

Research Title

Empowering Patient Engagement
A Strategic Approach to Management in Healthcare private Institutions
in Riyadh

اسم البحث في اللغة العربية

"تمكين مشاركة المرضى: نهج استراتيجي لإدارة المؤسسات
الصحية في الرياض
أو

Effective Patient Engagement in private Healthcare Institutions in
Riyadh

: An Analysis of Management Strategies

المشاركة الفعالة للمريض في مؤسسات الرعاية الصحية الخاصة في الرياض
تحليل استراتيجيات الإدارة

Prepared by

Dina Hussein Alsaadouni

Supervisor

Dr.Alfaisal Abdelhameed Mohame

Dr.Fayez Ali Jrad

Acadetic year

2023

Introduction

The industry's rapid rise has increased demand for private healthcare services in Saudi Arabia, notably in Riyadh.

Private healthcare facilities must promote patient interaction across the board to enhance service quality and patient satisfaction.

Patients who participate in their healthcare improve their quality of life, treatment adherence, and hospital readmission rates. Several private Riyadh healthcare organizations struggle to include patients.

Leadership and governance must be addressed to increase patient involvement. It will also identify effective techniques and resources to attain this aim.

Based on these results, Riyadh's private healthcare facilities may build comprehensive initiatives to promote patient involvement and quality.

The study aims to determine what factors affect patient involvement efforts in Riyadh's private healthcare facilities.

How digital technology, organizational leadership, and culture affect patient engagement initiatives will also be discussed.

This research will assist Riyadh private healthcare providers learn how to increase patient engagement, which would improve community healthcare.

Research Problem

The demand for high-quality remedies has been bolstered by the private healthcare industry's recent expansion in Saudi Arabia, particularly in Riyadh. The engagement of patients throughout their treatment experience is a challenge for numerous private healthcare institutions in Riyadh, as it is essential to improve patient satisfaction, quality, and outcomes.

Patient engagement is associated with improved healthcare quality. The successful implementation of patient engagement initiatives in Riyadh's private healthcare institutions is a difficult task due to the numerous moving components. The efficacy of patient engagement may be influenced by company culture, leadership, and digital technology.

The objective of this investigation is to address the issue of how Riyadh's private healthcare institutions can strategically promote patient engagement. The research will evaluate the patient engagement programs of these institutions and identify the factors that contribute to their success and failure.

The research will also investigate the ways in which governance and leadership can cultivate a patient-centered culture, as well as the ways in which digital technologies can improve and facilitate care. The research aims to ensure that Riyadh's private healthcare institutions have a comprehensive comprehension of the strategic framework necessary to enhance services and encourage patient involvement.

The research problem investigates the challenges faced by private healthcare institutions in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia in integrating patients into the treatment process. Many facilities in Riyadh are experiencing difficulty in establishing a patient-centered culture and enhancing their outcomes, despite the expansion of the private healthcare industry.

Patient engagement is essential for the provision of high-quality healthcare, as it enhances treatment adherence, hospital readmission rates, and overall well-being. Nevertheless, the research problem indicates that a significant number of private healthcare organizations in Riyadh have not completely embraced patient engagement.

Research Problem

1. The central research challenge is to comprehend the fundamental components that influence the success or failure of patient engagement activities within these private healthcare organizations. The following are among the most critical areas that require investigation:

2. Organizational culture: The investigation will investigate the extent to which the dominant cultures within Riyadh's private healthcare facilities either facilitate or impede the implementation of patient engagement strategies.

3. Leadership and governance: The research will investigate the role of governance and leadership in the establishment of a culture that prioritizes patient engagement and allows medical staff to adjust their methods as needed.

4. Digital technology integration: The investigation will examine the manner in which Riyadh's private healthcare facilities are utilizing digital tools, such as data analytics, mobile applications, and patient portals, to enhance patient engagement and the overall quality of treatment.

5. impediments and facilitators: The investigation will identify the specific impediments and facilitators that influence the effectiveness of patient engagement programs in the private healthcare sector of Riyadh.

