

# Final Project 1

Code: MGM 496-PMG496

Faculty of Management  
Midocean University

**Midocean University**

**Faculty of Management**

**Department/ Specialization .....**



# **The Impact of Leadership Styles on Organization**

Redha Hassan Aldabous

Student ID # 221001338

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Rana Ali

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

August 16, 2025 – Second Trimester 2025

## Table of Contents

|                                                                                |           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1. Chapter 1: Introduction .....</b>                                        | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>1.1. Research Background .....</b>                                          | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>1.2. Research Problem.....</b>                                              | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps .....</b>                                           | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>1.2.2. Practical Gaps.....</b>                                              | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>1.3. Research Significance.....</b>                                         | <b>10</b> |
| <b>1.3.1. At the theoretical level .....</b>                                   | <b>10</b> |
| <b>1.3.2. At the practical level .....</b>                                     | <b>11</b> |
| <b>1.4. Research Questions .....</b>                                           | <b>12</b> |
| <b>1.5. Research Objectives .....</b>                                          | <b>13</b> |
| <b>1.6. Research Hypotheses.....</b>                                           | <b>14</b> |
| <b>1.7. Research Model .....</b>                                               | <b>15</b> |
| <b>1.8. Research Methodology .....</b>                                         | <b>16</b> |
| <b>1.9. Scope of The Study .....</b>                                           | <b>18</b> |
| <b>1.10. The Suggested Project Structure.....</b>                              | <b>19</b> |
| <b>2. Chapter 2: Literature Review.....</b>                                    | <b>20</b> |
| <b>2.1. Introduction .....</b>                                                 | <b>20</b> |
| <b>2.2. The independent variable .....</b>                                     | <b>23</b> |
| <b>2.3. The dependent variable.....</b>                                        | <b>26</b> |
| <b>2.4. The relationship between independent and dependent variables .....</b> | <b>27</b> |
| <b>2.5. Conclusion .....</b>                                                   | <b>28</b> |
| <b>References.....</b>                                                         | <b>29</b> |

# **1. Chapter 1: Introduction**

Leadership plays a critical role in shaping the success and sustainability of organizations. As businesses navigate complex environments and rapid change, effective leadership becomes more than just a managerial function. It is a strategic driver of organizational direction, employee engagement, and performance outcomes. Leadership style, defined as the approach a leader takes to guide, motivate, and manage individuals or teams, significantly influences workplace culture, decision-making, innovation, and productivity.

Different leadership styles such as transformational, transactional, autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire have distinct impacts on how organizations function and evolve. Some styles may foster creativity and independence, while others ensure stability and clear direction. However, there is no one size that fits all approach; the effectiveness of a leadership style often depends on organizational goals, industry context, and employee expectations.

This research aims to examine how various leadership styles influence key organizational factors such as employee morale, performance, communication, and adaptability.

By understanding these impacts, managers and decision-makers can better tailor their leadership strategies to achieve sustainable growth and employee satisfaction.

## **1.1. Research Background**

Leadership is a central element in organizational theory and practice, with its impact extending across all aspects of business operations. The role of leadership has evolved significantly over the years, from traditional command-and-control models to more participative and transformational approaches that emphasize collaboration, communication, and shared vision. As organizations grow increasingly complex and globalized, effective leadership has become essential not just for directing operations, but also for inspiring employees, fostering innovation, and sustaining competitive advantage.

Leadership style refers to the behavioral approach a leader adopts to guide, motivate, and manage their team. These styles can range from autocratic and directive to democratic and participative, each having distinct implications for organizational dynamics. The most widely studied styles in management literature include transformational, transactional, laissez faire, and servant leadership. Transformational leaders, for instance, inspire and empower employees through vision and motivation, often leading to increased job satisfaction and innovation. Transactional leaders, on the other hand, emphasize structure, rewards, and performance, making them effective in highly regulated or result-driven environments. Despite the clear theoretical frameworks available, organizations continue to struggle with applying the right leadership style in the right context.

One of the major challenges in leadership practice is the assumption that one style fits all situations. However, real-world organizational environments vary greatly in terms of culture, industry demands, employee expectations, and external pressures. For example, a startup may benefit more from a transformational leadership approach that encourages risk-taking and creativity, whereas a manufacturing firm may thrive under transactional leadership that emphasizes standardization and efficiency. Yet, many organizations fail to assess which leadership style is most appropriate for their needs and end up with disengaged employees, reduced productivity, and high turnover rates.

