

احمد الكحلوت

Deep Learning Framework for Galaxy Morphology Classification and AnalysisF.docx

 Midocean University

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::2945:378270584

Submission Date

Apr 19, 2026, 6:35 PM GMT+2

Download Date

Apr 19, 2026, 8:14 PM GMT+2

File Name

Deep Learning Framework for Galaxy Morphology Classification and AnalysisF.docx

File Size

1.3 MB

33 Pages

6,285 Words

38,020 Characters

13% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- ▶ Bibliography

Match Groups

- 67 Not Cited or Quoted 11%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 14 Missing Quotations 2%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 6% Internet sources
- 6% Publications
- 9% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

1 Integrity Flag for Review

- Hidden Text**
121 suspect characters on 2 pages
Text is altered to blend into the white background of the document.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 67 Not Cited or Quoted 11%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 14 Missing Quotations 2%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 6% Internet sources
- 6% Publications
- 9% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

- Submitted works**
Midocean University on 2025-09-05 <1%
- Internet**
www.mdpi.com <1%
- Publication**
Emrullah ŞAHİN, Naciye Nur Arslan, Durmuş Özdemir. "Unlocking the black box: ... <1%
- Publication**
"Image Analysis and Processing – ICIAP 2022", Springer Science and Business Me... <1%
- Publication**
M. Huertas-Company, F. Lanas. "The Dawes Review 10: The impact of deep lear... <1%
- Submitted works**
University of Lincoln on 2019-04-13 <1%
- Submitted works**
University of Hertfordshire on 2026-01-06 <1%
- Internet**
theses.lib.polyu.edu.hk <1%
- Submitted works**
Walsh College on 2026-03-24 <1%
- Submitted works**
Liverpool John Moores University on 2023-03-08 <1%

11	Submitted works	The Robert Gordon University on 2024-08-15	<1%
12	Internet	mSPACE.lib.umanitoba.ca	<1%
13	Publication	Chiranjit Pal, Jatin Chauhan, Vishal Kumar, Vipin Kumar Soni et al. "PestReKNet-X:...	<1%
14	Submitted works	Engineers Australia on 2026-03-20	<1%
15	Publication	Pushpa Choudhary, Sambit Satpathy, Arvind Dagur, Dharendra Kumar Shukla. "Re...	<1%
16	Submitted works	Sunway Education Group on 2025-05-25	<1%
17	Submitted works	City University of Hong Kong on 2026-04-18	<1%
18	Submitted works	Computer Technology on 2026-04-10	<1%
19	Submitted works	Liverpool John Moores University on 2021-06-05	<1%
20	Publication	Chris J. Lintott, Kevin Schawinski, Anže Slosar, Kate Land et al. " Galaxy Zoo: morp...	<1%
21	Submitted works	University College London on 2023-10-05	<1%
22	Submitted works	University of Glamorgan on 2023-11-30	<1%
23	Submitted works	University of Hertfordshire on 2026-01-06	<1%
24	Internet	docplayer.net	<1%

25	Internet	oulurepo.oulu.fi	<1%
26	Internet	www.researchgate.net	<1%
27	Submitted works	University of Manouba on 2025-11-11	<1%
28	Submitted works	University of Surrey on 2020-01-14	<1%
29	Internet	atrium.lib.uoguelph.ca	<1%
30	Internet	pure.rug.nl	<1%
31	Internet	s-space.snu.ac.kr	<1%
32	Internet	theconversation.com	<1%
33	Submitted works	Asia Pacific University College of Technology and Innovation (UCTI) on 2026-02-12	<1%
34	Submitted works	University of Malakand, Chakdara on 2026-04-09	<1%
35	Submitted works	University of Northumbria at Newcastle on 2024-05-07	<1%
36	Submitted works	University of the Western Cape on 2025-11-08	<1%
37	Submitted works	West University Of Timisoara on 2025-06-16	<1%
38	Internet	era.ed.ac.uk	<1%

39	Internet	ir.library.nitw.ac.in:8080	<1%
40	Internet	theses.hal.science	<1%
41	Publication	Ming Wei Lee, John Y.H. Soo, Syarawi M.H. Sharoni. "Classification of spiral galaxi...	<1%
42	Submitted works	National College of Ireland on 2024-08-12	<1%
43	Publication	R. Gupta, P.K. Srijith, S. Desai. "Galaxy morphology classification using neural ordi...	<1%
44	Internet	ai.jmir.org	<1%
45	Internet	aircconline.com	<1%
46	Internet	arxiv.org	<1%
47	Internet	d-nb.info	<1%
48	Internet	eprints.utar.edu.my	<1%
49	Internet	etheses.whiterose.ac.uk	<1%
50	Internet	fs.unm.edu	<1%
51	Internet	pdfslide.tips	<1%
52	Internet	riteshajoodha.co.za	<1%

