

Research ethics

AI900a



MidOcean University

College of Information Technology

Department / Program: Master of Science in Artificial Intelligence

Research Ethics

Prepared by:

- Ruba Abu saifin
- Ghaida Al-Suwaidan
-

Supervised by:

Dr. Yasser Abdel

Faculty Member, College of Information Technology
MidOcean University

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction**
- 2. Fundamental Ethical Principles**
- 3. Major Ethical Issues in Research Practice**
 - Data Management
 - Scientific Authorship and Credit Attribution
 - Plagiarism
 - Conflict of Interest
- 4. Research Misconduct**
- 5. Oversight and Compliance**
- 6. Conclusion**
- 7. References**

Introduction

Ethical conduct has become a central concern in contemporary scientific research, particularly as research findings increasingly influence public policies, healthcare decisions, and technological advancements. In an era of rapid innovation and global collaboration, maintaining ethical standards is essential for preserving public trust and ensuring the reliability and credibility of research outcomes.

Ethical guidelines protect research participants, safeguard the integrity of scientific processes, and prevent the misuse of scientific knowledge. When researchers adhere to ethical principles, they contribute to a culture of transparency, accountability, and respect.

This research aims to review the fundamental ethical principles of scientific research, discuss the most common ethical violations that may occur during the research process, and explain the oversight and compliance mechanisms that help ensure the integrity of research practices .

Fundamental Ethical Principles

Fundamental ethical principles form the foundation of responsible research practice. They require researchers to demonstrate honesty and integrity by reporting data truthfully, avoiding the fabrication or manipulation of results, and acknowledging the limitations of their studies. These principles are essential for producing reliable scientific knowledge and preventing the distortion of the scientific record (Resnik, 2020).

Another key principle is objectivity, which involves minimizing personal, financial, or other forms of bias. Objectivity influences every stage of the research process—from designing experiments to interpreting results—and helps ensure that findings reflect empirical evidence rather than subjective expectations (National Academy of Sciences, 2017).

A third fundamental principle is respect for research participants. This includes obtaining informed consent, protecting privacy and confidentiality, and minimizing potential harm. Respect for participants ensures that scientific progress does not compromise individual dignity, rights, or well-being (World Medical Association, 2013).

Major Ethical Issues in Research Practice

Even when researchers understand ethical principles, practical challenges often arise during the research process. One major issue is data management, which involves the responsible collection, storage, analysis, and sharing of data. Poor data management can lead to breaches of confidentiality, data loss, or the misinterpretation of results. Increasingly, journals and research institutions require data transparency to enhance the reproducibility of scientific findings (Miguel et al., 2014).

Authorship and credit attribution are also important ethical concerns in scientific research. Authorship should be granted only to individuals who have made substantial contributions to the design of the study, data collection, data

analysis, or manuscript preparation. It is considered unethical to include individuals who did not actively contribute to the research, a practice known as gift authorship, or to exclude individuals who made significant contributions, a practice known as ghost authorship. Therefore, scientific credit should be distributed fairly and transparently among all contributors.

Plagiarism is another serious ethical violation. It includes copying the work of others without proper acknowledgment, paraphrasing without citation, or reusing one's own previously published work without disclosure (self-plagiarism). Plagiarism undermines academic credibility and violates intellectual property rights (Roig, 2015).

Finally, conflicts of interest arise when personal, financial, or other relationships influence—or appear to influence—research decisions. Transparency regarding conflicts of interest is essential for maintaining trust in scientific findings and ensuring the integrity of the research process (Resnik, 2020).

Research Misconduct

Research misconduct refers to serious violations that threaten the integrity of the scientific process. The three main forms of misconduct are fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism (Office of Research Integrity, 2022).

Fabrication involves making up data or results that never actually occurred. Falsification refers to manipulating research materials, equipment, processes, or data in a way that produces misleading outcomes. Plagiarism is the appropriation of another person's ideas, words, or work without giving proper credit.

Beyond these major offenses, researchers may also engage in questionable research practices (QRPs). These include p-hacking, where statistical analyses are manipulated to obtain significant results, and HARKing (Hypothesizing After the Results are Known), where researchers present post hoc explanations as if they had been planned in advance. Selective reporting or inadequate reporting of statistical methods can also undermine the reproducibility of research findings (Simmons et al., 2011).

The consequences of research misconduct can be severe. Journals may retract published articles, institutions may impose disciplinary actions, and researchers may lose funding, professional opportunities, and credibility. More importantly, misconduct can harm research participants, mislead policymakers, and weaken public trust in science (Fanelli, 2009).

Oversight and Compliance

To prevent ethical violations, various oversight mechanisms have been established. Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) or Research Ethics Committees (RECs) review studies involving human participants to ensure that risks are minimized and participants' rights and welfare are protected. These committees evaluate research protocols before data collection begins (World Medical Association, 2013).

Many institutions also maintain Research Integrity Offices that investigate allegations of misconduct and promote responsible research practices. In addition, whistleblowing systems allow individuals to report unethical behavior confidentially.

Protecting whistleblowers is essential, as they often face retaliation for exposing misconduct. Effective oversight and compliance systems help foster accountability, maintain ethical standards, and strengthen public trust in scientific research (Resnik, 2020)

Conclusion

Ethical research practices are essential for producing reliable scientific knowledge and maintaining public trust in the research community. By adhering to principles such as honesty, objectivity, and respect for individuals, researchers contribute to a culture of integrity and responsibility.

Addressing ethical issues—including data management, authorship disputes, plagiarism, and conflicts of interest—helps prevent misconduct and promotes transparency throughout the research process. Oversight mechanisms, including Institutional Review Boards (IRBs), Research Integrity Offices, and whistleblower protection systems, play a vital role in ensuring compliance with ethical standards.

Ultimately, building a strong ethical foundation requires continuous training, open communication, and a commitment to practices such as preregistration and open data. Through these efforts, the scientific community can uphold the highest standards of accountability, credibility, and professional responsibility.

References

- Fanelli, D. (2009). How many scientists fabricate and falsify research? *PLoS ONE*, 4(5), e5738.
- International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). (2022). *Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals*.
- Miguel, E., Camerer, C., Casey, K., Cohen, J., Esterling, K., Gerber, A., ... van der Laan, M. (2014). Promoting transparency in social science research. *Science*, 343(6166), 30–31.
- National Academy of Sciences. (2017). *Fostering Integrity in Research*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Office of Research Integrity. (2022). *Definition of Research Misconduct*.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *The Ethics of Science: An Introduction*. Routledge.
- Roig, M. (2015). *Avoiding Plagiarism, Self-Plagiarism, and Other Questionable Writing Practices: A Guide to Ethical Writing*. Office of Research Integrity.
- Simmons, J. P., Nelson, L. D., & Simonsohn, U. (2011). False-positive psychology: Undisclosed flexibility in data collection and analysis allows presenting anything as significant. *Psychological Science*, 22(11), 1359–1366.
- World Medical Association. (2013). *Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects*.