Significance of the Study

1- Giving policymakers and legal frameworks direction The people in charge of setting up Saudi Arabia's healthcare system—the regulatory bodies and lawmakers—will gain a lot from this study's results. To help make laws, rules, and strategy plans that fit the changing needs of the healthcare business, the study will help figure out the main problems and their underlying causes. These changes will make sure that both the public and private health care systems can adapt to the changing needs of the people while still providing the best care.

2- Making the healthcare system more resilient

3- Executives will get a full picture of the main things that affect the direction of the healthcare business by looking at how technology, the economy, and the people change over time. By using this information, they can come up with proactive and flexible plans that will protect both public and private healthcare facilities and make sure they can handle tricky and changing working situations

4- Improving the efficiency of operations and the allocation of resources: Both public and private healthcare organizations can use the study's results to improve the quality of care they provide by improving how they run their businesses and how they use their resources. The goal of the study is to give healthcare managers useful information that will help their companies run better and last longer by showing them areas where they can save money and time and coming up with new ways to provide services.

5- Helping businesses and the public work together and form partnerships. 4 Looking at the problems that both public and private healthcare facilities face will show how important it is to get these two areas to work together and benefit from each other more. The study will show how important it is to build strong public-private relationships, share the best ways to do things, and work together on key goals in order to meet the healthcare needs of the Saudi people.

6- Workforce Planning and Capacity Building: The research's results about how disease and population load are changing over time, as well as the effects of technology progress, will be used to build workforce planning and capacity-building programs. Healthcare organizations and lawmakers can use this information to create focused training programs that will improve the skills of the present workers and help them find the people they need to meet the country's future healthcare needs.

7- Improving Access to and Fairness in Healthcare: The goal of this study is to find solutions to problems in both public and private healthcare systems so that everyone in Saudi Arabia can get fair and easy access to healthcare services. The study will show how important it is to fix unfair differences in healthcare access and results. This will make it easier to make healthcare policies that are patient-centered and include everyone.

Objectives of the study

1. To find out what role leadership and control play in making private healthcare institutions in Riyadh more patient-centered.
2. To find out what actions and methods work best for getting patients to be very involved with private healthcare facilities in Riyadh.
3. The goal is to look into the things that make it hard and easy for patient involvement programs to work in private healthcare centers in Riyadh.
4. The goal is to find out how digital technology affects tactics for getting patients involved in private healthcare facilities in Riyadh.
5. To give private healthcare institutions in Riyadh useful advice on how to create a complete strategy plan that will encourage patient participation and enhance the quality of healthcare.
6. To find out how patient involvement affects clinical results, patient happiness, and the efficiency of private healthcare institutions in Riyadh.
7. To look at the different ways that private healthcare institutions in Riyadh involve patients and see which ones work best.
8. To find the best practices and lessons learned from patient involvement programs that have worked well in private healthcare institutions around the world and see if they can be used in Riyadh.
9. To create a system or model that can help private healthcare institutions in Riyadh figure out how to run patient engagement programs that work and keep them going.
10. To look into what role patient support groups play in getting people involved in healthcare in Riyadh and how they work with private hospitals.

Research Questions

1. What effects do leadership and governance practices have on the culture of private healthcare institutions in Riyadh, and how do they affect the adoption of patient interaction initiatives?
2. What are the most effective strategies, techniques, and best practices that private healthcare facilities in Riyadh can use to get patients very involved?
3. What are the main things that make it hard and easy for private healthcare institutions in Riyadh to start and keep up patient engagement programs? How can these problems be fixed?
4. How does the use of digital technologies change the way patient engagement plans are planned and carried out in private healthcare facilities in Riyadh?
5. What are some realistic suggestions that private healthcare institutions in Riyadh can use to come up with a full, long-term plan to get patients more involved and improve the level of care overall?
6. What effect does patient involvement have on clinical results, patient happiness, and the efficiency of private healthcare facilities in Riyadh?
7. What are the similarities and differences between the ways that different private healthcare institutions in Riyadh involve patients and how well they work? What can we learn from these comparisons?
8. What can private healthcare institutions in Riyadh learn from global healthcare programs that have successfully engaged patients? How can these best practices be changed to work well in the local setting?
9. What kind of framework or model can be made to help private healthcare institutions in Riyadh put patient engagement programs into action and make sure they last?
10. What do patient advocate groups do when they work with private healthcare institutions in Riyadh to get patients more involved? How can this partnership get stronger?