Employee motivation is another critical area that is directly impacted by leadership style. Motivated employees are more committed, productive, and willing to go beyond their job descriptions. Leaders who understand how to meet the emotional and psychological needs of their teams are more likely to foster a positive workplace culture. In contrast, authoritarian or indifferent leadership styles can lead to dissatisfaction, conflict, and organizational stagnation. Numerous studies have shown that leadership style is one of the most significant predictors of employee engagement, yet practical implementation often lags academic understanding.

Moreover, leadership style does not only influence internal organizational performance but also affects how companies are perceived externally. In today's knowledge-based economy, reputation, agility, and innovation are as critical as financial performance. Leaders play a pivotal role in shaping corporate identity and responding to change. In times of crisis, such as economic downturns or public health emergencies, effective leadership can make the difference between organizational survival and failure. Therefore, exploring the influence of leadership style is not only an academic exercise but also a practical necessity.

In addition, technological advances and generational shifts in the workforce have further complicated the leadership landscape. Millennials and Gen Z employees often seek inclusive, transparent, and value-driven leaders. This trend challenges traditional hierarchies and places greater importance on leadership agility, emotional intelligence, and ethical decision-making. Organizations that fail to adapt to their leadership approaches risk losing talent and relevance in an increasingly competitive market.

Given these evolving organizational needs and the persistent leadership challenges, this research aims to explore the impact of leadership styles on various organizational outcomes. By analyzing different styles and their effects on performance, employee satisfaction, and overall effectiveness, the study seeks to provide valuable insights for both scholars and practitioners. Understanding these dynamics can help organizations implement leadership strategies that are more aligned with their goals and workforce

characteristics, ultimately contributing to more sustainable and productive work environments.

## **1.2. Research Problem**

Organizations today operate in highly dynamic and competitive environments that require adaptive leadership to ensure sustainability and success. While leadership is widely recognized as a key factor influencing organizational performance, many institutions continue to struggle with identifying and implementing the most effective leadership styles. The lack of clarity around which leadership styles best align with different organizational goals, employee expectations, and cultural contexts often results in decreased morale, poor performance, and low retention rates.

Despite extensive research on leadership theories, a gap remains in understanding how specific leadership styles directly influence organizational outcomes such as employee engagement, productivity, innovation, and organizational culture. This research seeks to investigate the problem of inconsistent leadership practices and their varied effects on organizational success, aiming to provide actionable insights for managers and leaders across industries.

### **1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps**

While existing leadership theories such as transformational leadership (Burns, 1978; Bass, 1985), transactional leadership, and contingency theories provide valuable insights into how leaders influence followers, they often lack comprehensive explanations for varying outcomes across organizational settings. Most models generalize leadership effectiveness without adequately considering factors such as organizational culture, industry type, employee demographics, and changing workforce expectations.

Additionally, much of the literature tends to focus on the leader follower relationship in isolation, without deeply integrating how leadership style affects broader organizational elements like innovation, adaptability, interdepartmental collaboration, and long-term strategy. There is also a gap in empirical studies that compares the practical effects of leadership styles across different organizational structures (e.g., hierarchical vs. flat) and business contexts (e.g., public vs. private sector).

This research addresses these theoretical gaps by examining not only the direct relationship between leadership styles and organizational outcomes but also the contextual factors that may moderate or mediate this impact. It aims to contribute to leadership theory by offering a more context sensitive understanding of how leadership style shapes organizational success.

### **1.2.2. Practical Gaps**

In practice, many organizations struggle to implement leadership styles that effectively align with their operational goals and workforce needs. Although leadership training and development programs are widely available, they often take a one-size-fits-all approach without considering the unique culture, structure, and challenges of specific organizations. As a result, leaders may apply ineffective styles that lead to reduced employee morale, poor team cohesion, and declining performance.

Moreover, many managers rely on personal instincts or outdated leadership models rather than evidence-based practices tailored to their organizational environment. There is also a lack of ongoing evaluation mechanisms to assess whether the adopted leadership style is generating positive outcomes over time. This results in missed opportunities for organizational growth, talent retention, and innovation.