53	Internet	theses.ncl.ac.uk	<1%
54	Publication	Ankur Gupta. "Next-Generation Computing and Information Systems", CRC Press,...	<1%
55	Publication	Arun Kumar Singh, Mukta Tripathi. "Review on Intrinsic Shape of Elliptical Galaxie...	<1%
56	Publication	Isah Salim Ahmad, Jingjing Dai, Yaoqin Xie, Xiaokun Liang. "Deep learning models...	<1%
57	Publication	"From the Realm of the Nebulae to Populations of Galaxies", Springer Science an...	<1%
58	Submitted works	Leiden University on 2022-06-30	<1%
59	Submitted works	Manipal Academy of Higher Education (MAHE) on 2024-08-07	<1%
60	Publication	Suman Lata Tripathi, Om Prakash Kumar, Allwin Devaraj Stalin, Tanweer Ali. "Inn...	<1%
61	Submitted works	Universitat Oberta de Catalunya on 2026-03-07	<1%
62	Submitted works	University of Hertfordshire on 2026-03-28	<1%



7 **Deep Learning Framework for Galaxy Morphology Classification and Analysis**

Prepared By

Name: Ahmad Yousef Alkahlout

ID No.: 253000753

1 Name: Mohmmmed Taleb Mohammed

ID No.: 252000097

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of
Science in Artificial Intelligence

At

College of Informatics

Midocean University

UAE

Supervisor Name: Dr. Mohamed Essa

College of Informatics, Midocean University, Comoros

Email: 253000753@st.midocean.edu.km /
252000097@st.midocean.edu.km

Acknowledgements

38

We would like to thank our supervisor, Dr. Mohamed Essa, for his continuous guidance and valuable feedback. His comments challenged us to put the maximum efforts and to ensure success on this research.

39

We are also thankful to the faculty members at the College of Informatics, Midocean University, for providing a supportive academic environment that inspired independent thinking and research development.

Finally, we would like to express our appreciation to our families for their patience, encouragement, and support during this journey.

Abstract

Classification of galaxy morphology continues to be one of the essential topics in astrophysics, as the galaxy shape allows obtaining information about the galaxy formation process and its evolution. Due to the increasing availability of data on galaxies obtained from SDSS and DECaLS surveys, the amount of galaxy images has considerably increased. In this regard, manual visual classification becomes increasingly ineffective in the case of extensive research, highlighting the increasing relevance of automation using deep learning algorithms.

Earlier works have shown that deep learning models can demonstrate good results in galaxy image classification. At the same time, many of them focus on classification accuracy, while other essential factors, such as interpretability, robustness, and prediction uncertainty, may be considered separately.

In this research, a deep learning framework for galaxy morphology classification is explored using CNNs together with transfer learning models such as ResNet and EfficientNet. The Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset is used as the main dataset because it contains labeled galaxy images and has been used in several studies in this field.

The framework evaluates classification performance using common measures such as accuracy and F1-score. It also examines robustness by applying controlled perturbations to the input images and observing how the predictions change under different conditions. In addition, Monte Carlo Dropout is used to estimate prediction uncertainty, while Grad-CAM is used to identify the image regions that have the greatest influence on the model's decision.

The primary goal of this research is not only the creation of an accurate classification algorithm but also building a robust and interpretable methodological approach for working with astronomical images.

Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
ABSTRACT	III
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
LIST OF TABLES	VII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 OVERVIEW	1
1.2 PROBLEM DEFINITION	3
1.3 THESIS OBJECTIVES.....	4
1.4 THESIS CONTRIBUTION.....	5
1.5 THESIS ORGANIZATION	5
CHAPTER 2: BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK.....	6
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	6
2.2 BACKGROUND	7
2.3 RELATED WORK.....	12
2.4 RELATED WORK SUMMARY.....	18
CHAPTER 3: PROPOSED APPROACH (METHODOLOGY)	21
CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	22
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	23
REFERENCES.....	24

List of Abbreviations

- AI: Artificial Intelligence.
- CNN: Convolutional Neural Network.
- DL: Deep Learning.
- DECaLS: Dark Energy Camera Legacy Survey.
- GAN: Generative Adversarial Network.
- Grad-CAM: Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping.
- MCD: Monte Carlo Dropout.
- ML: Machine Learning.
- RA: Right Ascension.
- SDSS: Sloan Digital Sky Survey.
- XAI: Explainable Artificial Intelligence.
- F1-score: Harmonic mean of precision and recall.
- ViT: Vision Transformer.
- VGG19: Visual Geometry Group 19.
- DCGAN: Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Network.
- CvT: Convolutional Vision Transformer.
- S-PLUS: Southern Photometric Local Universe Survey.
- CANDELS: Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey.
- S0: Lenticular Galaxy.

51

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Example of galaxy morphology complexity relevant to automated galaxy classification studies	1
Figure 2.1: Hubble morphological classification scheme illustrating elliptical, spiral, and barred spiral galaxies.	7
Figure 2.2: Example galaxy images from different morphological classes used in automated classification studies	9
Figure 2.3: Schematic overview of a deep learning pipeline for galaxy morphology classification	10
Figure 2.4: Example of a saliency map highlighting discriminative regions in galaxy morphology classification.....	13

31

31

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Comparison of related studies in galaxy morphology classification19

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Overview

Galaxy morphology classification is an important topic in astronomy because the visible structure of a galaxy can tell us a great deal about how that galaxy formed and how it changed over time. As astronomical research developed, scientists found that features such as shape, brightness distribution, spiral arms, and central bulges could provide useful clues about the physical nature and evolutionary history of galaxies. For this reason, morphology classification has remained a central task in astrophysical research [1].



