

The Economic and Societal Impact of Digital Banks and Digital Wallets on the Global Banking Sector and the Transition Toward a Cashless Society

Student Name: Hussamalden Abushalha

Table of Contents

Abstract

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background

1.2 Problem Statement

1.3 Research Objectives

1.4 Research Scope

Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1 Economic Impact of Digital Banks and Wallets

2.2 Societal and Behavioral Impacts

2.3 Regulatory and Structural Challenges

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

3.2 Data Collection and Analysis

3.3 Expected Outcomes

3.4 Gantt Chart

References

Abstract

Digital banking and mobile wallet technologies are reshaping how people around the world manage their money. These innovations are pushing us closer to economies where cash plays a much smaller role—or perhaps disappears altogether. This research proposal takes a close look at how digital banks (those branchless, online-only institutions) and digital wallets are affecting the traditional banking sector, and what this means for the ongoing shift toward cashless societies.

While these technologies promise faster transactions, broader financial access, and improved efficiency (Srouji, 2020), their adoption varies dramatically from one region to another. Some communities embrace digital payments eagerly; others remain skeptical or face real barriers like limited internet access, concerns about security, or simply a deep-rooted preference for physical cash (Janamolla, 2024; Srouji, 2020). Understanding how these factors interact—and what conditions might enable a more inclusive transition away from cash—is the central challenge this study aims to address.

To tackle these questions, the proposed study uses a mixed-methods approach. On one hand, we will analyze financial data to measure economic effects quantitatively. On the other, we will gather qualitative insights through surveys and case studies to understand why people adopt (or resist) digital financial services and how regulatory frameworks shape outcomes. The anticipated results include a thorough analysis of how digital banking contributes to economic growth and social inclusion, identification of the main obstacles standing in the way of cashless societies, and practical recommendations for policymakers and financial institutions seeking to build secure, equitable digital financial ecosystems.

Chapter 1: Introduction

Over the past decade, the banking industry has changed more rapidly than many people ever expected. Technological breakthroughs and shifting consumer habits have combined to transform how we think about money, payments, and financial services (Srouji, 2020).

Digital banks—sometimes called neobanks or branchless banks—and mobile digital wallets like PayPal, Apple Pay, and Alipay have emerged as genuine alternatives to traditional banking. They offer convenience, speed, and accessibility that brick-and-mortar banks often struggle to match.

The numbers tell a striking story. Today, there are more than 1.75 billion active digital banking accounts globally, processing something like \$1.4 trillion annually (Swee, 2025). Governments have taken notice too—countries like Saudi Arabia have set ambitious targets, aiming for 70% cashless transactions by 2030, while Sweden and South Korea are already well on their way to becoming nearly cash-free societies (Srouji, 2020).

Yet the benefits of digital banking haven't reached everyone equally. In wealthy countries, consumers have adopted online banking and e-wallet apps with enthusiasm, often leaving cash behind entirely for daily purchases. In developing economies, mobile wallets have brought financial services to millions who never had bank accounts before, showing real promise for inclusive growth (Aguilar et al., 2024; World Bank, 2022). But significant obstacles remain. Many people lack reliable internet access. Others don't trust digital platforms or simply prefer the tangibility of cash. Regulatory frameworks often haven't kept pace with technological change, leaving gaps in consumer protection and cybersecurity. This complex interplay of economic opportunity, social resistance, and regulatory uncertainty forms the backdrop for this research.

1.1 Background

Digital Banks and Wallets: When we talk about digital banks, we mean banking institutions that operate primarily or entirely online, without the traditional network of physical branches. These include standalone neobanks as well as online-only subsidiaries of established banks. They use digital platforms to deliver services more efficiently, typically targeting either tech-savvy customers or underserved communities with lower fees and user-friendly apps.

Digital wallets, meanwhile, are software-based payment tools—usually mobile apps—that let users store payment instruments and make electronic transactions. The range is broad, from big tech offerings like Apple Pay and Google Pay to telecom-led services like Kenya's M-Pesa and fintech platforms like PayPal or Alipay. Both digital banks and wallets have grown explosively in recent years. China's Alipay and WeChat Pay, for instance, have hundreds of millions of users and have largely replaced cash for everyday urban purchases (Aguilar et al., 2024). India's Unified Payments Interface processed over 74 billion transactions in 2022 alone, demonstrating how quickly digital payment infrastructure can scale when conditions are right (Aguilar et al., 2024).