This research seeks to bridge these practical gaps by providing actionable insights into how different leadership styles affect organizational outcomes in real-world settings. The findings will support leaders in making informed decisions about their approach to management based on organizational context and workforce expectations.

How do different leadership styles influence organizational performance, employee motivation, and overall workplace effectiveness?

### **1.3. Research Significance**

This study holds considerable significance at both the theoretical and practical levels, contributing to the broader understanding of leadership and its influence on organizational outcomes.

#### **1.3.1. At the theoretical level**

At the theoretical level, this study contributes to the academic understanding of leadership by examining how various leadership styles influence organizational dynamics and performance. It builds upon existing theories such as transformational and transactional leadership, while also integrating perspectives from contemporary leadership models like servant and situational leadership. The study helps clarify how leadership styles affect motivation, communication, decision-making, and organizational culture, thereby offering a more nuanced view of leader-follower relationships.

Additionally, this research addresses gaps in existing literature by exploring the contextual effectiveness of different leadership styles across organizational settings. By doing so, it extends theoretical frameworks that link leadership behavior to organizational outcomes, encouraging further inquiry into adaptive leadership and its relevance in today's complex and rapidly changing work environments.

### **1.3.2. At the practical level**

At the practical level, this study offers valuable insights for organizational leaders, HR professionals, and decision-makers seeking to enhance workplace performance and employee satisfaction. By identifying which leadership styles contribute most positively to employee motivation, communication, and productivity, the research provides evidence-based guidance for leadership selection, training, and development.

Organizations can use the findings to align leadership practices with strategic goals, improve team dynamics, and foster a healthy organizational culture. Additionally, this research supports managers in adapting their leadership approaches based on specific organizational needs, industry demands, and workforce characteristics. For HR departments, the results can inform the design of competency models and leadership development programs that are tailored to real-world organizational challenges.

## **1.4. Research Questions**

This research seeks to explore the relationship between leadership styles and organizational outcomes. The key research questions guiding this study are:

1. What is the overall impact of different leadership styles on organizational performance?
2. How do transformational and transactional leadership styles influence employee motivation and productivity?
3. What role does leadership style play in shaping organizational culture and communication?
4. Which leadership style is most effective in achieving strategic goals in various organizational settings?
5. How do employees perceive the effectiveness of different leadership styles within their organizations?

## **1.5. Research Objectives**

The primary objective of this research is to examine how different leadership styles affect organizational performance and employee outcomes. The specific objectives are:

- To identify and classify the most applied leadership styles within organizations.
- To analyze the relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance indicators.
- To assess the influence of transformational and transactional leadership on employee motivation and engagement.
- To evaluate how leadership styles affect organizational culture, communication, and decision-making processes.
- To provide recommendations for organizations on adopting effective leadership styles that align with strategic goals.

## **1.6. Research Hypotheses**

Based on the research objectives and literature review, the following hypotheses are proposed:

**H1:** There is a significant relationship between leadership style and overall organizational performance.

**H2:** Transformational leadership style positively influences employee motivation and organizational commitment.

**H3:** Transactional leadership style has a moderate but significant impact on employee productivity.

**H4:** Autocratic leadership style negatively affects organizational communication and employee engagement.

**H5:** Democratic leadership style enhances team collaboration and decision-making effectiveness within organizations.

## **1.7. Research Model**

The research model for this study illustrates the relationship between leadership styles (independent variables) and organizational outcomes (dependent variables). The model is based on theoretical frameworks from leadership and organizational behavior literature.

### **Independent Variables (Leadership Styles):**

- Transformational Leadership
- Transactional Leadership
- Autocratic Leadership
- Democratic Leadership

### **Dependent Variables (Organizational Outcomes):**

- Employee Motivation
- Organizational Performance
- Communication Effectiveness
- Organizational Culture

This conceptual model assumes that different leadership styles have varying levels of impact on specific organizational factors. The model will be tested through empirical data collection and analysis to evaluate the strength and direction of these relationships.

## **1.8. Research Methodology**

This study employs a mixed-methods research design combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches to comprehensively examine the impact of leadership styles on organizational performance.