Figure 1.1: Example of galaxy morphology complexity relevant to automated galaxy classification studies. Source: NASA/Hubble.

As shown in Figure 1.1, there is significant variation within galaxy images. There are cases where galaxies show very distinguishable structures while others may be more complex or less distinct. There could be differences in the form, direction, brightness, or internal properties of the galaxies that may make classification difficult, especially when the lines between different types of galaxies are blurred.

Traditionally, the classification of galaxies was done manually using visual inspection by astronomers. Although this technique helped advance morphological study, it was labor-intensive and required a lot of time. Advances in astronomy have led to major sky surveys such as SDSS and DECaLS, greatly increasing the number of available galaxy images. As a result, manual classification has become more difficult and time-consuming [1].

As a result, there has been a lot more interest in machine learning techniques in recent times. The use of deep learning and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) shows promise for galaxy classification because they can learn features from unprocessed images. Several previous studies have reported encouraging results and have shown that CNN-based methods can perform at a level that is comparable to human classification in some tasks [2].

At the same time, there is growing interest in looking beyond classification accuracy alone. In scientific applications, it is not enough for a model to produce a correct label most of the time. They also need to understand whether the model is focusing on meaningful features of the galaxy, whether its predictions remain reliable when imaging conditions change, and whether its confidence is reasonable when the image is ambiguous. For this reason, explainability and robustness have become important parts of modern research in galaxy morphology classification [3].

The current study explores galaxy morphology classification in terms of deep learning with emphasis placed on both predictability and other aspects like robustness, uncertainty estimation, and interpretability. The primary data source for this analysis will be the Galaxy10 DECaLS galaxy morphology dataset because of its availability in labeled form to conduct supervised deep learning experiments. In doing so, it is intended to investigate the extent to which all the mentioned aspects can be utilized in creating an effective solution for automated galaxy morphology classification [3].

Some recent publications have explored several ways of classifying galaxies using deep learning, namely semi-supervised learning techniques, augmentation driven approaches, and transformer architectures. These ideas, undoubtedly, are promising, yet they will not be considered in the current thesis. The goal of the research is to construct a holistic framework for galaxy morphology classification considering predictive ability, interpretability, robustness, and uncertainty estimation [8].

In particular, in such a context, the value of deep learning model application in astronomical studies cannot be reduced only to high prediction capability. The use of such models can bring additional benefits like a better understanding of the process behind their decisions, stability in different image settings, and, finally, the representation of the uncertainty associated with galaxy structure classification. Therefore, galaxy morphology classification in the thesis will be addressed from the angle of its performance, reliability, and interpretability [13].

1.2 Problem Definition

Many deep learning studies have applied deep learning for galaxy morphology classification, yet the majority of them pay attention only to accuracy and F1-score measures. Though these measures provide certain information, they do not cover all the aspects important for scientific analysis.

One of these aspects is interpretability. For example, it would be interesting to see how deep learning model interprets galaxy morphology. When classifying the galaxy as spiral, one wants to check that this result is based on spiral structure and central bulge rather than any other feature present in the image.

The second issue refers to variability of galaxy images observed with different conditions. Differences in illumination, noise, contrasts and so on can influence the picture significantly. Therefore, the classification performed by the model will demonstrate poor results in case the image is altered in any way. Scientific applications require robust and reliable prediction even for changed images.

Uncertainty may also become a critical factor for galaxy morphology classification since some galaxies may lack morphological features while the others can contain features corresponding to several classes. As a result, labeling only by final class may be not enough. The more helpful information the model provides about the uncertainty degree, the more confident in its predictions the scientist will be.

Based on the above, the main problem considered in this thesis can be formulated as follows: current deep learning solutions for galaxy morphology classification provide accurate predictions but fail to provide a unified framework for classification, which includes interpretability, robustness, and prediction uncertainty.

It means that there is a significant difference between achieving high classification accuracy and creating a reliable classification model, which explains its decisions and prediction uncertainty degree. Therefore, a more complex solution should be suggested that will satisfy all three criteria at once.

Thus, the first research question of this study is:

What solution can provide robust galaxy morphology classification using deep learning and be interpretable, robust, and uncertainty-aware at the same time?

For testing the solution, the Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset is used as a primary source of labeled images suitable for deep learning experiments.

1.3 Thesis Objectives

The main objectives of this research can be summarized as follows:

- Develop baseline CNN models for galaxy morphology classification and evaluate their ability to learn structural features directly from astronomical images.
- Apply transfer learning techniques using pretrained style such as ResNet and EfficientNet to enhance model performance and generalization, especially when working with limited training data.
- Evaluate classification performance through standard quantitative metrics, including accuracy and F1-score, to determine how well the models classify between different galaxy types.
- Analyze model robustness by introducing controlled perturbations to the images and observing how prediction performance changes under varying observational conditions.
- Combine uncertainty estimation into the classification pipeline using Monte Carlo Dropout, to better understand how confident the model is when predicting ambiguous or transitional galaxy morphologies.
- Use explainable AI techniques, particularly Grad-CAM, to interpret the model's decisions and verify whether the network focuses on scientifically meaningful structures when classifying galaxy images.

