

عنوان البحث

دور التحول الرقمي في تطوير كفاءة المنشآت الصغيرة والمتوسطة في المملكة العربية السعودية في ضوء برامج
الدعم الحكومية

**The role of digital transformation in developing the efficiency of small and medium
enterprises in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in light of government support programs**

إعداد الطالب/ عبد المحسن عبد الإله بن علي

الرقم الجامعي/ 251002348

إشراف/

د. الفصيل عبد الحميد

د. فايز جراد

العام الدراسي:

2025

Table of Contents

<u>Introduction.....</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Study Problem.....</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Importance of The Study.....</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Objectives of The Study.....</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Study Questions.....</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Research Hypotheses.....</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Literature Review.....</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Theoretical Premises of Study.....</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Research Methodology.....</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Study Tools.....</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Fields of Study.....</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>List of The References</u>	<u>25</u>

Introduction:

The Changing Strategic Horizon

What the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is currently witnessing is far more than a simple operational update in government performance; it is a **historical turning point** being meticulously shaped by "Vision 2030," which genuinely seeks to establish an economic structure that does not rely on a single source. It is evident to the specialized researcher that the driving force behind this unprecedented transformation is the **immense capacity of Digital Transformation (DT)**, which is now definitively considered the true accelerant for productivity and the elevation of service quality delivered to all segments of society (Reference 1).

The Beating Heart of the Economy

Amidst this relentless race toward the future, Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) carry a significant portion of the national responsibility. These entities, which constitute the **overwhelming and absolute majority** of the total enterprises in the local market (approximately 99%+), currently contribute a not-insignificant share to the GDP, sitting at around 28.7%. Furthermore, there is a consensus on the imperative need to elevate this contribution to the targeted threshold of 35% by the next decade (**Reference 2 - Monsha'at Report**). Their importance transcends mere statistical figures to become the **primary source** for job creation and the empowerment of youth capabilities.

The Paradox of Challenges and Research Accountability

Nevertheless, a **genuine paradox** remains, demanding deeper contemplation: How is it that an environment with such support and infrastructure still experiences a slowdown in digital adoption within this pivotal sector? **Previous literature in management and academic research (e.g., works by X and Y)** has explored several determinants, though often suggesting that the barriers are not solely technical, but **structural challenges**. For instance, the **scarcity of specialized technical competencies** and the **narrow margin of liquidity available for digital investment**, compounded by the **difficulty in achieving entrenched cultural and organizational change**, stand as formidable obstacles hindering the qualitative leap for these companies (**Reference 3**).

Justification of the Study and Announcement of the Agenda

Given this reality, which necessitates active contribution from the academic community—especially following the mobilization of governmental efforts (such as the supportive "Monsha'at" initiatives)—**this study emerges to fill a distinct methodological gap**: the lack of an **in-depth applied analysis** that investigates the **combined impact** of both internal and external determinants on the digital adoption process, and subsequently **quantifies the precise effect** of this adoption on the performance indicators specific to Saudi SMEs. This is not merely a descriptive exercise; it is an act of **research accountability** aiming to establish a **practical and reliable perspective** to serve as the foundation for strategic decisions supporting the comprehensive development goals of the nation.

Study Problem Statement:

The significance of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in achieving Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 requires them to quickly and thoroughly adjust to the demands of digital transformation (DT). Even with substantial support from the Saudi government to promote digitalization in various sectors, a clear disconnect exists between the Vision's goals and the reality experienced by many of these businesses.

Describing the Challenge and the Applied Gap

SMEs in the Kingdom face a complex set of structural barriers that limit their ability to embrace DT effectively. These challenges go beyond financial limitations or a lack of specialized skills among their current workforce. They also stem from cultural resistance and an over-dependence on traditional management styles that are not open to innovation or risk. As a result, there is a significant gap between government initiatives, such as Monsha'at programs and industrial support, and the actual use of digital technologies and their visible effects on the operational and financial performance of SMEs.

This gap exists in a competitive environment where there is a growing reliance on smart technologies. It creates a serious dilemma that risks the sustainability and growth prospects of SMEs, which undermines the overall value of the nation's economic transformation efforts.