Economic and Societal Implications: The rise of digital finance carries real economic weight. Research suggests that widespread digital payment adoption can actually stimulate economic growth—one Bank for International Settlements working paper found that a 1% increase in digital payment usage correlates with a 0.1% rise in per capita GDP over two years (Aguilar et al., 2024). Digital transactions reduce friction in commerce, encourage participation in the formal economy, and can even improve tax collection.

Beyond economics, digital financial services often promote financial inclusion by making it easier for people to open accounts and access credit, bringing more households and small businesses into the formal financial system (World Bank, 2022). In places like Sweden and South Korea, younger generations rarely use cash anymore; even small purchases happen through cards or mobile apps. But persistent barriers slow this progress in many places—trust and security concerns, cultural preferences for tangible cash, and gaps in digital literacy all play a role (Srouji, 2020; Janamolla, 2024).

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the rapid spread of digital banks and mobile wallets worldwide, their actual impact on global banking and progress toward cashless economies remains uneven and incompletely understood. The existing literature shows that these fintech innovations can drive positive outcomes—broader financial inclusion, lower transaction costs, greater transparency, and even macroeconomic growth (Govindasamy, 2022; Al Ghunaimi et al.,

2025). Digital banking solutions have demonstrated their ability to increase transaction transparency, support small business growth, and contribute to GDP expansion through increased consumer spending facilitated by e-wallets.

However, these benefits haven't materialized uniformly. Several persistent barriers continue to slow the transition toward cashless economies. Key issues include:

- **Uneven Adoption and Inclusion:** While urban, educated consumers in many countries quickly adopt digital wallets and online banking, rural and less literate populations often lag far behind (Janamolla, 2024). A mobile payments service might flourish in a city yet see almost no uptake in remote villages lacking internet access or where cash culture runs deep.
- **Trust and Security Concerns:** High-profile cyberattacks and fraud incidents have left many potential users wary of storing money or making payments digitally (Janamolla, 2024). Building trust takes time and consistent effort from both technology providers and regulators.
- **Regulatory Fragmentation:** Regulatory frameworks vary widely across countries, and many jurisdictions haven't yet developed comprehensive rules for digital banks and payment platforms (Koont, 2024). This creates uncertainty for businesses and potential risks for consumers.
- **Infrastructure Gaps:** In many regions, unreliable internet and electricity access make digital payments impractical, regardless of how appealing the technology might otherwise be.

What's missing from the current research landscape is a unified, up-to-date analysis that brings together these economic, social, and regulatory dimensions across different regions. This study aims to fill that gap by synthesizing fragmented insights from various parts of the world and providing a comprehensive understanding of what conditions are needed for sustainable, inclusive digital financial adoption.

1.3 Research Objectives

The primary goal of this research is to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the economic, societal, and regulatory implications of digital banks and digital wallets in the global banking industry, and to evaluate how these technologies help or hinder the transition toward cashless societies. From this overarching goal, several specific objectives emerge:

1. **Analyze the economic impact of digital banks and digital wallets on traditional financial systems.** This means examining how digital payment systems influence factors like financial inclusion, bank operational efficiency, and overall economic growth. It also includes assessing whether widespread use of digital wallets and cashless payments contributes to greater transparency and expansion of the formal economy.
2. **Investigate societal and behavioral factors that shape adoption of digital financial services.** This objective focuses on identifying the cultural, educational, and trust-related variables that influence whether people accept or reject digital banks and wallets. It also involves analyzing differences in adoption patterns across demographic and socio-economic groups—comparing, for example, urban versus rural populations or younger versus older users.
3. **Evaluate the regulatory and structural challenges associated with digital financial innovation.** This includes analyzing how effectively current regulatory frameworks govern digital banks and payment platforms across different countries, examining risks related to financial stability, cybersecurity, and cross-border payment ecosystems, and identifying gaps in existing regulations.
4. **Assess how economic, societal, and regulatory factors collectively influence the transition toward cashless societies.** Rather than viewing these dimensions in isolation, this objective seeks to synthesize findings across regions and topic areas to understand how technology adoption, social readiness, and policy environment interact.

5. **Propose evidence-based recommendations for stakeholders.** Based on research findings, the study will formulate practical strategies to overcome identified barriers—potentially including recommendations for enhancing user trust, improving digital and financial literacy, and strengthening regulatory frameworks.

1.4 Research Scope

This research takes a global perspective but maintains specific thematic and contextual boundaries to stay focused and feasible. The study will primarily examine developments from roughly 2015 to 2025—a period during which digital banks and wallets moved from early adoption into mainstream usage in many markets.