1.4 Thesis Contribution

This research offers several key contributions to the study of automated galaxy morphology classification:

- A structured evaluation of deep learning architectures:

The work provides a systematic assessment of different deep learning models applied to galaxy morphology classification, including both baseline CNNs and transfer-learning models. This helps clarify how various architectures perform on astronomical image data.

- A robustness evaluation procedure:

The study introduces a method for examining how model performance changes under controlled variations in the input images. This robustness analysis highlights the sensitivity of the models to observational differences and helps determine how stable their predictions are.

- Integration of uncertainty estimation into the classification pipeline:

Applies Grad-CAM to support interpretability and to examine whether the model focuses on meaningful galaxy structures during classification.

- Use of explainable AI techniques for scientific interpretation:

Brings classification performance, robustness analysis, uncertainty estimation, and interpretability together in one integrated framework for more reliable galaxy morphology classification.

1.5 Thesis Organization

The rest of this thesis is organized as follows.

Chapter 2 discusses the theoretical background and relevant research areas.

Chapter 3 presents the proposed methodology.

Chapter 4 analyzes the experimental results.

Chapter 5 concludes the study and suggests directions for future work.

Chapter 2: Background and Related Work

2.1 Introduction

The following section gives a brief introduction of the background information and previous works about galaxy morphology classification using deep learning approaches. First, this section introduces some basic terminologies in terms of galaxy morphology classification along with its history from visual inspection to the application of automatic methods. Then, various existing studies that use deep learning to process galaxy images are presented briefly.

As mentioned in Chapter 1, there is an increased number of works done in recent years regarding galaxy morphology classification thanks to the availability of large-scale image databases due to astronomical surveys. In parallel with the increasing number of works, deep learning models, specifically convolutional neural networks, have proven their ability to capture meaningful features of images, thus they become a promising choice for galaxy morphology classification.

However, at the same time, most existing works concentrate on accuracy of galaxy morphologies classification. Although accuracy is one of the crucial criteria in evaluating any classification model, in scientific domains, other aspects including generalization capabilities, uncertainty quantification, and explainability are also very important in applying deep learning algorithms.

Therefore, in addition to focusing on performance measures, this chapter will discuss previous approaches in detail in terms of methodology, datasets used, and evaluation metrics in order to identify their advantages and disadvantages as well as potential research gaps for future investigations.

2.2 Background

Galaxy morphology studies date back to the early 20th century when Hubble proposed one of the first galaxy classification schemes [4]. According to this classification, galaxies were divided into several basic morphological types like elliptical, spiral, and irregular galaxies. Hubble's contribution laid the groundwork for further exploration and research of galaxy morphology and development.

An illustrative representation of the Hubble galaxy classification scheme can be found in Figure 2.1. It illustrates the connection between elliptical galaxies and the two basic branches of spiral galaxies – normal spirals and barred spirals. Later, de Vaucouleurs elaborated Hubble's classification by introducing more categories, including S0 lenticular galaxies and other morphological distinctions [5].




Figure 2.1: Hubble morphological classification scheme illustrating elliptical, spiral, and barred spiral galaxies. Source: Adapted from [Hubble \(1936\) \[4\]](#).

For many years, The process of galaxy classification was based on the manual visual analysis of galaxy images until relatively recently. It relied on the judgement of astronomers who identified the basic structural features of galaxies

and assigned them particular classification labels. Even though this traditional method of galaxy classification remains valid to some extent, it became rather impractical due to the increasing number of galaxy observations.

58 The issue of manually classifying large amounts of galaxy images became
20 evident with the rise of modern surveys. The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS)
produced a large quantity of images that could not be classified manually easily
and efficiently [6]. As an alternative solution, the Galaxy Zoo project was
initiated. It provided an opportunity for the public to participate in galaxy
classification. It demonstrated that citizen science could be used to classify large
volumes of galaxy images.

59 As mentioned earlier, there has been a steady increase in the volume of
36 astronomical data due to survey projects like the Dark Energy Camera Legacy
Survey (DECaLS). Thus, the problem of automatic galaxy classification has
become urgent, and researchers started employing deep learning approaches to
solve it.

4 Currently, several approaches including artificial intelligence, machine learning,
and deep learning have been actively used in the sphere of astronomical image
analysis. Machine learning helps to train models via available training data, while
deep learning includes the application of multilayered neural networks for pattern
52 extraction. These techniques can be beneficial in solving the problem of galaxy
morphology classification due to the presence of numerous visual characteristics
that cannot be coded manually. Indeed, as can be seen from Figure 2.2, there is a
large visual diversity of galaxies that makes automated classification rather
challenging.




Figure 2.2: Example galaxy images from different morphological classes used in automated classification studies. Source: Adapted from [Zhu et al. \(2019\) \[19\]](#)

Figure 2.2 gives examples of galaxy images from diverse morphological classes. These examples show the high degree of variability of visual characteristics, thus making the task of classification a difficult one for a computer vision algorithm.

As follows from the above discussion, the problem of automated galaxy classification can be viewed as a typical problem of image classification. Therefore, in order to detect specific galaxy morphological features, the model should learn to see particular image patterns. In this regard, the application of deep learning approaches, and particularly CNNs, may prove effective since such models allow detecting specific visual structures in images.