The Central Research Question

Considering the above, and due to the lack of clear evidence linking these hindering factors to the measurable impact of digital transformation in Saudi Arabia, the main research problem can be framed as follows:

"How can we identify and assess the impact of structural and inherent factors (the Inhibitors) on the effectiveness of digital adoption, and what is the direct and measurable effect of digital transformation on the financial and operational performance of Small and Medium Enterprises in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia"?

Importance of The Study:

This study is important for two main reasons. First, it responds to the national need for economic change as outlined in Vision 2030. Second, it addresses gaps in knowledge and practical application in the field of strategic technology management for SMEs.

I. Theoretical Contribution

This research adds significantly to academic literature in several ways:

- i. Addressing the Scarcity in Context: The study offers thorough empirical proof of the link between Digital Transformation (DT) and performance in the Saudi SME ecosystem. This is a vital contribution, given the lack of focused research on this subject in Arabic and Gulf contexts compared to developed countries.
- ii. Establishing a Composite Conceptual Framework: Instead of testing only one theoretical model, this research aims to develop a composite analytical framework. It combines ideas from multiple models, such as TAM, TOE, and concepts of organizational change, with the needs of Industry 4.0. This creates a solid foundation for analysis.
- iii. Bridging the Measurement Gap: The study tackles the knowledge gap caused by local research that goes beyond merely describing challenges. It offers a reliable quantitative measure of DT's effect on operational efficiency and financial effectiveness.
- iv. Building a Future Methodological Baseline: This research allows for the thorough testing of current scientific ideas related to the human and organizational factors that drive successful digitization. It also provides tested measurement and analysis tools for future researchers in the region.

II. Actionable Impact

The findings of this research offer immediate practical value for decision-makers in the public and private sectors:

- i. Guiding Evidence-Based Public Policy: The study provides relevant government bodies, like Monsha'at and the Ministry of Industry, with data-driven analysis regarding the effectiveness of current support programs. This helps in designing targeted and effective policies that tackle the root causes of slow adoption instead of just addressing the symptoms.
- ii. Enhancing Institutional Performance: The research gives SME owners and managers a strategic perspective to evaluate their digital readiness. This allows them to make informed technology investment decisions that maximize Return on Investment (ROI) while reducing waste and resistance.
- iii. Model for Realistic and Phased Implementation: The study proposes a practical and phased digital transformation roadmap that is realistic and suited to the unique resource limitations of small businesses. This helps reduce the risks of digital failure and enhances the acceptance of organizational change.
- iv. Supporting National Vision and Entrepreneurship: By identifying the best ways to digitize, the study directly backs the Vision 2030 goal of boosting the sector's contribution to GDP and improving its competitive position in local and global markets, especially in emerging sectors.

Objectives of The Study:

The primary objectives of this study stem directly from the research gap, which is the scarcity of quantitative analysis regarding the relationship between Digital Transformation (DT) and performance efficiency within the context of Saudi Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). The research aims to achieve the following key results:

I. Core Analytical and Measurement Objectives

- i. **Quantify Digital Maturity:** To determine and measure the current Digital Transformation Maturity Level within Saudi SMEs by analyzing the technical, organizational, and human dimensions of digitization.
- ii. **Identify Structural Inhibitors:** To identify and classify the root causes and structural challenges (such as financial, cultural, and human factors) that serve as inhibitors to effective and sustainable DT adoption in this sector, and to establish their hierarchical ranking in terms of impact.
- iii. **Analyze the Quantitative Impact:** To analyze the quantitative relationship and direct effect between the levels of DT (across its various dimensions) and the key performance indicators (KPIs) of Saudi SMEs, including operational efficiency and administrative effectiveness.
- iv. **Evaluate External Support:** To assess the perceived effectiveness of current government support programs (such as Monsha'at and the Ministry of Industry and Mineral Resources initiatives) as external enablers of the digitization process within SMEs.

II. Actionable and Applied Objectives

- i. **Test Theoretical Model Validity:** To empirically validate the suitability of established theoretical frameworks and models (e.g., technology acceptance models or resource-based view theory) in explaining and guiding the DT process within the Saudi organizational environment.

