

**MIDOCEAN UNIVERSITY**

**College of Business Administration**

**Major: Master of Business Administration**



# Strategic Transition of Geophysical Service Companies from Infrastructure investigation to Minerals exploration Sector

**Mohammad Abdulkarim Mohammed Alkhulayfi**

**Dr. Faisal Abdulhamid**

**Dr. Fayez Jarad**

**Academic Year:**

**2025/2026**

# Contents

|                                                                                      |           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <i>Introduction .....</i>                                                            | <i>3</i>  |
| <i>Problem Statement .....</i>                                                       | <i>5</i>  |
| <i>Significance of the Study.....</i>                                                | <i>7</i>  |
| <i>Objectives.....</i>                                                               | <i>9</i>  |
| <i>Research Questions .....</i>                                                      | <i>10</i> |
| <i>Hypotheses .....</i>                                                              | <i>11</i> |
| <i>Literature Review .....</i>                                                       | <i>12</i> |
| Strategic Diversification and Sectoral Transition .....                              | 12        |
| Organizational Adaptation and Change Management .....                                | 12        |
| Technological Innovation and Data-Driven Approaches .....                            | 12        |
| Regulatory and Environmental Challenges .....                                        | 13        |
| Economic Drivers and Market Forces .....                                             | 13        |
| Sustainability and Stakeholder Engagement .....                                      | 13        |
| Case Evidence and Empirical Findings .....                                           | 14        |
| <i>Theoretical Framework .....</i>                                                   | <i>15</i> |
| Organizational Change and Transition Models .....                                    | 15        |
| Sectoral Analysis and Competitive Dynamics .....                                     | 15        |
| Digital Transformation and Sustainable Transition & Applications of the Thesis ..... | 16        |
| Application to the Thesis .....                                                      | 16        |
| <i>Methodology .....</i>                                                             | <i>17</i> |
| Research Design .....                                                                | 17        |
| Data Collection .....                                                                | 17        |
| Research Ethics .....                                                                | 18        |
| Limitations .....                                                                    | 19        |
| <i>Research Tools .....</i>                                                          | <i>19</i> |
| <i>Scope and Limitations .....</i>                                                   | <i>21</i> |
| Limitations of the Study. ....                                                       | 21        |
| <i>References .....</i>                                                              | <i>22</i> |

## Introduction

The geophysical services industry, which has always been fundamental to construction services for infrastructure development and construction projects, plays a significant role in providing basic information through subsurface investigations, foundation assessments, and soil characterization. Historically, many local geophysical services have primarily focused on the construction industry, providing assistance to engineers and builders in overcoming risk and meeting the requirements of construction regulations. But the scenario of geophysical services is evolving rapidly, particularly in economies that have an ambition to diversify resources and improve their technology. Global demand for minerals and metals are seeing huge increases in response to renewable energy technology, accelerated digital infrastructure development and industrialization in emerging market sectors, which is driving a number of new opportunities and new challenges for geophysical companies. These developments are particularly notable in countries such as Saudi Arabia where national policies such as Vision 2030 support the mining industry by positioning it as one of the critical drivers of economic diversification, sustainability and long-term development. Growing geophysical services from the investigation of construction projects to the exploration of minerals is not just a reaction with market demands, but a necessity for the continuation of the business and sectoral presence. However, making the pivot would necessarily come with significant expectations, such as the requirement to acquire new deep-target exploration capabilities, to invest in sophisticated geophysical technologies, to reorganize, retrain, and to adapt to a newly-formed competitive and regulatory environment. A comprehensive comprehension of the multidisciplinary drivers of this strategic shift and the operational and cultural obstacles facing geological enterprises is necessary for industry participants seeking to develop innovation and sustainability in the industry. This paper investigates the strategic orientation of geophysical service companies which have historically concentrated on near-surface explorations for construction operations, such as soil testing, foundation evaluation, and site assessment, to providing geophysical services for extractive mining. But as more companies seek other industries for their operations (and to develop services as well), the nature of their work has become more diverse. This represents a change in target orientation and direction of energy markets driven by increased demand for exploration and industry's desire to diversify. The context

for the change is outlined in the context of the mining industry, where exploration of minerals and the broadening application of techniques for geophysical construction and exploration of geophysical engineering also gained more significance. An investigation of why companies are going this route and what difficulties they are likely to face, and the need for strategic decisions as it develops in the face of their changing industry is also covered.

