

**Assignment 3: Literature Review on the Role of Planning in Reducing Project Delays in
Construction Projects**

Student Name

Talal Al Mutib

University

Midocean

Course

Project Management

Professor Name

Date

22-Aug 2025

Assignment 3: Literature Review on the Role of Planning in Reducing Project Delays in Construction Projects

Introduction

This literature review examines past studies on the influence of planning on construction project delays. The review is focused on three main areas that align with the study issues: planning level of detail and its resultant effect on delays, coordination of stakeholders during planning, and challenges that inhibit planning implementation. Most importantly, good planning for construction should factor in the physical nature of construction operations and project conditions, through a systematic review of literature that traditional planning tends to fail because it is based on the assumption of a predictable environment. The sources for literature were drawn from academic databases focusing on construction management journals between 2021 and 2025. The chapter organizes the findings in rational sections that build knowledge from basic principles to specific issues, identifies knowledge gaps, and sets the stage for the research approach.

Theoretical Foundations of Construction Project Management

Construction project management has evolved significantly from traditional approaches to today's integrated systems, addressing industry-specific challenges. Kerzner (2025) demonstrated that construction projects require expert management approaches because they are subject to unique challenges such as weather dependence, location-specific features, and diverse stakeholders who should collaborate. Similarly, Ikudayisi et al. (2023) believed that integrated engineering, architecture, and construction processes yield more effective results than fragmented ones by incorporating different perspectives from the earliest point possible. In addition, Balasubramanian et al. (2021) stated that constructions 4.0 integrate digital and ancient solutions to the intricacy of contemporary projects. Systems thinking is the theoretical foundation, where

every aspect of a project affects others in complex ways and requires interventions that simultaneously address technical, social, and organizational aspects to achieve successful project outcomes.

The theory of construction planning has changed from a sequential and linear approach to an adaptive and flexible one able to overcome a construction project's uncertainty. Dallasega et al. (2021), in a thorough analysis of the literature, maintained that traditional planning approaches do not always work as they are based on anticipated conditions and do not account for the dynamic nature of construction tasks. The modern planning theory concentrates on continuous planning during the project life cycle rather than creating a fixed plan at the beginning. Amer et al. (2021) stated that automated planning systems have made great advancements in reviewing three decades of research. Implementation remains an issue due to complexity and integration issues. Khalil et al. (2023) acknowledged that careful planning is essential to a project's success, with good planning reducing risks of delays by up to 40% if supported by upper management.

Causes and Classifications of Construction Project Delays

Construction delays are globally experienced, affecting projects regardless of size and location, and have extensive economic and social consequences. The study by Sanni-Anibire et al. (2022), subjected to a meta-analytical assessment, indicated that delays in the building sector occur very differently across regions of the world, with developing countries being more prone to delays than developed countries. The economic impact of the same is severe, normally resulting in cost overruns of 20-50% of the original budgets. Further, the study by Sami Ur Rehman et al. (2022) considered the impacts of COVID-19 on building projects in the UAE and how it affected them to some extent. They reiterated that delays lead to chain effects on current and future projects and the industry's performance in general. The universality of the problem implies that delays are caused

by inbuilt shortcomings of planning and management rather than local factors and requires more intelligent planning-performance links.

Research has identified many categories of delay causes, so that prevention must be approached differently, and planning activities must vary. Alenazi et al. (2022) analyzed the Saudi construction projects and concluded that substantial project delays related to client-related factors, such as decisions made by the clients, design encountered, and delays in approvals. Meanwhile, Tomh (2022) examined design modification in UAE construction projects and found that numerous modifications are a feature of poor planning during the design process. Ajmal et al. (2022) also singled out the scope creep as an issue in which the project parameters grow, yet their planning level does not keep pace, resulting in resource clash and schedule imbalance. Further, Motaleb (2021) formulated risk responses within construction projects and identified external issues like weather, changes in regulations, and the unavailability of materials that substantially influence and cause delays. Such a variety of delay types indicates the multi-dimensionality of construction projects and the necessity to use thorough planning methodologies to handle multiple sources of risks and not just one risk source at a time.

