

إدارة المخاطر في مستشفيات

اسم الطالبه

Shuaa Sabah Ghadhban

الرقم الأكاديمي

242000599

المشرف الثاني

المشرف الرئيسي

الدكتور/فايز الجراد

الدكتور الفاضل /فيصل عبد الحميد

العام الجامعي

2026م

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

Copyright © 2026 Midocean University. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law.

The author declares that the copyright of this thesis belongs to Midocean University. This work has been submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Healthcare Administration. The author grants Midocean University the non-exclusive right to archive, reproduce, and distribute this thesis in whole or in part, in all forms of media, now or hereafter known.

All intellectual property rights associated with this research, including but not limited to methodologies, frameworks, and recommendations developed during the course of this study, are the property of Midocean University. Any commercial use of the findings presented in this thesis requires explicit written permission from the University administration.

المستخلص

تهدف هذه الدراسة الشاملة إلى فحص الوضع الحالي لممارسات إدارة المخاطر في المؤسسات الصحية الموجودة في مدينة الرياض، عاصمة المملكة العربية السعودية. لقد شهد القطاع الصحي في المملكة نمو غير مسبوق من التطور والتحول، بالأخص بعد إطلاق رؤية 2030 التي تجعل الجودة الصحية وسلامة المرضى ركائز أساسية للتطور الوطني. استخدمت الدراسة منهجية بحث مختلطة تجمع بين المناهج الكمية والنوعية لتوفير فهم شامل لممارسات إدارة المخاطر في مختلف البيئات المستشفية. شارك في الدراسة 468 من المهنيين الصحيين، بالإضافة إلى إجراء 35 مقابلة مع أصحاب المصالح المهمة في 25 مستشفى في الرياض.

أظهرت نتائج الدراسة أن مستوى تطبيق إدارة المخاطر متوسط (3.42 من 5) مع اختلافات كبيرة عبر المجالات. ومن أبرز التحديات: قيود الموارد المالية والبشرية والتكنولوجية، والحوجز الثقافية لتقارير الحوادث، ونقص الدعم الإداري.

تقدم الدراسة إطاراً مبنية على الأدلة لتحسين مقدرات إدارة المخاطر في مستشفيات الرياض، وتوصيات عملية للمختصين وواجهات الصحة ومتخصصي إدارة المخاطر.

الكلمات المفتاحية: إدارة المخاطر، الرعاية الصحية، سلامة المرضى، الرياض، المملكة العربية السعودية

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I express my deepest gratitude to Almighty God for His infinite mercy and guidance throughout this journey.

I sincerely thank my esteemed supervisor for their invaluable guidance and unwavering support that shaped this research.

My heartfelt thanks go to the College of Management at Midocean University for providing an exceptional academic environment.

I acknowledge the cooperation of the participating hospitals in Riyadh for their valuable insights.

I am profoundly grateful to my family for their unconditional love and constant support.

Finally, I thank my colleagues and friends for their encouragement and companionship.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COPYRIGHT NOTICE	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
List of Terminology	ix
List of Abbreviations.....	x

Chapter / Section	Title	Page
CHAPTER 1	GENERAL FRAMEWORK	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Literature Review	4
1.3	Research Problem	9
1.4	Research Hypotheses	11
1.5	Research Objectives	13
1.6	Research Importance	14
1.7	Research Methodology	16
1.8	Research Limitations	17
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	19

Chapter / Section	Title	Page
2.1	Introduction	19
2.2	Risk Management Concepts	20
2.3	Healthcare Risk Management	21
2.4	Empirical Studies on Healthcare Risk Management	26
2.5	Theoretical Framework	32
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	38
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Research Design	38
3.3	Population and Sample	40
3.4	Data Collection Methods	42
3.5	Data Analysis Methods	44
3.6	Research Scope	45
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	46
4.1	Introduction	46
4.2	Data Analysis	46
4.3	Results Discussion	54
4.4	Conclusions	67

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
Table 3-1	Research Population Distribution	41
Table 3-2	Sample Size by Hospital Type	41
Table 3-3	Reliability Analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)	43
Table 4-1	Demographic Characteristics of Respondents	47
Table 4-2	Risk Management Implementation Levels	48
Table 4-3	Correlation Analysis Results	51
Table 4-4	Regression Analysis Results	51
Table 4-5	Comparison by Hospital Type	52
Table 4-6	ANOVA Results	52
Table 4-7	Key Challenges Identified	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
Figure 1-1:	Conceptual Framework of the Study	11
Figure 2-1:	Risk Management Process Cycle	22
Figure 2-2	Healthcare Risk Management Framework	24
Figure 2-3	Theoretical Model	35
Figure 3-1	Research Design Flowchart	39
Figure 4-1	Gender Distribution of Respondents	47
Figure 4-1	Overall Risk Management Implementation Levels	48
Figure 4-2	Comparison of Implementation by Hospital Type	52
Figure 4-3	Key Challenges Frequency	53

LIST OF TERMINOLOGY

Risk Management: The systematic process of identifying, assessing, and controlling threats to an organization's capital and earnings

Patient Safety: The prevention of errors and adverse effects to patients associated with health care

Clinical Governance: A framework through which healthcare organizations are accountable for continuously improving the quality of their services

Adverse Event: An injury related to medical management, in contrast to complications of disease

Near Miss: An event or situation that could have resulted in an accident, injury, or illness but did not

Root Cause Analysis: A structured method used to analyze serious adverse events

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis: A systematic method for evaluating processes to identify where and how they might fail

High-Reliability Organization: An organization that has succeeded in avoiding catastrophes in an environment where normal accidents can be expected

Safety Culture: The product of individual and group values, attitudes, perceptions, competencies, and patterns of behavior

Accreditation: A formal process by which a recognized body assesses and recognizes that a healthcare organization meets applicable standards

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

WHO	World Health Organization
JCI	Joint Commission International
CBAHI	Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions
RM	Risk Management
PS	Patient Safety
QI	Quality Improvement
RCAR	Root Cause Analysis
FMEA	Failure Mode and Effects Analysis
HRO	High-Reliability Organization
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
MOH	Ministry of Health
EH	Electronic Health Records
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
CCHI	Council of Cooperative Health Insurance

CHAPTER 1: GENERAL FRAMEWORK

1.1 Introduction

The healthcare delivery system within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has undergone remarkable and unprecedented transformation over the preceding two decades, propelled by ambitious national initiatives specifically designed to enhance both the quality and accessibility of healthcare services for the entire population. At the very foundation of these comprehensive efforts lies the absolutely critical necessity for implementing effective risk management practices that ensure patient safety, minimize the occurrence of medical errors, and optimize healthcare delivery outcomes across all levels of care. This scholarly investigation focuses specifically on examining the current state of risk management practices in hospitals situated across Riyadh, the capital city of Saudi Arabia, which serves as home to some of the nation's most prominent and technologically advanced healthcare institutions.

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has made substantial and continuous investments in healthcare infrastructure development, with the healthcare budget consistently representing a significant portion of the national budget allocation. The total number of hospitals operating throughout the Kingdom has grown significantly over recent years, and the overall quality of healthcare services has improved substantially through various government-sponsored initiatives and private sector participation. However, accompanying this remarkable growth comes the increased complexity of healthcare delivery systems and the pressing need for robust, comprehensive risk management systems to ensure patient safety and maintain the highest standards of care quality. The healthcare sector in Riyadh, being both the capital and largest metropolitan area in the Kingdom, serves as an

exemplary model for healthcare delivery throughout the country, making it an ideal and strategic location for conducting a thorough study of risk management practices.

The paramount importance of risk management in healthcare settings cannot be overstated or underestimated under any circumstances. Medical errors and adverse events can have devastating, life-altering consequences for patients and their families, as well as significant financial and reputational implications for healthcare organizations that may take years to recover from. Effective risk management encompasses far more than simply preventing harm; it involves creating and nurturing a comprehensive culture of safety where healthcare professionals feel genuinely empowered to identify and address potential risks before they result in actual patient harm. This proactive, forward-thinking approach to risk management is absolutely essential for achieving the high standards of healthcare quality envisioned and outlined in Saudi Vision 2030.

Risk management in healthcare encompasses a systematic, methodical approach to identifying, assessing, and controlling risks that could potentially harm patients, staff members, or the healthcare organization itself. The inherent complexity of modern healthcare delivery, characterized by advanced medical technologies, intricate treatment protocols, increasingly diverse patient populations, and evolving regulatory requirements, necessitates robust risk management frameworks that can adapt to emerging challenges and changing circumstances. In the specific context of Riyadh's hospitals, understanding the effectiveness of existing risk management practices is absolutely essential for informing policy decisions, guiding quality improvement initiatives, and ensuring the highest standards of patient care.

The significance and relevance of this research are further amplified and reinforced by the ambitious Saudi Vision 2030, which strategically places healthcare transformation as a key pillar of national development and economic diversification. This comprehensive vision emphasizes the critical

importance of healthcare quality, patient safety, and the adoption of international best practices in healthcare delivery across all institutions. As hospitals throughout Riyadh strive to align their operations with these national objectives, evaluating their risk management capabilities becomes absolutely imperative for identifying existing gaps and uncovering opportunities for substantial enhancement and improvement.

This inaugural chapter establishes the comprehensive foundation for the entire research endeavor by presenting a detailed overview of the study's context, reviewing relevant scholarly literature, articulating the research problem with precision, outlining the hypotheses and objectives, and describing the methodology employed. The chapter concludes by acknowledging the limitations of the study and clearly defining its scope and boundaries. The organizational structure of this chapter follows the standard academic format for research dissertations. It begins with an introduction that establishes the context for the study, followed by a comprehensive literature review that examines existing knowledge on healthcare risk management. The research problem is then articulated in detail, highlighting the gaps in current knowledge that this study aims to address. Research hypotheses and objectives are presented, followed by a thorough discussion of the study's importance and methodology. The chapter concludes with a discussion of research limitations and scope.

1.2 Literature Review

1.2.1 Evolution of Healthcare Risk Management

The conceptual framework of risk management within healthcare settings has evolved substantially and transformed significantly since its formal introduction during the mid-twentieth century. Initially focused primarily on malpractice prevention and financial risk mitigation, modern healthcare risk management has expanded considerably to encompass a comprehensive, holistic approach to patient

safety, continuous quality improvement, and organizational resilience. The landmark report entitled "To Err Is Human," published by the Institute of Medicine in 1999, brought unprecedented global attention to the widespread prevalence of medical errors and served as a catalyst for significant reforms in healthcare risk management practices across healthcare institutions worldwide.

Contemporary healthcare risk management is firmly grounded in the fundamental principles of proactive identification and prevention of adverse events rather than reactive responses to incidents that have already occurred. This paradigm shift has been facilitated and enabled by the development of sophisticated risk assessment tools, comprehensive incident reporting systems, and advanced data analytics capabilities that empower healthcare organizations to anticipate and mitigate risks before they materialize into actual patient harm. The transition from reactive to proactive risk management represents one of the most significant developments in healthcare administration over the past several decades.

1.2.2 Risk Management Frameworks and Standards

Several internationally recognized frameworks provide comprehensive guidance for healthcare risk management practices across diverse institutional settings. The Joint Commission International (JCI) accreditation standards offer detailed guidelines for patient safety and risk management in healthcare organizations of varying sizes and complexities. These standards emphasize leadership commitment, staff competency development, process standardization, and continuous monitoring as essential elements of effective risk management systems. Compliance with JCI standards has become a benchmark for healthcare quality internationally.

The International Organization for Standardization's ISO 31000 framework provides a principles-based approach to risk management that can be adapted and applied to healthcare settings of various

types and sizes. This framework emphasizes the critical importance of integrating risk management into organizational governance and decision-making processes, rather than treating it as a separate administrative function isolated from core operations. The World Health Organization's patient safety guidelines further complement these frameworks by providing specific recommendations for clinical risk management that are applicable across different healthcare contexts and resource settings.

1.2.3 Healthcare Risk Management in the Middle East

Research on healthcare risk management in the Middle East region has grown substantially in recent years, reflecting the region's strong commitment to healthcare modernization and quality improvement initiatives. Studies conducted in the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, and Kuwait have documented significant progress in implementing risk management systems, while also identifying persistent challenges related to cultural factors, resource constraints, and workforce development needs. These studies provide valuable insights for understanding the regional context of healthcare risk management.

In Saudi Arabia specifically, the establishment of the Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI) in 2005 marked a significant milestone in the formalization of healthcare quality and safety standards throughout the Kingdom. CBAHI accreditation requirements include specific, detailed standards for risk management that accredited hospitals must meet and maintain. However, empirical research examining the implementation and effectiveness of these standards remains limited, particularly in the context of Riyadh's diverse hospital landscape comprising government, private, and military facilities.

1.2.4 Key Components of Hospital Risk Management

Effective hospital risk management encompasses several interconnected and interdependent components that work together to create a comprehensive safety system. Clinical risk assessment involves systematic evaluation of patient care processes to identify potential sources of harm before they occur. Incident reporting and analysis create valuable opportunities for learning from adverse events and near-misses, enabling organizations to implement preventive measures. Staff training and competency development ensure that healthcare professionals possess the knowledge and skills necessary to manage risks effectively in their daily practice.

Organizational culture plays a crucial and often underappreciated role in determining whether risk management practices are embraced or resisted by staff members at all levels. Technology integration has emerged as a critical enabler of modern risk management, with electronic health records, clinical decision support systems, and automated monitoring tools providing unprecedented capabilities for risk detection and prevention. However, the successful implementation of these technologies requires careful attention to workflow integration, comprehensive user training, and ongoing system optimization to maximize their effectiveness.

1.2.5 Recent Studies on Healthcare Risk Management

Recent scholarly investigations have significantly expanded the knowledge base regarding healthcare risk management practices and their effectiveness across diverse institutional contexts. Al-Harbi and Al-Khalifa (2022) conducted a comprehensive systematic review of healthcare risk management practices in Saudi Arabia, identifying key success factors and persistent challenges that affect implementation outcomes. Their findings revealed that while most hospitals have established basic risk management structures, significant gaps remain in staff training, incident reporting culture, and integration of risk management into organizational strategy.

Al-Rubaie and Al-Mansour (2023) examined patient safety culture in Saudi hospitals through a cross-sectional study involving multiple healthcare institutions. Their research found that while healthcare professionals generally value patient safety, actual reporting of incidents and participation in safety activities remain below desired levels. The study identified factors including fear of blame, lack of feedback on reported incidents, and insufficient time as barriers to active engagement in patient safety initiatives. These findings highlight the importance of addressing cultural factors in risk management implementation.

Research by Alswat and Abdalla (2022) focused on healthcare quality improvement in Saudi Arabia, documenting both the significant progress made and the challenges that remain. Their study emphasized the importance of leadership commitment, resource allocation, and staff engagement in achieving sustainable quality improvements. The researchers recommended strengthening quality improvement programs through enhanced training, improved surveillance systems, and regular audits of compliance with established protocols.

International studies have also contributed valuable insights to the field. The World Health Organization's Global Patient Safety Action Plan 2021-2030 provides a comprehensive framework for eliminating avoidable harm in healthcare settings worldwide. This framework emphasizes the importance of leadership commitment, patient and family engagement, and workforce safety as key components of effective risk management systems. The action plan calls for strengthened surveillance systems, research investments, and international collaboration to advance patient safety globally.

Vincent and Amalberti (2022) examined the dynamic nature of safety in healthcare, arguing that safety is a moving target that requires continuous adaptation and improvement. Their research emphasized the importance of understanding healthcare as a complex adaptive system and

developing risk management approaches that account for this complexity. They recommended moving beyond traditional approaches to embrace new paradigms that recognize the inherent uncertainty and variability of healthcare processes.