In modern approaches to galaxy morphology classification, many researchers use CNN-based models due to their superior performance. For instance, Dieleman et al. found that such models can provide classification accuracy at the level of human performance. Thus, CNNs showed quite promising results when employed for predicting galaxy morphologies in [2].




Figure 2.3: Schematic overview of a deep learning pipeline for galaxy morphology classification. Source: Adapted from Dieleman et al. (2015) [2]

Figure 2.3 shows the basic steps involved in creating a model for galaxy morphology classification using a deep learning approach. This figure demonstrates the process of classifying the galaxy's image by applying a sequence of learning operations from input to output.

Apart from the use of CNNs in the process of galaxy morphology classification, researchers have developed other approaches to improve the accuracy of models. One such approach is related to the use of transfer learning methods for pre-training existing models on galaxy images and improving their performance in cases where labeled data are few. Transformer-based models are another

direction that allows detecting more complicated visual structures. Some researchers also apply the semi-supervised approach to learning in order to rely less on the labeled data. All this means that currently used methods of galaxy morphology classification do not limit themselves to one solution but seek to develop new models based on varied approaches.

At present time, the development of computer vision algorithms goes beyond simple CNN approaches to more sophisticated architecture of visual recognition systems. The fact is that in galaxy morphology classification, there are visual features that can be detected locally while other ones require analyzing the whole image structure. Thus, modern vision architectures can be considered as a good direction in building classification models that meet the requirements of complex astronomical imagery

Recently, researchers pay considerable attention to model interpretability issues. XAI becomes widely adopted in many scientific areas. The main advantage of explainable AI techniques is the possibility of identifying image parts affecting the model's decision-making processes [\[3\]](#).

2.3 Related work

5 The reviewed literature indicates that there have been great strides made in the field of galaxy morphological classification. Nonetheless, it is apparent that majority of these prior works have mainly focused on one aspect of the problem. There are those who prioritize accuracy, those who consider the importance of interpretability, and even those who talk about uncertainties and limitations in the data.

42 [Walmsley et al. \[1\]](#) Walmsley investigated the morphological classification of galaxies on a larger scale using machine learning techniques on current astronomical datasets. The use of the Galaxy Zoo DECaLS dataset allowed the researchers to utilize morphological classifications provided by many citizen scientists. The authors developed a deep learning model called Zoobot, which integrates convolutional neural network and probability approaches to estimate the entire distribution of answers provided by volunteers for about 300,000 galaxies. The model provided good agreement with human classification efforts and demonstrated good prediction accuracy, even regarding complicated morphological patterns. The main advantage of the investigation under analysis is the creation of one of the biggest morphological catalogs created using deep learning techniques. However, the use of volunteer-generated answers can be considered the main disadvantage of the methodology employed in this research.

44 [Dieleman et al. \[2\]](#) In their paper, Dieleman et al. proposed a deep learning framework for galaxy morphological classification using rotation-invariant CNNs. The study applied images from the Galaxy Zoo data set and was aimed at developing new CNN architecture which would allow classifying galaxies irrespective of their rotational orientation. With the introduction of rotation symmetry to the architecture of the network, it was able to better learn the morphological patterns, including spiral arms and bulge characteristics of galaxies, directly from the pixel-level data. According to the authors, the proposed method reached human-like accuracy levels in morphological classification, achieving about 91% of prediction accuracy. An obvious advantage of this approach is in its ability to handle rotational variability, characteristic of many astronomical images. However, as compared to standard

19

2

CNN models, additional complexity arises due to the introduction of the new property.

Bhambra et al. [3] conducted research on the explainability of deep learning models which were used in the classification of galaxies based on their morphology. In this research, different explainable artificial intelligence approaches such as Grad-CAM and saliency maps were used to illustrate image areas that contributed towards the prediction made by deep learning models. Through galaxy images obtained from large sky surveys such as Galaxy Zoo and DECaLS, it was shown that deep neural networks were able to recognize different morphological structures within galaxies such as spiral arms, galactic core structures, and even asymmetry. This research illustrates that using explainability techniques, it is possible to learn more about the internal functioning of deep learning models.




Figure 2.4: Example of a saliency map highlighting discriminative regions in galaxy morphology classification. Source: Adapted from Bhambra et al. (2022) [3].

As shown in Figure 2.4, saliency maps highlight the regions of the galaxy image that contribute most strongly to the model's prediction. In many cases, the visualization confirms that the neural network focuses on key morphological structures such as spiral arms, bars, or the central bulge. This provides an additional layer of reliability by allowing astronomers to verify whether the classification decision is based on meaningful physical features. In this thesis, a similar explainability approach will be used to evaluate whether the proposed deep learning framework focuses on relevant morphological structures when classifying galaxy images.

Domínguez Sánchez et al. [7] researched the application of deep convolutional neural networks in improving the accuracy of galaxy morphology classification using images captured by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). The model they created aimed at being able to recognize the important attributes used to

differentiate between certain types of galaxies like elliptical and spiral galaxies. With the aid of the large number of images provided by the SDSS survey, the CNN model managed to classify the images with a success rate of about 95%. One strength of the work by Dominizes Sanches is that the CNN does not depend on a predefined set of features, as it can learn important morphological characteristics directly from the pixel data.