The influence of construction delay goes beyond mere schedule overruns and impacts several stakeholders differently through cascading effects. Arantes and Ferreira (2021) created a methodology to reduce delays and stressed that early detection of potential delays enables more effective and less expensive intervention. Economically, delays usually provide for direct costs through prolonged overheads, equipment rental, and possible penalty payments. Naimi and Alobadi (2023) captured that indirect costs are generally greater than direct costs, including loss of business opportunities, reputation loss, and tainted stakeholder relationships. In addition, delays typically result in vicious cycles where rushed work to make up for lost time results in quality

problems and further postponement, hence making prevention at the beginning through proper planning of the greatest importance.

The Planning Role in Achieving Construction Project Success

Successful construction planning involves several interrelated factors with one another in a bid to pre-empt delays and achieve project outcomes methodically. Khalil et al. (2023) concluded that planning contributes significantly to project success, where proper planning reduces the likelihood of delays by up to 40% given high-level management commitment. Similarly, Dallasega et al. (2021) stated that adequate planning would include quality controls, communications, and coordination processes among different disciplines and trades. Secondly, Motaleb (2021) noted that modern planning would have to consider risk analysis and contingency planning to address the prevailing uncertainties of construction plans. Literature always demonstrates that projects with high planning processes have fewer delays and cost overruns than projects with no planning effort, and planning performance is subject to implementation quality and organizational support, not just the comprehensiveness of planning.

The interconnection between planning sophistication and project performance has been a subject of noteworthy study, with evidence indicating intricate relationships based on project phases and quality of implementation. Dallasega et al. (2021) stated that over-refinement in planning sometimes creates rigidity that constrains adapting to altered circumstances, necessitating an optimal balance of thorough preparation and adaptability. Despite the availability of sophisticated planning technology, most projects still employ basic scheduling software due to complexity and training limitations. Furthermore, Cooke and Williams (2025) pointed out that elaborate planning is especially helpful when several contractors and subcontractors need to be coordinated, with clearly defined interfaces and duties to be determined to avoid conflict. The

reality is that though extensive planning has greater initial expenses, it generally pays for itself in less delay, fewer change orders, and quality results if executed correctly and organizationally supported.

Construction encompasses a range of planning tools and technologies, both traditional and innovative digital technologies, although their use differs greatly across organizations. In a recent study by Amer et al. (2021), the group found that although technology has developed so much, most of the projects continue to use the basic scheduling tools because of implementation obstacles. Furthermore, another study by Chen et al. (2022) has identified that the practice level of technology within construction firms is mixed, as the larger companies were more inclined to use sophisticated planning programs. In contrast, smaller contractors still use traditional methods because of cost and complexity issues. Like Gantt charts and the significant path method, the conventional project management tools are common since they are familiar and reasonably easy to apply. Michalski et al. (2022), however, have shown that lean construction concepts together with BIM technology have developed some synergies, which make planning efficient in cases where they are well integrated. According to the research, one tool or technique alone is not an effective way of planning, and various tools and techniques are likely to be used in proper planning.

Risk management has been part of the construction planning when there is an increase in project complexity and uncertainty, which should be addressed proactively by identifying and developing response strategies. Motaleb (2021) investigated the prospects of the development of building projects and confirmed that thorough risk assessment throughout the planning phase of projects can substantially minimize delays and positively impact the performance of the projects. Risk management is the analysis of possible issues, estimation of the probable risks and the intensity of their potential consequences, and elaborating a proper method of response to the

situation according to the conditions of a certain project. According to Arantes and Ferreira (2021), successful risk identification is necessary for risk management. and developing specific mitigation measures and contingency plans in case things go awry. Also, Sami Ur Rehman et al. (2022) identified the different types of risks experienced in construction projects, such as technical risks due to design and building processes, external risks due to changes in weather and regulations, and organizational risks due to the coordination of stakeholders. The study demonstrates that risk management projects have fewer surprises and the capacity to solve issues.