Studies examining High-Reliability Organization (HRO) principles in healthcare have gained significant attention in recent years. Weick and Sutcliffe (2022) explored how healthcare organizations can develop the capacity for resilient performance in the face of unexpected challenges. Their research identified key characteristics of HROs including preoccupation with failure, reluctance to simplify interpretations, sensitivity to operations, commitment to resilience, and deference to expertise. These principles provide a valuable framework for understanding how healthcare organizations can achieve consistently safe performance.

Research on safety culture theory has also advanced significantly. Guldenmund (2022) provided a comprehensive review of safety culture theory and research, examining the relationship between organizational culture and safety performance. His work emphasized the multidimensional nature of safety culture and the importance of measuring multiple dimensions including management commitment, employee involvement, communication, and organizational learning. This research provides a theoretical foundation for understanding how culture influences risk management effectiveness.

Total Quality Management (TQM) principles continue to inform healthcare risk management practice. Batalden and Davidoff (2022) examined how quality improvement can transform healthcare delivery, emphasizing the importance of continuous improvement, customer focus, and employee involvement. Their research highlighted the applicability of TQM principles including process orientation, data-driven decision making, and systematic problem solving to healthcare risk management contexts.

1.3 Research Problem

Despite the growing recognition of risk management importance in healthcare settings, significant gaps remain in understanding the current state of risk management practices in Riyadh's diverse hospital landscape. While national accreditation standards mandate certain risk management requirements, the extent to which these requirements are effectively implemented and the factors that influence implementation success are not well-documented in the existing literature. This knowledge gap limits the ability of healthcare policymakers and hospital administrators to develop targeted interventions for improving risk management capabilities across the healthcare sector.


The research problem is further complicated by the considerable diversity of hospitals operating in Riyadh, which range from large tertiary care centers to specialized clinics, each with distinct risk profiles and management needs. Government hospitals, private facilities, and military hospitals may have different organizational structures, resource availability levels, and regulatory requirements that influence their approach to risk management. Understanding these variations is absolutely essential for developing contextually appropriate recommendations that can be effectively implemented across different types of healthcare institutions.

Furthermore, the unique cultural context of Saudi Arabia presents distinct challenges for risk management implementation that may not be fully addressed by frameworks developed in Western contexts. Traditional hierarchical structures, communication patterns, and attitudes toward error reporting may significantly influence how risk management practices are adopted and sustained over time. Research is urgently needed to understand how these cultural factors interact with organizational and systemic factors to shape risk management outcomes in the Saudi healthcare environment.

The existing body of research on healthcare risk management in Saudi Arabia, while growing, remains limited in several important respects. Most previous studies have focused on specific aspects of patient safety or particular hospital types, limiting the ability to develop a comprehensive understanding of risk management across the diverse healthcare landscape of the capital city. There is a critical need for research that examines risk management practices holistically, considering multiple dimensions and their interrelationships.

Additionally, the relationship between risk management implementation and patient safety outcomes in the Saudi context has not been adequately explored. While international research suggests that effective risk management contributes to improved patient safety, the specific mechanisms and factors that mediate this relationship in Saudi hospitals require further investigation. Understanding these relationships is essential for developing evidence-based recommendations for practice

Figure 1-1: Conceptual Framework of the Study



improvement.

1.4 Research Hypotheses

Based on the comprehensive literature review and the research questions identified, the following hypotheses are proposed for empirical testing in this investigation:

1.4.1	Main	Hypothesis
-------	------	------------

	H1: There is a significant positive relationship between the level of risk management implementation and patient safety outcomes in hospitals across Riyadh. This hypothesis posits that hospitals with more comprehensive risk management systems will demonstrate better patient safety performance as measured by incident rates, patient satisfaction scores, and other relevant indicators.	
--	--	--

1.4.2 Sub-Hypotheses

H1a: Hospitals with dedicated risk management departments demonstrate significantly higher levels of risk management implementation compared to those without such specialized departments. The presence of dedicated personnel and resources for risk management is expected to facilitate more comprehensive implementation of risk management practices.

H1b: Staff training and competency development programs are positively correlated with risk management effectiveness. Hospitals that invest in comprehensive training programs for their staff are expected to achieve better risk management outcomes through enhanced awareness, knowledge, and skills.

H1c: Organizational culture that supports transparency, learning from errors, and non-punitive reporting is associated with better risk management outcomes. A positive safety culture is expected to facilitate incident reporting, root cause analysis, and continuous improvement.

H1d: The availability of adequate resources including financial, human, and technological resources positively influences risk management implementation. Resource constraints are expected to limit the ability of hospitals to implement comprehensive risk management systems.

H1e: Leadership commitment to risk management is a significant predictor of risk management program success. Visible leadership support is expected to influence organizational priorities, resource allocation, and staff engagement in risk management activities.

1.5 Research Objectives

This research aims to achieve the following comprehensive objectives that address the identified research problem and test the proposed hypotheses:

1. To assess the current level of risk management implementation across hospitals in Riyadh, examining the extent to which established risk management practices are in place and operational. This objective involves measuring implementation levels across multiple dimensions including governance, risk identification, incident reporting, training, communication, safety culture, resources, and monitoring.
2. To identify the key factors that influence the effectiveness of risk management practices in Riyadh hospitals, including organizational, cultural, and resource-related factors. This objective seeks to understand the determinants of successful risk management implementation.

-
3. To examine the main challenges faced by healthcare organizations in implementing and sustaining risk management systems. This objective involves identifying barriers and obstacles that hinder effective risk management.
 4. To compare risk management practices across different types of hospitals in Riyadh including government, private, and military facilities. This objective seeks to understand variations in risk management approaches and outcomes across hospital types.
 5. To develop evidence-based recommendations for enhancing risk management capabilities in Riyadh hospitals. This objective involves translating research findings into practical guidance for healthcare stakeholders.
 6. To contribute to the theoretical understanding of healthcare risk management in the Middle East context by examining how cultural and contextual factors influence risk management effectiveness.

1.6 Research Importance

1.6.1 Theoretical Importance

This study makes a significant contribution to the growing body of scholarly knowledge on healthcare risk management in the Middle East context. By systematically examining risk management practices in Riyadh's hospitals, the research provides empirical evidence that can inform theoretical frameworks for understanding risk management implementation in developing healthcare systems. The study extends existing theories by examining how cultural and contextual factors influence risk management effectiveness in ways that may differ from Western contexts where most existing theory has been developed.

The research contributes to the theoretical understanding of how High-Reliability Organization principles, Safety Culture theory, and Total Quality Management concepts apply in the Saudi healthcare context. By examining these theoretical frameworks in a new cultural and institutional setting, the study provides insights into the universality and context-specificity of risk management theories.

1.6.2 Practical Importance

The findings of this research have significant practical implications for healthcare stakeholders in Saudi Arabia. Hospital administrators will benefit from evidence-based insights on effective risk management practices and implementation strategies that can be adapted to their specific institutional contexts. The study provides actionable guidance for prioritizing improvement efforts and allocating resources effectively.

Healthcare policymakers can utilize the study's recommendations to inform the development of national guidelines and accreditation standards that are responsive to the specific needs and challenges of the Saudi healthcare system. The research provides a roadmap for aligning risk management practices with national healthcare objectives and international best practices.

Healthcare professionals will gain a better understanding of their roles in risk management and patient safety, along with insights into how they can contribute to creating safer care environments. The study highlights the importance of frontline staff engagement in risk management activities.

The research is particularly timely given the ongoing healthcare transformation under Saudi Vision 2030. As the Kingdom invests heavily in healthcare infrastructure and human capital development, ensuring that these investments are supported by robust risk management systems is essential for

achieving desired outcomes. This study provides guidance for aligning risk management capabilities with national healthcare transformation goals.

1.7 Research Methodology

1.7.1 Research Design

This study employs a sophisticated mixed-methods research design that combines quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of risk management practices in Riyadh hospitals. The mixed-methods approach is particularly appropriate for this study because it allows for both the measurement of risk management implementation levels across multiple dimensions and the exploration of contextual factors that influence implementation effectiveness.

The quantitative phase involves a structured survey administered to healthcare professionals across multiple hospitals, providing numerical data on risk management implementation levels and enabling statistical analysis of relationships between variables. The qualitative phase includes in-depth interviews with key stakeholders, providing rich contextual data that helps explain quantitative findings and identify factors not captured by survey instruments.

1.7.2 Population and Sample

The research population includes all hospitals operating in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. A stratified random sampling approach is used to ensure representation from different hospital types including government, private, and military facilities as well as different hospital sizes. The target sample includes 25 hospitals with approximately 450 healthcare professionals participating in the survey phase.

1.7.3 Data Collection

Data collection involves multiple methods: (1) a validated questionnaire measuring risk management implementation across eight dimensions, (2) semi-structured interviews with hospital administrators and risk managers, (3) review of hospital documents including policies, incident reports, and training records, and (4) observation of risk management processes where feasible.

1.7.4 Data Analysis

Quantitative data are analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, regression analysis, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software. Qualitative data are analyzed through thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes related to risk management practices and challenges. The integration of quantitative and qualitative findings provides a comprehensive understanding of the research problem.

1.8 Research Limitations

This study acknowledges several limitations that should be carefully considered when interpreting the findings. First, the cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Longitudinal studies would be needed to track changes in risk management practices over time and establish temporal relationships between implementation factors and outcomes.

Second, the study focuses specifically on hospitals in Riyadh, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other regions of Saudi Arabia or other countries. Healthcare systems in different contexts may face distinct challenges and opportunities for risk management that are not captured by this study.

Third, self-reported data from survey respondents may be subject to social desirability bias, potentially inflating perceptions of risk management implementation. The study attempts to mitigate this limitation through triangulation with document review and interview data, but some degree of response bias may remain.

Fourth, resource constraints limited the number of hospitals and participants that could be included in the study. While the sample size is adequate for statistical analysis, a larger sample would provide even greater confidence in the findings and enable more detailed subgroup analyses.

Finally, the rapidly evolving nature of healthcare risk management means that some findings may become outdated as new technologies, regulations, and best practices emerge. The study captures risk management practices at a specific point in time and should be interpreted in this context.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a comprehensive and systematic review of existing scholarly literature on healthcare risk management and establishes the theoretical framework that guides this research investigation. The chapter begins by examining the fundamental conceptualizations of risk and risk management, tracing their intellectual evolution from general management theory to healthcare-specific applications. It then explores the various multidimensional aspects of healthcare risk management, including clinical risk assessment, incident reporting systems, patient safety initiatives, and quality improvement frameworks. The chapter also reviews empirical studies conducted in diverse healthcare contexts, with particular analytical attention devoted to research originating from the Middle East region. Finally, the theoretical framework underlying this study is presented, drawing upon relevant theoretical perspectives from organizational behavior, quality management, and healthcare administration.

The literature review process employed systematic searches of major electronic academic databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Keywords utilized in the comprehensive search included healthcare risk management, patient safety, hospital administration, quality improvement, and Saudi Arabia. The search was deliberately limited to articles published in English and Arabic between 2015 and 2024 to ensure relevance to contemporary practices. Additional scholarly sources were identified through careful examination of reference lists from relevant articles and recommendations from recognized experts in the field.

The chapter is organized into five principal sections. Section 2.2 examines the fundamental conceptualizations of risk and risk management, including definitions, scope, and the risk management process. Section 2.3 focuses specifically on healthcare risk management, covering its historical development, key components, and relevant standards and accreditation requirements. Section 2.4 reviews previous empirical studies on healthcare risk management from international, regional, and Saudi Arabian contexts. Section 2.5 presents the theoretical framework guiding this research, including High-Reliability Organization theory, Safety Culture theory, and Total Quality Management. The chapter concludes with a synthesis of key findings from the literature review and their implications for the current investigation.

2.2 Risk Management Concepts

2.2.1 Definition and Nature of Risk

Risk, in its broadest conceptualization, refers to the possibility of an event occurring that will have an impact on the achievement of organizational objectives. In organizational contexts, risk encompasses both potential threats that may hinder goal attainment and opportunities that may facilitate success. The concept of risk is inherently probabilistic in nature, involving uncertainty about future outcomes and their potential consequences. Understanding this fundamental nature of risk is essential for developing effective risk management strategies in healthcare settings.

The conceptualization of risk has evolved significantly over time. Traditionally, risk was viewed primarily as a negative concept associated with potential losses or harms. However, modern risk management recognizes that risk also presents opportunities for improvement and innovation. This dual nature of risk is particularly relevant in healthcare, where the goal is not to eliminate all risks but to manage them effectively to achieve optimal patient outcomes. Understanding this nuanced perspective on risk is essential for developing balanced risk management strategies.

Risk can be categorized in various ways depending on the specific context. In healthcare settings, risks are often classified as clinical risks related to patient care processes, operational risks related to organizational processes, financial risks related to monetary losses, strategic risks related to organizational objectives, and reputational risks related to organizational image. Each category of risk requires specific management approaches and mitigation strategies. The inherent complexity of healthcare delivery means that these categories often overlap, requiring integrated approaches to risk management.

2.2.2 Risk Management Definition and Scope


Risk management is formally defined as the coordinated activities undertaken to direct and control an organization with regard to risk. The International Organization for Standardization defines risk management as the systematic application of management policies, procedures, and practices to the tasks of communicating, consulting, establishing the context, identifying, analyzing, evaluating, treating, monitoring, and reviewing risk. This definition emphasizes the systematic and comprehensive nature of effective risk management.

Healthcare risk management specifically refers to the systematic process of identifying, evaluating, and addressing potential risks in healthcare settings to prevent harm to patients, staff, and visitors while protecting organizational assets and reputation. The scope of healthcare risk management has expanded significantly over time, evolving from a focus primarily on malpractice prevention to encompass broader patient safety and quality improvement objectives. This expansion reflects the growing recognition of the interconnected nature of risk in healthcare settings.

2.2.3 The Risk Management Process

The risk management process typically follows a cyclical pattern involving several interconnected stages. Risk identification involves systematic efforts to recognize potential sources of harm through various methods including incident reporting, risk assessments, and analysis of patient feedback. Risk analysis evaluates the likelihood and potential severity of identified risks, often using quantitative or qualitative scoring systems. Risk evaluation compares analyzed risks against predetermined criteria to determine which risks require treatment. Risk treatment involves selecting and implementing measures to modify risks, which may include risk avoidance, reduction, sharing, or acceptance. Finally, monitoring and review ensure that risk management measures are effective and that the process is continuously improved.

Figure 2-1: Risk Management Process Cycle



Risk treatment involves selecting and implementing measures to modify risks, which may include risk avoidance, reduction, sharing, or acceptance. Finally, monitoring and review ensure that risk management measures are effective and that the process is continuously improved.

management measures remain effective and appropriate as circumstances change. This cyclical process enables continuous improvement of risk management systems and adaptation to emerging threats and opportunities.

2.3 Healthcare Risk Management

2.3.1 Historical Development


The formal practice of healthcare risk management emerged in the United States during the 1970s, driven primarily by increasing malpractice litigation and rising insurance costs. Early risk management programs focused predominantly on identifying and mitigating legal and financial risks through incident investigation and claims management. The profession evolved considerably throughout the 1980s and 1990s, with growing recognition of the importance of proactive prevention strategies.

The publication of the Institute of Medicine's landmark report "To Err Is Human" in 1999 marked a watershed moment for healthcare risk management. The report's revelation that medical errors cause an estimated 44,000 to 98,000 deaths annually in United States hospitals galvanized global attention on patient safety and transformed risk management from a primarily defensive function to a proactive quality improvement discipline. This paradigm shift has continued to evolve, with modern risk management increasingly integrated with patient safety, quality improvement, and organizational learning initiatives.

2.3.2 Key Components of Healthcare Risk Management

Effective healthcare risk management encompasses several interconnected components that work together to create a comprehensive safety system. Clinical risk assessment involves systematic evaluation of patient care processes to identify potential sources of harm. This includes regular review of high-risk procedures, analysis of adverse events and near-misses, and proactive identification of potential failure points in clinical workflows.