- ii. **Develop a Strategic Framework:** To construct and develop a practical conceptual framework for digital transformation, grounded in the study's empirical findings, that takes into account the limited resources of SMEs to serve as a phased roadmap for enhancing their competitive efficiency.
- iii. **Provide Directed Recommendations:** To propose a set of strategic and actionable recommendations specifically tailored for decision-makers in both the public and private sectors, to maximize the return on digital investment and effectively address the identified challenges.

Study Questions:

These research questions focus on the goals of the study. They direct the collection and analysis of data to uncover the impact of Digital Transformation (DT) and find the factors that affect Saudi SMEs.

Main and Sub-Questions :

- i. What is the current Digital Transformation Maturity Level of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia?
- ii. What are the main Structural Determinants (Inhibitors) that prevent effective adoption of Digital Transformation among Saudi SMEs?

Sub-Question: What is the ranking of these structural determinants regarding their effect on the adoption process ?

- iii. What is the Quantitative Effect of Digital Transformation adoption on key indicators of Operational Efficiency and Administrative Effectiveness for SMEs in Saudi Arabia ?

Sub-Question: Does this effect differ significantly based on the economic sector where the SME operates ?

- iv. What is the perceived Effectiveness of current government support programs, such as Monsha'at and Ministry of Industry initiatives, as external enablers for Digital Transformation among Saudi SMEs ?
- v. What is the proposed Actionable Conceptual Framework that can be created from the findings to assist Saudi SMEs in achieving sustainable and gradual Digital Transformation?

Research Hypotheses:

These hypotheses are grounded in the theoretical framework and prior literature, which suggest expected relationships and impacts. They are formulated as testable declarative statements for statistical validation (acceptance or rejection):

I. Hypotheses on Relationship and Performance Impact (Quantitative Hypotheses)

Primary Hypothesis (H1): Digital Transformation positively and significantly affects the indicators of Operational and Administrative Efficiency for Small and Medium Enterprises in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia .

II. Hypotheses on Structural Determinants (Internal Inhibitors and Enablers)

Hypothesis Two (H2): The lack of resources (financial and skilled human capital) negatively and significantly affects the level of Digital Transformation adoption in Saudi SMEs .

Hypothesis Three (H3): The lack of a clear digital strategy negatively and significantly affects the maturity level of Digital Transformation and its effectiveness in SMEs .

Hypothesis Four (H4): An institutional culture that supports change and adaptability positively and significantly affects the effectiveness of Digital Transformation adoption in enterprises .

III. Hypothesis on External Enablers (Government Support)

Hypothesis Five (H5): Government support programs (such as Monsha'at and Ministry of Industry initiatives) positively and significantly impact the effective adoption of Digital Transformation in SMEs.

Literature Review:

I. Studies on the Impact and Efficiency of Digital Transformation

These studies focused on measuring the direct return of digitization on performance indicators, confirming the positive correlation between digital adoption and productivity.

OECD (2020) – "Digital Transformation in SMEs": This study aimed to determine the role of public policies and infrastructure in enabling small enterprises to enter the digital world. It relied on cross-country comparisons, concluding that digitization increases enterprise productivity by up to 25%, and its success requires legislative support and continuous technical training.

PwC (2022) – "Industrial Digitalization Report": This study covered the reality of industrial digitization in the Gulf, focusing on the impact of using Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) and Customer Relationship Management (CRM) systems in manufacturing enterprises. Its results showed that enterprises that implemented these systems increased their efficiency by 30%, and digital transformation directly contributes to increasing customer satisfaction and decision-making speed.

Westerman et al. (2014) – "The Digital Advantage": The study aimed to identify the relationship between digital maturity and the financial performance of large organizations. It adopted a quantitative methodology on global companies and concluded that more digitally mature companies outperform their peers by 26% in profitability, establishing the foundation for the relationship between maturity and performance.

Fitzgerald et al. (2023) – "DT and Operational Agility": This study aimed to measure the extent of Digital Transformation's contribution to enhancing operational agility and market responsiveness in small enterprises. It concluded that DT significantly boosts supply chain flexibility and the enterprise's capacity for rapid process adjustments.

Alharithy (2023) – "The Impact of Digitization on Service Quality": The study aimed to analyze the relationship between the adoption of digital solutions by Saudi enterprises and its impact on service quality and customer satisfaction. Adopting a quantitative methodology in the Saudi service sector, it established a statistically significant positive relationship between the level of digitization and the rise in Customer Satisfaction indicators.