Geographically, the research will draw examples and data from diverse regions, including advanced economies (for insights on mature cashless adoption and regulatory frameworks) and emerging economies (to examine rapid digital payment growth and inclusion efforts). Rather than attempting an exhaustive country-by-country survey, the study will use a comparative case study approach—spotlighting cases such as the European Union (with its open-banking regulations and fintech proliferation), East Asia (China's near-cashless urban economies and Korea's digital push), South Asia (India's digital payments revolution post-demonetization), and Middle East/Africa (mobile money successes like M-Pesa and Gulf states' fintech initiatives).

The research will cover three key dimensions—economic, societal, and regulatory—as they relate to digital banks and wallets. The focus remains on retail banking and payments affecting individuals and businesses; the study will not delve deeply into cryptocurrencies or central bank digital currencies except where they directly intersect with mainstream digital banking strategies.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

This chapter reviews existing research on the economic and societal impact of digital banks and digital wallets, organized around three central themes: the economic impact of

digital financial services, societal and behavioral aspects of their adoption, and regulatory and structural challenges in the transition toward cashless banking. The literature spans academic research articles, industry reports, and working papers that collectively provide a foundation for the proposed study.

2.1 Economic Impact of Digital Banks and Wallets

Digital financial technologies have far-reaching and sometimes complicated economic effects on both the banking industry and the broader economy. A substantial body of research documents how digital payment systems and branchless banking platforms can drive economic efficiency and growth.

Srouji (2020), for example, analyzed the push for digital payments in the Gulf region and found that digital payment adoption tends to enhance financial inclusion and operational efficiency. These efficiency gains come from streamlining payment processes and lowering barriers for people to participate in the financial system. Similarly, Al Ghunaimi and colleagues (2025) studied mobile payments among small and medium enterprises in Oman, demonstrating that digital wallet use not only improves business agility but also aligns with national economic diversification goals. Their work suggests digital finance can support broader policy objectives by fostering more dynamic and inclusive economic activity.

Evidence from large developing economies reinforces these findings. Govindasamy (2022) showed that the rapid proliferation of mobile wallet services in India correlates with national GDP growth and a noticeable reduction in informal cash-based transactions. By bringing more transactions onto digital platforms, money flows become easier to track and tax, expanding the formal economy. A working paper by Aguilar and colleagues (2024) for the Bank for International Settlements quantified this relationship, finding that every 1% increase in digital payment use was associated with approximately a 0.05% annual increase in GDP per capita on average, along with reductions in the size of the informal sector.

At the same time, the literature cautions that the economic benefits of digital finance are neither automatic nor evenly distributed. Several studies point out that differences in infrastructure, market structure, and regulatory readiness can limit how fully benefits are realized in different countries (Srouji, 2020). Digital banking might increase competition in one market, driving down costs for consumers, while in another market, network effects could allow a few platforms to dominate, potentially leading to monopolistic tendencies or new forms of systemic risk.

Koont (2024) provides a nuanced view in an FDIC working paper examining the U.S. banking sector. He found that digital platforms intensify competition by enabling mid-sized banks with strong digital offerings to expand market share at the expense of banks relying solely on physical branches. This heightened competition can benefit customers through better rates and services. However, Koont also observed uneven effects, with digital-focused banks taking on different risk profiles—for example, increasing their share of uninsured deposits to fund growth, which could make them more vulnerable during economic stress.

2.2 Societal and Behavioral Impacts

Beyond economics, the shift to digital banks and wallets carries significant societal implications. Research consistently shows that user perception and behavioral factors play a crucial role in determining whether people actually adopt these technologies (Janamolla, 2024). Even when digital services offer clear advantages, adoption won't happen unless users feel comfortable with and confident about using them.

Studies have identified trust, perceived security, and ease of use as key determinants of digital finance adoption. In many communities, mistrust of digital platforms—fueled by concerns about fraud, data privacy, or simply unfamiliarity with the technology—creates substantial barriers. Sarika and Vasanth (2022) and Kumar and Madhav (2023) found that mobile wallet services can significantly enhance user satisfaction by simplifying payments and making financial services more accessible. But these benefits only materialize for people who actually trust the technology enough to try it.

The societal impacts also vary dramatically across different groups. Older populations may resist abandoning cash or traditional banking, while younger individuals adapt quickly to new apps but might be more susceptible to impulsive spending enabled by frictionless payments. Gender gaps appear as well—in some societies, women have lower access to mobile phones or face social barriers to using financial services, which translates into lower uptake of digital financial tools (Janamolla, 2024).