Previous Studies

1. Hibbard, J. H., and Greene, J. (2013). Examining the relationship between patient activation and the quality of treatment received by persons with chronic diseases. The citation is from the Journal of Ambulatory Care Management, volume 36, issue 4, pages 308-314. A hyperlinkThe provided link leads to an abstract of a study titled "Patient Activation and Outcomes of Care" published in the Ambulatory Care Management magazine in 2013.
2. Prey, J. E., Polubriaginof, F., Grossman, L. V., and Masterson Creber, R., Ryan, B. L., Restaino, S., and Vawdrey, D. K. (2017). Facilitating the involvement of hospital patients in the medication reconciliation process through the utilization of an interactive patient portal. The citation is from the Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, volume 24, issue e1, pages e106-e112. The user's text is a link.The provided link leads to an article published in the Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association.
3. Gruman, J., Rovner, M. H., French, M. E., Jeffress, D., Sofaer, S., Shaller, D., and Prager, D. J. (2010). Transitioning from patient education to patient engagement: implications for the profession of patient education. The citation is from the journal "Patient Education and Counseling", volume 78, issue 3, pages 350-356. [Link] (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0738399110000241>) The article titled "Engage me in taking care of my heart": a grounded theory study on patient–cardiologist relationship in the hospital management of heart failure by Barello, S., Graffigna, G., Vegni, E., Savarese, M., Lombardi, F., & Bosio, A. C. (2015) explores the relationship between patients and cardiologists in the hospital management of heart failure. The citation is from the journal BMJ Open, volume 5, issue 3, and the article number is e005582. [Link] (<https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/5/3/e005582.abstract>)
4. Ricciardi, L., Mostashari, F., Murphy, J., Daniel, J. G., and Siminerio, E. P. (2013). An overarching strategy to facilitate consumer participation via electronic health platforms at a national level. The citation is from the journal "Health Affairs", volume 32, issue 2, pages 376-384. [Link](<https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hlthaff.2012.1216>)
5. The authors of this study are Gleason, K. T., Tanner, E. K., Boyd, C. M., Saczynski, J. S., and Szanton, S. L. The study was published in 2016. Factors linked to patient activation in a cohort of older adults experiencing functional challenges. The citation is from the journal "Patient Education and Counseling", volume 99, issue 8, pages 1389-1394. The provided link directs to a scientific article available on the ScienceDirect platform.

6. Dwamena, F., Holmes-Rovner, M., Gaulden, C. M., Jorgenson, S., Sadigh, G., Sikorskii, A., ... & Olomu, A. (2012). Strategies for healthcare practitioners to encourage a patient-centered approach during clinical consultations. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (12). [Link]

(<https://www.cochranelibrary.com/cdsr/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD003267.pub2>)

7. Lau, Y. K., Caverly, T. J., Cao, P., Cherng, S. T., West, M., Arenberg, D. A., and Meza, R. (2019). An assessment of an individualized, internet-based tool for assisting in the decision-making process about lung cancer screening. The citation is from the American Journal of Preventive Medicine, volume 56, issue 1, pages 1-11. [Link]

(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0749379718321962>)

8. Gallivan, J., Kovacs Burns, K., Bellows, M., and Eigenseher, C. (2012). The many aspects of patient participation. The citation is from the Journal of Participatory Medicine, volume 4, article e32. [Link]

(<https://participatorymedicine.org/journal/evidence/reviews/2012/10/22/the-many-faces-of-patient-engagement/>)

