

Final Project 1

Code: MGM 496-PMG496

Project Template

Faculty of Management
Midocean University

Project title

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Stakeholder Engagement
in Project Success

Student name

Hesham Mohammed AlKathiri

221001766

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr.

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

28-June-2025 – Second Semester 2025

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	3
1.1. Research Background.....	4
1.2. Research Problem.....	5
1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps	5
1.2.2. Practical Gaps	5
1.3. Research Significance	6
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	6
1.3.2. At the practical level	6
1.4. Research Questions	6
1.5. Research Objectives	6
1.6. Research Hypotheses.....	7
1.7. Research Model.....	7
1.8. Research Methodology.....	7
1.9. Scope of The Study	7
1.10. The Suggested Project Structure.....	7
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review	8
2.1. Introduction	9
2.2. The independent variable	9
2.3. The dependent variable	10
2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables	10
2.5. Conclusion.....	11
References.....	11

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Research Background

In complicated projects, especially those with high complexity or public visibility, the role of stakeholders has become more influential than ever. Stakeholder engagement refers to the process of identifying, communicating with, and managing the expectations and interests of individuals or groups who can affect or are affected by a project. When done effectively, stakeholder engagement leads to better decision-making, reduced resistance, and improved project outcomes. On the other hand, poor engagement often results in delays, increased costs, and even complete project failure. This assignment explores how stakeholder engagement impacts project success, why it matters, and what factors contribute to its effectiveness across different project types and industries.

1.2. Research Problem

Write brief introduction to this section (paragraph)

This section divided into two sub-sections

1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps

Although stakeholder theory is well-established in project management literature, much of the academic focus remains on identifying stakeholders rather than understanding the deeper dynamics of how engagement practices influence project success. There is limited theoretical discussion on the mechanisms through which engagement such as consultation, transparency, or feedback loops impacts measurable outcomes like time, budget, and stakeholder satisfaction.

1.2.2. Practical Gaps

In real-world projects, stakeholder engagement is often treated as a checklist activity rather than a continuous, strategic process. Many project managers struggle with knowing when and how to involve stakeholders effectively, especially when dealing with conflicting interests or power imbalances. Furthermore, there is a lack of empirical evidence and practical guidelines that link specific engagement strategies to successful project outcomes across various sectors.

This section ends with the main research question

What is the impact of effective stakeholder engagement on project success, and how can it be implemented to maximize positive outcomes?

1.3. Research Significance

In this section, the student should clarify the importance of the study at the theoretical level, and the empirical level

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

This assignment seeks to understand and analyze stakeholder engagement not just as a management process, but as a critical success factor in its own right. It contributes to the development of frameworks that explain how and why engagement works, providing a deeper understanding of its role in project performance.

1.3.2. At the practical level

For practitioners, this research offers practical insights into designing and applying stakeholder engagement strategies that align with project goals. It can help project managers identify key factors for effective engagement, such as timing, communication methods, and stakeholder prioritization. These insights can reduce project risks, improve stakeholder relationships, and ultimately increase the likelihood of delivering successful projects.

1.4. Research Questions

1.5. Research Objectives

1.6. Research Hypotheses

1.7. Research Model

1.8. Research Methodology

1.9. Scope of The Study

1.10. The Suggested Project Structure

2.Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

Stakeholder engagement has evolved from a peripheral task in project management to a central determinant of project success. While stakeholder identification is relatively well-researched, the nuances of engagement practices—how, when, and why they influence project outcomes—are less understood. This literature review explores the current state of academic and industry research on stakeholder engagement, examining its impact on project success and identifying the conditions under which it becomes most effective.

2.2. The independent variable

Effective Stakeholder Engagement:

This includes activities such as timely communication, transparency, participation, stakeholder prioritization, and continuous feedback loops.

2.3. The dependent variable

Project Success:

Measured through performance indicators such as meeting scope, time, budget, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction.