Stakeholder Coordination and Communication in Planning

Construction works involve numerous stakeholders who have different goals, technical expertise, and work approaches, hence, coordination is an issue that can lead to delays if not adequately addressed in planning processes. Khouja et al. (2021) studied project industries' cooperative interorganizational relations and identified that construction projects have more stakeholders than most, such as clients, architects, engineers, contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, and government agencies. Therefore, construction projects have more stakeholders who need to be coordinated. Every one of the stakeholders has some knowledge and needs that must be incorporated into the master project plan, but conflicting interests and communication failures often undermine coordination promptly. Additionally, Ikudayisi et al. (2023) argued that integrated architecture, engineering, and construction practices enhance project results by considering various perspectives in the planning stages. The temporal characteristic of a construction project team is that stakeholders may not have developed working relationships, limiting coordination and necessitating formal communication and liaison.

According to recent studies, the advantages of an inclusive planning strategy are found in engaging key stakeholders in the planning process early to avoid delay and conflict. Ikudayisi et

al. (2023) suggested that integrated architecture, engineering, and construction practices improve project results by ensuring that multiple perspectives are considered in planning to restrict design conflict and during construction. Khouja et al. (2021) found that through collaborative planning, conflicts and issues that are likely to occur can be anticipated and thus allow for proactive rather than reactive action in construction stages. Collaborative planning is challenging to achieve because it entails a change of traditional working habits and can reveal opposing interests between the stakeholders.

The early integration of the construction and design teams in the planning phase effectively mitigates any delay and enhances overall project coordination. Traditional project delivery methods have a way of separating construction and design, often causing coordination problems and delays, as construction would identify flaws in the design that could have been avoided by integrating earlier in the process. Tomh (2022) found that design changes occur mainly because the design and construction teams are not well coordinated in the planning stage, leading to costly changes at the construction stage. Early input by contractors permits the technical expertise to be incorporated into the design process, as well as determining likely issues before costly changes in the design. According to Ikudayisi et al. (2023), integrated practices offer avenues to enhanced performance in the industry through the convergence of various professional views since the start of the project. Efficient design and building integration, however, necessitates alterations to tried ways of procurement and contractual alternatives, in addition to the early appointment of contractors and integration into design decision-making by the customers.

Obstacles to Effective Implementation of Planning

Organizational conditions generally impede effective planning implementation even when appropriate planning tools and techniques exist, thus provoking resistance against systematic

planning methods. The construction industry has traditionally relied on experience-based decision-making and informal co-ordination practices. These factors have generated resistance towards systematic planning methods, which are perceived as a bureaucratic hurdle for them. Moradi and Sormunen (2023) have seen that lean construction implementation is hindered by organizational challenges like resistance to change, managerial resistance, and ineffective training programs. Most construction practitioners view high-level planning as paperwork that wastes time delaying the start of a project rather than as an investment against future problems, particularly within small organizations where informal practices have previously been successful. Khalil et al. (2023) determined that successful planning requires organizational commitment from top management and investment in training and planning skills improvement.

Despite significant technological advancements in construction planning, various obstacles stand in the way of indiscriminate usage and application of modern planning methods in the industry. Chen et al. (2022) argued that construction technology adoption is extremely variant among organizations, with small firms being particularly hindered in using new planning technologies due to cost and complexity issues that render them uncompetitive. Most construction experts are poorly skilled and prepared to leverage the power of advanced planning software, leading to underutilization of available capabilities and disappointment with technology investment. According to Regona et al. (2022), challenges of AI implementation in construction were researched and concluded that most businesses are not ready to invest in new technology without explicit proof of advantages and return on investment. Integration challenges between different software systems are also impediments because most building projects have multiple organizations that use other technologies that may not communicate well. Additionally, Srivastava et al. (2022) note that technological applications should also consider regulatory limitations that

may inhibit the implementation of new methods and technologies, requiring consideration of compliance matters before adoption.