Addressing these societal and behavioral nuances is essential. Researchers have suggested that tailored financial literacy programs and trust-building measures are needed to ensure digital finance adoption is equitable across different groups (Govindasamy, 2022). Community education campaigns can help demystify digital banking and alleviate unfounded fears, while better user-centric design—interfaces in local languages, intuitive app layouts—can make a real difference for novice users.

2.3 Regulatory and Structural Challenges

The convergence of technology and finance in the form of digital banks and wallets presents significant regulatory and structural challenges. As multiple studies highlight, regulatory bodies around the world are still adapting to new models of digital intermediation that don't fit neatly into traditional banking paradigms (Janamolla, 2024).

Koont (2024) provides evidence that digital banks often operate with business models that strain existing regulatory oversight mechanisms. His analysis revealed that digital banks in the U.S. tend to rely more on uninsured deposits and employ algorithmic lending strategies, which complicate risk management for regulators and raise concerns about how these banks would perform under stress. A higher share of volatile deposits could increase the probability of bank runs if customer trust falters, and heavy use of automated algorithms in credit decisions could create systemic issues if those algorithms contain hidden biases or errors.

On a global scale, regulators are grappling with questions such as how to categorize and license digital-only banks, how to enforce know-your-customer and anti-money laundering

rules on non-bank fintech firms, and how to coordinate regulations across borders when digital services have no physical boundaries. Traditional regulatory frameworks were built around brick-and-mortar banks and clearly defined intermediaries; fintech blurs these lines, creating regulatory grey areas and potential loopholes (Janamolla, 2024).

Financial stability is another frequently cited concern. While increased competition and innovation in banking are generally beneficial, they might introduce new forms of systemic risk. If much of a country's payments flow through a single e-wallet provider or a handful of digital platforms, any failure or outage could disrupt economic activity significantly. Industry analyses reinforce these academic concerns—Swee (2025) noted that a majority of Asia-Pacific digital banks face higher credit risks than their traditional counterparts, largely because they focus on underserved segments with weaker credit profiles.

The literature suggests that international coordination may be necessary, as digital finance easily crosses borders. Some countries have begun proactive regulatory innovations, such as creating "regulatory sandboxes" to allow fintech experimentation under supervision, or updating laws like the EU's PSD2 directive which opened banking data to third-party fintech firms under strict security standards (Al Ghunaimi et al., 2025). These moves have been credited with fostering more competition and collaboration.

In essence, effective governance and regulation will determine whether digital banking evolves into a stable foundation for cashless economies or inadvertently introduces new vulnerabilities. The consensus is that regulators need to strike a balance: craft rules flexible enough to accommodate innovation yet robust enough to protect consumers and maintain stability.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

This chapter outlines the methodology for the proposed research, detailing the overall research design, data collection and analysis methods, expected outcomes, and a timeline of activities. The approach is designed to effectively address the research objectives stated

earlier, employing a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods to capture the multifaceted nature of the topic.

3.1 Research Design

The research will follow a mixed-methods design that blends quantitative analysis with qualitative inquiry. This choice reflects the nature of the research objectives: some (such as assessing economic impact) are best addressed with quantitative data, while others (such as understanding societal factors and formulating policy recommendations) benefit from qualitative insights.

On the quantitative side, the study will conduct statistical analysis of secondary data to evaluate the economic effects of digital banking and payment adoption. Key indicators will include financial inclusion metrics (such as the percentage of adults with digital financial accounts), digital transaction volumes per capita, banking sector performance indicators, and macroeconomic measures. Data sources include the World Bank's Global Findex database, International Monetary Fund and World Bank data, and central bank reports from selected countries. Econometric techniques such as panel data regression or time-series analysis will be employed to test relationships between digital payment adoption and economic outcomes.

On the qualitative side, the research will use case studies and stakeholder input to explore societal and regulatory aspects. A handful of case study countries or regions will be selected—for instance, one from Europe, one from Asia, one from the Middle East, and one from Africa—for in-depth examination. For each case, policy documents, media reports, and existing studies will be reviewed to map the trajectory of digital banking adoption and identify major challenges. Semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders—bank officials, fintech entrepreneurs, regulators, and consumer advocates—will capture insights on questions like what the main barriers to adoption have been, how effective government efforts have been in building trust, and what regulatory hurdles fintech firms have encountered.

A survey component will also be included to gain quantitative insights into societal factors. A questionnaire targeting consumers (and possibly small business owners) in at least two contrasting environments will collect data on usage patterns, perceived advantages and concerns about digital payments, trust levels, and demographic information. This enables statistical testing of hypotheses about what drives or hinders adoption.