II. Studies on Determinants and Barriers (Structural and Organizational)

These studies aimed to identify the obstacles facing SMEs in their digitization journey, whether internal or external challenges, supporting the study's hypotheses related to structural inhibitors.

Al-Hyari et al. (2021) – "Digital Challenges in Saudi SMEs": This study aimed to explore the obstacles hindering Saudi enterprises from digital transformation. It relied on a field survey and analysis of a sample of managers in Saudi Arabia, concluding that weak digital culture and the absence of digital strategic plans are the most prominent challenges.

Monsha'at (2022) – "National Digitization Report for Small Enterprises": The report reviewed the results of a survey on the extent of digitization adoption, challenges, and future needs for over 2,000 Saudi enterprises. It indicated that 72% view digitization as important, but only 40% have actually implemented it, with the most significant challenges being resource scarcity and lack of internal training.

Alomari, M. (2023) – "Barriers to Digital Adoption in GCC SMEs": The study aimed to identify commonalities in digitization barriers among small enterprises across the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries. It concluded that fear of change, lack of digital skills, and unclear Return on Investment (ROI) are the most prominent barriers, recommending targeted government initiatives by sector.

Al-Amoudi (2021) – "Obstacles to Transformation in the Saudi Business Environment": This study aimed to determine the relative importance of financial, technical, and human barriers to digital adoption in Jeddah enterprises. Employing a quantitative methodology, it concluded that the financial barrier (cost of solutions) and the human barrier (resistance to change) are the most influential factors on actual adoption.

Martinez and Sabi (2022) – "Leadership and Cultural Impediments": The study aimed to test the role of top management leadership and organizational culture as inhibitors to adopting digital business models in Spanish enterprises. Its findings proved that traditional leadership lacking a digital vision is the greatest inhibitor to transformation success, supporting the hypothesis on culture and leadership.

Awaad, B. (2020) – "The Role of Strategy in DT Success": This study aimed to analyze the relationship between having an explicit digital strategy and the level of digital transformation maturity. Results indicated that having a clear digital strategy leads to a

digital maturity level three times higher than enterprises relying on ad-hoc technology adoption.

III. Studies on the Role of External Enablers (Government Support)

This group addresses the impact of institutional and environmental support on accelerating the transformation process, justifying the study's fifth hypothesis on government support.

Kamel and Zaki (2019) – "E-Government Support in MENA": This study aimed to measure the impact of e-government initiatives and financial support on small enterprises' adoption of FinTech solutions. It concluded that government support programs and accessible financing have a significant positive impact in lowering the cost barrier and accelerating the adoption process.

Lange et al. (2023) – "Digital Policy Instruments and SME Adoption": The study aimed to analyze the effectiveness of policy instruments (such as tax breaks and subsidized training programs) as stimulants for digital adoption in Europe. It found that targeted training and interactive government platforms are the most effective tools for increasing enterprise readiness for digitization.

Al-Qarni (2024) – "Effectiveness of Government Support on Performance": This study aimed to analyze the impact of Monsha'at support programs on growth and revenue indicators in Saudi SMEs. Its results demonstrated a strong correlation between participation in support programs and a subsequent rise in financial performance indicators.

Al-Hammad (2022) – "The Impact of Infrastructure": The study aimed to measure the extent of high-quality telecommunication and internet infrastructure on the speed of small enterprises' adoption of cloud solutions. It concluded that developed infrastructure plays an essential enabling role, particularly in less densely populated areas.

Critical Commentary and Identification of the Research Gap

The systematic review of previous studies indicates a broad consensus on the benefits of DT (Westerman, OECD) and the existence of common structural challenges (Alomari, Al-Amoudi). However, this review highlights two major methodological gaps that this study will address:

Absence of Integrated Quantitative Analysis: Although previous regional studies (Al-Hyari, Monsha'at) identified challenges descriptively, they did not present an integrated quantitative model that measures the cumulative and interactive effect of structural determinants (financial, strategic, cultural) on the efficiency of quantitative adoption, and subsequently measures the direct and quantitative impact of this adoption on operational and financial performance indicators within a single causal model specific to the Saudi context.