## Problem Statement

Well over 30 years of service, geophysical workers working in construction industries and having worked for mining companies for 30 years have been nudged to transition to minerals exploration in a world featuring increasing demand for crucial raw materials as well as technological and policy changes. This move is especially jarring in resource diversification and sustainable development focused regions such as Saudi Arabia. Despite opportunities for mineral exploration such as the ability to access new markets, enhanced business resilience, and alignment with national development strategies, geophysical companies must contend with strategic and operational challenges during the transition. They must effectively bridge both technical hurdles and competitive pressures, however the result for them is tough. There is still a great challenge to address: advanced geophysical methods for deeper and variable levels of ore targets must be developed and integrated. Firms need to shift resources, invest in specialized training and upskilling, reconfigure their organizational structures, and effectively manage significant regulatory, environmental, and market forces. The migration, besides risk itself also brings significant risks - including barriers to adoption of tech, resistance to organizational change, inefficiency in asset utilization and the possibility of operational inefficiency and competitiveness erosion. Some organizations may have to cope with the new environmental regulations, supply chains that require tough sustainability norms and management of complicated chains for critical minerals and short term operations on demanding projects with changing business strategies over time. Ultimately the problem for geophysical service companies is to push such change effectively: how do they realize the sort of strategic pivot from construction investigation to minerals exploration that is technically viable, operationally feasible and long-term in long-term terms, while also keeping pace and changing in a manner that is viable, competitive and sustainable, and that meets their new customers and different stakeholders expectations now and in-shape and emerging from the evolution of today's global environment. This study seeks to unpack and confront these complex difficulties, providing practice development and strategic viewpoints that can inform pragmatic recommendations. For some time as a means of construction work, geophysical service firms have done a near-surface business as infrastructure construction. Yet they encounter strategic and technical barriers to entering the mining industry. These challenges also relate

to resettling technical capability of mining resources, resourcing resources, retraining staff, and repositioning in new markets. This research explores how firms may adapt to this transition and how they may best, sustainably respond by doing so.

## Significance of the Study

Importantly, the current study is important in academia and practice and tackles an issue facing the geophysical services industry which now faces the transition from construction investigation to mineral exploration. The strategic transition we explore is key to satisfying the increasing worldwide demand for critical minerals that are indispensable to renewable energy applications, digital infrastructure, and industrial diversification projects. Through investigating aspects - the drivers, barriers, and positive behaviors - that underlie these changes, this paper offers new perspectives into the strategic management landscape of the technical services industry and offers a better perspective on organizational change as a part of a fast-changing marketplace. Theoretical insights derived from strategic management and applied geophysics help to deepen research into sectoral transition strategies. It is a practical guidebook of recommendations also includes suggestions to geophysical companies wanting to expand into the global mining industry, including advice concerning how to navigate change, identify and evaluate feasibility and alignment of practices and align operations with novel market priorities. From an industry standpoint, these results are very applicable to the geophysical industry, for both geophysical companies and mining companies, and policymakers willing to promote sustainable development and economic resilient development. Despite increasing policies in nations such as Saudi Arabia to diversify away from hydrocarbons, the success in the minerals industry is dependent upon advanced service suppliers availability and capabilities. Geophysical companies adept at addressing the strategic, technical, and operational challenges associated with mineral exploration will be pivotal in expediting state industrial goals and bolstering the wider mining value chain. What is more, this study considers how geophysical organizations can match new environmental, social, and governance (ESG) norms. With sustainability, ethical sourcing, and low-carbon practices taking center stage in the global mining sector now, advanced geophysical techniques are vital to mitigating impact, optimizing exploration, and increasing transparency along the supply chain. So, knowledge of best practices for sectoral transition lays the groundwork for the development of a more responsible and responsive geophysical services sector that is also an increasingly indispensable part of just energy transition and sustainable global growth. Academically, the study fills a gap in the literature on sectoral transition strategies, identifies a need for empirical research in emerging markets and

provides frameworks that practitioners and scholars can adapt. These evidence-based recommendations will contribute to developing future research and higher education curriculum areas in mineral economics, strategic management, and sustainable resources.