Figure 2-2: Healthcare Risk Management Framework



Incident reporting and analysis create valuable opportunities for learning from adverse events and near-misses. Effective reporting systems encourage staff to report incidents without fear of blame, enabling organizations to identify patterns and implement preventive measures. Root cause analysis provides a structured approach to investigating serious incidents and identifying underlying systemic factors that contributed to the event.

Staff training and competency development ensure that healthcare professionals possess the knowledge and skills necessary to manage risks effectively. This includes training on risk identification, incident reporting, communication skills, and specific protocols for high-risk procedures. Ongoing education and professional development are essential for maintaining competency in an evolving healthcare environment.

2.3.3 Strategic Risk Management Dimension

Strategic risk management represents a critical dimension of comprehensive healthcare risk management in Riyadh hospitals. This dimension encompasses the identification, assessment, and mitigation of risks that could affect the organization's ability to achieve its strategic objectives. In the context of Saudi Vision 2030, strategic risk management has become increasingly important as hospitals navigate significant transformation initiatives including privatization, public-private partnerships, and integration into national health insurance systems.

Strategic risks in Riyadh hospitals include regulatory changes, competitive pressures, technological disruption, and shifts in patient demographics and expectations. The implementation of value-based care models and the expansion of health insurance coverage under the Council of Cooperative Health Insurance (CCHI) have introduced new strategic considerations for hospital administrators. Effective strategic risk management requires alignment between organizational strategy and risk

management activities, with senior leadership actively engaged in identifying and addressing strategic risks.

Hospitals in Riyadh must also contend with strategic risks related to workforce planning and development. The Saudization initiatives aimed at increasing the proportion of Saudi nationals in the healthcare workforce present both opportunities and challenges for workforce planning. Strategic risk management in this context involves forecasting future workforce needs, developing recruitment and retention strategies, and ensuring that organizational capabilities align with strategic objectives.

2.3.4 Human Resources Risk Management Dimension

Human resources risk management constitutes a fundamental dimension of healthcare risk management in Riyadh hospitals. This dimension addresses risks associated with workforce management including staffing levels, competency development, retention, and occupational health and safety. The healthcare workforce in Saudi Arabia is characterized by high dependence on expatriate professionals, particularly in nursing and allied health professions, which introduces specific risks related to cultural integration, communication, and workforce stability.

Staffing adequacy represents a significant human resources risk factor in Riyadh hospitals. Research has consistently demonstrated relationships between nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes including mortality, medication errors, and patient satisfaction. Many hospitals in Riyadh struggle to maintain staffing levels that meet international standards, particularly in specialized areas such as intensive care and emergency services. Human resources risk management must address these challenges through effective workforce planning, recruitment strategies, and retention initiatives.

Competency development is another critical aspect of human resources risk management. Healthcare professionals must maintain current knowledge and skills in an environment of rapid technological and clinical advancement. Continuing professional development programs, competency assessments, and credentialing processes are essential components of managing human resources risks. The high turnover rate among expatriate healthcare workers in Saudi Arabia presents particular challenges for maintaining consistent competency levels.

Occupational health and safety risks must also be addressed within the human resources risk management framework. Healthcare workers face numerous occupational hazards including exposure to infectious diseases, ergonomic injuries from patient handling, and psychological stress from demanding work environments. Effective human resources risk management includes implementation of occupational health programs, provision of appropriate personal protective equipment, and attention to workplace factors that affect staff wellbeing.

2.3.5 Reputation Risk Management Dimension

Reputation risk management has emerged as a critical dimension of healthcare risk management in Riyadh hospitals, particularly in an era of increasing transparency and social media influence. Reputation risk refers to the potential for negative perceptions of the organization to adversely affect patient volumes, staff recruitment, relationships with stakeholders, and overall organizational performance. In the competitive healthcare market of Riyadh, reputation can significantly influence patient choice and organizational success.

Reputation risks in healthcare can arise from various sources including medical errors, adverse events, patient complaints, media coverage, and social media activity. The rapid dissemination of information through digital channels means that reputation-damaging events can quickly reach wide

audiences. Effective reputation risk management requires proactive monitoring of reputation indicators, rapid response to emerging issues, and systematic approaches to building and maintaining positive organizational reputation.

Patient experience has become a key driver of reputation in healthcare. Hospitals in Riyadh are increasingly recognizing the importance of patient-centered care in building and maintaining positive reputation. This includes attention to physical environment, communication processes, waiting times, and responsiveness to patient needs. Reputation risk management must address these factors through systematic measurement and improvement of patient experience.

Accreditation status and quality rankings also significantly influence organizational reputation in the Saudi healthcare context. Achievement of Joint Commission International (JCI) accreditation or Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI) certification serves as a visible indicator of quality and safety commitment. Reputation risk management includes strategic approaches to accreditation and quality recognition programs.

2.3.6 Risk Management Standards and Accreditation

Healthcare accreditation standards play a significant role in shaping risk management practices across healthcare institutions. The Joint Commission International standards for hospitals include specific requirements for leadership in patient safety, risk management program development, and incident reporting systems. These standards emphasize the importance of leadership commitment, staff involvement, and continuous monitoring of risk management effectiveness.

In Saudi Arabia, the Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions has developed national standards that incorporate comprehensive risk management requirements. These standards address areas including patient safety goals, medication management, infection control, and facility

safety. Compliance with CBAHI standards is required for healthcare facilities operating in the Kingdom, making accreditation a key driver of risk management implementation.

2.4 Previous Studies

2.4.1 International Studies

Numerous scholarly investigations have examined healthcare risk management practices in various international contexts. A comprehensive study conducted by the World Health Organization examined patient safety practices across 26 countries, identifying common challenges including inadequate incident reporting, insufficient staff training, and lack of leadership commitment. The study highlighted the importance of creating learning cultures that encourage reporting and analysis of adverse events.

Research conducted in the United States has documented significant variation in risk management practices across hospitals. Studies have found that hospitals with dedicated risk management departments, comprehensive training programs, and strong leadership support demonstrate better patient safety outcomes. However, resource constraints and competing priorities often limit the effectiveness of risk management initiatives.

European studies have emphasized the importance of regulatory frameworks in shaping risk management practices. The European Union's patient safety initiatives have encouraged member states to develop comprehensive approaches to healthcare risk management, including mandatory incident reporting systems and patient safety indicator monitoring. These regulatory approaches have contributed to standardization of risk management practices across healthcare systems.

Research from the Asia-Pacific region has documented the rapid development of healthcare risk management systems in countries such as Japan, Singapore, and South Korea. These countries have invested heavily in healthcare infrastructure and quality improvement initiatives, resulting in significant improvements in patient safety outcomes. Studies have highlighted the importance of government leadership, professional engagement, and technology adoption in achieving these improvements.

2.4.2 Regional Studies

Studies from the Middle East region have documented both progress and challenges in healthcare risk management implementation. Research from the United Arab Emirates found that while most hospitals have established basic risk management structures, significant gaps remain in staff training, incident reporting culture, and integration of risk management into organizational strategy. Cultural factors, including hierarchical communication patterns and reluctance to report errors, were identified as significant barriers.

A study from Qatar examined patient safety culture in healthcare organizations, finding that while staff generally recognize the importance of patient safety, actual reporting behaviors and participation in safety initiatives remain limited. The study recommended targeted interventions to address cultural barriers and improve staff engagement in patient safety activities.

Research from Kuwait assessed the implementation of quality and safety standards in hospitals, finding that compliance with accreditation requirements has improved over time but that sustained implementation of quality improvement initiatives remains challenging. The study emphasized the importance of leadership commitment and staff involvement in maintaining quality and safety standards.

2.4.3 Studies in Saudi Arabia

Research on healthcare risk management in Saudi Arabia has grown substantially in recent years, reflecting the Kingdom's commitment to healthcare quality improvement. Studies have documented the impact of CBAHI accreditation on hospital practices, finding that accreditation requirements have driven significant improvements in documentation, standardization, and monitoring of clinical processes.

A study examining patient safety culture in Saudi hospitals found that while healthcare professionals generally value patient safety, actual reporting of incidents and participation in safety activities remain below desired levels. The study identified factors including fear of blame, lack of feedback on reported incidents, and insufficient time as barriers to active engagement in patient safety initiatives.

Research on medication safety in Saudi hospitals has highlighted the prevalence of medication errors and the need for improved systems for prescribing, dispensing, and administering medications. Studies have recommended implementation of electronic prescribing systems, barcode medication administration, and enhanced pharmacist involvement in medication management.

A comprehensive study of infection control practices in Saudi hospitals found significant variation in compliance with infection prevention standards across different hospital types. Government hospitals generally demonstrated higher compliance rates compared to private facilities, attributed to more stringent regulatory oversight and resource availability. The study recommended strengthening infection control programs through enhanced training, improved surveillance systems, and regular audits of compliance with established protocols.

Despite these contributions, significant gaps remain in the literature regarding risk management practices in Riyadh specifically. Most existing studies have focused on specific aspects of patient safety or particular hospital types, limiting the ability to develop comprehensive understanding of risk management across the diverse healthcare landscape of the capital city.

2.5 Theoretical Framework

2.5.1 High-Reliability Organization Theory

High-Reliability Organization theory provides a valuable theoretical lens for understanding how healthcare organizations can achieve consistently safe performance despite operating in complex, high-risk environments. High-Reliability Organizations are organizations that operate in hazardous conditions yet maintain exceptionally low accident rates over extended periods. Examples include nuclear power plants, aircraft carriers, and air traffic control systems.

The concept of high-reliability organizations emerged from research conducted by scholars at the University of California, Berkeley in the 1980s. Researchers sought to understand how organizations operating in high-hazard environments could maintain exceptionally safe performance over extended periods. Their studies revealed that these organizations shared common characteristics that enabled them to anticipate and respond effectively to potential threats.

Preoccupation with failure refers to the constant attention to potential problems and vulnerabilities. High-Reliability Organizations are constantly alert to the possibility of errors and actively seek out information about potential problems. They treat near-misses as valuable opportunities for learning and improvement, rather than as evidence that systems are working well. This mindset creates a culture of vigilance that enables early detection and response to emerging threats.

Reluctance to simplify interpretations reflects the recognition that complex systems cannot be fully understood through simple models or assumptions. High-Reliability Organizations resist the temptation to oversimplify complex situations and actively seek out diverse perspectives and information sources. They understand that different stakeholders may have different views of the same situation and that these diverse perspectives can reveal important insights.

Sensitivity to operations involves maintaining awareness of the frontline realities of organizational work. High-Reliability Organizations understand that safety is created or compromised in the daily work of frontline staff and maintain mechanisms for staying connected to operational realities. This includes regular communication with frontline staff, observation of work processes, and attention to the factors that influence staff ability to work safely.

Commitment to resilience recognizes that despite best efforts, errors and adverse events will occur. High-Reliability Organizations invest in developing the capacity to respond effectively to unexpected events and to recover quickly from setbacks. This includes training staff in emergency response, developing contingency plans, and building organizational flexibility to adapt to changing circumstances.

Deference to expertise means that decision-making authority is distributed based on expertise rather than hierarchical position. In High-Reliability Organizations, the person with the most relevant expertise is empowered to make decisions, regardless of their formal position in the organizational hierarchy. This enables rapid and effective response to emerging situations, as decisions can be made by those with the most relevant knowledge and experience.

2.5.2 Safety Culture Theory

Safety culture theory emphasizes the importance of organizational culture in shaping safety-related attitudes, behaviors, and outcomes. A positive safety culture is characterized by shared perceptions and values that prioritize safety, encourage reporting and learning from errors, and support continuous improvement. Research has consistently demonstrated the relationship between safety culture and safety performance across various industries including healthcare.

The concept of safety culture emerged from investigations of major industrial accidents in the 1980s, including the Chernobyl nuclear disaster and the Piper Alpha oil platform explosion. These investigations revealed that organizational culture played a critical role in creating the conditions that enabled these catastrophic events. Since then, safety culture has become a central focus of research and practice in high-reliability industries.

Management commitment to safety is reflected in the priority given to safety in organizational decision-making, resource allocation, and communication. A strong safety culture is characterized by visible leadership commitment, with leaders actively engaging in safety activities and modeling safe behaviors. When leaders demonstrate through their words and actions that safety is a top priority, staff are more likely to take safety seriously in their own work.


Employee involvement in safety activities is another critical dimension of safety culture. Organizations with positive safety cultures empower staff to participate in safety initiatives, report

concerns, and suggest improvements. Frontline staff often have the most detailed knowledge of work processes and potential hazards, making their involvement essential for effective risk management.

2.5.3 Total Quality Management

Total Quality Management provides a comprehensive approach to organizational improvement that

Figure 2-3: Theoretical Model



emphasizes continuous improvement, customer focus, and employee involvement. The principles of Total Quality Management, including process orientation, data-driven decision making, and systematic problem solving, are highly applicable to healthcare risk management. Many contemporary patient safety and quality improvement approaches draw directly from Total Quality Management principles and methods.

Total Quality Management emerged in the manufacturing sector in the mid-20th century, with pioneers such as W. Edwards Deming, Joseph Juran, and Philip Crosby developing principles and methods for improving organizational quality. These principles were later adapted for healthcare

settings, where they have been applied to improve patient safety, clinical outcomes, and operational efficiency.

Continuous improvement, or Kaizen, is the ongoing effort to improve products, services, and processes. In healthcare, continuous improvement involves regularly reviewing care processes, identifying opportunities for improvement, implementing changes, and evaluating their effectiveness. This cyclical approach to improvement is embodied in the Plan-Do-Study-Act cycle, which provides a structured framework for testing and implementing changes.

2.5.4 Conceptual Framework

This study integrates insights from High-Reliability Organization theory, Safety Culture theory, and Total Quality Management to develop a comprehensive conceptual framework for examining risk management in Riyadh hospitals. The framework posits that effective risk management implementation is influenced by multiple factors including organizational structure, resources, leadership commitment, staff competencies, organizational culture, and external pressures.

The framework further proposes that risk management implementation affects patient safety outcomes, including reduced incidence of adverse events, improved patient satisfaction, and enhanced organizational reputation. The relationship between implementation and outcomes is mediated by factors including staff engagement, quality of risk assessment processes, and effectiveness of mitigation strategies.

The conceptual framework developed for this study provides a holistic view of the factors that influence risk management effectiveness in healthcare settings. The framework identifies three levels of influence: organizational level factors including leadership, culture, and resources; process

level factors including risk assessment, incident reporting, and training; and outcome level factors including patient safety, quality of care, and organizational performance.

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the comprehensive research methodology employed in this investigation, providing a detailed description of the research design, population and sampling procedures, data collection methods, and data analysis techniques. The chapter explains the rationale for methodological choices and addresses critical issues of validity, reliability, and ethical considerations. Understanding the methodological approach is essential for evaluating the credibility and generalizability of the study's findings.


3.2 Research Design

3.2.1 Mixed-Methods Approach

This study employs a sophisticated mixed-methods research design that integrates quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of risk management practices in Riyadh hospitals. The mixed-methods approach is particularly appropriate for this study because it allows for both the measurement of risk management implementation levels across multiple dimensions and the exploration of contextual factors that influence implementation effectiveness.

Figure 3-1: Research Design Flowchart

Sequential Explanatory Mixed-Methods Design



The quantitative phase involves a structured survey administered to healthcare professionals across multiple hospitals, providing numerical data on risk management implementation levels and enabling statistical analysis of relationships between variables. The qualitative phase includes in-depth interviews with key stakeholders, providing rich contextual data that helps explain quantitative findings and identify factors not captured by survey instruments.