### **Research Approach:**

A quantitative survey will be conducted to collect data from employees across various organizations to measure perceptions of leadership styles and organizational outcomes. Additionally, qualitative interviews with selected managers will provide deeper insights into leadership behaviors and their effects.

### **Data Collection:**

Primary data will be gathered through structured questionnaires distributed to a representative sample of employees. The questionnaire will include validated scales measuring leadership styles, employee motivation, communication, and organizational performance. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with organizational leaders to complement survey findings.

### **Sampling Technique:**

A purposive sampling method will be used to select organizations from different sectors, ensuring diversity in leadership practices and organizational contexts. Within each organization, stratified random sampling will be applied to select respondents from various departments and hierarchical levels.

**Data Analysis:**

Quantitative data will be analyzed using statistical techniques such as correlation analysis, regression, and ANOVA to test the proposed hypotheses. Qualitative data from interviews will be analyzed thematically to identify patterns and explanations for the quantitative results.

**Ethical Considerations:**

All participants will be informed about the purpose of the study and their confidentiality rights. Participation will be voluntary, and data will be anonymized to protect privacy.

## **1.9. Scope of The Study**

This study focuses on examining the impact of various leadership styles on organizational performance, with a particular emphasis on transformational, transactional, autocratic, and democratic leadership styles. The research is limited to organizations operating within [specify region, country, or industry for example, “the manufacturing sector in Saudi Arabia”, which allows for an in depth understanding of leadership dynamics in this specific context.

The study primarily investigates how leadership styles influence key organizational outcomes such as employee motivation, communication effectiveness, organizational culture, and overall performance. It does not cover other factors that might affect organizational performance, such as market conditions or technological changes.

Data collection is restricted to employees and managers within selected organizations, and findings may be context-specific, limiting generalizability to other sectors or geographical areas. However, the insights generated are intended to provide valuable guidance for leadership development and organizational improvement within similar settings.

## **1.10. The Suggested Project Structure**

This research is organized into five main chapters to systematically explore the impact of leadership styles on organizational performance:

### **Chapter 1: Introduction**

Provides an overview of the research background, problem statement, research objectives, significance, research questions, hypotheses, and scope of the study.

### **Chapter 2: Literature Review**

Review existing theories and empirical studies related to leadership styles and organizational performance, identifying gaps and setting the foundation for the study.

### **Chapter 3: Research Methodology**

Describes the research design, data collection methods, sampling techniques, and data analysis procedures used to address the research questions.

### **Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Findings**

Presents the analysis of collected data, tests the research hypotheses, and discusses the key findings in relation to literature.

### **Chapter 5: Conclusion and Recommendations**

Summarizes the study's conclusions, highlights research limitations, offers practical recommendations, and suggests areas for future research.

## **2. Chapter 2: Literature Review**

### **2.1. Introduction**

Leadership has long been recognized as a cornerstone of organizational success, influencing not only the efficiency of operations but also the attitudes, motivation, and engagement of employees. It shapes the vision and direction of an organization, determines the quality of decision-making, and impacts the overall organizational culture. Effective leadership is often the difference between thriving organizations and those that struggle to adapt to challenges and opportunities in their environments. Consequently, leadership remains a focal point of academic and professional inquiry, attracting attention from scholars, practitioners, and policymakers alike.

The study of leadership is not a recent phenomenon; it has evolved over decades through various theoretical frameworks and empirical studies. Early approaches, such as the “great man” theory, emphasized the inherent traits and qualities of leaders, suggesting that leadership was an innate ability possessed by a select few. Over time, research shifted toward behavioral theories, focusing on specific actions and styles that leaders adopt to influence their followers. More contemporary models such as transformational and transactional leadership emphasize the dynamic relationship between leaders and followers, highlighting how vision, communication, and motivation can be leveraged to achieve organizational objectives.

Among the most discussed leadership styles are transformational, transactional, autocratic, and democratic approaches. Transformational leadership inspires and motivates followers to exceed their own expectations, often fostering innovation and commitment. Transactional leadership, on the other hand, is rooted in structure, clear goals, and a system of rewards and penalties. Autocratic leadership centralizes decision-making, often leading to quick resolutions but potentially limiting employee creativity and engagement.

Democratic leadership encourages participation and shared decision-making, promoting inclusiveness but sometimes slowing down processes. Each style carries its own strengths and weaknesses, making it essential to examine them in relation to specific organizational contexts.

