-related issues.

Additionally, HR should develop confidential reporting mechanisms that allow employees to express concerns without fear of retaliation. Establishing independent grievance committees or ethics boards will ensure fairness and transparency when addressing complaints about managerial misconduct.

#### ***4. Employee Empowerment and Resilience Building***

Employees should be empowered through capacity-building programs that enhance resilience, assertiveness, and self-confidence. Training on conflict resolution and emotional intelligence can equip employees with tools to manage toxic interactions more effectively. Encouraging employees to participate in decision-making processes also helps balance power dynamics and reduces the likelihood of leadership abuse.

Mentorship programs, peer-support groups, and employee assistance initiatives can further strengthen morale and provide emotional and psychological support to those affected by toxic behaviors.

#### ***5. Policy Frameworks and National Standards***

At the macro level, policymakers and professional associations should establish national frameworks for ethical leadership and organizational well-being. The Ministry of Human Resources and Social Development could collaborate with private sector institutions to define clear standards and penalties for workplace misconduct.

Developing a national leadership excellence model—aligned with Saudi Vision 2030—would institutionalize values such as transparency, fairness, and accountability. Public recognition programs for ethical leadership could also incentivize organizations to maintain healthier work environments.

#### ***6. Future Research Directions***

To deepen understanding of this phenomenon, future studies should explore toxic leadership using mixed-method approaches that combine quantitative analysis with qualitative insights. Longitudinal studies could examine the long-term consequences of toxic leadership and how interventions impact organizational recovery. Comparative research between public and private sectors would also provide a broader understanding of cultural and structural differences influencing leadership behaviors.

In conclusion, these recommendations emphasize the need for a holistic approach to leadership and organizational development. By combining ethical training, cultural transformation, and institutional accountability, Saudi corporate organizations can minimize

the impact of toxic leadership, enhance employee engagement, and promote sustainable organizational growth.

### **33. Conclusion**

This research proposal examined the influence of toxic leadership on organizational culture and employee behavior within corporate organizations in Saudi Arabia. Drawing on Social Exchange Theory and the Toxic Triangle Model, the study highlights the negative chain reaction that occurs when destructive leadership behaviors infiltrate workplace culture, leading to decreased morale, reduced productivity, and increased turnover intentions.

The proposed quantitative approach will provide empirical data that link toxic leadership traits with cultural degradation and negative employee outcomes. The research emphasizes the importance of fostering ethical, transparent, and emotionally intelligent leadership to sustain organizational growth.

Ultimately, this study aims to contribute both theoretical and practical insights to the field of leadership and organizational behavior by offering evidence-based recommendations that help organizations identify, mitigate, and prevent toxic leadership practices.

### **34. References**

Al-Harbi, M., & Al-Zahrani, F. (2021). *Workplace stress and leadership behavior in Gulf organizations*. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 12(3), 88-102.

Al-Khatib, H. (2018). *Cultural influence on authoritarian leadership styles in the Middle East*. International Review of Management, 9(2), 45-59.

Al-Mutairi, S. (2020). *Leadership behavior and employee commitment in Saudi private organizations*. Arabian Journal of Human Resource Development, 15(1), 54-72.

Al-Qahtani, R. (2022). *Toxic managerial practices and burnout among service sector employees in Saudi Arabia*. Middle East Management Review, 7(4), 31-49.

Al-Shehri, N. (2023). *Supervisory toxicity and employee satisfaction: Evidence from the Saudi corporate sector*. Journal of Organizational Research, 11(2), 22-38.

Blau, P. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: Wiley.

Mehta, S., & Maheshwari, G. (2013). *Consequences of toxic leadership on employee engagement and trust*. International Journal of Leadership Studies, 8(1), 23-35.

Padilla, A., Hogan, R., & Kaiser, R. (2007). *The toxic triangle: Destructive leaders, susceptible followers, and conducive environments*. The Leadership Quarterly, 18(3), 176-194.

Pelletier, K. L. (2010). *Leader toxicity: An empirical study of dysfunctional leadership behaviors and their organizational impact*. Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 31(4), 310-326.

Schmidt, A. A. (2014). *An examination of toxic leadership, job outcomes, and the impact of contextual factors*. (Doctoral dissertation). University of Maryland, College Park.