

Final Project 1

Code: MGM 496-PMG496

Project Template

Faculty of Management
Midocean University

Midocean University

Faculty of Management

Department/ Specialization



The Impact of Remote Work on Team Productivity in Saudi Arabia

Student name

Mohammad Abulrahman Aboalhassan

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr.

Faculty member- Faculty of management- Midocean University

Date of submission – number of Semester

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	3
1.1. Research Background	3
1.2. Research Problem.....	4
1.3. Research Significance.....	4
1.3.1. At the theoretical level	4
1.3.2. At the practical level	4
1.4. Research Questions	5
1.5. Research Objectives	5
1.6. Research Hypotheses.....	5
1.7. Research Model	5
1.8. Research Methodology	6
1.9. Scope of The Study	6
1.10. The Suggested Project Structure.....	6
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review.....	7
2.1. Introduction	7
2.2. The independent variable	7
2.3. The dependent variable.....	8
2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables.....	8
2.5. Conclusion	9
References.....	9

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly transformed remote work from a mere flexible arrangement into a critical strategic imperative for many organizations. This shift compelled numerous organizations to transition to remote operations, fundamentally reshaping team communication, collaboration, and task delivery. With the rise of digital tools, remote work has become an often-preferred way of operation for both employers and the employees. This preference has led to the development of a 'work-from-anywhere' culture, exemplified by individuals leveraging locations like specialized cafes in Thailand to perform their remote duties, as observed in popular online media.

While remote work offers significant benefits, such as reduced overhead costs, increased employee satisfaction, and access to wider talent pools, it also introduces challenges. These include difficulties in monitoring employee performance, fostering team collaboration, and maintaining engagement and accountability. For organizations to succeed in a hybrid or fully remote environment, it is essential to understand how remote work affects productivity, especially at team level.

1.1. Research Background

The global workforce has undergone a significant transformation in the past decade, with remote work emerging as a core element of modern business operations. This shift has been driven by advancements in communication technologies, cloud computing, and evolving employee preferences for work-life balance. The trend was dramatically accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which compelled organizations worldwide to adopt remote work solutions rapidly. What initially began as a crisis response has now become a long-term strategic shift, making it crucial to understand how remote work impacts organizational performance particularly team productivity.

Remote work, including telecommuting and work-from-home arrangements, allows employees to complete their tasks outside traditional office settings. Enabled largely by digital collaboration tools, this model has been widely studied for its effects on individual productivity. However, the collective performance of teams in remote settings referred to as *team productivity*—has received comparatively less academic attention. Team productivity, defined by the effectiveness, coordination, and output of a group, is especially vital in project-based environments where collaboration is essential. As more teams now operate across time zones and geographical boundaries, investigating how remote work affects their performance is both timely and necessary.

While remote work offers benefits such as reduced operational costs and higher employee satisfaction, it also introduces challenges. These include limited face-to-face interaction, reduced communication clarity, and potential declines in team cohesion. On the other hand, some teams report improved focus and autonomy. Such divergent experiences underscore the

need for further research to determine when and how remote work enhances or hinders team performance. Organizational culture, leadership style, technology use, and employee attitudes are all factors likely to influence remote team outcomes.

Given these complexities, this study aims to investigate the impact of remote work on team productivity in modern business organizations. Through a comprehensive literature review and data-driven analysis, the research will offer practical insights for managers seeking to optimize remote work strategies and will contribute to the growing body of knowledge on team performance in distributed work environments.

1.2. Research Problem

Despite remote work becoming standard practice in many organizations, its definitive impact on team productivity largely remains ambiguous. While some studies have examined remote work's influence on individuals, limited research has addressed its effect on teams. This ambiguity poses significant theoretical and practical challenges that necessitate addressing to inform future decision-making in business management.

1.3. Research Significance

This research holds significant importance for both academic and business communities. Academically, this study expands the limited body of knowledge on how remote work influences *team* productivity, distinct from individual performance. By focusing on team dynamics, the study addresses a gap in existing literature and provides a foundation for further research in organizational behavior and management.

From a practical standpoint, the findings can help business leaders, HR, and team managers make better decisions regarding remote work policies. Understanding the challenges and advantages of remote teamwork will allow organizations to improve collaboration, enhance performance, and adapt more effectively to flexible work environments.

1.3.1. At the theoretical level

This study aims to enrich existing literature by zeroing in on a significant, yet often overlooked, gap in research: the collective productivity of teams operating remotely. While much previous work has centered on individual employee outcomes, there's been comparatively limited exploration into how remote work arrangements truly shape overall team dynamics, collaboration, and collective output. By diving specifically into team productivity, this research promises to deepen our understanding within organizational behavior theories, especially those concerning virtual collaboration, motivation, and how teams perform in these evolving, non-traditional work settings.