## Objectives

The goals of this research are therefore to address the strategic transformation of geophysical service companies from investigation of construction-related issues to mineral exploration activity, and also to provide findings of practical and academic use. In particular, the goals are:

1. To discover and investigate the key determinants of the transition of geophysical service firms from projects focused on the construction field to mineral exploration service provision. This comprises the economic situation – including growing demand for critical minerals, new exploration technology, national policies which promote the development of a larger mining sector and diversification within a particular market.
2. To explore the main strategic, technical and operational challenges faced by geophysical companies in the transition process. Challenges include technology adaptation, workforce skills shifting, redeployment of resources, organizational restructuring, regulatory compliance, and environmental concern of mineral exploration projects.
3. Development and proposition of models and strategic frameworks that will help geophysical firms to maintain a successful, sustainable integration into the mining exploration market. This covers evaluating change management processes, investments in geophysical technologies, the incorporation of new ESG requirements, and market repositioning plans.
4. The aim of this work is to investigate the implications of this shift for the Saudi Arabian geophysical service sector by framing that transition in the context of broader economic diversification and Vision 2030 initiatives, as well as suggest sector-specific recommendations. A research on how local firms are utilizing these opportunities and overcoming sectoral barriers will be valuable to national policy and corporate strategy design.
5. To contribute to the academic literature by filling the strategic management and applied geophysics research gaps that affect both sectoral transitions in developing and emerging economies.

## Research Questions

1. What are the major contributors driving geophysical service companies to move from construction investigation to explore minerals? The question seeks to discern the basic economic, technological, regulatory, and policy reasons for strategic changes.
2. What are the major strategic, technical, and operational challenges that geophysical firms encounter in the transition to mineral exploration? Barriers to technology adoption, workforce reskilling, organizational change, environmental considerations, and market dynamics are highlighted.
3. How does company structure and strategic vision influence performance in a business that has to evolve and succeed moving away from construction-based projects and into the mineral exploration business process? This explores the internal drivers of facilitation and impediments to management, investment, and leadership.
4. Are there any best practices, models, or frameworks to recommend and propose that can be used by geophysical companies to facilitate the successful and sustainable transition into the mineral exploration market? Focuses on evidence-informed strategy formulation and lessons from the real world.
5. How can Saudi Arabia geophysical service companies optimize opportunities and mitigate constraints grounded in local economic context and regulation within a broader framework towards national economic objectives? This contextualizes the question in the context of Vision 2030 as well as national sectoral development.

## Hypotheses

1. H1: Geophysical service companies that have successfully transitioned from construction investigation to mineral exploration are greatly aided by a well-defined strategic vision. Organizations that have clearly defined objectives and plan ahead approach operational and market challenges far better and drive sustained business growth within the mining industry.
2. H2: A geophysical company organizational structure drastically influences the organization and their capability to adapt and thrive in mineral exploration. Companies with nimble, flexible structures and change management capabilities are more suitable to acquire new competences and implement advanced technology necessary for mineral projects.
3. H3: An efficient and competitive application of state-of-the-art geophysical techniques and capabilities (like 3D seismic imaging, airborne magnetics, AI-based modeling) enhances operational efficiency and market competitiveness for geophysical service companies in the minerals exploration sector.
4. H4: The congruence and promotion of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) standards enhances the position, and the long-term sustainability, of geophysical companies moving towards mineral exploration, most critically in resource development and energy transition committed parts of the world.

## Literature Review

### Strategic Diversification and Sectoral Transition

Mining exploration diversification has been considered a prerequisite for the stability and expansion of geophysical service companies in the volatile construction markets, as well as for the increased global demand for critical minerals. Academics mention that transition strategies must fit with a firm's core competencies, regional market opportunities, innovation capacity, and are in response to changing client needs. New research notes that sectoral transition is not merely an adjustment to market cycles; it is a strategic repositioning that can secure long-term competitiveness in economies like Saudi Arabia that have shown commitment to economic diversification

### Organizational Adaptation and Change Management

Research on change management in mining and geophysical services highlights the complexities and scale of the adaptation to successfully implement transitions. As Leonida (2021) shows, adaptability is not limited to technical training, and it includes mindset shifts, sustained leadership, strategic communication and employee engagement. Mining and service companies often downplay the tenacity and persistence it takes to transform settled behavior and culture. Well-designed and effective change management must sponsor operational as well as strategic innovation, with senior management setting long-term visions and overseeing implementation. Agile methodologies, collaboration, and continuous workforce redevelopment create more flexibility and responsiveness in both mine planning and exploration phases.