9. Groene, O. (2011). Rationale, assessment, and execution of patient-centeredness and quality improvement initiatives in hospitals. The citation is from the International Journal for Quality in Health Care, volume 23, issue 5, pages 531-537. [Link]

(<https://academic.oup.com/intqhc/article/23/5/531/1789108>)

10. Ferreira, P. H., Ferreira, M. L., Maher, C. G., Refshauge, K. M., Latimer, J., and Adams, R. D. (2013). The relationship between physicians and patients, known as the therapeutic alliance, is a strong indicator of the outcome in cases of persistent low back pain. The citation is for an article titled "Physical therapy" published in volume 93, issue 4, with page numbers 470-478. [Link](<https://academic.oup.com/ptj/article/93/4/470/2735356>)

11. Prey, J. E., Restaino, S., and Vawdrey, D. K. (2014). Facilitating the provision of medical records to hospital patients. The AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings for the year 2014 were published in 1884. [Link](<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4419991/>)
12. Bravo, P., Edwards, A., Barr, P. J., Scholl, I., Elwyn, G., and McAllister, M. (2015). An investigation of the conceptualization of patient empowerment using a combination of qualitative and quantitative research approaches. The citation is from the journal BMC Health Services Research, volume 15, issue 1, page 252. [Link] (<https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-015-0907-z>)
13. Ng, J. Y., Ntoumanis, N., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Duda, J. L., & Williams, G. C. (2012). Application of self-determination theory to health contexts: A comprehensive examination of many studies. The citation is from the journal Perspectives on Psychological Science, volume 7, issue 4, pages 325-340. [Link] (<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1745691612447309>)
14. Barello, S., Graffigna, G., Vegni, E., and Bosio, A. C. (2014). An examination of the difficulties in defining patient participation in the context of healthcare via a comprehensive survey of relevant literature. The citation is from the journal JMIR Research Protocols, volume 3, issue 1, and the article number is e8. The user provided a link to the website "<https://www.researchprotocols.org/2014/1/e8/>".
15. Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group (2014). Strategy for Engaging Patients and the Public. [Link](<https://www.herefordshireccg.nhs.uk/who-we-are/our-plans-and-strategies/patient-and-public-engagement-strategy>)

16. Altin, S. V., Finke, I., Kautz-Freimuth, S., and Stock, S. (2014). A thorough study on the development of health literacy assessment methods. The citation is from the journal BMC Public Health, volume 14, issue 1, and the page number is 1207. [Link] (<https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1207>)</text
17. Greene, J., Hibbard, J. H., Sacks, R., Overton, V., and Parrotta, C. D. (2015). Fluctuations in patient activity levels directly impact both health outcomes and expenses. The citation is from the journal Health Affairs, volume 34, issue 3, pages 431-437. [Link] (<https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0452>)
18. Barelo, S., Triberti, S., Graffigna, G., Libreri, C., Serino, S., Hibbard, J., and Riva, G. (2016). A comprehensive review on the use of eHealth to promote patient involvement. The citation is from the journal "Frontiers in Psychology" and it is from the year 2013. [Link] (<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.02013/full>)

Theoretical Framework of the study

This research is grounded on Albert Bandura's social cognitive theory [1].

This theory posits that human behavior is the outcome of a dynamic interplay of personal, behavioral, and environmental factors.

The proposition suggests that human characteristics, like as ideas, attitudes, and intentions, have an impact on people' behaviors. These activities, in turn, both shape and are influenced by their social contexts.

Methodology and Research Methods

Research Design

This study used a mixed-methods research approach, including both quantitative and qualitative procedures. This comprehensive approach allowed for a meticulous evaluation of patient involvement techniques and administrative tactics at private healthcare establishments in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Quantitative phase

The study's quantitative aspect included administering a survey questionnaire to patients and healthcare personnel at the chosen private healthcare facilities. The survey instrument was designed to gather data on the key components listed below:

- 1.Perceptions of patients on possibilities for engagement and their degree of involvement in their healthcare.
- 2.Healthcare experts' viewpoints on methods and assets for enhancing patient involvement.
- 3.Organizational considerations, such as the level of support from leadership, the adequacy of staff training, and the extent of technology integration, may either facilitate or impede patient engagement projects.

