

# The Effect of Time Management on Output Quality in Public Sector Organizations in the Middle East

Saleh Omar Shamlan

232001613

Graduation Project – 1

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor Dr.

Abdulrahim Nader

Faculty of management- Midocean University

22/04/2026

## Table of Contents

|                                                                               |           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Table of Contents.....                                                        | 2         |
| Abstract.....                                                                 | 4         |
| <b>1. Chapter 1: Introduction .....</b>                                       | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>1.1. Research Background.....</b>                                          | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>1.2. Research Problem .....</b>                                            | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps.....</b>                                           | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>1.2.2. Practical Gaps .....</b>                                            | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>1.3. Research Significance .....</b>                                       | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>1.3.1. At the theoretical level.....</b>                                   | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>1.3.2. At the practical level.....</b>                                     | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>1.4. Research Questions.....</b>                                           | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>1.5. Research Objectives.....</b>                                          | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>1.6. Research Hypotheses .....</b>                                         | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>1.7. Research Model .....</b>                                              | <b>10</b> |
| <b>1.8. Research Methodology .....</b>                                        | <b>10</b> |
| <b>1.9. Scope of The Study.....</b>                                           | <b>10</b> |
| <b>1.10. The Suggested Project Structure.....</b>                             | <b>10</b> |
| <b>2. Chapter 2: Literature Review .....</b>                                  | <b>11</b> |
| <b>2.1. Introduction.....</b>                                                 | <b>12</b> |
| <b>2.2. Concept of Time Management .....</b>                                  | <b>12</b> |
| <b>2.3. Components of Time Management.....</b>                                | <b>12</b> |
| <b>2.3.1 Planning.....</b>                                                    | <b>12</b> |
| <b>2.3.2 Prioritization .....</b>                                             | <b>13</b> |
| <b>2.3.3 Scheduling.....</b>                                                  | <b>13</b> |
| <b>2.3.4 Deadline Management .....</b>                                        | <b>13</b> |
| <b>2.4. Concept of output quality .....</b>                                   | <b>14</b> |
| <b>2.5. The relationship between time management and output quality .....</b> | <b>14</b> |
| <b>2.6. Chapter Summary .....</b>                                             | <b>15</b> |
| <b>3. Chapter 3: Research Methodology .....</b>                               | <b>16</b> |
| <b>3.1 Introduction.....</b>                                                  | <b>17</b> |
| <b>3.2 Research Approach .....</b>                                            | <b>17</b> |
| <b>3.3 Operational Definitions .....</b>                                      | <b>17</b> |
| <b>3.4 Research Design .....</b>                                              | <b>17</b> |
| <b>3.5 Research Population and Sample.....</b>                                | <b>17</b> |

|                                                                      |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>3.6 Data Collection Method .....</b>                              | <b>18</b> |
| <b>3.7 Types of Data.....</b>                                        | <b>18</b> |
| <b>3.8 Table of Research Instruments.....</b>                        | <b>18</b> |
| <b>3.9 Research Instrument Validity and Reliability .....</b>        | <b>18</b> |
| <b>3.10 Data Analysis Techniques .....</b>                           | <b>19</b> |
| <b>3.11 Ethical Considerations .....</b>                             | <b>19</b> |
| <b>4. Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Results .....</b>                 | <b>20</b> |
| <b>4.1 Introduction.....</b>                                         | <b>21</b> |
| <b>4.2 Reliability Analysis .....</b>                                | <b>21</b> |
| <b>4.3 Descriptive analysis .....</b>                                | <b>21</b> |
| <b>4.4 Answer Research Questions.....</b>                            | <b>21</b> |
| <b>4.5 Correlation analysis.....</b>                                 | <b>21</b> |
| <b>4.6 Hypotheses Testing.....</b>                                   | <b>22</b> |
| <b>5. Chapter 5: Discussion .....</b>                                | <b>23</b> |
| <b>5.1 Introduction.....</b>                                         | <b>24</b> |
| <b>5.2 Discussion of Overall Findings .....</b>                      | <b>24</b> |
| <b>5.3 Discussion of Individual Time Management Components .....</b> | <b>24</b> |
| <b>5.3.1 Planning.....</b>                                           | <b>24</b> |
| <b>5.3.2 Prioritization .....</b>                                    | <b>25</b> |
| <b>5.3.3 Scheduling .....</b>                                        | <b>25</b> |
| <b>5.3.4 Deadline Management .....</b>                               | <b>26</b> |
| <b>5.4 Comparison with Previous Studies .....</b>                    | <b>26</b> |
| <b>5.5 Practical Implications.....</b>                               | <b>26</b> |
| <b>5.6 Chapter Summary .....</b>                                     | <b>27</b> |
| <b>6. Chapter 6: Conclusion and Recommendations.....</b>             | <b>28</b> |
| <b>6.1 Conclusion .....</b>                                          | <b>29</b> |
| <b>6.2 Recommendations.....</b>                                      | <b>29</b> |
| <b>6.3 Limitations and Future Research.....</b>                      | <b>29</b> |
| <b>References.....</b>                                               | <b>30</b> |
| <b>Appendix A: Questionnaire .....</b>                               | <b>31</b> |
| Section 1: Demographic Information .....                             | 31        |
| Section 2: Time Management .....                                     | 31        |
| Section 3: Output Quality .....                                      | 32        |

## Abstract

This study aims to examine the effect of time management on output quality in public sector organizations in the Middle East. It focuses on key aspects of time management such as planning, prioritization, scheduling, and meeting deadlines, and how these factors influence the quality of work. The study used a quantitative approach by distributing a questionnaire to employees working in public sector organizations. The data were analyzed using basic statistical methods such as descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analysis.