### Technological Innovation and Data-Driven Approaches

Emerging geophysical technologies hyperspectral imaging, drone-based surveys, artificial intelligence, real-time monitoring platforms, and blockchain traceability — are now fundamental to cost reduction, operational efficiency, and environmental compliance in mineral exploration. Integration of magnetic, gravity, electromagnetic, radiometric, seismic, and remote sensing methods is a key driver of discovery success, as well as a means to ensure higher-resolution, lower-impact exploration. Digitalization trends and data-driven modeling have made rapid, scenario-based evaluations possible, advancing both resource identification and portfolio management.

## **Regulatory and Environmental Challenges**

The regulatory environment for the exploration of geophysical minerals is strongly influenced by legislation requiring protection of the environment, transparency with the subject matter of projects, and participation of stakeholders. Such requirements impose upon companies that, before starting exploration, they should anticipate and mitigate adverse ecosystem effects, as set down in laws such as the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and requirements for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). Non-adherence often results in substantial delays, cost overruns, and reputational damage. Service providers are increasingly required to show traceable ethical sourcing, continual monitoring of emissions and environmental footprints, and accountable supply chain management through digital tools.

## **Economic Drivers and Market Forces**

The energy transition and the world's shift toward renewable technologies are driving new, never-before-seen mineral needs for copper, lithium, cobalt, and rare earth elements. As companies try to meet these demands, it becomes necessary to test geological scenarios quickly, prioritize acquisition targets, and expedite exploration investment & exploration workflow. Economic pressures, alongside regulatory constraints, thus form a dual axis driving both urgency and complexity in sectoral transitions.

## **Sustainability and Stakeholder Engagement**

It is reported that organizations combining sustainability in the mineral exploration value chain and the participation of civil society gain a competitive advantage. Good practices in autonomous mapping, community awareness efforts, transparent reporting, and adopting low-impact technologies reduce project risks and foster better relationships with stakeholders and regulators. New technology initiatives are scaled up and rolled out commercially in the market, making company-wide and industry-wide competition sharper.

## Case Evidence and Empirical Findings

Recent case studies reveal both the benefits and pitfalls of transition strategies. Companies achieving milestones such as regulatory approval for new exploration licenses—highlight flexibility, compliance, and innovative approaches as determinative factors of success. Conversely, firms struggling with regulatory, environmental, or technological hurdles suggest areas for further research and improvement. Comparative studies across countries and regions illuminate best practices and contextual barriers.

## Theoretical Framework

From recent case studies, we see both the strengths and weaknesses of transition strategies. Firms that achieve milestones such as regulatory approval for new exploration licenses—point to flexibility, compliance, and novel approaches as important determinants of success. In contrast, companies facing regulatory, environmental, or technological challenges indicate avenues of future exploration and optimization. Cross-country and regional comparative studies shed light on best practices and contextual barriers. Utilizing strategic management, organizational change theory, and sectoral analysis, the thesis draws upon a theoretically grounded understanding of the challenges and opportunities faced by geophysical service companies as they make the transition from construction investigation to minerals exploration.

## Organizational Change and Transition Models

Transitioning from construction investigation services to mineral exploration requires purposeful organizational adaptation. Relevant theories include:

- Kotter's 8-Step Change Model: Highlights the need for leadership-driven change, vision articulation, stakeholder engagement, and removal of barriers during transition processes.
- Transition Design Framework: Focuses on aligning company vision, strategies of change, and stakeholder mindsets with operational adaptation and sustainability objectives, particularly in industries undergoing rapid sectoral redefinition.

## Sectoral Analysis and Competitive Dynamics

By studying the external factors and competitive forces on the transition, the model combines:

- SWOT Analysis: used to identify strengths, weaknesses, external opportunities, and threats of the geophysical firms as they explore minerals. We focus on the position in the market, readiness of the technology, and the risk factors.
- PESTEL Analysis: Analyzes the Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, and Legal factors that will affect the transition. That goes into looking at regulatory frameworks, policy incentives, technological disruptions, and sustainability mandates.
- Porter's Five Forces: Evaluates competitive intensity and industry attractiveness, assessing barriers to entry, supplier power, buyer dynamics, threat of substitutes, and rivalry in the minerals exploration sector.