Emerging Trends and Technologies in Construction Planning

Construction is experiencing a significant digital transformation, reshaping planning practices and management, albeit the adoption remains uneven in organizations. Construction 4.0 refers to an enabler platform that integrates digital technologies with traditional construction practices for greater efficiency and reduced delay through better coordination and management of information, according to Balasubramanian et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning technology are beginning to be used for schedule optimization, resource allocation, and delay prediction, opening new possibilities for proactive project management. Regona et al. (2022) concluded that AI deployment in the construction sector is hindered by significant barriers, including data quality issues, inadequate standardization, and resistance to alterations from traditional practices, limiting technology benefits. However, Chen et al. (2022) discovered that successful digital transformation requires not only the adoption of technology but also the reengineering of business processes, learning new skills, and organizational culture shifts that most firms are not in a position to apply effectively across their operations.

Sustainability concerns are increasingly being integrated into planning methods for construction, introducing new degrees of complication to traditional planning practice and offering opportunities and challenges. Environmental protection standards and green building codes affect material specification, construction practices, and scheduling, so planners are responsible for incorporating sustainability considerations over the whole project cycle rather than just at the end of construction. Sustainable construction will use new materials and methods requiring longer planning time and coordination from non-green building accustomed stakeholders, introducing

possible new delaying causes. Sustainability systems assist project coordination by bringing more systematic resource management and planning practices, Balasubramanian et al. (2021) noted. Therefore, Sustainable planning can reduce some of the causes of conventional delay by encouraging more reflective material specification and quality control that minimizes rework and waste.

Literature Synthesis and Gap Analysis

The literature presents some consensus findings on the relationship between planning and delay in construction projects across different settings. Khalil et al. (2023) determined that delay risks can be reduced by effective planning by up to 40% if supported by management, while Garcés and Peña (2023) demonstrated that lean construction approaches aimed at planning significantly improve the projects' performance. Similarly, Michalski et al. (2022) illustrated that combining lean construction concepts and BIM technology creates synergies that enhance planning effectiveness. However, despite substantial research, substantial gaps still limit practical application. There is limited research on the objective quantification of planning detail; therefore, it is difficult to deduce clearly defined relationships between planning depth and project performance. Whilst stakeholder coordination is widely considered crucial, there is limited research into specific coordination mechanisms and their efficacy in different contexts. Moradi and Sormunen (2023) found similar gaps in research into lean construction implementation, where barriers are well documented but practical solutions are less developed. Therefore, future research should focus on implementation strategies in practice rather than theoretical approaches.

Conclusion

This literature review considered earlier studies on the roles of planning in dealing with construction delays in the construction sector. The review verifies that construction delay remains

a pervasive global phenomenon of significant economic and social influence on projects regardless of location, size, or complexity. The literature fully supports the critical importance of careful planning for delay avoidance, but particular approaches and levels of detail should be tailored to the nature of the project and the organization's abilities. Coordination of the stakeholders in planning is one of the success factors, and involvement early on and effective communication prevent many causes of repeated delays. However, some barriers like organizational resistance and technological and external limitations prevent effective implementation despite good planning practices. The review identifies significant research gaps in previous studies, primarily on systematic quality of planning measurement, specific coordination mechanisms, and practical strategies for overcoming implementation barriers. These shortcomings provide sound grounds for further research by qualitative means to explore planning processes in some depth and yield practice-oriented recommendations.