1.3.2. At the practical level

From a real-world perspective, the study provides valuable insights for practitioners managing remote or hybrid teams. Understanding how remote work influences team productivity can guide decisions on

communication strategies, technology adoption, and policy implementation. These insights can help improve team cohesion, boost performance, and increase employee satisfaction, ultimately enhancing the overall efficiency of distributed workforce.

1.4. Research Questions

- How has remote work affected overall team productivity in organizations in Saudi Arabia?
- What are the main challenges teams face when working remotely?
- How do communication and collaboration tools influence remote team performance?
- Are there noticeable differences in productivity between hybrid teams and fully remote teams?

1.5. Research Objectives

- To evaluate the impact of remote work on team performance in Saudi organizations
- To identify key factors that influence team productivity in remote settings
- To examine the tools, practices, and management strategies that help teams remain effective while working remotely
- To compare the productivity of hybrid versus fully remote teams

1.6. Research Hypotheses

1. Remote work has a significant effect on team productivity in Saudi Arabia
2. Teams using structured collaboration tools are more productive than those with less formal tools
3. Hybrid work models yield higher productivity than fully remote setups due to better team dynamics
4. Poor communication is a leading factor in reduced productivity among remote teams

1.7. Research Model

The research is based on a conceptual model that links remote work conditions (independent variable) to team productivity (dependent variable), with communication, collaboration tools, and leadership support as mediating factors.

➤ Industry Overview

This study will focus on the tech and service sectors in Saudi Arabia, as these industries have adopted remote work practices widely after the COVID-19 pandemic. Some examples may include departments within large Saudi enterprises such as STC, Aramco, or even startups that operate with remote or hybrid teams.

1.8. Research Methodology

The study will use a quantitative approach through structured surveys distributed to employees and team leaders working remotely in Saudi-based organizations. The survey will focus on productivity measures, challenges, and the tools they use. Responses will be analyzed using descriptive statistics and correlation analysis to test the hypotheses

1.9. Scope of The Study

This study examines the relationship between remote work and team productivity in Saudi Arabia's technology and services sectors. The unit of analysis is the work team (not individual employees). Data will be collected from intact teams operating hybrid or fully remote for at least three months, with 3–15 members per team. The study focuses on remote-work intensity, autonomy, tooling maturity, and coordination norms as the independent construct, and team productivity (cycle time, rework/defects, milestone hit-rate, and perceived team effectiveness) as the dependent construct.

1.10. The Suggested Project Structure

1. Introduction
2. Literature Review
3. Research Methodology
4. Results and Findings
5. Discussion
6. Conclusion and Recommendations
7. References
8. Appendices

2.Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1. Introduction

This chapter reviews the research on remote work and team productivity, with a focus on settings similar to Saudi Arabia's tech and services sectors. I first define each construct and outline the dimensions I will use later in measurement. I then synthesize what prior studies say about how remote work helps or hurts team productivity, and under what conditions. The chapter closes by highlighting the gap my study addresses.

2.2. The independent variable

Remote work: generally refers to performing job tasks away from the employer's premises, ranging from fully remote to hybrid arrangements. In practice, organizations mix several levers: frequency of remote days, autonomy/flexibility over when and where work is done, technology support (tools, network reliability, security), and coordination routines (stand-ups, rituals, documentation). These levers matter because they change both work demands and available resources. From a Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) lens, removing commutes and interruptions can lower hindrance demands, while distributed coordination raises cognitive and collaboration demands (Wang et al., 2021). Communication theories such as media richness / social presence explain why some tasks (e.g., brainstorming, conflict resolution) benefit from richer channels or tighter synchrony, especially when teams are new or interdependent.

Early evidence on telework focused on individual outcomes—job satisfaction, work–life balance, and performance (Gajendran & Harrison, 2007; Allen et al., 2015). Recent work broadens the lens to team-level outcomes and organizational performance. Field studies show remote and “work-from-anywhere” can increase output where work is modular and documentation is strong (Bloom et al., 2015; Choudhury et al., 2021). At the same time, researchers consistently flag risks around professional isolation, information silos, and loss of weak-tie knowledge flow when routines and tools are not well structured (Golden et al., 2008; Eurofound & ILO, 2017).

For this study, I treat remote work as a multi-dimensional construct capturing: (1) intensity (days remote per week), (2) autonomy/flexibility (employee control over schedule/location), (3) tooling maturity (reliable platforms, shared repositories, security), and (4) coordination discipline (cadence, norms, documentation).

2.3. The dependent variable

Team productivity: refers to the collective ability of a team to deliver agreed outcomes—on time, to spec, and without excessive rework—rather than individual efficiency. Common ways to capture it include: objective throughput/quality metrics (e.g., tickets closed, defect rates, cycle time), goal attainment against a plan, and perceived team effectiveness scales. Antecedents that repeatedly show up in the literature are role clarity, tool adoption, psychological safety, and supportive leadership—factors that become more salient when work is distributed (Allen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2021). For my context, I focus on KPIs teams already use (e.g., turnaround time, rework/defects, milestone hit-rate) and complementary perception items (coordination ease, clarity, information flow).