## Digital Transformation and Sustainable Transition & Applications of the Thesis

With the fast pace of digitalization in mining and geophysical services, the theoretical framework also supports:

- Sustainability-Based Strategic Frameworks: Recognize the pivotal role of digital transformation, integration of new technologies, and the shift toward low-carbon practices in sustaining growth and meeting stakeholder expectations during transition.

### Application to the Thesis

This integrated theoretical approach enables holistic analysis of the strategic, organizational, and external dimensions of transition, guiding empirical investigation and the development of practical models. The framework supports the study in deciphering the multiphase journey geophysical service companies undertake balancing legacy business models with future-oriented opportunities, risk management, and sustainable operations.

## Methodology

Adopting a mixed-methods research design of qualitative and quantitative research, this study offers a holistic understanding regarding the strategic transition undertaken by geophysical service companies that transition from construction investigation to minerals exploration.

### Research Design

It is mainly descriptive and analytical with emphasis on revealing the dynamics and outcomes of sectoral transition. A case study format is utilized in this examination, for organizational experiences, strategic decisions and real-world operational adaptation, it gives both depth and contextual nuance.

### Data Collection

#### Semi-Structured Interviews:

We also have our interview process to work with our target audiences and the main ones who work with geophysical services companies/internationally known benchmarks like Saudi geophysical service companies' executives, managers, technical staff, and industry experts. This interview protocol will facilitate in-depth insights about the drivers of change, barriers, strategic decisions, and processes of change management.

#### Survey Questionnaire:

A structured questionnaire tool to be sent out to operational and technical staff in the selected companies. It surveys workforce perceptions, organizational culture, readiness for change, technology adoption, and perceptions of new market opportunities. For employees, it allows us to survey both sides of the debate.

#### Document and Policy Analysis:

The organization's reports and annual documents, as well as national policy papers and sectoral strategy declarations, are examined for external drivers and institutional factors affecting the transition. We analyze the following and consider all changes at the core of the institutional infrastructure and institutional changes to national policy for the transition.

#### Secondary Data and Case Study Reviews:

To compare and corroborate key results, the review gathers literature and academic research as well as market analyses and published case studies in other areas and enterprises.

#### Sampling:

Case study companies were selected through purposive sampling from companies that are actively transitioning from construction investigation to minerals exploration between 2020 and 2025. The sample is accompanied by industry experts and regulators to inform wider sectoral context.

#### Data Analysis:

- **Qualitative Analysis:** Interviews and document reviews were analyzed further through content analysis software, coded into thematic analysis using content analysis software, and a thematic summary was generated. Trends across the interviews and documents were found and mapped to the theoretical framework. Patterns are assessed regarding change management, strategic planning, and technology adoption.
- **Quantitative Analysis:** Descriptive statistics and cross-tabulation of the results of the survey are examined to analyze the patterns of attitudes, readiness, and outcomes, and to find similarities and differences between the survey and baseline outcomes. To the extent that inferential statistics can be found to be suitable, the influence of strategic vision and organizational structure on successful transition is examined.
- **Triangulation:** The validity and reliability will be confirmed by triangulating all findings as far as qualitative and quantitative studies, as well as secondary literature, are concerned.

#### Research Ethics

Participation in the project is considered voluntary and confidential, and informed consent is obtained before data collection. Interviews and survey responses are anonymized to safeguard both individual and corporate privacy. This research protocol is set in line with the university guidelines on ethics and data protection.

## Limitations

The research was limited in scope to Saudi geophysical firms and a sample of international comparators transitioning to the minerals sector; findings are not fully applicable to companies outside this context, or that operate under materially different regulatory environments.

## Research Tools

This paper uses mixed methods research technique, which involves collecting data from both qualitative and quantitative data sources in order to understand the issue at hand. In this research, different quantitative and qualitative tools have been applied to the purpose of gathering, analyzing and making sense of data in an integrated way that follows the mixed methods study design. The tools are deliberately chosen to facilitate a comprehensive and holistic view of the strategic change management inside geophysical service firms.

### Semi-Structured Interviews:

To obtain in-depth qualitative data regarding the motivations, difficulties, and strategies from executives, management, and operational staff in a transition from construction investigation to mineral exploration, interviews were arranged. This instrument facilitates the investigation of difficult ideas and context.