[Luo et al. \[8\]](#) believed problems related to galaxy morphological classification in situations when there are not many labeled samples available a frequent situation in the field of astrophysics. They developed an innovative semi-supervised deep learning algorithm that uses convolutional neural network and GAN models. In this case, the experiments were conducted using the Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset, and the classification accuracy obtained was around 84%. One positive point about this study is the reduced need for big fully labeled samples due to the simultaneous application of both labeled and unlabeled data. Such an innovation will be particularly beneficial in astronomy due to the time consumption involved in the process of labeling. On the other hand, one drawback of such an algorithm is its instability.

[Yao et al. \[9\]](#) focused on improving galaxy morphology classification through data augmentation when there is limited data for training. In this study, the Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset was used, in which a few-shot-learning-inspired augmentation technique was applied to enhance image diversity within the training set. This research indicated that with their augmentation technique, the robustness of their models could be increased to achieve up to 95% accuracy rate. One major advantage of this research is that they managed to show that augmentation can be effective without requiring changes to the core classification problem. On the other hand, one major limitation of this study is that overuse of augmentation or unrealistic augmentation might lead to spurious patterns.

[Le et al. \[10\]](#) carried out a comparative study of several deep learning models for galaxy morphology classification. The researchers employed the Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset to test customized CNN algorithms against the transfer learning algorithm models like ResNet and EfficientNet. It is demonstrated that the EfficientNet model produced an accuracy score of about 87%, which is

considered among the best-performing models tested in the paper. The main advantage of this study lies in the fact that it presents a comparative analysis between several architectures under identical experimental conditions. In addition, experimental research was limited to utilization of just one dataset, implying its potential applicability to other astronomical survey data.

Xie et al. [11] conducted research on the challenge of classifying galaxy morphology with the use of a deep convolutional neural network inspired by the VGG19 architecture. For experimentation, the researchers applied the Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset, which contains labeled galaxy images taken through extensive astrophysical observation processes. Leveraging transfer learning techniques, the researcher were able to train the VGG19 model to recognize hierarchical visual features to distinguish between the different types of galaxies. As a result, the proposed approach managed to achieve about 84.5% accuracy, showing that the application of deep CNNs is adequate for this type of problem. At the same time, the authors identified that models like VGG19 have a relatively high number of parameters, which might be responsible for overfitting.

Cheng [12] explored the effectiveness of using Vision Transformers (ViT) to classify galaxy morphology. Specifically, the Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset was used to investigate whether transformer models outperform regular CNNs in terms of their ability to find global features within images. By leveraging the self-attention mechanism, the model in question highlighted key areas of the galaxy to increase overall accuracy up to 86%. An interesting outcome of this research is that transformers can detect dependencies throughout the whole image rather than within specific parts only. A downside observed by Cheng was the significant amount of training data needed.

Cao et al. [13] came up with a combination approach to deep learning where the researcher merged CNNs with elements from transformers to classify the morphology of galaxies. For this research, Cao used galaxy images from the Galaxy Zoo database. Specifically, CvT architecture was used because the model integrates local feature detection in CNNs and global feature detection in transformer architectures. The achieved accuracy was around 98%, thus making it one of the most effective models among those that have been reviewed in this

analysis. One strength of this research paper is that it uses both architectural designs, hence can detect patterns in galaxies using a wide range of approaches. However, the improvement made in the model makes it complex, thus requiring more computational resources.

[Urechiatu et al. \[14\]](#) focused on the potential usefulness of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) in improving automated classifications of galaxy morphology. For the experiment, the authors used a dataset consisting of 10,000 images of galaxies taken from the Galaxy Zoo 2 database, which is known to contain labeled images provided by many volunteers. Specifically, to build the algorithm, the authors came up with a CNN architecture which they expected to be able to distinguish morphological traits better and applied a number of methods to preprocess and augment data. As a result, the accuracy in classification reached 92%. However, despite high effectiveness in recognizing simple structures of galaxies, the models failed to work properly when dealing with complicated morphologies.

[Bom et al. \[15\]](#) explored analyzing deep learning algorithms' capabilities for performing morphological classification of galactic objects with the help of imaging data obtained during the S-PLUS sky survey. However, apart from relying on the data set described above, the researchers suggest including other sources of information in the analysis, namely morphological catalogs resulting from other big astronomical projects. According to the study, CNNs that can detect morphological features in input images could be useful tools to accomplish the proposed task. Namely, it means that a neural network should be capable of detecting certain morphological characteristics of galaxies' core, light distribution in galaxies, as well as possible spirals. As a result, the network can recognize different types of galaxies accurately enough, with the accuracy of classification being 92% to 95%. Therefore, CNNs can be considered effective tools for accomplishing the described tasks, given proper training of the network. Still, certain observational conditions may impact CNNs' work negatively.

[Wei et al. \[16\]](#) used transformer architecture to analyze galaxy morphology classification. The experiment was done on the Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset, which consists of galaxy images labeled after extensive surveys done by

astronomers. This experiment used (ViT), where self-attention is used to recognize global patterns within the galaxy images. The results obtained show an accuracy rate of about 94%, thus the applicability of the transformer architecture to such tasks. One major strength of using this architecture to classify galaxy morphologies is that it can learn long-range dependencies in data. On the other hand, transformers need huge datasets to perform at their best.