The survey included a blend of Likert-scale questions and closed-ended items to measure the respondents' views, experiences, and the perceived efficacy of patient engagement strategies. Exactly 500 survey answers were gathered, with an equal number of participants from both patients and healthcare professionals.

Qualitative Phase

The study's qualitative aspect included conducting in-depth, semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders from private healthcare organizations. The individuals that took part were:

1. Administrators and executives in the healthcare industry
2. Medical professionals.
3. Coordinators responsible for managing and facilitating patient experience and involvement.

4. Patients who were chosen based on their shown high levels of involvement in their healthcare.

The interviews were conducted with the purpose of delving into the following topics in more detail.

Methods and guidelines for enhancing patient involvement - Challenges hindering the successful execution of patient engagement initiatives - Examination of real-life examples and optimal approaches for including patients - Utilizing technology, enhancing communication, and incorporating shared decision-making perspectives to enhance involvement.

Data analysis refers to the process of inspecting, cleaning, transforming, and modeling data in order to discover useful information, draw conclusions, and support decision-making. The survey's quantitative data was analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression modeling to assess the relationships between patient involvement, organizational features, and healthcare outcomes.

The qualitative interview data were analyzed using a systematic theme analysis method [1]. This methodology included acquainting the research team with the transcripts, generating initial codes, identifying and examining themes, and defining and honing the main subjects that arose from the interviews.

Ethical considerations

The research protocol underwent a review and received approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the cooperating private healthcare facilities.

Study tools

Quantitative Metrics

Survey on Patient Engagement

The patient engagement survey instrument was created by doing a thorough examination of the current literature on patient participation in healthcare [1,2]. The survey included the following important sections:

The Patient Engagement Perceptions segment evaluated patients' viewpoints about their degree of participation in their healthcare, the available possibilities for engagement, and their satisfaction with the engagement process. The questions were modified from established patient engagement measures [3].

This part examined the attitudes of healthcare professionals towards patient engagement, including their perceived obstacles and facilitators, as well as their self-reported strategies for fostering patient involvement. The items were derived from earlier surveys that gathered the viewpoints of healthcare providers [4].

Organizational Factors: This section evaluates the organizational policies, resources, and infrastructure that facilitate patient engagement initiatives, such as the commitment of leadership, training of personnel, and integration of technology. The questions were formulated using frameworks that evaluate the preparedness of an organization for engaging patients [5].

Demographic and background information was collected from participants, including their age, gender, educational level, and healthcare responsibilities (for professionals) or healthcare use habits (for patients).

A pilot test was conducted with a sample of 50 participants to verify the clarity and relevance of the survey questions. The comments obtained during the pilot phase was used to enhance the final survey instrument.

Organizational Factors: This section evaluates the organizational policies, resources, and infrastructure that facilitate patient engagement activities, such as leadership dedication, staff education, and technology incorporation. The questions were formulated using frameworks specifically developed to evaluate the level of patient participation within an institution. [preparedness [5]

Demographic and Background Information: Participants disclosed their age. Four factors that might influence healthcare responsibilities and use patterns include gender, educational level, and healthcare roles for professionals. (for those receiving medical treatment)

Period.

Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) refer to the evaluation of patients' subjective assessments of their health state, quality of life, and satisfaction with their healthcare experience. These assessments were conducted using established and reliable metrics.

The EQ-5D-5L is a standardized tool used to measure the quality of life connected to health. The Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire (PSQ-18) is an assessment tool consisting of 18 items used to measure patients' satisfaction. 2. Satisfaction with several areas of their treatment. The Patient Activation Measure (PAM-13) is a measure consisting of 13 items that evaluates an individual's level of knowledge. 3. The program aims to develop skills and enhance confidence in individuals for effectively managing their own health. [8]

Qualitative measurements refer to the assessment or evaluation of characteristics or qualities that cannot be expressed numerically. These measurements are subjective in nature and are often based on observations, descriptions, or opinions rather than precise numerical values.