### Survey Questionnaires:

To investigate the attitudes, willingness and use of new technologies within geophysical corporations, survey format questions are used to a variety of employees at ground level. Likert scale items are included for statistical analysis, with several alternative answers.

### Document and Policy Analysis:

Formal frameworks and external environmental factors influence transition decision making and relevant organizational reports, internal strategy documents, and government policy papers are analyzed for this purpose.

### Content Analysis Software (e.g., NVivo, MAXQDA):

Qualitative data from interviews and documents are submitted to software packages such as NVivo or MAXQDA, for systematic thematic coding and pattern discovery. Analysis of texts

and other material is aided by these tools and methods that help to facilitate the analysis of large-scale textual data, allowing extensive and replicable qualitative data analysis.

Statistical Software (e.g., SPSS, R):

Descriptive and inferential statistics are conducted using statistical packages like SPSS and R, which facilitate validation and generalization of the qualitative information findings to quantitative survey data.

Triangulation Approach:

To improve its reliability and validity, the research integrates data from multiple sources and methods in a triangulated way combining rich qualitative insights found in interviews with the numerical robustness of survey data along with a context-building study from document reviews.

## Scope and Limitations

The research aims to explore how and why geophysical service organizations strategically transform from construction investigation to minerals exploration with an aim on Saudi Arabian companies operating between 2020 and 2025. It addresses the impetus for this change, the obstacles faced, and the strategies that can help navigate this transformation. The study will concern both internal organizational dynamics including technology utilization, workforce development, and management operations and environmental (governance, market conditions, and sustainability) external variables. This includes primary data from semi-structured interviews and field-based surveys of industry stakeholders, secondary analysis of company documents, and knowledge of national policy. Comparison with international case examples lends comprehensive context, but for the sake of depth and relevance, the empirical component of the research is geographically specific to local industry and policy settings.

### Limitations of the Study.

Some limitations in the comprehensive design are acknowledged. The geographic limitation of the study to Saudi Arabia, while also potentially reducing the applicability of the findings in other countries' political contexts. This sample size, while purposive and focused on relevant institutions, may not represent the full range of experiences and practices within the worldwide geophysical services business. Methodologically, the use of qualitative interviews may lead to potential biases including respondents' subjectivity and limits on recall or availability. And although qualitative data is supplemented by surveys and document analysis to help overcome this bias, some of the nuance or divergent viewpoints may be omitted. Moreover, the rapid development dynamics of technology and policy in mineral exploration suggests findings are temporal and may need to be revisited or updated as change takes place in the industry. Last but not least, access to highly detailed proprietary company data is unavailable, since confidentiality restrictions may lead to a lack of operational detail in the granularity of operational perspectives. The analysis therefore considers strategic, organizational and external resources publicly available or open to publication of the research.

## References

- Le, H. (2019). Literature Review on Diversification Strategy. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 9, 91-108.
- Oladimeji, M. S., & Udosen, I. (2021). The Effect of Diversification Strategy on Organizational Performance. *Journal of Competitiveness*.
- Simplar Institute. (2019). Drivers of Organizational Change within the AEC Industry.
- Lauff, C. A., et al. (2025). Comparing Organizational Structures: Two Case Studies of Engineering Companies. *ASEE*.
- GlobeNewswire. (2024). North America Geophysical Services Market Forecasts and Opportunities to 2029.
- Farmonaut. (2025). Exploration geophysics: 2025 data-driven mining innovation. <https://farmonaut.com/exploration-geophysics-2025-data-driven-mining-innovation/>
- GlobeNewswire. (2024). North America geophysical services market forecasts and opportunities to 2029.
- Halliburton. (2024). Fulfill the mineral demands for the energy transition. <https://www.halliburton.com/>
- International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). (2023). *Geopolitics of the energy transition: Critical materials*. <https://irena.org/>
- Le, H. (2019). Literature review on diversification strategy. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 9, 91–108.
- Lauff, C. A., et al. (2025). Comparing organizational structures: Two case studies of engineering companies. *ASEE*.
- Oladimeji, M. S., & Udosen, I. (2021). The effect of diversification strategy on organizational performance. *Journal of Competitiveness*.
- Simplar Institute. (2019). Drivers of organizational change within the AEC industry.
- Worley. (2025). Mining: Making the move from open pit to underground. <https://worley.com/>