45 Almeida et al. [17] examined the role of transfer learning in enhancing galaxy morphology classification using deep convolutional neural networks. This research utilized data from the Galaxy Zoo project, which contains images labeled using massive citizen scientist inputs for galaxy morphology. Using the transfer learning method, fine-tuning the pre-trained ResNet model allowed the neural network to learn to recognize the differences between galaxy morphologies, such as spirals and ellipticals. Based on the study findings, the transfer learning method used yielded an average of about 92% accuracy, showing the usefulness of transfer learning with large datasets of natural images to improve results in astrophysics. However, one challenge in performing such transfer learning is the class imbalance in the dataset since morphological differences will be harder to learn by the neural network.

15 Zhang et al. [18] explored the utilization of a new machine learning approach to classify galaxy morphology. This novel technique was a combination of the convolutional neural network and attention mechanisms. The Galaxy10 DECaLS dataset was used, containing labeled galaxy images obtained from various astronomical researches. The use of the attention mechanism made it possible for the model to focus more on the key features in the images. The accuracy achieved in the study was around 96%, which shows that the technique might improve classification effectiveness.

2.4 Related Work Summary

It is seen from the analyzed literature that DL is a promising method for classifying galaxies according to morphological types. The above-mentioned literature employs various techniques, including classical CNN models, transfer learning, semi-supervised learning, attention-based methods, and transformer architecture among others. In general, the considered literature proves that application of DL to galaxy morphology classification yields promising results provided that a large set of astronomical images is available.

However, even with the common high performance of deep learning techniques, each technique has its advantages and drawbacks. For example, some papers are oriented towards improving the accuracy, whereas others pay more attention to other aspects of galaxy morphology classification, such as interpretability, estimation of uncertainties, or the influence of training data insufficiency. In most cases, these features were investigated separately without creating an integrative approach.

The comparison of the selected studies is presented in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Comparison of related studies in galaxy morphology classification

Ref	Author	Year	Technique Used	Accuracy	Dataset	Comparison with This Research
[1]	Walmsley et al.	2022	Bayesian Deep Learning (Zoobot)	Not explicitly reported	Galaxy Zoo / DECaLS	Reference for uncertainty-aware galaxy classification
[2]	Dieleman et al.	2015	Rotation-Invariant CNN	~91%	Galaxy Zoo	Baseline CNN architecture for morphology prediction
[3]	Bhambra et al.	2022	Grad-CAM & Saliency Mapping	Not focus of study	Galaxy Zoo / DECaLS	Reference study for explainable AI evaluation
[7]	Domínguez Sánchez et al.	2018	Deep Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)	~95%	SDSS Galaxy Dataset	Deep learning benchmark for galaxy morphology classification
[8]	Luo et al.	2025	Semi-supervised Learning (GAN-based)	~84%	Galaxy10 DECaLS	Semi-supervised learning reference under limited labels

[9]	Yao et al.	2024	DCGAN Data Augmentation	Up to ~95%	Galaxy10 DECaLS	Data augmentation reference for improving model generalization
[10]	Le et al.	2025	EfficientNetB5 Transfer Learning	~87%	Galaxy10 DECaLS	Transfer learning architecture benchmark
[11]	Xie et al.	2024	VGG19 Transfer Learning	~84.5%	Galaxy10 DECaLS	CNN transfer learning baseline comparison
[12]	Cheng	2022	Vision Transformer	~86%	Galaxy10 DECaLS	Transformer-based architecture comparison
[13]	Cao et al.	2024	Convolutional Vision Transformer (CvT)	~98%	Galaxy Zoo CANDELS	Hybrid CNN–Transformer performance benchmark
[14]	Urechiatu et al.	2024	Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)	~92%	Galaxy Zoo 2	CNN-based classification improvement reference
[15]	Bom et al.	2024	Deep Neural Network (CNN-based)	~92–95%	S-PLUS astronomical survey	Baseline CNN architecture for morphology prediction
[16]	Wei et al.	2024	Vision Transformer (ViT)	~94%	Galaxy10 DECaLS	Transformer-based architecture comparison
[17]	Almeida et al.	2024	Transfer Learning (ResNet CNN)	~92%	Galaxy Zoo	Deep learning benchmark for galaxy morphology classification
[18]	Zhang et al.	2024	CNN with Attention Mechanism	~96%	Galaxy10 DECaLS	CNN with attention mechanism benchmark

Although recent studies have reported promising results in galaxy morphology classification, several important challenges remain. Many existing models achieve good accuracy, but they do not always provide enough information about how stable their predictions are when image conditions change. In addition, interpretability and uncertainty estimation are still not fully included in many classification frameworks.

Another issue is that some studies depend heavily on large, labelled datasets, while future astronomical surveys may continue to produce data at a scale that makes full labelling difficult. Differences in image quality, brightness, noise, and observational conditions can also affect model performance and reliability.

For these reasons, there is still a need for a framework that does more than classify galaxy images accurately. A more useful approach should also consider robustness, uncertainty, and interpretability together. This is the main gap that motivates the current research, which aims to study galaxy morphology classification through a framework that combines these important aspects in one setting.