References

- Ajmal, M. M., Khan, M., Gunasekaran, A., & Helo, P. T. (2022). Managing project scope creep in the construction sector. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 29(7), 2786-2809.
- Alenazi, E., Adamu, Z., & Al-Otaibi, A. (2022). Exploring the nature and impact of client-related delays on contemporary Saudi construction projects. *Buildings*, 12(7), 880.
- Amer, F., Koh, H. Y., & Golparvar-Fard, M. (2021). Automated methods and systems for construction planning and scheduling: Critical review of three decades of research. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 147(7), 03121002.
- Arantes, A., & Ferreira, L. M. D. (2021). A methodology for the development of delay mitigation measures in construction projects. *Production Planning & Control*, 32(3), 228–241.
- Balasubramanian, S., Shukla, V., Islam, N., & Manghat, S. (2021). Construction sector 4.0 and sustainability: an enabling framework. *IEEE transactions on engineering management*, 71, 1–19.
- Chen, X., Chang-Richards, A. Y., Pelosi, A., Jia, Y., Shen, X., Siddiqui, M. K., & Yang, N. (2022). Implementation of technologies in the construction industry: a systematic review. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 29(8), 3181-3209.
- Cooke, B., & Williams, P. (2025). *Construction planning, programming, and control*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Dallasega, P., Marengo, E., & Revolti, A. (2021). Strengths and shortcomings of methodologies for production planning and control of construction projects: a systematic literature review and future perspectives. *Production planning & control*, 32(4), 257–282.

- Garcés, G., & Peña, C. (2023). A review of lean construction for construction project management. *Revista ingeniería de construcción*, 38(1).
- Ikudayisi, A. E., Chan, A. P., Darko, A., & Adedeji, Y. M. (2023). Integrated architecture, engineering, and construction practices: current scope and pathway towards industry 5.0. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 73, 106788.
- Khalil, S. A., Ihsan, A., Khan, D. I., & Ali, A. (2023). Role of project planning in the success of construction projects: Mediated by top management support. *International Review of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 11(1), 34-44.
- Kerzner, H. (2025). *Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Khouja, A., Lehoux, N., Cimon, Y., & Cloutier, C. (2021). Collaborative interorganizational relationships in a project-based industry. *Buildings*, 11(11), 502.
- Michalski, A., Głodziński, E., & Böde, K. (2022). Lean construction management techniques and BIM technology—systematic literature review. *Procedia Computer Science*, 196, 1036-1043.
- Moradi, S., & Sormunen, P. (2023). Implementing lean construction: a literature study of barriers, enablers, and implications. *Buildings*, 13(2), 556.
- Motaleb, O. (2021). Risk response development in construction projects delay: Multiple case studies from the UAE. *ASCE-ASME Journal of Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering Systems, Part A: Civil Engineering*, 7(3), 05021004.
- Naimi, H. A. S., & Alobadi, S. (2023). A study of construction delays. *International Journal on "Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering"(IJTPE)*, 15(54), 296-308.

- Regona, M., Yigitcanlar, T., Xia, B., & Li, R. Y. M. (2022). Opportunities and adoption challenges of AI in the construction industry: A PRISMA review. *Journal of open innovation: technology, market, and complexity*, 8(1), 45.
- Sami Ur Rehman, M., Shafiq, M. T., & Afzal, M. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 on project performance in the UAE construction industry. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 20(1), 245–266.
- Sanni-Anibire, M. O., Mohamad Zin, R., & Olatunji, S. O. (2022). Causes of delay in the global construction industry: a meta-analytical review. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 22(8), 1395–1407.
- Srivastava, A., Jawaid, S., Singh, R., Gehlot, A., Akram, S. V., Priyadarshi, N., & Khan, B. (2022). The imperative role of technology intervention and implementation for automation in the construction industry. *Advances in Civil Engineering*, 2022(1), 6716987.
- Tomh, M. A. (2022). Investigating the factors affecting the design change in the construction industry in the UAE. *International Journal for Global Academic & Scientific Research*, 1(4), 60-73.