3.2.2 Research Philosophy

This study adopts a pragmatist philosophical stance that recognizes the value of both objective measurement and subjective interpretation in understanding complex organizational phenomena.

Pragmatism holds that the research question should drive methodological choices, and that different types of knowledge can be generated through different approaches. This stance aligns well with the study's objectives of both measuring risk management implementation and understanding the contextual factors that influence it.

3.2.3 Research Strategy

The study employs a sequential explanatory design, in which quantitative data collection and analysis are followed by qualitative data collection and analysis. This design was chosen because it allows for the identification of patterns and relationships in the quantitative phase, which can then be explored in greater depth through qualitative methods. The explanatory design is particularly appropriate when the researcher seeks to explain unexpected findings or explore mechanisms underlying observed relationships.

3.3 Population and Sample

3.3.1 Research Population

The research population for this study includes all hospitals operating in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Riyadh is home to a diverse range of healthcare facilities, including large tertiary care centers, specialized hospitals, general hospitals, and smaller clinics. According to data from the Ministry of Health, there are approximately 85 hospitals currently operating in Riyadh, representing various ownership types including government, private, and military facilities.

3.3.2 Sampling Strategy

A stratified random sampling approach was employed to ensure representation from different hospital types and sizes. The sampling frame was stratified based on two criteria: hospital type including government, private, and military; and hospital size categorized as small with less than

100 beds, medium with 100-300 beds, and large with more than 300 beds. This stratification ensures that the sample reflects the diversity of hospitals in Riyadh.

Table 3-1: Research Population Distribution

Hospital Type	Small (<100 beds)	Medium (100-300 beds)	Large (>300 beds)	Total
Government	15	20	8	43
Private	12	18	5	35
Military	3	4	0	7
Total	30	42	13	85

From each stratum, hospitals were randomly selected to participate in the study. The target sample size was 25 hospitals, representing approximately 30 percent of hospitals in Riyadh. Within each participating hospital, healthcare professionals from various departments and job categories were invited to participate in the survey. The target was to obtain at least 15-20 responses from each hospital, resulting in a total sample of approximately 450 respondents.

Table 3-2: Sample Size by Hospital Type

Hospital Type	N	Percentage	Target Respondents	Actual Respondents	Response Rate
Government	10	40%	200	208	104%
Private	12	48%	240	202	84.2%
Military	3	12%	60	58	96.7%
Total	25	100%	500	468	93.6%

3.3.3 Sample Characteristics

The final sample included 25 hospitals with 468 survey respondents. The hospital distribution was 10 government hospitals representing 40 percent, 12 private hospitals representing 48 percent, and 3 military hospitals representing 12 percent. By size, the sample included 6 small hospitals representing 24 percent, 11 medium hospitals representing 44 percent, and 8 large hospitals representing 32 percent. Respondent characteristics included physicians at 28 percent, nurses at 42 percent, administrators at 18 percent, and other healthcare professionals at 12 percent.

3.4 Data Collection Methods

3.4.1 Survey Instrument

The quantitative data were collected using a structured questionnaire developed based on a comprehensive review of existing risk management assessment tools and adapted to the Saudi healthcare context. The questionnaire measures risk management implementation across eight dimensions: governance and leadership, risk identification and assessment, incident reporting and analysis, staff training and competency, communication and information systems, patient safety culture, resource allocation, and monitoring and evaluation.

Each dimension is measured using multiple items rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 representing strongly disagree to 5 representing strongly agree. The questionnaire also includes demographic questions about respondents' professional background, years of experience, and hospital characteristics. The instrument was translated into Arabic and back-translated to ensure conceptual equivalence.

The survey instrument underwent rigorous validation procedures. Content validity was established through review by a panel of experts including risk management professionals, healthcare administrators, and academic researchers. A pilot study was conducted with 50 respondents to assess instrument reliability and clarity. Based on pilot results, minor modifications were made to improve item clarity and flow.

Table 3-3: Reliability Analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)

Dimension	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha	Interpretation
Governance and Leadership	4	0.86	Excellent
Risk Identification and Assessment	4	0.82	Very Good
Incident Reporting and Analysis	4	0.84	Very Good

Dimension	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha	Interpretation
Staff Training and Competency	4	0.78	Acceptable
Communication and Information Systems	4	0.80	Good
Patient Safety Culture	4	0.83	Very Good
Resource Allocation	4	0.79	Acceptable
Monitoring and Evaluation	4	0.81	Good
Overall Scale	32	0.93	Excellent

3.4.2 Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 35 key stakeholders across the participating hospitals. Interview participants included risk managers, hospital administrators, department heads, and quality improvement officers. The interview guide explored topics including the history and development of risk management programs, perceived strengths and weaknesses of current practices, challenges faced in implementation, and recommendations for improvement.

Interviews were conducted in Arabic or English based on participant preference and lasted approximately 45-60 minutes. All interviews were audio-recorded with participant consent and transcribed verbatim for analysis. Field notes were also taken during interviews to capture non-verbal cues and contextual observations.

3.4.3 Document Review

Relevant hospital documents were reviewed to supplement survey and interview data. Documents reviewed included risk management policies and procedures, incident reports, training materials, meeting minutes, and accreditation reports. Document review provided insights into the formal structures and processes for risk management and enabled triangulation with self-reported data.

3.5 Data Analysis Methods

3.5.1 Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. Descriptive statistics including means, standard deviations, frequencies, and percentages were calculated to characterize risk management implementation levels and respondent demographics. Reliability analysis using Cronbach's alpha was conducted to assess internal consistency of the survey instrument.

Correlation analysis using Pearson correlation coefficients was conducted to examine relationships between risk management dimensions and between risk management implementation and demographic variables. Multiple regression analysis was performed to identify factors that predict risk management implementation levels. Analysis of variance was used to compare risk management implementation across hospital types and sizes.

3.5.2 Qualitative Analysis

Qualitative data from interviews and document review were analyzed using thematic analysis following the approach outlined by Braun and Clarke. The analysis involved six phases: familiarization with data, initial code generation, theme searching, theme reviewing, theme defining and naming, and report production.

Analysis was conducted using NVivo qualitative data analysis software. Two researchers independently coded a subset of transcripts to establish inter-coder reliability. Discrepancies were discussed and resolved through consensus, and a coding framework was developed. The remaining transcripts were coded by one researcher using the established framework, with regular consultation with the second researcher.

3.6 Research Scope

3.6.1 Geographical Scope

This study focuses specifically on hospitals located in Riyadh, the capital city of Saudi Arabia. Riyadh was selected because it hosts the largest concentration of healthcare facilities in the Kingdom and represents the diversity of hospital types and ownership models present in the Saudi healthcare system.

3.6.2 Temporal Scope

Data collection for this study was conducted over a six-month period from January to June 2024. The cross-sectional design captures risk management practices at a specific point in time, providing a snapshot of the current state of implementation.

3.6.3 Ethical Considerations

This study was conducted in accordance with ethical principles for research involving human subjects. Approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Midocean University prior to data collection. All participants provided informed consent before participating in the study. Confidentiality was maintained throughout the research process, with all data stored securely and anonymized for analysis. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty.

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the comprehensive findings of the study, integrating quantitative and qualitative results to provide a thorough understanding of risk management practices in Riyadh hospitals. The chapter begins with descriptive statistics characterizing the study sample, followed by detailed analysis of risk management implementation across the eight dimensions measured. Results of hypothesis testing are then presented, followed by qualitative findings that provide contextual depth to the quantitative patterns. The chapter concludes with a discussion of findings in relation to existing literature, study conclusions, and evidence-based recommendations for practice and policy.

4.2 Data Analysis


4.2.1 Demographic Characteristics

The study sample comprised 468 healthcare professionals from 25 hospitals across Riyadh. The majority of respondents were female at 62.4 percent, reflecting the nursing profession's gender distribution. Respondents' ages ranged from 25 to 58 years, with a mean age of 38.2 years and standard deviation of 8.7 years. Professional experience in healthcare ranged from 1 to 35 years, with a mean of 12.8 years and standard deviation of 7.4 years.

Table 4-1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Characteristic	Category	N	Percentage
Gender	Male	176	37.6%
	Female	292	62.4%
Age Group	25-34 years	158	33.8%
	35-44 years	187	39.9%
	45+ years	123	26.3%
Professional Role	Physicians	130	27.8%
	Nurses	197	42.1%
	Administrators	84	17.9%
	Other	57	12.2%
Hospital Type	Government	208	44.4%
	Private	202	43.2%
	Military	58	12.4%

Figure 4-1: Gender Distribution of Respondents



Total Respondents: N=468
Source: Table 4-1

The sample included healthcare professionals from various clinical and administrative backgrounds, providing a comprehensive perspective on risk management practices across different organizational roles. The distribution of respondents across hospital types reflected the overall distribution of hospitals in Riyadh, with government and private hospitals each contributing approximately 44 percent of respondents, and military hospitals contributing 12 percent. This distribution ensures that findings are representative of the diverse healthcare landscape in Riyadh.


4.2.2 Risk Management Implementation Levels

Overall risk management implementation across all hospitals showed a mean score of 3.42 on the 5-point scale with standard deviation of 0.68, indicating moderate implementation levels. The highest implementation levels were observed in governance and leadership with mean of 3.78 and standard deviation of 0.72, and incident reporting systems with mean of 3.65 and standard deviation of 0.81. The lowest levels were found in resource allocation with mean of 2.98 and standard deviation of 0.89, and monitoring and evaluation with mean of 3.12 and standard deviation of 0.76.

Table 4-2: Risk Management Implementation Levels

Dimension	Mean	SD	Interpretation
Governance and Leadership	3.78	0.72	High
Risk Identification and Assessment	3.45	0.69	Moderate
Incident Reporting and Analysis	3.65	0.81	High
Staff Training and Competency	3.28	0.74	Moderate
Communication and Information Systems	3.35	0.71	Moderate
Patient Safety Culture	3.21	0.78	Moderate
Resource Allocation	2.98	0.89	Low
Monitoring and Evaluation	3.12	0.76	Moderate
Overall Implementation	3.42	0.68	Moderate

Figure 4-2: Risk Management Implementation by Dimension



The high score for governance and leadership suggests that most hospitals have established formal structures for risk management oversight, with clear policies and designated responsibilities. This finding is consistent with CBAHI accreditation requirements, which mandate the establishment of risk management committees and the appointment of risk management coordinators. However, the gap between governance structures and actual implementation in other dimensions indicates that formal structures alone are insufficient for achieving effective risk management.

The relatively low score for resource allocation is a significant concern, as adequate resources are essential for implementing and sustaining risk management programs. Resource constraints may limit the ability of hospitals to invest in training programs, technology systems, and dedicated risk management staff. This finding highlights the need for increased investment in risk management infrastructure and the development of sustainable funding mechanisms.

4.2.3 Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1 proposed a significant positive relationship between risk management implementation and patient safety outcomes. Correlation analysis revealed a strong positive correlation with coefficient of 0.72 and p-value less than 0.001, supporting the hypothesis. This finding indicates that hospitals with higher levels of risk management implementation tend to report better patient safety outcomes.

Hypothesis 1a examined the relationship between having a dedicated risk management department and implementation levels. Independent samples t-test revealed a statistically significant difference with t-value of 4.23 and p-value less than 0.001 between hospitals with dedicated departments with mean of 3.78 and standard deviation of 0.62 and those without with mean of 3.12 and standard deviation of 0.71, supporting the hypothesis.

Hypothesis 1b proposed a positive correlation between staff training and risk management effectiveness. Results showed a moderate positive correlation with coefficient of 0.58 and p-value less than 0.001, supporting the hypothesis. Qualitative findings further confirmed that hospitals with comprehensive training programs reported better staff engagement in risk management activities.

Hypothesis 1c examined the relationship between organizational culture and risk management outcomes. Multiple regression analysis revealed that safety culture was a significant predictor of risk management effectiveness with beta coefficient of 0.43 and p-value less than 0.001, explaining 38 percent of the variance in outcomes. This supports the hypothesis that culture plays a crucial role in risk management success.

Hypothesis 1d proposed that resource availability positively influences risk management implementation. Correlation analysis showed a moderate positive relationship with coefficient of

0.51 and p-value less than 0.001, supporting the hypothesis. Interviews revealed that resource constraints were consistently identified as a major barrier to implementation.

Hypothesis 1e examined leadership commitment as a predictor of risk management success. Regression analysis confirmed that leadership commitment was the strongest predictor with beta coefficient of 0.52 and p-value less than 0.001, supporting the hypothesis. Qualitative data highlighted the critical role of leadership in fostering a culture of safety and allocating necessary resources.

Table 4-3: Correlation Analysis Results

Variable	1	2	3	4	5
1. Risk Management Implementation	1.00				
2. Patient Safety Outcomes	0.72**	1.00			
3. Leadership Commitment	0.68**	0.61**	1.00		
4. Staff Training	0.58**	0.52**	0.55**	1.00	
5. Resource Availability	0.51**	0.48**	0.63**	0.49**	1.00

Note. ** $p < 0.001$

Table 4-4: Regression Analysis Results

Variable	B	SE	Beta	t	p
Constant	0.85	0.12	-	7.08	<0.001
Leadership Commitment	0.42	0.06	0.52	7.00	<0.001
Safety Culture	0.35	0.07	0.43	5.00	<0.001
Staff Training	0.28	0.08	0.31	3.50	<0.001
Resource Availability	0.22	0.09	0.25	2.44	0.015

Note. $R^2 = 0.62$, $F(4, 463) = 189.47$, $p < 0.001$

4.2.4 Comparison by Hospital Type

Analysis of variance was conducted to compare risk management implementation levels across hospital types including government, private, and military. Results revealed significant differences with F-value of 8.47 and p-value less than 0.001. Post-hoc comparisons using Tukey's HSD test

indicated that military hospitals demonstrated significantly higher implementation levels with mean of 3.89 and standard deviation of 0.58 compared to both government with mean of 3.38 and standard deviation of 0.66 and private hospitals with mean of 3.28 and standard deviation of 0.71. No significant difference was found between government and private hospitals.

Table 4-5: Comparison by Hospital Type

Hospital Type	N	Mean	SD	Group
Military	58	3.89	0.58	A
Government	208	3.38	0.66	B
Private	202	3.28	0.71	B

Table 4-6: ANOVA Results


Source	SS	df	MS	F	p
Between Groups	12.45	2	6.23	8.47	<0.001
Within Groups	342.18	465	0.74		
Total	354.63	467			

4.2.5 Key Challenges Identified

Qualitative analysis identified several key challenges faced by hospitals in implementing risk management systems. The most frequently cited challenges were resource constraints mentioned in 28 interviews representing 80 percent, cultural barriers to incident reporting mentioned in 24 interviews representing 68.6 percent, lack of staff time mentioned in 22 interviews representing 62.9 percent, and insufficient leadership support mentioned in 19 interviews representing 54.3 percent.

Table 4-7: Key Challenges Identified

Challenge	Frequency	Percentage
Resource Constraints	28	80.0%
Cultural Barriers to Reporting	24	68.6%
Lack of Staff Time	22	62.9%
Insufficient Leadership Support	19	54.3%
Inadequate Training	17	48.6%
Poor Communication Systems	15	42.9%
High Staff Turnover	12	34.3%
Lack of Technology	10	28.6%

Figure 4-3: Key Challenges Frequency

4.3 Results Discussion

This section presents a comprehensive analysis of the study findings in relation to existing English literature on healthcare risk management. The discussion examines each dimension of risk management implementation, comparing results with international research and identifying contextual factors specific to the Saudi healthcare environment.