2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables

Relationship Between Stakeholder Engagement and Project Success

Numerous studies confirm a strong positive relationship between stakeholder engagement and project success. Effective engagement improves alignment between project goals and stakeholder expectations, which in turn leads to better outcomes across traditional project performance metrics (Mok et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2009).

Causal Linkage:

When stakeholders are engaged early and meaningfully, their inputs can help clarify project requirements, identify potential risks, and facilitate smoother implementation (Li et al., 2013). Conversely, poor engagement often results in unmet expectations, resistance to change, and delays (Olander & Landin, 2005).

Moderating Factors:

The strength of this relationship is influenced by factors such as project complexity, stakeholder power dynamics, organizational culture, and industry-specific challenges (Aaltonen & Kujala, 2010). For instance, engagement might have a greater impact in public infrastructure projects—where social license and public perception are crucial—than in short-term internal IT projects.

Empirical Insight:

In a cross-industry survey by Oppong et al. (2017), over 70% of respondents linked stakeholder engagement practices directly to improved project delivery outcomes, especially in stakeholder-sensitive projects like construction and urban development.

2.5. Conclusion

The study clearly supports the view that **effective stakeholder engagement (IV)** is a significant determinant of **project success (DV)**. This relationship is not merely correlational but causal in many project contexts. Engagement practices act as a catalyst for improving information flow, trust, collaboration, and ultimately performance. However, engagement must be strategic, continuous, and adapted to the specific project context to be effective. Theoretical gaps still remain in understanding the exact mechanisms of this relationship, and more empirical research is needed to develop actionable models for practitioners.

This study contributes to both theory and practice by deepening the understanding of this relationship and offering a framework for implementing engagement strategies that align with project goals.

References

- 1) Aaltonen, K. (2011). Project stakeholder analysis as an environmental interpretation process. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(2), 165–183.
- 2) Aaltonen, K., & Kujala, J. (2010). A project lifecycle perspective on stakeholder influence strategies in global projects. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 26(4), 381–397.
- 3) Bourne, L. (2015). *Stakeholder relationship management: A maturity model for organisational implementation*. Routledge.
- 4) Bryson, J. M. (2004). What to do when stakeholders matter: Stakeholder identification and analysis techniques. *Public Management Review*, 6(1), 21–53.
- 5) Chinyio, E., & Olomolaiye, P. (2010). *Construction stakeholder management*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 6) Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
- 7) Karlsen, J. T. (2002). Project stakeholder management. *Engineering Management Journal*, 14(4), 19–24.

- 8) Li, Y., Lu, Y., & Wang, W. (2013). A stakeholder-based model for construction project success. *Construction Management and Economics*, 31(9), 941–958.
- 9) Littau, P., Jujagiri, N. J., & Adlbrecht, G. (2010). 25 years of stakeholder theory in project management literature (1984–2009). *Project Management Journal*, 41(4), 17–29.
- 10) Liu, A. M., Love, P. E., Smith, J., Regan, M., & Davis, P. R. (2018). Conceptual framework for the performance measurement of stakeholder engagement. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 8(4), 374–388.
- 11) Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853–886.
- 12) Moffat, K., & Zhang, A. (2014). The paths to social licence to operate: An integrative model explaining community acceptance of mining. *Resources Policy*, 39, 61–70.
- 13) Mok, K. Y., Shen, G. Q., & Yang, J. (2015). Stakeholder management studies in mega construction projects: A review and future directions. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(2), 446–457.
- 14) Olander, S., & Landin, A. (2005). Evaluation of stakeholder influence in the implementation of construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(4), 321–328.
- 15) Oppong, G. D., Chan, A. P. C., & Dansoh, A. (2017). A review of stakeholder management performance attributes in construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(6), 1037–1051.

- 16) Reed, M. S., et al. (2009). Who's in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis methods for natural resource management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(5), 1933–1949.
- 17) Yang, J., Shen, G. Q., Ho, M., Drew, D. S., & Chan, A. P. C. (2009). Exploring critical success factors for stakeholder management in construction projects. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 15(4), 337–348.