Weak Linkage of Causality to Performance: Most local studies focused on analyzing challenges without moving to test the causal relationships between digitization adoption and the enterprise's actual performance.

Accordingly, this study aims to address these gaps by proposing an integrated quantitative analytical model to test the five formulated hypotheses, aiming to enrich academic literature and provide practical, evidence-based recommendations for decision-makers in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Chapter Two: Theoretical Premises of Study:

2.1 Decoding Digital Transformation (DT)

2.1.1 Beyond Buzzwords: Definition and Strategic Intent

Digital Transformation must be understood as an existential organizational imperative, rather than a mere tactical investment in hardware. Authorities like Westerman et al. (2014) define it as a fundamental strategic process where digital technologies are exploited to radically overhaul operating models and enhance value delivery. Crucially, this requires distinguishing DT from simple "digitization" (converting data): DT signifies a deep, integrated systemic change that ultimately redefines the enterprise's very business model (Fitzgerald et al., 2023).

2.1.2 The Multi-Dimensional Architecture of DT

To accurately capture the complexity of DT within an SME, the analysis must extend beyond technology itself and encompass four interconnected strategic domains (Al-Hyari et al., 2021):

- i. The Technology Domain: This includes securing foundational capabilities (Cloud, IoT, AI) and ensuring the requisite infrastructure is robust enough to sustain enterprise-wide adoption.
- ii. The Operational Domain: Focus is placed on engineering new, agile operational models, automating core workflows, and streamlining digitized value chains (e.g., in finance and supply chain).
- iii. The Organizational and Cultural Domain: This often represents the hardest challenge, focusing on nurturing adaptive leadership, cultivating digital skills among personnel, and establishing a flexible, risk-tolerant corporate ethos.
- iv. The Strategic and Value Domain: The ultimate goal; this dimension mandates the strategic restructuring of the business model to generate new revenue streams and sustainable competitive advantage.
- v. The assessment of an SME's Digital Maturity subsequently becomes the most reliable measure of its overall capability to harness these dimensions, positioning

the firm along a developmental spectrum from initial adoption to full digital mastery (Westerman et al., 2014).

2.2 Performance Metrics and the SME Context

2.2.1 Contextualizing the Saudi SME Ecosystem

The sheer volume of Small and Medium Enterprises in the Kingdom positions them as the indispensable engine for achieving Vision 2030's economic diversification goals (Monsha'at, 2022). While agile, this category is simultaneously characterized by acute vulnerability to financial limitations and skill gaps, a context demanding highly specific performance measurement.

2.2.2 Conceptualizing Efficiency and Effectiveness

Success in DT is ultimately validated by its impact on enterprise performance, which, for this study, denotes the organization's proficiency in meeting its goals both effectively and efficiently (Al-Qarni, 2024). This requires a bi-dimensional approach:

- i. **Operational Efficiency:** Measured by internal process optimization. Crucial KPIs include: cycle time reduction, optimal resource utilization, and demonstrable reductions in operating costs and error rates.
- ii. **Administrative and Financial Effectiveness:** Assessed through strategic and financial outcomes. Key indicators involve: revenue growth trajectories, profit margin stability, and measurable improvements in customer satisfaction and market reach.

2.3 Determinants and Barriers to Digital Adoption

The literature compellingly suggests that DT success is a function of navigating a complex array of internal and external forces, which form the structural basis for the study's hypotheses (Alomari, 2023):

2.3.1 Intrinsic (Structural) Inhibitors

These are systemic limitations inherent to the SME that critically determine its transformative capacity (Al-Amoudi, 2021):

- i. Financial Scarcity: The critical lack of capital for necessary technology investment, often compounded by difficulties in securing flexible financing for training and implementation (Kamel & Zaki, 2019).
- ii. Human Capital Gaps: Beyond a mere lack of specialized talent, this includes the pervasive challenge of organizational inertia and employee resistance to adopting unfamiliar workflows (Martinez & Sabi, 2022).
- iii. Strategic Fragmentation: The critical absence of a coherent, documented digital strategy, leading to ad-hoc, unsustained technology purchases and fragmented efforts (Awaad, 2020).
- iv. Cultural Rigidity: A deeply rooted organizational culture, often perpetuated by traditional leadership, that harbors caution and resists the fundamental disruption necessitated by DT (Al-Hyari et al., 2021).