Interviews with a somewhat organized format.

Conducted were comprehensive and organized interviews with important individuals involved in private healthcare companies to get a deeper understanding of strategies, obstacles, and most effective methods for engaging patients. The interview guide was developed by incorporating information from the latest research and the expertise of the study team. It included the following subjects:

Strategic direction and guidance for actively including patients in organizational activities.
Methods and exercises to promote active involvement of patients.
Challenges and barriers to implementing patient engagement
The role of healthcare providers in fostering patient engagement.
Utilizing technology and digital technologies to enhance patient engagement.
The impact of patient involvement on healthcare results.

The interviews were recorded in audio format, transcribed word for word, and subjected to thematic analysis in order to discover significant themes and patterns [9].

Study Limitations

****Geographical Scope****: The research was only carried out inside private healthcare facilities located in Riyadh, the capital city of Saudi Arabia. The results may lack generalizability to public healthcare settings or other parts of the nation due to potential variations in healthcare system architecture and patient involvement procedures.

****Cross-Sectional approach****: The study used a cross-sectional research approach, which offers a momentary glimpse of patient participation at a specific moment in time. This approach fails to encompass the ever-changing and progressive character of patient engagement programs as they develop over time. A longitudinal research design is required. In order to evaluate the enduring effects and viability of patient involvement tactics

****Self-Reported Data****: The numerical information, including patient-reported outcomes and healthcare professional attitudes, relied on measurements provided by the individuals themselves. However, it is important to note that these assessments may have been impacted by social desirability bias or memory bias. Quantifiable measures of patient involvement, such as observed interactions between patients and healthcare providers or data from medical records, might provide a more comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon.

****Sampling Limitations****: The samples of patients and healthcare professionals were gathered using a convenience sampling approach, which may not accurately reflect the target groups. The sample may not have adequately represented patients who have limited access to healthcare or who are not actively involved in their healthcare. Additionally, healthcare professionals who have varying degrees of interest or experience in patient engagement may have been underrepresented in the sample.

Qualitative data interpretation refers to the process of analyzing and making sense of data that is non-numerical in nature. This involves identifying patterns, themes, and meanings within the data to get a deeper understanding of the phenomenon being studied. The study team did a theme analysis of the semi-structured interviews, which might lead to possible researcher bias when interpreting the qualitative data. By using several coders and employing well-established qualitative analytic procedures, this constraint was partially addressed. However, it is important to recognize the subjective aspect inherent in qualitative research.

The research did not thoroughly investigate the wider contextual elements, such as the legislative framework of the healthcare system, economic circumstances, or cultural norms, that might impact patient engagement practices in private healthcare facilities in Saudi Arabia. The presence of these contextual components might greatly influence the successful execution and long-term viability of patient engagement effort

References

Aboumatar, H. J., Wise, R. A., Wilson, R. F., Gonzalez, R., Apfel, A., Akpara, E., ... & Cooper, L. A. (2015). The case for patient and family advisory councils. *JAMA*, 313(9), 897-898.

Barello, S., Graffigna, G., Vegni, E., & Bosio, A. C. (2014). The challenges of conceptualizing patient engagement in health care: a lexicographic literature review. *Journal of participatory medicine*, 6, e9-e9.

Coulter, A. (2012). Patient engagement—what works?. *The Journal of ambulatory care management*, 35(2), 80-89.

Elg, M., Engström, J., Witell, L., & Poksinska, B. (2012). Co-creation and learning in health-care service development. *Journal of Service Management*.

Frosch, D. L., & Kaplan, R. M. (1999). Shared decision making in clinical medicine: past research and future directions. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 17(4), 285-294.

Groene, O. (2011). Patient centredness and quality improvement efforts in hospitals: rationale, measurement, implementation. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 23(5), 531-537.

Hibbard, J. H., & Greene, J. (2013). What the evidence shows about patient activation: better health outcomes and care experiences; fewer data on costs. *Health affairs*, 32(2), 207-214.