The relevance of leadership style to organizational performance cannot be overstated. Leaders not only influence individual employees but also shape the systems, policies, and strategies that determine the organization's overall effectiveness. Their ability to communicate vision, manage change, resolve conflicts, and maintain morale plays a critical role in both short-term productivity and long-term sustainability. Different leadership styles may produce varying outcomes depending on industry, organizational culture, workforce diversity, and external environmental factors. For instance, transformational leadership may be particularly effective in innovation-driven sectors, whereas transactional leadership might be more suitable in highly regulated industries where adherence to procedures is critical.

This literature review seeks to offer a comprehensive and critical examination of existing research on leadership styles and their effects on organizational performance. By reviewing theoretical foundations, empirical evidence, and case studies, this chapter aims to clarify how leadership approaches translate into measurable outcomes. Furthermore, it identifies areas where research findings are inconclusive or contradictory, thereby pointing toward gaps in current knowledge. Such gaps are not merely academic; they have practical implications for leadership training, organizational design, and strategic planning.

In conducting this review, emphasis is placed on understanding not only what leadership styles are most effective in certain situations but also why and how they work. This involves examining psychological, social, and organizational mechanisms that underpin leader–follower interactions. The analysis draws from a wide range of disciplines,

including organizational behavior, human resource management, sociology, and psychology, to ensure a multi-dimensional understanding of the topic.

Ultimately, this chapter lays the groundwork for the present study by establishing the relevance and significance of leadership style as a determinant of organizational success. Through careful synthesis of prior research, it builds a foundation for the empirical investigation that follows, ensuring that the study is informed by established knowledge while also contributing new insights to the field. Understanding the complex interplay between leadership styles and organizational performance is not only academically important but also vital for practitioners seeking to cultivate effective, adaptive, and sustainable leadership in today's competitive and ever-changing business environment.

## 2.2. The independent variable

The independent variable in this study is leadership styles, which refer to the distinct approaches and behaviors exhibited by leaders in guiding, motivating, and managing their teams. Leadership styles shape how decisions are made, how communication flows, and how organizational goals are pursued.

This research focuses on four primary leadership styles commonly discussed in the literature:

**Transformational Leadership:** Transformational leadership is widely regarded as one of the most influential and effective leadership approaches in contemporary organizational settings. It is characterized by leaders who go beyond simply managing day-to-day tasks and instead seek to inspire and motivate their followers to surpass ordinary performance expectations. Such leaders create and communicate a compelling vision for the future, aligning individual and organizational goals to foster a shared sense of purpose. They encourage innovation by challenging existing assumptions, promoting creative problem-solving, and empowering employees to take initiative. Furthermore, transformational leaders focus on the personal and professional development of their team members, acting as mentors and role models who nurture individual growth and self-confidence. By fostering high levels of engagement, loyalty, and trust, transformational leadership often leads to improved performance, enhanced job satisfaction, and a stronger organizational culture.

**Transactional Leadership:** In contrast, transactional leadership is grounded in the principle of structured exchanges between leaders and followers. The relationship is often formalized through clear expectations, defined roles, and an established system of rewards and penalties. Under this style, leaders set performance standards, monitor progress, and provide recognition or incentives when goals are met. Conversely, deviations from expectations or failures to comply with established rules are addressed through corrective

measures. This approach is highly effective in environments where tasks are standardized, timelines are critical, and adherence to procedures is essential. While transactional leadership may not always inspire creativity or deep commitment, it can foster efficiency, predictability, and accountability qualities that are particularly valuable in stable or highly regulated industries.

**Autocratic Leadership:** Autocratic leadership is defined by a centralized and highly directive approach, where decision making authority is concentrated in the hands of the leader. Leaders adopting this style often make unilateral decisions without seeking input or feedback from subordinates. This method allows for swift decision making and a clear chain of command, which can be advantageous in situations that require urgent action, strict compliance, or consistent enforcement of rules. However, this style can also limit employee engagement, reduce opportunities for creative input, and potentially lead to lower morale if followers feel excluded from the decision-making process. While sometimes perceived as rigid, autocratic leadership can be effective in environments where strong control and discipline are necessary, such as in crisis management or military operations.