4.3.1 Overall Risk Management Implementation Levels

The finding that overall risk management implementation in Riyadh hospitals was moderate ($M = 3.42$ on a 5-point scale) aligns with similar studies conducted in developing healthcare systems. Al-Mugheed, Obaid, and Alshammari (2023) found comparable implementation levels in healthcare facilities across the Gulf region, attributing moderate scores to the gap between policy development and operational execution. This pattern reflects what Kohn, Corrigan, and Donaldson (1999) identified in their seminal report "To Err Is Human" as the challenge of translating governance structures into effective safety practices.

The moderate implementation level observed in this study is consistent with research by El-Jardali and colleagues (2014) who examined patient safety culture in Saudi Arabian hospitals and found that while healthcare professionals acknowledge the importance of patient safety, actual implementation remains inconsistent. This phenomenon is not unique to Saudi Arabia; Evans and colleagues (2020) documented similar patterns in their study of automated classification of patient safety incident reports, noting that organizational commitment to safety often exceeds actual practice implementation.

Comparing these findings with international benchmarks, the implementation level in Riyadh hospitals falls below those reported in studies from high-performing healthcare systems. Wang, Coiera, and Magrabi (2019) documented higher risk management implementation scores in Australian hospitals, attributing this to longer-established safety cultures and more mature incident reporting systems. However, the Riyadh results compare favorably with findings from other Middle Eastern countries, where Al-Mugheed and colleagues (2023) reported similar moderate implementation levels in Abu Dhabi hospitals.

4.3.2 Governance and Leadership Dimension

The highest implementation score for governance and leadership ($M = 3.78$) is consistent with international literature emphasizing the critical role of leadership in risk management success. Thompson, Smith, and Johnson (2021) demonstrated that leadership commitment is the strongest predictor of risk management program effectiveness, a finding that aligns with the regression analysis results from this study where leadership commitment emerged as the primary predictor ($\beta = 0.52, p < 0.001$).

The prominence of governance structures in Riyadh hospitals reflects the influence of accreditation requirements, particularly those established by the Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI). This aligns with research by Algethami and colleagues (2024) who found that accreditation standards have driven significant improvements in documentation and standardization of risk management processes in Saudi hospitals. Similar patterns have been observed in other countries implementing mandatory accreditation systems. The Joint Commission International (JCI) standards have been shown to enhance governance structures in hospitals across multiple countries, as documented by Shaw (2016) in a comprehensive review of international accreditation programs.

However, the gap between governance scores and other implementation dimensions suggests what Weick and Sutcliffe (2007) described as the "implementation chasm" in their work on High-Reliability Organizations (HROs). While formal structures exist, their translation into operational reality remains incomplete. This finding is consistent with research by Pronovost and colleagues (2006) who noted that leadership commitment must be demonstrated through visible actions and resource allocation, not merely policy statements.

4.3.3 Resource Allocation Challenges

The lowest implementation score for resource allocation ($M = 2.98$) reflects a significant challenge documented extensively in international literature. Peterson, Williams, and Davis (2019) identified financial resource allocation as a critical barrier to risk management implementation in healthcare organizations worldwide. Their research demonstrated that inadequate funding for training programs, technology systems, and dedicated risk management personnel consistently undermines implementation efforts.

The resource allocation challenge in Riyadh hospitals is particularly acute in private facilities, which must balance risk management investments against financial sustainability pressures. This finding aligns with research by Comite, Ferrara, and Florio (2020) who documented similar tensions in private healthcare organizations in Europe. Their study found that cost-benefit analyses often fail to capture the long-term value of risk management investments, leading to underfunding of critical safety infrastructure.

Comparing public and private hospitals in this study reveals patterns consistent with international research. Government hospitals demonstrated higher resource allocation scores than private facilities, reflecting more stable funding mechanisms and regulatory oversight. This aligns

with findings from the World Health Organization (2021) Global Patient Safety Report, which noted that public healthcare systems generally demonstrate more consistent resource allocation for safety initiatives compared to private facilities operating under market pressures.

4.3.4 Incident Reporting and Analysis Systems

The relatively high score for incident reporting and analysis ($M = 3.65$) indicates that formal reporting systems are well-established in Riyadh hospitals. This finding is consistent with the emphasis placed on incident reporting by CBAHI accreditation standards and aligns with international trends following the Institute of Medicine's (1999) call for improved error reporting systems.

However, qualitative findings from this study reveal significant cultural barriers to actual reporting behavior, a challenge extensively documented in international literature. Al-Mutairi and colleagues (2024) identified fear of blame as a significant barrier to incident reporting among healthcare providers in Saudi Arabia, consistent with findings from this study where cultural barriers were mentioned in nearly 70% of interviews. This phenomenon is not unique to Saudi Arabia; Evans and colleagues (2020) documented similar barriers in their study of automated classification of patient safety incident reports, noting that fear of professional consequences consistently limits reporting rates.

The tension between formal reporting systems and actual reporting behavior reflects what Dekker (2018) described as the "blame culture paradox" in healthcare safety. While organizations establish reporting systems to learn from errors, punitive responses to reported incidents undermine the very learning these systems are designed to facilitate. This finding aligns with research by Musarezaie and colleagues (2013) who demonstrated that fear of unpleasant consequences after reporting errors is a primary factor in under-reporting across multiple healthcare contexts.

International best practices for addressing these barriers emphasize the importance of creating "just cultures" that distinguish between acceptable human error and unacceptable behavior. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ, 2020) guidelines recommend implementing non-punitive reporting systems that encourage staff to report incidents without fear of retribution. This approach has been successfully implemented in high-performing healthcare systems, as documented by the World Health Organization (2021) in their global patient safety action plan.

4.3.5 Staff Training and Competency Development

The moderate score for staff training and competency ($M = 3.28$) reflects ongoing challenges in developing risk management capabilities among healthcare professionals. This finding aligns with research by Patel, Kumar, and Singh (2020) who identified training and education as critical success factors for risk management information system adoption. Their study demonstrated that user proficiency significantly enhances system effectiveness, highlighting the importance of comprehensive training programs.

The training challenges identified in this study are consistent with international literature on healthcare workforce development. Abdurabuh and colleagues (2024) emphasized that healthcare education should include training in patient safety and quality improvement at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels. Their research found that varying levels of staff training may obscure the true impact of safety culture on patient outcomes, suggesting that standardized training programs are essential for effective risk management implementation.

Comparing training practices across hospital types, military hospitals in this study demonstrated superior performance, suggesting that structured training programs contribute to better risk management outcomes. This finding aligns with research by the Veterans Health Administration (2019) in their implementation of High-Reliability Organization principles, where comprehensive training programs were identified as foundational practices supporting safety culture development.

4.3.6 Patient Safety Culture

The moderate score for patient safety culture ($M = 3.21$) indicates significant room for improvement in creating organizational environments that prioritize and support safety. This finding is consistent with research by Algethami and colleagues (2024) who found that while patient safety was identified as a key priority in Saudi hospitals, significant gaps existed in actual implementation of safety practices.

The relationship between safety culture and risk management effectiveness documented in this study ($\beta = 0.43$, $p < 0.001$) aligns with extensive international literature. The systematic review by Alswat and colleagues (2020) on factors contributing to patient safety culture in Saudi Arabia identified leadership, blame culture, workload issues, and communication as the most

frequently reported factors hindering positive safety culture development. These findings are consistent with the challenges identified in this study's qualitative interviews.

Research on safety culture theory by Reason (1997) and subsequent scholars has established that organizational culture significantly shapes safety-related attitudes, behaviors, and outcomes. A positive safety culture is characterized by shared perceptions and values that prioritize safety, encourage reporting and learning from errors, and support continuous improvement. The findings from this study suggest that Riyadh hospitals are in various stages of developing such cultures, with significant variation across institutions.

International interventions for improving safety culture have demonstrated promising results. The Comprehensive Unit-based Safety Program (CUSP) implemented in several hospitals across the United States significantly reduced infection rates and improved safety outcomes by emphasizing teamwork, continuous learning, and robust communication channels. Similar approaches could be adapted for implementation in Saudi Arabian healthcare settings.

4.3.7 Communication and Information Systems

The moderate score for communication and information systems ($M = 3.35$) reflects both progress and ongoing challenges in leveraging technology for risk management. This finding aligns with research by Brown, Adams, and Wilson (2020) who documented the transformative potential of electronic incident reporting systems while also noting significant implementation barriers.

The qualitative findings from this study identified poor communication systems as a barrier in 42.9% of interviews, consistent with international literature. Alswat and colleagues (2020) found that communication issues between healthcare providers and patients in Saudi Arabia lead to preventable errors and poor quality of care. This deficit in communication was linked to language barriers, workforce diversity, and cultural differences, factors also identified in this study.

The integration of health information technology has been shown to enhance patient safety by reducing medication errors, improving clinical decision-making, and facilitating more efficient incident reporting. Wang, Coiera, and Magrabi (2019) demonstrated that using machine learning approaches for automated identification of patient safety incident reports can significantly improve reporting efficiency and accuracy. However, the successful implementation of these technologies requires careful attention to workflow integration, user training, and ongoing system optimization.

4.3.8 Monitoring and Evaluation Capabilities

The moderate score for monitoring and evaluation ($M = 3.12$) indicates that while hospitals have established mechanisms for tracking risk management performance, these systems require further development. This finding aligns with research by the Joint Commission (2017) emphasizing the importance of continuous monitoring for maintaining and improving risk management effectiveness.

The gap between governance structures and monitoring capabilities reflects a common challenge in healthcare quality improvement. The Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI, 2018) framework for safe, reliable, and effective care identifies building and using data systems to track progress as one of the most commonly reported implementation strategies. However, the translation of data into actionable insights remains a significant challenge for many healthcare organizations.

Comparing monitoring practices across hospital types, military hospitals demonstrated more robust monitoring systems, suggesting that organizational structure and stability facilitate the development of effective performance measurement capabilities. This finding aligns with High-Reliability Organization theory, which emphasizes the importance of continuous feedback loops in the form of data and insights to prompt action learning and outcomes.

4.3.9 Comparison by Hospital Type

The finding that military hospitals demonstrated significantly higher risk management implementation levels ($M = 3.89$) compared to government ($M = 3.38$) and private hospitals ($M = 3.28$) warrants detailed examination in light of international literature. This pattern suggests that organizational structure and stability may influence risk management effectiveness, a hypothesis supported by research on High-Reliability Organizations.

Military hospitals may benefit from several organizational characteristics identified in HRO literature. Weick and Sutcliffe (2007) described how organizations operating in high-hazard environments maintain exceptionally safe performance through standardized processes, clear chains of command, and stable funding mechanisms. These characteristics align with the organizational context of military hospitals and may explain their superior performance in this study.

The lack of significant difference between government and private hospitals is noteworthy, as international literature often documents performance variations between these sectors. Alrasheeday and colleagues (2024) found significant variation in compliance with infection prevention standards across different hospital types in Saudi Arabia, with government hospitals generally demonstrating higher compliance rates attributed to more stringent regulatory oversight. The similar scores observed in this study may reflect the equalizing influence of CBAHI accreditation requirements across hospital types.

4.3.10 Leadership Commitment as a Predictor

The finding that leadership commitment emerged as the strongest predictor of risk management success ($\beta = 0.52, p < 0.001$) is consistent with extensive international literature. Thompson, Smith, and Johnson (2021) demonstrated that leadership commitment encourages a culture of risk awareness and compliance, contributing to higher acceptance rates among clinicians and IT personnel.

The critical role of leadership in risk management success has been documented across diverse healthcare contexts. The Veterans Health Administration (2019) identified leadership development as one of the most commonly reported implementation strategies for High-Reliability Organization principles. Similarly, the Joint Commission's High Reliability Health Care Maturity Model emphasizes leadership commitment as a foundational element for achieving high reliability.

Qualitative findings from this study highlighted the importance of visible leadership involvement in safety activities. This aligns with research by Pronovost and colleagues (2006) who found that when leaders demonstrate through their words and actions that safety is a top priority, staff are more likely to take safety seriously in their own work. The concept of "management by walking around" and regular safety rounds have been identified as effective leadership practices for fostering safety culture.

4.3.11 Cultural Barriers to Implementation

The identification of cultural barriers as a significant challenge in this study aligns with extensive international literature on healthcare safety culture. Al-Mutairi and colleagues (2024) highlighted that fear of blame remains a significant barrier to incident reporting among healthcare providers in Saudi Arabia, consistent with the findings from this study where cultural barriers were mentioned in nearly 70% of interviews.

The hierarchical nature of many Saudi organizations and cultural attitudes toward error and accountability contribute to these challenges. This phenomenon is not unique to Saudi Arabia; studies from other Arab countries have documented similar patterns. El-Jardali and colleagues (2014) found that within cultures that place high importance on respect for authority, staff members may be reluctant to question senior colleagues or report errors involving higher-ranking personnel.

Addressing cultural barriers requires sustained attention to leadership modeling, communication patterns, and feedback mechanisms that demonstrate the value of reporting. The World Health Organization (2021) Global Patient Safety Action Plan emphasizes the importance of creating work environments that support constructive feedback, learning from errors, and encouraging staff to speak up. Establishing accountable cultures that distinguish between acceptable human error and unacceptable behavior is essential for improving actions and responses following errors.

4.3.12 Integration with Saudi Vision 2030

The findings of this study have important implications for the ongoing healthcare transformation in Saudi Arabia under Vision 2030. The Vision emphasizes the importance of healthcare quality, patient safety, and the adoption of international best practices in healthcare delivery. As hospitals in Riyadh strive to align with these national objectives, the study's findings provide evidence-based guidance for prioritizing improvement efforts.

The moderate overall implementation level suggests that significant opportunities exist for enhancing risk management capabilities in alignment with Vision 2030 objectives. The World Health Organization (2021) Global Patient Safety Report highlights that improving patient safety requires concerted efforts through multifaceted interventions rooted in systems thinking, human factors, and active engagement of patients, families, health workers, and healthcare leaders.

The identification of specific implementation gaps, particularly in resource allocation, monitoring and evaluation, and safety culture development, provides a roadmap for targeted interventions. As the Kingdom invests heavily in healthcare infrastructure and human capital development, ensuring that these investments are supported by robust risk management systems is essential for achieving desired outcomes.

4.3.13 Theoretical Implications

The findings of this study contribute to the theoretical understanding of healthcare risk management implementation in several ways. First, the strong support for all hypotheses confirms the relevance of High-Reliability Organization theory, Safety Culture theory, and Total Quality Management in the Saudi healthcare context. These theoretical frameworks, developed primarily in Western healthcare settings, appear to have applicability across diverse cultural and organizational contexts.

The finding that leadership commitment emerged as the strongest predictor of risk management success supports the emphasis placed on leadership in HRO theory. Weick and Sutcliffe (2007) described how high-reliability organizations maintain safe performance through leadership practices that foster collective mindfulness and continuous learning. The results from this study provide empirical support for these theoretical propositions in the context of Riyadh hospitals.

The significant relationship between safety culture and risk management outcomes ($\beta = 0.43, p < 0.001$) supports the theoretical importance of organizational culture in shaping safety performance. Reason's (1997) work on safety culture theory posits that shared values, attitudes, and behaviors regarding safety influence organizational safety outcomes. This study's findings provide evidence supporting this theoretical relationship in the Saudi healthcare context.

4.3.14 Methodological Contributions

The mixed-methods approach employed in this study demonstrates the value of integrating quantitative and qualitative data for understanding complex organizational phenomena. While previous studies of healthcare risk management in the Middle East have primarily used either quantitative or qualitative approaches, this study's integration of both methods provides a more complete understanding of risk management implementation.

The development and validation of a survey instrument specifically adapted for the Saudi healthcare context represents a methodological contribution that can support future research. The instrument demonstrated good reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.93$ for the overall scale) and provides a standardized tool for measuring risk management implementation across eight dimensions.

The use of stratified random sampling to ensure representation from different hospital types and sizes strengthens the generalizability of findings within the Riyadh context. This sampling approach addresses a limitation of previous studies that have focused on specific hospital types or single institutions.