2.3.2 Extrinsic (External) Enablers

These are macro-environmental factors that serve to accelerate and de-risk the adoption process (Lange et al., 2023):

- i. Governmental Support Mechanisms: Direct financial aid, specialized consulting, and targeted training initiatives provided by key national bodies such as Monsha'at and the Ministry of Industry (Al-Qarni, 2024).
- ii. National Infrastructure Quality: The reliability and maturity of telecommunication networks, cloud computing services, and national cybersecurity frameworks (Al-Hammad, 2022).

2.4 Theoretical Architecture Underpinning the Study

This research adopts a rigorous, multi-theoretical lens to provide comprehensive justification for its hypothesized relationships:

2.4.1 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The foundational work of Davis (1989), TAM provides an essential framework for predicting user behaviour regarding technology adoption. The model anchors its predictions on Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use.

Research Integration: TAM is vital for analyzing the human determinant (H4), linking employees' subjective perceptions of the new digital tools directly to the operational execution efficiency.

2.4.2 Lewin's Change Theory (Organizational Dynamics)

Lewin's enduring three-step model—Unfreezing, Changing, and Refreezing (Lewin, 1951)—is employed to understand the intricate dynamics of organizational resistance and transition.

Research Integration: This theory provides the framework for interpreting the impact of cultural rigidity (H4) and the necessary leadership interventions required to successfully institutionalize digital processes.

2.4.3 Industry 4.0 Concept

Representing the contemporary apex of industrial digitization, this concept (often dubbed the Fourth Industrial Revolution) describes the smart integration of digital technologies with production (PwC, 2022).

Research Integration: It offers the advanced context required to measure the true readiness and impact of sophisticated digital tools (IoT, AI) on the core operational efficiency (H1) of manufacturing and service-based SMEs.

Chapter Three: Research Methodology:

Section 3.1: Research Paradigm and Data Triangulation

The selection of the methodological paradigm was dictated by the need to effectively test the complex hypotheses (H1-H5):

- **Core Approach:** The Descriptive Analytical Approach is utilized as the primary framework. Its descriptive component serves to map the current state of Digital Maturity, while its Quantitative Analytical Component forms the backbone for hypothesis testing. This quantitative necessity mandates the use of advanced multivariate tools to isolate and measure the specific effects of multiple independent variables.
- **Data Strategy (Triangulation):** To ensure maximum robustness and minimize methodological bias, the study relies predominantly on Primary Data (collected directly from SME decision-makers). This primary evidence is meticulously substantiated by Secondary Data (official government reports from Monsha'at, Ministry of Industry reports, and verified academic literature) to firmly anchor the findings within the relevant national policy context.

Section 3.2: Sampling Strategy and Population

The integrity of the findings rests heavily on the accurate definition and selection of the sample:

- **Study Population:** The population is defined as the full spectrum of Small and Medium Enterprises operating in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, classified strictly according to the official Monsha'at criteria. This ensures our findings are directly relevant to the national target audience for DT initiatives.
- **Sampling Technique:** A Purposive Sampling strategy was consciously chosen over random selection. This technique ensures that the sample is not merely large, but *relevant*, specifically targeting enterprises that are actively engaged in (or have attempted) digital transformation processes. This guarantees that the collected data possesses the necessary empirical experience to address the quantitative hypotheses effectively.

Section 3.3: Data Collection Instruments and Measurement

A multi-instrument approach was designed to capture both the measurable performance metrics and the underlying managerial perceptions:

- **1. Primary Instrument:** The Electronic Questionnaire: This is the foundational tool for collecting quantifiable data from the extended sample.

- Measurement: All constructs (DT maturity, inhibitors, and performance) are measured using the Five-Point Likert Scale. This scaling allows for the robust statistical quantification of subjective managerial perceptions regarding adoption levels and strategic barriers.
 - Structure: The questionnaire's architecture is built around dedicated constructs designed to align precisely with the theoretical models presented in Chapter Two (e.g., measuring cultural rigidity and strategic clarity).
- 2. Supporting Instrument: Semi-Structured Interviews: These are used to enrich the analysis by capturing qualitative narratives. Interviews with a select group of managers provide invaluable contextual grounding to aid in the deeper interpretation of the statistical results.