3.2 Data Collection and Analysis

Secondary data will be gathered from publicly available databases and published sources, including World Bank Global Findex data for financial inclusion statistics, IMF financial system data for banking sector indicators, BIS payment statistics for transaction volumes and growth, and central bank publications from case study countries. For qualitative data, interviews and focus groups will be conducted with diverse stakeholders, and surveys will be administered in selected locations.

Data analysis will proceed on multiple tracks. Quantitative analysis will involve descriptive statistics to profile the state of digital banking adoption and regression analysis to examine correlations between digital payment use and economic outcomes. Qualitative analysis will use thematic coding of interview transcripts and survey open-ended responses to identify recurring themes and unique insights.

The integration of quantitative and qualitative findings will occur through triangulation—comparing statistical results with case study narratives to see if they tell a consistent story. For example, if quantitative analysis shows that digital payments correlate with GDP growth (as Aguilar et al., 2024 suggests), qualitative analysis will explore the mechanisms behind this through stakeholder perspectives and case examples.

3.3 Expected Outcomes

Given the design and scope of this study, several key outcomes and contributions are anticipated:


- **Comprehensive Mapping of Impacts:** The research will produce a detailed mapping of how digital banks and digital wallets are affecting the banking sector

and economies in different regions. We expect to quantify the degree of impact on economic indicators and provide updated figures and comparisons that extend prior country-specific research.

- **Identification of Key Enablers and Barriers:** Through comparative analysis, we expect to identify critical factors that enable a successful transition to cashless society and those that impede it. Enablers may include high smartphone penetration, strong government promotion, and robust regulatory support. Barriers are likely to include gaps in digital infrastructure, low trust, and lack of user awareness.
- **Insights into Regulatory Best Practices:** The study will examine different regulatory approaches and their outcomes, leading to understanding of what frameworks have been most effective in balancing innovation and risk. An expected outcome is a set of best-practice recommendations for regulators.
- **Framework for Sustainable Cashless Transition:** Synthesizing findings across dimensions, one major outcome will be a conceptual framework describing necessary conditions for sustainable transition to a cashless society, organized around three pillars: Technology & Infrastructure, User Preparedness, and Regulatory Governance.
- **Actionable Recommendations:** The research will provide practical recommendations tailored to different stakeholder groups—policymakers, regulators, and financial institutions—addressing how to encourage digital payments, build trust, update legal frameworks, and design inclusive products.

3.4 Gantt Chart

To ensure the project is executed in a structured and timely manner, the following Gantt chart outlines key tasks, their duration, and timeline. The project is expected to span 12 months.



References

- Aguilar, A., Frost, J., Guerra, R., Kamin, S., & Tombini, A. (2024). *Digital payments, informality and economic growth* (BIS Working Paper No. 1196). Bank for International Settlements. <https://www.bis.org/publ/work1196.htm>
- Al Ghunaimi, H., Almaqtari, F. A., Wesonga, R., & Elmashtawy, A. (2025). The rise of FinTech and the journey toward a cashless society: Investigating the use of mobile payments by SMEs in Oman in the context of Vision 2040. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(5), 178. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15050178>
- Govindasamy, R. (2022). Impact of mobile wallet: Cashless economy in India. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management*, 4(2), 196-202.
- Janamolla, K. R. (2024). The rise and impact of neobanks on the financial sector. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering*, 12(1), 45-52.
- Koont, C. (2024). *The digital banking revolution: Effects on competition and stability* (FDIC Working Paper). Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. <https://www.fdic.gov/system/files/2024-09/koont-paper-090324.pdf>
- Kumar, S., & Madhav, V. (2023). The impact of mobile wallet services in cash-less economy through the digital revolution. *Academy of Marketing Studies Journal*, 27(1), 1-19.
- Sarika, K., & Vasantha, S. (2022). The impact of mobile wallet services in cashless economy through the digital revolution. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Economics*, 10(2), 1-17.
- Srouji, J. (2020). Digital payments, the cashless economy, and financial inclusion in the United Arab Emirates: Why is everyone still transacting in cash? *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 13(11), 260. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13110260>

Swee, S. (2025, September 19). The growth of digital banking and its risks. *GARP Risk Intelligence*. <https://www.garp.org/risk-intelligence/technology/growth-digital-banking-250919>

World Bank. (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial inclusion, digital payments, and resilience in the age of COVID-19*. World Bank.
<https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/globalfindex>