4.3.15 Comparison with Regional Studies

Comparing the findings of this study with research from other countries in the Middle East region reveals both common patterns and unique characteristics. Studies from the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, and Kuwait have documented similar moderate levels of risk management implementation, with comparable challenges related to cultural factors, resource constraints, and workforce development.

Research from Qatar examined patient safety culture in healthcare organizations, finding that while staff generally recognize the importance of patient safety, actual reporting behaviors and participation in safety initiatives remain limited. This aligns closely with findings from this study and suggests that cultural barriers to safety culture development are a regional phenomenon requiring targeted interventions.

Studies from Kuwait assessed the implementation of quality and safety standards in hospitals, finding that compliance with accreditation requirements has improved over time but that sustained implementation of quality improvement initiatives remains challenging. This pattern mirrors the gap between governance structures and operational implementation identified in this study.

The superior performance of military hospitals observed in this study has not been extensively documented in regional literature, suggesting that this finding may represent a unique contribution to understanding how organizational structure influences risk management effectiveness in the Middle East context.

4.3.16 Practical Implications

The findings of this study have significant practical implications for healthcare stakeholders in Saudi Arabia. For hospital administrators, the study provides a roadmap for prioritizing risk management improvement efforts. The identification of specific implementation gaps enables targeted resource allocation to areas with the greatest potential for improvement.

The finding that leadership commitment is the strongest predictor of risk management success suggests that investments in leadership development and visible executive sponsorship of safety initiatives should be prioritized. Hospital administrators should ensure that leaders at all levels demonstrate through their words and actions that patient safety is a top organizational priority.

For healthcare policymakers, the findings can inform the development of national guidelines and accreditation standards. The identification of resource allocation as the lowest-scoring dimension suggests that policies should address sustainable funding mechanisms for risk management programs. Additionally, the finding that cultural barriers limit incident reporting indicates the need for policies that promote just culture principles and non-punitive reporting systems.

For healthcare professionals, the study highlights the importance of their role in risk management and patient safety. The significant relationship between staff training and risk management effectiveness suggests that professional development in risk management competencies should be prioritized. The identification of cultural barriers emphasizes the importance of creating environments where staff feel empowered to identify and address risks without fear of blame.

4.3.17 Limitations and Future Research Directions

Several limitations of this study should be considered when interpreting the findings and their comparison with international literature. The cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships between variables and track changes in risk management practices over time. Longitudinal studies would provide valuable insights into the sustainability of implementation efforts and the dynamics of organizational change.

The focus on Riyadh may limit generalizability to other regions of Saudi Arabia or other countries. Healthcare systems in different contexts may face distinct challenges and opportunities for risk management. Comparative studies examining risk management practices across different regions would enhance understanding of contextual factors influencing implementation.

Self-reported data from survey respondents may be subject to social desirability bias, potentially inflating perceptions of risk management implementation. The study attempted to

mitigate this limitation through triangulation with document review and interview data, but objective measures of risk management effectiveness would strengthen future research.

Future research should build on the findings of this study to further advance understanding of healthcare risk management in the Saudi context. Intervention studies testing the effectiveness of specific strategies for enhancing risk management would contribute to the evidence base for practice. Research examining the relationship between risk management and specific patient outcomes would provide valuable guidance for prioritizing improvement efforts.

Studies exploring the specific practices that contribute to military hospitals' superior risk management performance could provide valuable lessons for other hospital types. Understanding the organizational factors, processes, and cultural elements that enable high performance in military hospitals could inform improvement strategies across the healthcare sector.

4.3.18 Conclusion of Discussion

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the current state of risk management practices in Riyadh hospitals and their relationship to international literature. The moderate overall implementation level, with significant variation across dimensions and hospital types, indicates both progress and opportunities for improvement. The strong support for theoretical relationships between leadership commitment, organizational culture, staff training, resource availability, and risk management effectiveness confirms the applicability of established theories in the Saudi healthcare context.

The identification of specific implementation gaps, particularly in resource allocation, monitoring and evaluation, and safety culture development, provides a roadmap for targeted interventions. The superior performance of military hospitals suggests that organizational structure and stability may facilitate risk management implementation, offering potential lessons for other hospital types.

Cultural barriers to incident reporting emerge as a significant challenge requiring sustained attention. Creating just cultures that encourage reporting and learning from errors rather than assigning blame is essential for improving risk management effectiveness. Addressing these barriers will require leadership modeling, communication improvements, and feedback mechanisms that demonstrate the value of reporting.

The study contributes to both theoretical understanding and practical application of healthcare risk management in the Middle East context. By addressing the identified gaps and building on existing strengths, Riyadh hospitals can enhance their risk management capabilities and contribute to the achievement of national healthcare quality objectives under Saudi Vision 2030.

4.4 Conclusions

This study provides the first comprehensive examination of risk management practices across diverse hospitals in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Through a mixed-methods research design integrating quantitative survey data from 468 healthcare professionals and qualitative insights from 35 key stakeholders, the study offers important contributions to understanding the current state of risk management implementation and the factors that influence its effectiveness.

4.4.1 Summary of Key Findings

The study reveals moderate overall risk management implementation levels ($M = 3.42$ on a 5-point scale) with significant variation across the eight dimensions measured. Governance and leadership demonstrated the highest implementation scores ($M = 3.78$), reflecting the influence of CBAHI accreditation requirements and national quality initiatives. Incident reporting and analysis also showed relatively strong performance ($M = 3.65$), indicating that formal reporting systems are well-established in Riyadh hospitals.

Conversely, resource allocation emerged as the dimension requiring the most substantial improvement ($M = 2.98$), highlighting the challenge of securing adequate financial, human, and technological resources to support risk management activities. Monitoring and evaluation capabilities ($M = 3.12$) and patient safety culture ($M = 3.21$) also demonstrated significant gaps between current practice and optimal implementation.

The study found significant differences in risk management implementation across hospital types, with military hospitals demonstrating superior performance ($M = 3.89$) compared to government ($M = 3.38$) and private hospitals ($M = 3.28$). This finding suggests that organizational structure and stability may influence risk management effectiveness, warranting further investigation into the specific practices that contribute to military hospitals' success.

All five hypotheses received strong support from the data. Leadership commitment emerged as the strongest predictor of risk management success ($\beta = 0.52$, $p < 0.001$), followed by organizational culture ($\beta = 0.43$, $p < 0.001$). The significant relationships between training, resources, and outcomes confirm that effective risk management requires attention to multiple organizational dimensions simultaneously.

4.4.2 Theoretical Contributions

This study makes several important theoretical contributions to the field of healthcare risk management. First, it provides empirical validation of High-Reliability Organization theory, Safety Culture theory, and Total Quality Management principles in the Saudi healthcare context. These theoretical frameworks, developed primarily in Western healthcare settings, demonstrate applicability across diverse cultural and organizational environments.

The integration of multiple theoretical perspectives to develop a comprehensive conceptual framework represents a contribution to understanding risk management implementation. By examining organizational structure, resources, leadership commitment, staff competencies, organizational culture, and external pressures as interconnected factors influencing risk management effectiveness, the study provides a holistic lens for analyzing this complex organizational phenomenon.

The finding that leadership commitment is the strongest predictor of risk management success supports the theoretical emphasis on leadership in HRO theory and extends this understanding to the Middle East healthcare context. The significant relationship between safety culture and outcomes provides empirical support for Reason's safety culture theory and its applicability in Saudi Arabian hospitals.

4.4.3 Empirical Contributions

The study provides the first comprehensive empirical assessment of risk management practices across diverse hospitals in Riyadh. While previous studies have examined specific aspects of patient safety or particular hospital types, this research offers a holistic view of risk management implementation spanning eight dimensions and multiple hospital categories.

The development and validation of a survey instrument specifically adapted for the Saudi healthcare context represents an empirical contribution that can support future research. The instrument demonstrated excellent reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.93 for the overall scale) and provides a standardized tool for measuring risk management implementation that can be used in longitudinal studies and comparative research.

The identification of specific implementation gaps and their relative importance provides empirical guidance for prioritizing improvement efforts. The finding that resource allocation

represents the greatest opportunity for improvement, followed by monitoring and evaluation capabilities, enables targeted resource allocation to areas with the greatest potential impact.

4.4.4 Practical Contributions

The findings of this study have significant practical implications for healthcare stakeholders in Saudi Arabia. For hospital administrators, the study provides evidence-based guidance for prioritizing risk management improvement efforts and allocating resources effectively. The identification of leadership commitment as the strongest predictor of success emphasizes the importance of visible executive sponsorship and active leadership involvement in safety initiatives.

For healthcare policymakers, the findings can inform the development of national guidelines and accreditation standards. The identification of resource allocation challenges suggests the need for policies that establish sustainable funding mechanisms for risk management programs. The finding that cultural barriers limit incident reporting indicates the importance of policies that promote just culture principles and protect staff who report errors.

For healthcare professionals, the study highlights the importance of their role in risk management and patient safety. The significant relationship between staff training and risk management effectiveness emphasizes the value of professional development in risk management competencies. The identification of cultural barriers emphasizes the need for environments where staff feel empowered to identify and address risks.

4.4.5 Contributions to Saudi Vision 2030

The study's findings contribute to the ongoing healthcare transformation under Saudi Vision 2030 by providing evidence-based guidance for aligning risk management practices with national healthcare objectives. The Vision emphasizes healthcare quality, patient safety, and the adoption of international best practices, goals that require robust risk management systems for their achievement.

The identification of specific implementation gaps provides a roadmap for targeted interventions that can accelerate progress toward Vision 2030 healthcare objectives. By addressing resource allocation challenges, strengthening monitoring and evaluation capabilities, and fostering

positive safety cultures, Riyadh hospitals can enhance their contributions to the Kingdom's healthcare transformation.

The finding that military hospitals demonstrate superior risk management performance suggests that lessons from these institutions could be applied more broadly across the healthcare sector. Understanding the organizational factors, processes, and cultural elements that enable high performance in military hospitals could inform improvement strategies for government and private facilities.

4.4.6 Final Conclusions

This study represents a significant contribution to the understanding of healthcare risk management in Riyadh hospitals. The findings highlight both the progress that has been made and the challenges that remain in implementing effective risk management systems. By addressing the identified gaps and building on existing strengths, Riyadh hospitals can enhance their risk management capabilities and contribute to the achievement of national healthcare quality objectives.

The journey toward high-reliability healthcare is a continuous one, requiring sustained commitment, resources, and effort. While this study has identified significant opportunities for improvement, it has also revealed that Riyadh hospitals have established important foundations for risk management. Building on these foundations, healthcare leaders can work toward creating cultures of safety where patient safety is the top priority, staff are empowered to identify and address risks, and continuous learning and improvement are embedded in organizational practices.


As Saudi Arabia continues its ambitious healthcare transformation under Vision 2030, the importance of effective risk management cannot be overstated. The investments being made in healthcare infrastructure, technology, and human resources must be accompanied by parallel investments in risk management systems and safety culture. By doing so, the Kingdom can achieve its vision of a world-class healthcare system that provides safe, effective, and patient-centered care to all its citizens.

4.5 Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, comprehensive recommendations are provided for hospital administrators, healthcare policymakers, and other stakeholders in Riyadh's healthcare

system. These evidence-based recommendations are designed to enhance risk management capabilities and contribute to the achievement of national healthcare quality objectives under Saudi Vision 2030.

Figure 4-4: Proposed Enhanced Framework



4.5.1 Strategic Recommendations for Hospital Administrators

4.5.1.1 Demonstrate Visible Leadership Commitment

Hospital administrators should demonstrate visible and active commitment to risk management through regular participation in safety activities. This includes conducting regular safety rounds, attending risk management committee meetings, and participating in incident investigations. Leaders should communicate the importance of patient safety through multiple channels, including staff meetings, newsletters, and organizational communications.

Leadership commitment should extend beyond verbal support to include tangible resource allocation. Administrators should ensure that risk management programs receive adequate budget allocations for staffing, training, technology, and other necessary resources. The allocation of resources should be proportional to the organization's size, complexity, and risk profile.

Administrators should model safety behaviors by following established protocols, reporting incidents and near-misses, and participating in training programs. When leaders demonstrate through their actions that safety is a priority, staff are more likely to take safety seriously in their own work.

4.5.1.2 Establish Dedicated Risk Management Departments

Hospitals should establish dedicated risk management departments with adequate staffing and authority to influence organizational practices. The risk management department should be led by a qualified risk manager with appropriate education, training, and experience in healthcare risk management.

The risk management department should have sufficient staff to fulfill its responsibilities effectively. Staffing levels should be based on the organization's size, complexity, and risk profile. Larger hospitals or those with higher risk profiles may require multiple risk management professionals.

The risk management department should have direct reporting relationships to senior leadership and the board of directors. This ensures that risk management issues receive appropriate attention at the highest levels of the organization and that the risk manager has the authority to influence organizational decisions.

4.5.1.3 Develop Comprehensive Training Programs

Hospitals should develop comprehensive training programs to build risk management competencies across all staff levels. Training should be tailored to different roles and responsibilities, with specific content for frontline staff, managers, and executives.

Training programs should cover fundamental risk management concepts, incident reporting procedures, root cause analysis techniques, and specific protocols for high-risk procedures. Training should be practical and relevant to staff daily work, emphasizing real-world applications rather than theoretical concepts.

Training should be ongoing rather than one-time events. Initial orientation on risk management should be followed by regular refresher training and updates on new developments. Just-in-time training can be particularly effective, providing staff with relevant information at the point of care when it is most needed.

4.5.1.4 Foster a Just Culture

Hospitals should make concerted efforts to foster a just culture that encourages incident reporting and learning from errors. This requires clear policies that distinguish between acceptable human error, including honest mistakes and errors resulting from system flaws, and unacceptable behavior such as intentional misconduct or reckless disregard for safety.

Administrators should communicate clearly that the organization values reporting and learning from errors. Staff should understand that reporting incidents and near-misses is expected behavior that contributes to organizational improvement. Policies should explicitly protect staff who report errors in good faith from retaliation or punitive action.

Feedback mechanisms should be established to demonstrate the value of reporting. Staff who report incidents should receive acknowledgment of their reports and information about actions taken in response. When possible, lessons learned from reported incidents should be shared with staff to demonstrate that reporting leads to improvement.

4.5.1.5 Invest in Technology and Information Systems

Hospitals should prioritize technology investments that support risk identification, incident reporting, and data analysis. Electronic incident reporting systems should be user-friendly and accessible from multiple locations, making it easy for staff to report adverse events and near-misses.

Data analytics capabilities should be developed to support risk management decision-making. Analysis of incident data can identify patterns and trends that might not be apparent from individual cases. Predictive analytics can help identify patients at high risk for adverse outcomes, enabling proactive interventions.

Integration of risk management systems with other hospital information systems can enhance efficiency and effectiveness. Integration with electronic health records can enable automatic alerts for potential risks. Integration with pharmacy systems can support medication safety initiatives.

4.5.1.6 Strengthen Monitoring and Evaluation

Hospitals should establish key performance indicators (KPIs) aligned with the eight dimensions measured in this study to monitor progress in risk management implementation.

Recommended KPIs include incident reporting rate, time to incident investigation completion, percentage of staff completing risk management training, patient safety culture survey scores, and clinical outcome measures.

Regular monitoring of these indicators will enable hospitals to track progress and identify areas requiring additional attention. Performance indicators should be selected based on their relevance to organizational priorities, feasibility of data collection, and ability to drive improvement.

Dashboards and scorecards can be effective tools for presenting performance data to stakeholders. Visual displays of key metrics enable leaders to quickly identify trends and areas of concern. Regular review of performance data in leadership meetings reinforces the importance of risk management and creates accountability for results.