These KPIs and the brief perceived team-effectiveness scale form the dependent-variable measures used in this study

2.4. The relationship between the independent and the dependent variables

The evidence is **mixed but explainable** once context is considered.

Where remote helps. Studies report productivity gains when tasks are individually executed but jointly integrated, interruptions are reduced, and teams follow tight coordination routines (daily stand-ups, shared backlogs, written decisions). Bloom et al. (2015) found meaningful output gains in a randomized field experiment, and Choudhury et al. (2021) show work-from-anywhere can raise productivity when employees have clear deliverables and strong digital infrastructure. These effects tend to be stronger when teams are experienced, when process documentation is good, and when managers evaluate outcomes rather than presence

Where remote hurts. Productivity can suffer when work is highly interdependent, ambiguous, or rapidly changing and the team relies on ad-hoc, low-richness communication. Professional isolation correlates with lower performance and higher turnover intentions if teams do not actively preserve connection and mentoring (Golden et al., 2008). Reviews also point to coordination and innovation costs when informal knowledge sharing drops (Allen et al., 2015; Eurofound & ILO, 2017).

Boundary conditions. Three levers consistently moderate outcomes:

Task interdependence & maturity. New teams or tightly coupled tasks need more synchronous touchpoints and richer media; otherwise, handoff frictions appear.

Tooling & documentation discipline. Shared repositories, issue trackers, and decision logs substitute for proximity and shorten ramp-up time (Wang et al., 2021).

Leadership & norms. Teams that set explicit norms for responsiveness, availability windows, and meeting purpose mitigate most coordination losses. Outcome-based management also buffers remote intensity effects (Allen et al., 2015).

Implications for the present study. Putting the strands together, I expect net-positive effects of remote work on team productivity when autonomy, tooling, and coordination norms are in place, and attenuated or negative effects when tasks are highly interdependent and norms are weak. These expectations map to the study's hypotheses (e.g., higher remote intensity + strong coordination → higher productivity; weak norms → neutral/negative effects).

2.5. Conclusion

The literature shows remote work can improve team productivity when organizations pair it with good tooling, disciplined coordination, and outcome-based management; it can also harm productivity when teams are new, tasks are tightly coupled, or norms are unclear. What remains under-explored in the Middle East—especially Saudi tech and services—is how hybrid intensity, autonomy, and coordination norms interact to shape team-level KPIs used in practice (cycle time, rework, milestone delivery). This study helps close that gap by testing these relationships on active teams in Saudi organizations and by emphasizing practical, team-level measures rather than only individual outcomes.

References

- Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2015). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 16(2), 40–68. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100615593273>
- Barrero, J. M., Bloom, N., & Davis, S. J. (2021). *Why working from home will stick* (NBER Working Paper No. 28731). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w28731>
- Bloom, N., Liang, J., Roberts, J., & Ying, Z. J. (2015). Does working from home work? Evidence from a Chinese experiment. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 130(1), 165–218. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qju032>
- Choudhury, P., Foroughi, C., & Larson, B. Z. (2021). Work-from-anywhere: The productivity effects of geographic flexibility. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(4), 655–683. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3251>
- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>
- Eurofound, & International Labour Office. (2017). *Working anytime, anywhere: The effects on the world of work*. Publications Office of the European Union; International Labour Office.
- Gajendran, R. S., & Harrison, D. A. (2007). The good, the bad, and the unknown about telecommuting: Meta-analysis of psychological mediators and individual consequences.

Journal of Applied Psychology, 92(6), 1524–1541. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.6.1524>

- Golden, T. D., Veiga, J. F., & Dino, R. N. (2008). The impact of professional isolation on teleworker job performance and turnover intentions: Does time spent teleworking, interacting face-to-face, or having access to communication-enhancing technology matter? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(6), 1412–1421. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012722>
- Kniffin, K. M., Narayanan, J., Anseel, F., Antonakis, J., Ashford, S. P., Bakker, A. B., ... Van Vugt, M. (2021). COVID-19 and the workplace: Implications, issues, and insights for future research and action. *American Psychologist*, 76(1), 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000716>
- If required, replace “...” with the full author list (APA 7 allows up to 20).
- Toscano, F., & Zappalà, S. (2020). Social isolation and stress as predictors of productivity perception and remote work satisfaction during the COVID-19 pandemic: The role of concern about the virus in a moderated double mediation. *Sustainability*, 12(23), 9804. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12239804>
- Waizenegger, L., McKenna, B., Cai, W., & Bendz, T. (2020). An affordance perspective of team collaboration and enforced working from home during COVID-19. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 29(4), 429–442. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2020.1800417>
- Wang, B., Liu, Y., Qian, J., & Parker, S. K. (2021). Achieving effective remote working during the COVID-19 pandemic: A work design perspective. *Applied Psychology*, 70(1), 16–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12290>