Section 3.4: Rigor and Reliability (Validity and Reliability)

The scientific validity of the research is guaranteed through stringent statistical controls:

- Instrument Validation: Face and Content Validity are secured through an intensive review process by a panel of subject-matter experts and academic reviewers prior to deployment.
- Reliability Assessment: Internal consistency across the questionnaire items is confirmed using the Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient (α). A result consistently exceeding the standard threshold ($\alpha > 0.70$) will statistically establish the reliability of the constructs used for hypothesis testing.

Section 3.5: Advanced Statistical Techniques

The complexity inherent in the study's causal model necessitates the use of advanced statistical modeling techniques to rigorously test the null hypotheses:

- Statistical Software: Data analysis will be executed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) for descriptive and preliminary analysis, and the AMOS package (or equivalent software) will be utilized for the advanced modeling required.
- Hypothesis Testing: The primary analytical method will be Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), supported by Multiple Regression Analysis. This method is essential for simultaneously testing the direct, indirect, and overall fit of the theoretical model, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation of the complex, multivariate relationships hypothesized between the structural determinants and SME performance (H1-H5).
- Descriptive Analysis: Standard descriptive statistics (Means, Standard Deviations, Frequencies) will be used initially to profile the sample and address the foundational descriptive research questions.

Study Tools:

I. The Electronic Questionnaire: Quantifying Perceptions

The questionnaire stands as the chief instrument for generating the empirical evidence required to test the formulated hypotheses.

- **Design Imperative:** The instrument was meticulously engineered to quantify two central elements: the measurable Digital Maturity Level of the enterprises and the precise quantitative impact of this maturity on operational and administrative KPIs. Its theoretical bedrock lies in established models (TAM, Change Theory), ensuring that the perceived dimensions of technology adoption are systematically captured.
- **Measurement Philosophy:** Rather than merely asking for opinions, the adoption of the Five-Point Likert Scale allows for the statistical quantification of subjective managerial agreement, effectively translating perceptual data into rigorous, testable variables required for Structural Equation Modeling (SEM).
- **Analytical Function:** The data acquired here provides the foundational variance necessary to establish the causal relationships (H1-H5), allowing the study to transition from mere description to robust inferential analysis.

II. Semi-Structured Interviews: Contextual Depth and Interpretation

These interviews are integrated not as an optional addition, but as the critical interpretive complement to the quantitative findings.

- **Exploratory Function:** The primary objective is to obtain rich, qualitative narratives from key decision-makers. This enables the research to penetrate beyond the numerical results, illuminating the structural and behavioral 'why' behind statistical findings—particularly regarding the resistance to change and strategic planning deficiencies.
- **Validity Enhancement:** This tool is essential for providing contextual grounding, helping to resolve any potential statistical ambiguities, and ensuring that the final

conclusions are managerially resonant and accurately reflect the complexity of the field experience.

III. Analysis of Official Documents and Reports: External Validation

This systematic review of secondary data serves as the final anchor for the study's credibility.

- **Scope and Purpose:** It involves a critical engagement with official publications (from Monsha'at, the Ministry of Industry, and international bodies like OECD). These documents are not used merely for background, but for benchmarking the primary findings against published national trends.
- **Reliability Check:** By comparing the study's empirical results with officially documented statistics and policy objectives, this instrument provides a crucial layer of external validity, confirming the relevance of the findings to the national development agenda.

Fields of Study:

1. Conceptual and Topical Delimitations

The study focuses on analyzing the relationship between its main variables :

- i. Independent Variables: The factors affecting Digital Transformation (DT), including its four key areas: Technology, Processes, Culture, and Strategy .
- ii. Dependent Variables: The Operational and Administrative Efficiency indicators of SMEs (excluding wider economic performance or purely technical issues like network design) .
- iii. Theoretical Anchor: The analysis and interpretation are based on established theoretical frameworks, including TAM, Lewin's Change Theory, and the Industry 4.0 concept .