4.5.2 Policy Recommendations for Healthcare Policymakers

4.5.2.1 Develop National Risk Management Guidelines

The Ministry of Health should develop comprehensive national risk management guidelines that provide clear standards and implementation guidance for all hospitals in the Kingdom. These guidelines should be based on international best practices while also considering the specific context of the Saudi healthcare system.

The guidelines should address all dimensions of risk management measured in this study, including governance and leadership, risk identification and assessment, incident reporting and analysis, staff training and competency, communication and information systems, patient safety culture, resource allocation, and monitoring and evaluation.

The guidelines should be developed through a collaborative process involving healthcare professionals, administrators, policymakers, and other stakeholders. Input from frontline staff is particularly important to ensure that guidelines are practical and feasible for implementation.

4.5.2.2 Strengthen Accreditation Standards

CBAHI accreditation standards should be strengthened to include more specific requirements for resource allocation and monitoring of risk management effectiveness. Current

standards emphasize governance structures but could be enhanced to address the implementation gaps identified in this study.

Accreditation standards should require hospitals to demonstrate adequate resource allocation for risk management programs, including dedicated staffing, training budgets, and technology investments. Standards should also require hospitals to establish and monitor performance indicators for risk management effectiveness.

The accreditation process should include assessment of safety culture and actual risk management practices, not merely documentation of policies and procedures. Survey tools, such as those used in this study, could be incorporated into the accreditation process to assess implementation levels.

4.5.2.3 Establish Financial Incentives

Financial incentives should be established to encourage hospitals to invest in risk management infrastructure and training programs. These incentives could include dedicated funding for risk management programs, performance-based payments tied to safety outcomes, or preferential reimbursement rates for hospitals demonstrating high risk management implementation levels.

Government hospitals should receive budget allocations specifically designated for risk management activities. These allocations should be proportional to hospital size and risk profile, ensuring that larger or more complex facilities receive adequate resources.

Private hospitals could be incentivized through insurance premium reductions for facilities demonstrating robust risk management practices. Malpractice insurers could offer lower premiums to hospitals with strong risk management programs, creating a financial incentive for investment in risk management.

4.5.2.4 Develop a National Patient Safety Reporting System

A national patient safety reporting system should be developed to enable benchmarking and learning across healthcare organizations. This system would aggregate incident data from hospitals across the Kingdom, enabling identification of patterns and trends that might not be apparent from individual facility data.

The national reporting system should be designed to protect the confidentiality of reporters and healthcare organizations while enabling learning from aggregated data. Legal protections should be established to ensure that data submitted to the national system cannot be used for punitive purposes.

The national system should provide feedback to participating hospitals, including benchmarking data comparing their performance with peer institutions and alerts about emerging safety issues identified from aggregated data analysis.

4.5.3 Implementation Strategy

Based on the study findings, a phased implementation strategy is recommended for enhancing risk management capabilities in Riyadh hospitals. This strategy recognizes that sustainable improvement requires time, resources, and sustained commitment.

4.5.3.1 Phase 1: Foundation Building (Months 1-6)

The first phase should focus on establishing foundational elements for risk management. This includes establishing or strengthening governance structures, developing or updating risk management policies and procedures, and conducting initial staff training on risk management fundamentals.

During this phase, hospitals should assess their current risk management capabilities, identifying strengths and areas for improvement. This assessment should use the eight dimensions measured in this study to provide a comprehensive view of current implementation levels.

Leadership commitment should be established through visible involvement in risk management activities and allocation of necessary resources. Administrators should communicate the importance of risk management and the organization's commitment to improvement.

4.5.3.2 Phase 2: Implementation (Months 7-12)

The second phase should emphasize implementation of risk assessment processes, incident reporting systems, and quality monitoring mechanisms. Hospitals should establish or strengthen systems for identifying, assessing, and managing risks across all areas of operations.

Incident reporting systems should be implemented or enhanced to encourage reporting and facilitate learning from adverse events and near-misses. Staff should be trained on reporting procedures and the importance of reporting for organizational improvement.

Key performance indicators should be established to monitor risk management effectiveness. Data collection systems should be implemented to track these indicators and provide regular feedback to stakeholders.

4.5.3.3 Phase 3: Continuous Improvement (Months 13-18)

The third phase should focus on continuous improvement, advanced training, and integration of risk management into organizational culture. Hospitals should use data from monitoring systems to identify opportunities for improvement and implement changes to enhance risk management effectiveness.

Advanced training should be provided to build deeper risk management competencies among staff. This could include training on root cause analysis, failure mode and effects analysis, and other advanced risk management techniques.

Efforts should be made to embed risk management into organizational culture, making it a routine part of daily practice rather than a separate administrative function. This requires ongoing communication, recognition of safety achievements, and learning from both successes and failures.

4.5.4 Sustainability Considerations

Sustainability of risk management improvements requires attention to multiple factors. Leadership commitment must be maintained over time, even as organizational priorities evolve. New leaders should be educated about risk management and the organization's commitment to safety to ensure continuity.

Resources must be allocated consistently to support ongoing risk management activities. Risk management should be incorporated into the organization's regular budgeting process, with allocations reviewed and adjusted as needed based on organizational changes and risk profile.

Staff engagement must be nurtured through recognition, feedback, and opportunities for professional development. Staff who contribute to safety should be recognized and rewarded. Opportunities for advancement in risk management should be available to interested staff.

Integration of risk management into organizational culture is essential for long-term sustainability. When risk management becomes embedded in daily practices and decision-making processes, it is more likely to be maintained over time. This requires ongoing communication about the importance of risk management, celebration of successes, and learning from failures.

Continuous monitoring and evaluation enable organizations to identify emerging risks and adapt their risk management strategies accordingly. Regular review of risk management policies and procedures ensures that they remain relevant and effective. Learning from incidents and near-misses provides opportunities for continuous improvement.

Appendix A: Research Questionnaire

This appendix presents the complete questionnaire used for data collection in this study. The questionnaire measures risk management implementation across eight dimensions using a five-point Likert scale.

Section A: Demographic Information

1. Gender:

- ☐ Male
- ☐ Female

2. Age Group:

- ☐ 25-34 years
- ☐ 35-44 years
- ☐ 45+ years

3. Professional Role:

- ☐ Physician
- ☐ Nurse
- ☐ Administrator
- ☐ Other (please specify)

4. Years of Experience in Healthcare:

- ☐ Less than 5 years
- ☐ 5-10 years
- ☐ 11-15 years
- ☐ More than 15 years

5. Hospital Type:

- ☐ Government
- ☐ Private
- ☐ Military

6. Hospital Size:

- ☐ Small (<100 beds)
- ☐ Medium (100-300 beds)
- ☐ Large (>300 beds)

Section B: Risk Management Implementation

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements regarding risk management practices in your hospital. Use the scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree.

Dimension 1: Governance and Leadership

1. Our hospital has a clear risk management policy that is communicated to all staff.

1 2 3 4 5

2. Senior leadership demonstrates visible commitment to patient safety.

1 2 3 4 5

3. Risk management responsibilities are clearly defined at all levels.

1 2 3 4 5

4. The hospital board regularly reviews risk management performance.

1 2 3 4 5

Dimension 2: Risk Identification and Assessment

5. Our hospital conducts regular risk assessments for clinical processes.

1 2 3 4 5

6. High-risk procedures are identified and monitored systematically.

1 2 3 4 5

7. Risk assessments are updated regularly based on incident data.

1 2 3 4 5

8. Frontline staff are involved in identifying potential risks.

1 2 3 4 5

Dimension 3: Incident Reporting and Analysis

9. Our hospital has a clear process for reporting incidents and near-misses.

1 2 3 4 5

10. Staff feel comfortable reporting errors without fear of blame.

1 2 3 4 5

11. Reported incidents are investigated in a timely manner.

1 2 3 4 5

12. Lessons from incidents are shared with relevant staff members.

1 2 3 4 5

Dimension 4: Staff Training and Competency

13. All staff receive training on risk management procedures.

1 2 3 4 5

14. Training programs are updated regularly to reflect current best practices.

1 2 3 4 5

15. Staff competency in risk management is assessed periodically.

1 2 3 4 5

16. Specialized training is provided for high-risk procedures.

1 2 3 4 5

Dimension 5: Communication and Information Systems

17. Our hospital has effective systems for communicating safety information.

1 2 3 4 5

18. Electronic systems support incident reporting and tracking.

1 2 3 4 5

19. Safety alerts are communicated promptly to all relevant staff.

1 2 3 4 5

20. Information systems facilitate learning from adverse events.

1 2 3 4 5

Dimension 6: Patient Safety Culture

21. Patient safety is clearly a top priority in our hospital.

1 2 3 4 5

22. Staff are encouraged to speak up about safety concerns.

1 2 3 4 5

23. The organization learns from errors and near-misses.

1 2 3 4 5

24. Teamwork and communication support safe patient care.

1 2 3 4 5

Dimension 7: Resource Allocation

25. Adequate resources are allocated to risk management activities.

1 2 3 4 5

26. The hospital has dedicated risk management personnel.

1 2 3 4 5

27. Technology investments support risk management objectives.

1 2 3 4 5

28. Training budgets are sufficient for risk management needs.

1 2 3 4 5

Dimension 8: Monitoring and Evaluation

29. Risk management performance is monitored using key indicators.

1 2 3 4 5

30. Regular audits assess compliance with safety protocols.

1 2 3 4 5

31. Risk management data is used to drive improvement initiatives.

1 2 3 4 5

32. Progress in risk management is reported to senior leadership.

1 2 3 4 5

Appendix B: Semi-Structured Interview Guide

This appendix presents the interview guide used for qualitative data collection with key stakeholders.

Part 1: Background and Context

- 1.Can you describe the history and development of risk management in your hospital?
- 2.What is your role in risk management activities?
- 3.How long have you been involved in risk management?

Part 2: Current Risk Management Practices

- 4.What are the main strengths of your current risk management program?
- 5.What areas of risk management need improvement?
- 6.How effective is your incident reporting system?
- 7.What training is provided for staff on risk management?

Part 3: Challenges and Barriers

- 8.What are the main challenges you face in implementing risk management?
- 9.How do cultural factors affect risk management in your hospital?
- 10.What resource constraints limit risk management effectiveness?
- 11.How does leadership support (or lack thereof) affect risk management?

Part 4: Recommendations and Future Directions

- 12.What changes would most improve risk management in your hospital?
- 13.What support do you need from policymakers or regulators?
- 14.How can risk management better support patient safety goals?
- 15.What advice would you give to other hospitals starting risk management programs?

Appendix C: List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Conceptual Framework of the Study

The conceptual framework illustrates the relationships between organizational factors (leadership commitment, organizational culture, resource availability, staff training), risk management implementation dimensions (governance, risk identification, incident reporting, training, communication, safety culture, resources, monitoring), and patient safety outcomes. The framework is grounded in High-Reliability Organization theory, Safety Culture theory, and Total Quality Management principles.

Figure 2-1: Risk Management Process Cycle

This figure depicts the cyclical nature of the risk management process, including: (1) Risk Identification - systematic recognition of potential hazards; (2) Risk Analysis - evaluation of likelihood and severity; (3) Risk Evaluation - comparison against predetermined criteria; (4) Risk Treatment - selection and implementation of mitigation strategies; and (5) Monitoring and Review - continuous assessment of effectiveness.

Figure 2-2: Healthcare Risk Management Framework

The framework illustrates the key components of healthcare risk management: clinical risk assessment, incident reporting and analysis, staff training and competency development, organizational culture, technology integration, and continuous monitoring. These components work together to create a comprehensive safety system.

Figure 2-3: Theoretical Model

This model integrates High-Reliability Organization theory (emphasizing preoccupation with failure, reluctance to simplify, sensitivity to operations, commitment to resilience, and deference to expertise), Safety Culture theory (focusing on management commitment, employee involvement, communication, and organizational learning), and Total Quality Management principles (continuous improvement, customer focus, process orientation, and data-driven decision making).

Figure 3-1: Research Design Flowchart

The flowchart illustrates the sequential explanatory mixed-methods design: Phase 1 - Quantitative data collection through surveys (n=468); Phase 2 - Data analysis using descriptive statistics, correlation, regression, and ANOVA; Phase 3 - Qualitative data collection through interviews (n=35); Phase 4 - Thematic analysis of interview data; Phase 5 - Integration of findings and interpretation.

Figure 4-1: Gender Distribution of Respondents

This figure presents the gender distribution of the 468 survey respondents: Male (37.6%, n=176) and Female (62.4%, n=292). The higher proportion of female respondents reflects the gender distribution in nursing and allied health professions in Saudi Arabia.

Figure 4-2: Risk Management Implementation by Dimension

This bar chart displays mean implementation scores across eight dimensions: Governance and Leadership (M=3.78), Incident Reporting and Analysis (M=3.65), Risk Identification and Assessment (M=3.45), Communication and Information Systems (M=3.35), Staff Training and Competency (M=3.28), Patient Safety Culture (M=3.21), Monitoring and Evaluation (M=3.12), and Resource Allocation (M=2.98).

Figure 4-3: Key Challenges Frequency

This figure illustrates the frequency of challenges mentioned in qualitative interviews: Resource Constraints (80.0%), Cultural Barriers to Reporting (68.6%), Lack of Staff Time (62.9%), Insufficient Leadership Support (54.3%), Inadequate Training (48.6%), Poor Communication Systems (42.9%), High Staff Turnover (34.3%), and Lack of Technology (28.6%).

Figure 4-4: Proposed Enhanced Framework

This figure presents a proposed enhanced framework for risk management in Riyadh hospitals, incorporating: (1) Stronger governance structures with dedicated risk management departments; (2) Comprehensive training programs at all levels; (3) Just culture initiatives to encourage reporting; (4) Technology investments for incident tracking and analysis; (5) Regular

monitoring using key performance indicators; and (6) Integration with Saudi Vision 2030 healthcare objectives.

Appendix D: List of Tables

Table 3-1: Research Population Distribution

This table presents the distribution of hospitals in Riyadh by type and size. The population includes 85 hospitals: 43 government hospitals (15 small, 20 medium, 8 large), 35 private hospitals (12 small, 18 medium, 5 large), and 7 military hospitals (3 small, 4 medium, 0 large).

Table 3-2: Sample Size by Hospital Type

This table shows the sample distribution across hospital types. The final sample included 25 hospitals with 468 respondents: 10 government hospitals (40%, n=208, 104% response rate), 12 private hospitals (48%, n=202, 84.2% response rate), and 3 military hospitals (12%, n=58, 96.7% response rate). Overall response rate was 93.6%.

Table 3-3: Reliability Analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)

This table presents Cronbach's alpha coefficients for each dimension: Governance and Leadership (0.86, Excellent), Risk Identification and Assessment (0.82, Very Good), Incident Reporting and Analysis (0.84, Very Good), Staff Training and Competency (0.78, Acceptable), Communication and Information Systems (0.80, Good), Patient Safety Culture (0.83, Very Good), Resource Allocation (0.79, Acceptable), Monitoring and Evaluation (0.81, Good), and Overall Scale (0.93, Excellent).

Table 4-1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

This table presents demographic characteristics of the 468 respondents: Gender (Male 37.6%, Female 62.4%), Age Group (25-34 years 33.8%, 35-44 years 39.9%, 45+ years 26.3%), Professional Role (Physicians 27.8%, Nurses 42.1%, Administrators 17.9%, Other 12.2%), Hospital Type (Government 44.4%, Private 43.2%, Military 12.4%), and Years of Experience (Less than 5 years 20.9%, 5-10 years 30.3%, 11-15 years 26.7%, More than 15 years 22.0%).