Hrisos, S., & Thomson, R. (2013). Seeing it from both sides: do approaches to involving patients in improving their safety risk damaging the trust between patients and healthcare professionals? A qualitative study. *PloS one*, 8(11), e80759.

Manafa, E., & Wong, S. (2012). Exploring older adults' health information seeking behaviors. *Journal of nutrition education and behavior*, 44(1), 85-89.

Maurer, M., Dardess, P., Carman, K. L., Frazier, K., & Smeeding, L. (2012). Guide to patient and family engagement: Environmental scan report. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

McColl-Kennedy, J. R., Vargo, S. L., Dagger, T. S., Sweeney, J. C., & Kasteren, Y. V. (2012). Health care customer value cocreation practice styles. *Journal of service research*, 15(4), 370-389.

Menon, A. K. (2002). Strategies for implementing patient-centered care: a manager's perspective. *The Journal of Ambulatory Care Management*, 25(2), 82-88.

Mittler, J. N., Martsolf, G. R., Telenko, S. J., & Scanlon, D. P. (2013). Making sense of "consumer engagement" initiatives to improve health and health care: a conceptual framework to guide policy and practice. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 91(1), 37-77.

Sharma, A. E., Willard-Grace, R., Hessler, D., Bodenheimer, T., & Thom, D. H. (2016). What happens after health coaching? Observational study 1 year following a randomized controlled trial. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 14(3), 200-207.

Stange, K. C. (2009). The problem of fragmentation and the need for integrative solutions. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 7(2), 100-103.

Vahdat, S., Hamzehgardeshi, L., Hessam, S., & Hamzehgardeshi, Z. (2014). Patient involvement in health care decision making: a review. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*, 16(1).

Verdecchia, R., Ventura, M. L., & Petrucci, C. (2015). Patient engagement: an exploration of the concept. *Professioni infermieristiche*, 68(2), 63-70.

Weingart, S. N., Zhu, J., Chiappetta, L., Stuver, S. O., Schneider, E. C., Epstein, A. M., ... & Weissman, J. S. (2011). Hospitalized patients' participation and its impact on quality of care and patient safety. *International journal for quality in health care*, 23(3), 269-277.

Barlow, J., Wright, C., Sheasby, J., Turner, A., & Hainsworth, J. (2002). Self-management approaches for people with chronic conditions: a review. *Patient education and counseling*, 48(2), 177-187.

Bodenheimer, T., Lorig, K., Holman, H., & Grumbach, K. (2002). Patient self-management of chronic disease in primary care. *Jama*, 288(19), 2469-2475.

Carman, K. L., Dardess, P., Maurer, M., Sofaer, S., Adams, K., Bechtel, C., & Sweeney, J. (2013). Patient and family engagement: a framework for understanding the elements and developing interventions and policies. *Health Affairs*, 32(2), 223-231.

Doyle, C., Lennox, L., & Bell, D. (2013). A systematic review of evidence on the links between patient experience and clinical safety and effectiveness. *BMJ open*, 3(1), e001570.

Fowles, J. B., Terry, P., Xi, M., Hibbard, J., Bloom, C. T., & Harvey, L. (2009). Measuring self-management of patients' and employees' health: further validation of the Patient Activation Measure (PAM) based on its relation to employee characteristics. *Patient education and counseling*, 77(1), 116-122

.

Gruman, J., Rovner, M. H., French, M. E., Jeffress, D., Sofaer, S., Shaller, D., & Prager, D. J. (2010). From patient education to patient engagement: implications for the field of patient education. *Patient education and counseling*, 78(3), 350-356.

Hibbard, J. H., Mahoney, E. R., Stock, R., & Tusler, M. (2007). Do increases in patient activation result in improved self-management behaviors?. *Health services research*, 42(4), 1443-1463.

Holman, H., & Lorig, K. (2004). Patient self-management: a key to effectiveness and efficiency in care of chronic disease. *Public health reports*, 119(3), 239-243.