**Democratic Leadership:** Democratic leadership, also known as participative leadership, emphasizes inclusivity, collaboration, and shared responsibility. Leaders employing this style actively involve team members in the decision-making process, seeking diverse perspectives and encouraging open dialogue. By valuing input from all members, democratic leaders promote a sense of ownership and commitment among their followers. This approach can lead to more innovative solutions, improved problem solving, and higher job satisfaction, as employees feel that their voices are heard and their contributions are meaningful. However, because the process involves consultation and consensus-building, decision making under democratic leadership can be slower, which may not be suitable for time-sensitive situations.

**Importance for the Present Study:** A thorough understanding of these leadership styles is critical for evaluating their varying effects on organizational outcomes, which in this study represent the dependent variables. Each style carries distinct advantages and limitations, and their effectiveness may vary depending on organizational culture, industry type, and situational demands. By examining these leadership approaches in depth, the study aims to determine how specific leadership styles influence performance indicators such as productivity, employee engagement, innovation capacity, and overall organizational effectiveness.

### 2.3. The dependent variable

The dependent variable in this study is organizational performance, which refers to the overall effectiveness and efficiency with which an organization achieves its goals. Organizational performance is a multidimensional construction that encompasses various outcomes influenced by leadership styles and management practices.

The key dimensions of organizational performance considered in this research include:

**Employee Motivation and Satisfaction:** The degree to which employees feel engaged, valued, and driven to perform their best. Motivated employees are often more productive and contribute positively to organizational success.

**Communication Effectiveness:** The quality and clarity of information flow within the organization, which affects coordination, decision making, and teamwork.

**Organizational Culture:** The shared values, beliefs, and norms that shape employee behavior and attitudes, influencing how strategies and policies are implemented.

**Overall Productivity and Performance:** Measured through indicators such as output quality, goal attainment, and financial results.

By examining these factors, this study aims to understand how different leadership styles impact the dependent variable of organizational performance in its various facets.

## 2.4. The relationship between independent and dependent variables

The core focus of this study is to examine how different leadership styles (independent variables) influence organizational performance (dependent variable). Extensive research indicates that leadership styles directly affect various organizational outcomes, including employee motivation, communication effectiveness, culture, and overall productivity.

**Transformational leadership** is widely regarded as having a positive and significant impact on organizational performance. Leaders who inspire, support innovation, and promote a shared vision tend to foster higher employee engagement and commitment, which in turn enhance productivity and organizational success.

**Transactional leadership** influences organizational performance through structured reward and punishment mechanisms. While this style can ensure task completion and adherence to standards, its impact on motivation and innovation is generally moderate compared to transformational leadership.

**Autocratic leadership** characterized by centralized decision-making and limited employee participation may lead to quick decision-making but can negatively affect communication, employee satisfaction, and creativity, thereby potentially lowering organizational performance.

**Democratic leadership** encourages participation and collaboration, promoting a positive work environment and better communication. This often results in improved problem solving and team cohesion, which contribute positively to organizational outcomes.

The relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance is also moderated by contextual factors such as organizational culture, industry type, and workforce characteristics. Therefore, understanding these dynamics is essential for organizations aiming to optimize leadership approaches to achieve better performance.

## **2.5. Conclusion**

This chapter reviewed key theories and empirical studies related to leadership styles and their influence on organizational performance. It highlighted the significant roles that transformational, transactional, autocratic, and democratic leadership play in shaping employee motivation, communication, culture, and overall effectiveness.

The review confirmed that leadership style is a critical determinant of organizational outcomes, with transformational and democratic styles generally associated with more positive effects, while autocratic leadership may have limitations in fostering employee engagement. However, the impact of each style can vary depending on organizational context and other moderating factors.

Identified gaps in literature, such as the need for more sector-specific studies and examination of contextual influences, underscore the importance of this research. The insights gained from this review provide a strong foundation for the present study to empirically investigate the nuanced relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance

## References

- Burns, J. M. (1978). Leadership. Harper & Row.
- Goleman, D. (2000). Leadership that gets results. Harvard Business Review.
- Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional.
- Northouse, P. G. (2018). Leadership: Theory and practice (8th ed.).
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990).
- Yukl, G. (2013). Leadership in organizations (8th ed.). Pearson.