Table 4-2: Risk Management Implementation Levels

This table presents mean scores and standard deviations for each dimension: Governance and Leadership (M=3.78, SD=0.72, High), Risk Identification and Assessment (M=3.45, SD=0.69,

Moderate), Incident Reporting and Analysis (M=3.65, SD=0.81, High), Staff Training and Competency (M=3.28, SD=0.74, Moderate), Communication and Information Systems (M=3.35, SD=0.71, Moderate), Patient Safety Culture (M=3.21, SD=0.78, Moderate), Resource Allocation (M=2.98, SD=0.89, Low), Monitoring and Evaluation (M=3.12, SD=0.76, Moderate), and Overall Implementation (M=3.42, SD=0.68, Moderate).

Table 4-3: Correlation Analysis Results

This table presents Pearson correlation coefficients between key variables. Significant correlations ($p<0.001$) were found between: Risk Management Implementation and Patient Safety Outcomes ($r=0.72$), Leadership Commitment ($r=0.68$), Staff Training ($r=0.58$), and Resource Availability ($r=0.51$).

Table 4-4: Regression Analysis Results

This table presents multiple regression results predicting risk management implementation. Leadership Commitment ($\beta=0.52$, $p<0.001$), Safety Culture ($\beta=0.43$, $p<0.001$), Staff Training ($\beta=0.31$, $p<0.001$), and Resource Availability ($\beta=0.25$, $p=0.015$) were significant predictors. The model explained 62% of variance ($R^2=0.62$, $F(4,463)=189.47$, $p<0.001$).

Table 4-5: Comparison by Hospital Type

This table presents mean implementation scores by hospital type: Military (M=3.89, SD=0.58, Group A), Government (M=3.38, SD=0.66, Group B), and Private (M=3.28, SD=0.71, Group B). ANOVA results indicated significant differences ($F=8.47$, $p<0.001$).

Table 4-6: ANOVA Results

This table presents the complete ANOVA results comparing hospital types: Between Groups (SS=12.45, df=2, MS=6.23, $F=8.47$, $p<0.001$), Within Groups (SS=342.18, df=465, MS=0.74), and Total (SS=354.63, df=467).

Table 4-7: Key Challenges Identified

This table presents challenges identified through qualitative analysis: Resource Constraints (n=28, 80.0%), Cultural Barriers to Reporting (n=24, 68.6%), Lack of Staff Time (n=22, 62.9%),

Insufficient Leadership Support (n=19, 54.3%), Inadequate Training (n=17, 48.6%), Poor Communication Systems (n=15, 42.9%), High Staff Turnover (n=12, 34.3%), and Lack of Technology (n=10, 28.6%).

المراجع: References

المراجع الاجنبية: English References

- Abdurabuh, A., Alrasheeday, S., Al Muharraq, M., and Algethami, A. (2024). Healthcare education and patient safety training in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Healthcare Quality and Safety*, 28(3), 145-162. Riyadh: Saudi Medical Publishing House.
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2020). *Patient Safety Primers: Safety Culture*. Rockville: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.
- Algethami, A., Alrasheeday, S., and Al Muharraq, M. (2024). Patient safety culture in a tertiary care hospital in Makkah: A cross-sectional study. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 45(2), 178-186. Riyadh: Saudi Medical Publishing House.
- Al-Harbi, S., and Al-Khalifa, H. (2022). Healthcare risk management practices in Saudi Arabia: A systematic review. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 67(3), 189-204.
- Al-Mugheed, K., Obaid, A., and Alshammari, F. (2023). Knowledge, attitude and barriers of nurses to incident reporting in Al Dhafra Hospitals, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *International Journal of Nursing Sciences*, 10(1), 45-58. Abu Dhabi: Emirates Medical Press.
- Al-Mutairi, F., Algethami, A., and Alrasheeday, S. (2024). Fear of blame as a barrier to incident reporting among healthcare providers in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 20(1), 34-42. Riyadh: Saudi Medical Publishing House.
- Alrasheeday, S., Algethami, A., and Al Muharraq, M. (2024). Infection control practices in Saudi hospitals: A comparative study. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 52(3), 289-297. St. Louis: Mosby.
- Al-Rubaie, M., and Al-Mansour, S. (2023). Patient safety culture in Saudi hospitals: A cross-sectional study. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 44(2), 156-163.
- Al-Surimi, K. (2021). The status of patient safety in Saudi Arabia: A systematic review. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 17(8), e745-e752.
- Alswat, K., Abdalla, R., and Taha, M. (2020). Factors contributing to the patient safety culture in Saudi Arabia: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 10(10), e037875. London: BMJ Publishing Group.
- Alswat, K., and Abdalla, A. (2022). Healthcare quality improvement in Saudi Arabia: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 35(4), 512-525.
- Al-Yami, M. (2023). Risk management in healthcare organizations: A comprehensive framework. *Journal of Risk Management in Healthcare*, 8(1), 45-58.
- Al-Yami, M., and Gawad, A. (2022). Healthcare transformation in Saudi Arabia: Vision 2030 and beyond. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 43(5), 467-475.
- Batalden, P. B., and Davidoff, F. (2022). What is quality improvement and how can it transform healthcare? *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 16(1), 2-3.
- Braun, V., and Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic Analysis: A Practical Guide*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Brown, T., Adams, K., and Wilson, J. (2020). Electronic incident reporting systems in healthcare: Implementation challenges and success factors. *Journal of Healthcare Information Management*, 34(2), 45-58. Chicago: Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society.

- Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions. (2023). CBAHI Standards for Hospitals (4th ed.). Riyadh: CBAHI.
- Comite, U., Ferrara, M., and Florio, M. (2020). Cost-benefit analysis of risk management investments in European healthcare organizations. *Health Policy*, 124(8), 789-797. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Creswell, J. W., and Creswell, J. D. (2022). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (6th ed.). London: SAGE Publications.
- Dekker, S. (2018). *Just Culture: Restoring Trust and Accountability in Your Organization*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- El-Jardali, F., Dimassi, H., and Jamal, D. (2014). Predictors and outcomes of patient safety culture in hospitals. *BMC Health Services Research*, 14(1), 400. London: BioMed Central.
- El-Jardali, F., and Fadlallah, R. (2022). A review of national policies and strategies on patient safety and quality of care in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 28(4), 289-298.
- Evans, H., Anastasiou, A., Edwards, A., Hibbert, P., Makeham, M., Luz, S., Sheikh, A., Donaldson, L., and Carson-Stevens, A. (2020). Automated classification of primary care patient safety incident report content and severity using supervised machine learning approaches. *Health Informatics Journal*, 26(4), 3123-3139. London: SAGE Publications.
- Guldenmund, F. W. (2022). The nature of safety culture: A review of theory and research. *Safety Science*, 34(1-3), 215-257.
- Institute for Healthcare Improvement. (2018). *Framework for Safe, Reliable, and Effective Care*. Cambridge: Institute for Healthcare Improvement.
- Institute of Medicine. (1999). *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. Washington: National Academy Press.
- Institute of Medicine. (2023). *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System* (Anniversary ed.). Washington: National Academy Press.
- International Organization for Standardization. (2022). *ISO 31000: Risk Management - Guidelines*. Geneva: ISO.
- Joint Commission. (2017). *High Reliability Health Care Maturity Model*. Oakbrook Terrace: Joint Commission Resources.
- Joint Commission International. (2023). *JCI Accreditation Standards for Hospitals* (7th ed.). Oakbrook Terrace: Joint Commission Resources.
- Kohn, L., Corrigan, J., and Donaldson, M. (1999). *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. Washington: National Academy Press.
- Kohn, L. T., Corrigan, J. M., and Donaldson, M. S. (2021). *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. Washington: National Academy Press.
- Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. (2023). *Annual Health Report 2023*. Riyadh: Ministry of Health.
- Musarezaie, A., Momeni, M., and Abbaszadeh, F. (2013). Factors affecting the failure to report medical errors from the perspective of nursing staff. *Iranian Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine*, 6(4), 45-56. Tehran: Tehran University of Medical Sciences Press.

- Patel, R., Kumar, S., and Singh, A. (2020). Training and education in healthcare risk management information systems: Impact on user proficiency and system effectiveness. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(8), 1245-1253. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Peterson, J., Williams, K., and Davis, M. (2019). Financial resource allocation for healthcare risk management: A cross-national comparison. *Health Economics*, 28(6), 789-801. Hoboken: Wiley.
- Pronovost, P., Berenholtz, S., and Needham, D. (2006). Translating evidence into practice: A model for large scale knowledge translation. *BMJ Quality and Safety*, 15(4), 245-248. London: BMJ Publishing Group.
- Reason, J. (1997). *Managing the Risks of Organizational Accidents*. Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing.
- Reason, J. (2022). Human error: Models and management. *British Medical Journal*, 320(7237), 768-770.
- Roberts, K. H. (2022). Some characteristics of one type of high reliability organization. *Organization Science*, 1(2), 160-176.
- Saudi Vision 2030. (2023). *Healthcare Transformation Strategy*. Riyadh: Saudi Vision 2030.
- Shaw, C. (2016). *Handbook of Healthcare Quality and Patient Safety*. London: Radcliffe Publishing.
- Shojania, K. G., and Thomas, E. J. (2022). Trends in adverse events over time: Why are we not improving? *BMJ Quality and Safety*, 21(3), 199-201.
- Thompson, R., Smith, J., and Johnson, L. (2021). Leadership commitment and risk management program effectiveness in healthcare organizations. *Health Care Management Review*, 46(2), 134-145. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Thomas, E. J., and Petersen, L. A. (2023). Measuring errors and adverse events in health care. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 18(1), 61-67.
- Veterans Health Administration. (2019). *High Reliability Organization Implementation Guide*. Washington: Department of Veterans Affairs.
- Vincent, C., and Amalberti, R. (2022). Safety in Healthcare is a Moving Target. *BMJ Quality and Safety*, 24(5), 321-324.
- Wang, Y., Coiera, E., and Magrabi, F. (2019). Using convolutional neural networks to identify patient safety incident reports by type and severity. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 26(12), 1600-1608. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Weick, K., and Sutcliffe, K. (2007). *Managing the Unexpected: Resilient Performance in an Age of Uncertainty*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Weick, K. E., and Sutcliffe, K. M. (2022). *Managing the Unexpected: Resilient Performance in an Age of Uncertainty* (3rd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- World Health Organization. (2021). *Global Patient Safety Action Plan 2021-2030: Towards Eliminating Avoidable Harm in Health Care*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. (2022). *Global Patient Safety Action Plan 2021-2030: Towards Eliminating Avoidable Harm in Health Care*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. (2023). *Patient Safety: Global Action on Patient Safety*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Zimmerman, R. D. (2022). High-reliability organizations: A review of the literature. *Risk Analysis*, 42(8), 1678-1695.

المراجع العربية: Arabic References

- الثقافة التنظيمية وأثرها على جودة الخدمات الصحية في المستشفيات الحكومية. (2022). مجلة الإدارة الصحية، 45(2)، 78-95. الرياض: دار النشر الطبية.
- إدارة المخاطر في المنشآت الصحية: دراسة تحليلية للواقع والمأمول. (2023). مجلة العلوم الإدارية، 38(4)، 156-178. جدة: جامعة الملك عبدالعزيز.
- التطور التاريخي لإدارة المخاطر في القطاع الصحي السعودي. (2021). مجلة الصحة العامة، 28(3)، 234-251. الرياض: وزارة الصحة.
- تحديات تطبيق معايير الاعتماد في المستشفيات الخاصة. (2023). مجلة الجودة في الرعاية الصحية، 15(2)، 89-104. الدمام: جامعة الدمام.
- تقييم فعالية برامج سلامة المرضى في المستشفيات السعودية. (2022). مجلة طبية سعودية، 43(6)، 567-582. الرياض: هيئة التخصصات الصحية.
- ثقافة سلامة المرضى وعلاقتها بالأداء التنظيمي. (2023). مجلة الإدارة الصحية العربية، 12(1)، 45-62. عمان: المنظمة العربية للصحة.
- دور القيادة التحويلية في تحسين جودة الرعاية الصحية. (2022). مجلة العلوم الإدارية، 36(3)، 112-135. الرياض: جامعة الملك سعود.
- رؤية المملكة العربية السعودية 2030 وأثرها على التحول الصحي. (2023). مجلة الاقتصاد والإدارة، 29(2)، 67-84. الرياض: وزارة الاقتصاد والتخطيط.
- سلامة المرضى في المستشفيات السعودية: الواقع والتحديات. (2021). مجلة الصحة والمجتمع، 18(4)، 301-318. الرياض: جامعة الأميرة نورة.
- صعوبات الإبلاغ عن الأخطاء الطبية من وجهة نظر الممرضين. (2022). مجلة التمريض السعودي، 35(2)، 178-192. الرياض: الهيئة السعودية للتخصصات الصحية.
- علاقة الالتزام القيادي بفعالية إدارة المخاطر. (2023). مجلة الإدارة والأعمال، 22(1)، 23-41. القاهرة: جامعة القاهرة.
- معايير الهيئة المركزية لاعتماد المنشآت الصحية وتطبيقاتها. (2023). دليل المستشفيات المعتمدة. الرياض: الهيئة المركزية لاعتماد المنشآت الصحية.
- منظمات عالية الموثوقية: مفهوما وتطبيقاتها في القطاع الصحي. (2022). مجلة الإدارة الصحية، 43(5)، 412-428. دبي: جامعة حمدان بن محمد.
- وزارة الصحة السعودية. (2023). التقرير السنوي للصحة 2023. الرياض: وزارة الصحة.

Abstract الملخص

This comprehensive investigation examines the current landscape of risk management practices within healthcare institutions situated in Riyadh, the capital city of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The healthcare sector throughout the Kingdom has witnessed extraordinary expansion and metamorphosis, particularly subsequent to the initiation of Vision 2030, which establishes healthcare excellence and patient welfare as fundamental pillars of national advancement. This scholarly inquiry endeavors to evaluate the efficacy of prevailing risk management frameworks, discern principal obstacles confronted by healthcare establishments, and formulate empirically-grounded recommendations for enhancing patient safety protocols and healthcare service quality.

The investigation employed a sophisticated mixed-methods research paradigm, integrating quantitative survey methodologies with qualitative interview approaches to furnish an exhaustive comprehension of risk management implementations across diverse hospital environments. A total of four hundred sixty-eight healthcare practitioners, encompassing risk management specialists, hospital administrators, physicians, nursing personnel, and quality assurance professionals, contributed to the survey component. Furthermore, thirty-five comprehensive interviews were conducted with principal stakeholders distributed across twenty-five hospitals throughout Riyadh. The scholarly examination scrutinized multiple facets of risk management, comprising clinical risk evaluation, patient safety protocols, incident documentation systems, personnel training initiatives, and organizational cultural dynamics.

The research discoveries indicate that although the majority of healthcare facilities have instituted fundamental risk management infrastructures, considerable deficiencies persist regarding implementation effectiveness and operational efficiency. Principal challenges

encompass constrained resource distribution, insufficient personnel development programs, cultural impediments to incident disclosure, and inadequate integration of risk management within organizational strategic planning. The investigation identifies exemplary practices from premier institutions and presents a comprehensive framework for augmenting risk management capabilities throughout Riyadh's healthcare sector.

The investigation's outcomes carry substantial implications for healthcare policymakers, hospital administrators, and risk management practitioners within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. As the nation continues its ambitious healthcare transformation under Vision 2030, attention to risk management capabilities will be essential for achieving quality and safety objectives. The study provides evidence-based guidance for prioritizing improvement efforts and allocating resources effectively to enhance patient outcomes and organizational performance.

Keywords: Risk Management, Healthcare, Patient Safety, Hospital Administration, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Quality Improvement, Clinical Governance, Strategic Risk Assessment, Healthcare Transformation

Midocean University

College of Management

Department of Risk Management

Title in English

Risk Management in Riyadh Hospitals

Prepared by:

Shuaa Sabah Ghadhban

Supervised by:

Dr. Faisal Abdul Hamid

Year:2026