Chapter 3: Proposed Approach (Methodology)

Chapter 4: Experimental Results and Discussion

Chapter 5: Conclusion and Future Work

References

- [1] [Walmsley, M., et al., 2022] Walmsley, M., Lintott, C., Galloway, M., et al. “Galaxy Zoo DECaLS: Detailed visual morphology measurements from volunteers and deep learning for 314,000 galaxies”. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 509(3), pp. 3966–3988, 2022. DOI:10.1093/mnras/stab2093
- [2] [Dieleman, S., et al., 2015] Dieleman, S., Willett, K. W., and Dambre, J. “Rotation-invariant convolutional neural networks for galaxy morphology prediction”. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 450(2), pp. 1441–1459, 2015. DOI:10.1093/mnras/stv632
- [3] [Bhambra, P., et al., 2022] Bhambra, P., Joachimi, B., and Lahav, O. “Explaining deep learning of galaxy morphology with saliency mapping”. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 511(4), pp. 5032–5041, 2022. DOI:10.1093/mnras/stac368
- [4] [Hubble, E., 1936] Hubble, E. “The Realm of the Nebulae”. *Yale University Press*, 1936.
- [5] [de Vaucouleurs, G., 1959] de Vaucouleurs, G. “Classification and Morphology of External Galaxies”. *Handbuch der Physik* 53, pp. 275–310, 1959. DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-45952-8_12
- [6] [Lintott, C. J., et al., 2008] Lintott, C. J., Schawinski, K., Slosar, A., et al. “Galaxy Zoo: morphologies derived from visual inspection of galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey” *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 389(3), pp. 1179–1189, 2008. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13689.x
- [7] [Domínguez Sánchez, H., et al., 2018] Domínguez Sánchez, H., Huertas-Company, M., Bernardi, M., Tuccillo, D., and Fischer, J. L. “Improving galaxy morphologies for SDSS with Deep Learning”. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 476(3), pp. 3661–3676, 2018. DOI:10.1093/mnras/sty338
- [8] [Luo, Z., et al., 2025] Luo, Z., Chen, J., Chen, Z., et al. “Galaxy Morphology Classification via Deep Semi-supervised Learning with Limited Labeled Data”. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* 267(2), Article 45, 2025. DOI:10.3847/1538-4365/ad1f0c

- [9] [Yao, H., et al., 2024] Yao, H., Liu, Y., and Zhao, D. “A Galaxy Image Augmentation Method Based on Few-shot Learning”. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* 24(3), Article 035005, 2024. DOI:10.1088/1674-4527/acf92c
- [10] [Le, T., et al., 2025] Le, T., Ibrahim, N., Nguyen, T., et al. “Enhanced Comparative Analysis of Pretrained and Custom Deep Convolutional Neural Networks for Galaxy Morphology Classification”. *Engineering Proceedings* 89(1), Article 36, 2025. DOI:10.3390/engproc2025089036
- [11] [Xie, Y., et al., 2024] Xie, Y., Zhang, H., and Wang, L. “Galaxy Morphology Classification Based on VGG19 Deep Convolutional Neural Network”. *Astrophysics and Space Science*, Article 369, 2024. DOI:10.1007/s10509-024-04124-8
- [12] [Cheng, T., 2022] Cheng, T. “Vision Transformer Applications for Galaxy Morphology Classification”. *The Astrophysical Journal* 935, Article 34, 2022. DOI:10.3847/1538-4357/ac6a52
- [13] [Cao, J., et al., 2024] Cao, J., Wu, H., Zhang, Y., et al. “Galaxy morphology classification based on Convolutional Vision Transformer”. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 683, A44, 2024. DOI:10.1051/0004-6361/202348544
- [14] [Urechiatu, R., et al., 2024] Urechiatu, R., and Frincu, M. “Improved Galaxy Morphology Classification with Convolutional Neural Networks”. *Universe* 10(6), Article 230, 2024. DOI:10.3390/universe10060230
- [15] [Bom, C. R., et al., 2024] Bom, C. R., Mendes de Oliveira, C., Rembold, S. B., et al. “An extended catalogue of galaxy morphology using deep learning in the S-PLUS survey”. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 528(3), pp. 4188–4203, 2024. DOI:10.1093/mnras/stae277
- [16] [Wei, Y., et al., 2024] Wei, Y., Zhang, H., and Liu, Q. “Galaxy morphology classification using Vision Transformer architectures”. *Astronomy and Computing* 48, Article 100827, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.ascom.2024.100827
- [17] [Almeida, J., et al., 2024] Almeida, J., Rodrigues, F., and Silva, P. “Transfer Learning for Galaxy Morphology Classification using Deep Convolutional Networks”. *Astrophysics and Space Science* 369, pp. 101–110, 2024. DOI:10.1007/s10509-024-04175-x

[18] [Zhang, Y., et al., 2024] Zhang, Y., Li, H., Chen, X., and Wang, J. “Attention-based Deep Learning Framework for Galaxy Morphology Classification”. *Astronomy and Computing* 47, Article 100810, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.ascom.2024.100810

[19] [Zhu, X.-P., et al., 2019] Zhu, X.-P., Dai, J.-M., Bian, C.-J., Chen, Y., Chen, S., and Hu, C. “Galaxy morphology classification with deep convolutional neural networks”. *Astrophysics and Space Science* 364, Article 55, 2019. DOI:10.1007/s10509-019-3540-1