2. Geographical and Human Delimitations

To meet the requirement for clearly defining the Population and Sample, we establish the following geographic and human boundaries :

- i. Location: This study focuses on Small and Medium Enterprises operating in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The sampling will mainly target major economic centers, such as Riyadh, Jeddah, and the Eastern Province, to ensure access to a sample with significant experience in digital transformation efforts .
- ii. Target Population (The "Who"): The population consists only of businesses officially classified as Small and Medium Enterprises according to the criteria set by the Saudi General Authority for SMEs (Monsha'at) .
- iii. The Purposive Sample: The sample specifically gathers insights and data from key decision-makers (CEOs, Operational Managers, or IT Directors) within these companies. This focused strategy ensures that the information collected comes from a leadership perspective with direct knowledge of transformation processes and related performance outcomes .

3. Temporal and Methodological Delimitations

Timeframe (Data Collection): The data collection and analysis will take place in the first half of 2025. Therefore, results will reflect only the information available during this period and may not capture later, rapid changes in national technology policies .

Methodological Constraints: The use of an electronic questionnaire as the main quantitative tool limits the depth of exploration into certain complex qualitative variables compared to broader experimental designs. Additionally, the findings rely on statistical models of correlation and impact (SEM/Regression), which indicate statistical connections but do not claim absolute causality.

List of The References and Preliminary Resources:

English References

1. Al-Hyari, A. M., Al-Weshah, G. A., & Al-Qudah, A. A. (2021). Digital Transformation Challenges in Saudi SMEs. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 22(4), 843–860.
2. Al-Amoudi, S. B. (2021). Obstacles to Transformation in the Saudi Business Environment: An Empirical Study on Digital Adoption. *International Journal of Digital Marketing and Communication*, 6(2), 121–138.
3. Alomari, M. (2023). Barriers to Digital Adoption in GCC SMEs: A Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Management and Governance in the Gulf*, 5(1), 45–65.
4. Awaad, B. (2020). The Role of Strategy in DT Success: A Study on Organizational Maturity. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 29(3), 250–265.
5. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.
6. Fitzgerald, M., Kruschwitz, N., Bonnet, D., & Wollan, R. (2023). Digital Transformation: The Digital Advantage. *MIT Sloan Management Review* (Updated Edition). Capgemini Consulting.
7. Kamel, S., & Zaki, M. (2019). E-Government Support in MENA and its Impact on FinTech Adoption by SMEs. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 53(3), 133–148.
8. Lange, S., Siekel, S., & Schlauderer, S. (2023). Digital Policy Instruments and SME Adoption: An Evaluation of European Incentives. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 27(1), 101–125.
9. Lewin, K. (1951). *Field Theory in Social Science: Selected Theoretical Papers*. Harper & Row.
10. Martinez, L., & Sabi, M. (2022). Leadership and Cultural Impediments: Assessing the Barriers to Digital Model Adoption in Spanish SMEs. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 60(4), 775–799.
11. OECD. (2020). *Digital Transformation in SMEs: Digitalisation for Productivity and Growth*. OECD Publishing.
12. PwC. (2022). *Industrial Digitalization Report: Assessing Readiness and Impact in the Gulf Region*. PwC Middle East.
13. Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). *Leading Digital: Turning Technology into Business Transformation*. Harvard Business Review Press.

1. الحارثي، م. ع. (2023). أثر الرقمنة على جودة الخدمة: دراسة تطبيقية في القطاع الخدمي السعودي. مجلة الإدارة والاقتصاد، 35(2)، 400-425.
2. الحمادي، أ. س. (2022). أثر البنية التحتية للاتصالات على تبني الحلول السحابية في المنشآت الصغيرة. المجلة السعودية لتقنية المعلومات، 9(4)، 55-70.
3. القرني، س. ف. (2024). فاعلية الدعم الحكومي على الأداء: تحليل تأثير برامج منشآت على مؤشرات النمو في المنشآت الصغيرة والمتوسطة. مجلة البحوث المالية والمحاسبية، 12(1)، 10-35.
4. منشآت (الهيئة العامة للمنشآت الصغيرة والمتوسطة). (2022). تقرير الرقمنة الوطنية للمنشآت الصغيرة: الواقع والتحديات. المملكة العربية السعودية.
5. وزارة الصناعة والثروة المعدنية. (سنة الإصدار). برنامج تطوير الصناعة الوطنية والخدمات اللوجستية (ندلب): الرقمنة والأتمتة. (تقرير حكومي غير منشور).