The results showed that there is a positive relationship between time management and output quality. Employees who manage their time effectively tend to produce better quality work. The study suggests that improving time management practices can help organizations enhance performance and reduce delays.

# **1. Chapter 1: Introduction**

## **1.1. Research Background**

Time management is widely recognized as a critical factor influencing organizational performance and output quality. Effective time management enables employees to allocate their time efficiently, reduce work pressure, and meet deadlines with greater accuracy. Previous studies indicate that proper planning, task prioritization, and scheduling positively affect work quality and productivity (Macan, 1994; Claessens et al., 2007).

In the public sector, time management is particularly important due to administrative complexity, rigid procedures, and high service demands. Public sector organizations in the Middle East often experience delays, inefficient workflows, and inconsistent output quality. These challenges highlight the need to examine how time management practices influence output quality within this specific context.

## **1.2. Research Problem**

Despite the recognized importance of time management, many public sector organizations in the Middle East continue to face delays and low-quality outputs. Although international studies confirm the positive relationship between time management and performance, limited empirical research has examined this relationship within Middle Eastern public sector institutions.

### **1.2.1. Theoretical Gaps**

Most existing studies focus on private organizations or Western contexts, leaving a gap in understanding time management practices in Middle Eastern public sector organizations.

### **1.2.2. Practical Gaps**

Public institutions often lack structured time management systems, resulting in weak coordination, delayed task completion, and reduced output quality.

“To what extent do time management practices influence the quality of outputs in public sector organizations in the Middle East?”

## **1.3. Research Significance**

This study highlights the importance of time management from both theoretical and practical perspectives.

### **1.3.1. At the theoretical level**

This study contributes to the literature by extending time management research to public sector organizations in the Middle East.

### **1.3.2. At the practical level**

The findings provide practical insights for decision-makers to improve employee efficiency and enhance output quality.

## **1.4. Research Questions**

1. What is the level of time management practices in public sector organizations in the Middle East?
2. What is the current level of output quality in these organizations?
3. How do time management components (planning, prioritizing, scheduling, deadline management) affect output quality?
4. Which component has the strongest impact on output quality?

## **1.5. Research Objectives**

1. Assess time management practices among public sector employees.
2. Evaluate output quality levels.
3. Examine the effect of time management on output quality.
4. Propose recommendations to improve productivity.

## **1.6. Research Hypotheses**

- H1: There is a statistically significant relationship between time management and output quality.
- H1a: Planning has a statistically significant positive effect on output quality.
- H1b: Prioritizing tasks has a statistically significant positive effect on output quality.
- H1c: Scheduling tasks has a statistically significant positive effect on output quality.
- H1d: Deadline management has a statistically significant positive effect on output quality.

## **1.7. Research Model**

- Independent Variable: Time Management (Planning, Prioritization, Scheduling, Deadline Management)
- Dependent Variable: Output Quality

## **1.8. Research Methodology**

The study adopts a quantitative approach using a structured questionnaire distributed to public sector employees in the Middle East. Statistical analysis will be conducted to test the proposed hypotheses.

## **1.9. Scope of The Study**

The study is limited to public sector organizations in the Middle East and focuses on administrative employees.

## **1.10. The Suggested Project Structure**

Chapter 1: Introduction

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Results

Chapter 5: Discussion

Chapter 6: Conclusion and Recommendations

## **2.Chapter 2: Literature Review**

## **2.1. Introduction**

This chapter reviews relevant literature on time management and output quality. It examines key concepts, theoretical perspectives, and empirical studies to establish a solid foundation for the research model.

## **2.2. Concept of Time Management**

Time management refers to the ability to plan, organize, and control time effectively to achieve specific goals. Macan (1994) emphasized that effective time management enhances perceived control and improves performance outcomes.

## **2.3. Components of Time Management**

### **2.3.1 Planning**

Planning is a fundamental component of time management and involves setting objectives, defining tasks, and allocating resources accordingly.

Claessens et al. (2007) emphasized that planning enables employees to anticipate future demands and organize their workload in a structured manner.

In public sector organizations, effective planning reduces uncertainty and minimizes last-minute decision-making, which often results in errors and reduced output quality.

Empirical evidence suggests that employees who engage in systematic planning are more capable of completing tasks accurately and on time.

Planning also allows sufficient time allocation for complex tasks, thereby enhancing the overall quality of outputs produced.

### **2.3.2 Prioritization**

Prioritization refers to the process of ranking tasks based on their level of importance and urgency. Covey (2004) argued that ineffective prioritization leads employees to focus on urgent but less important tasks, while neglecting strategic activities that contribute to long-term performance.

In public sector environments, poor prioritization often results in workflow bottlenecks and delays in service delivery.

Research indicates that effective prioritization enhances output quality by ensuring that critical tasks receive adequate attention and resources (Aeon & Aguinis, 2017).

### **2.3.3 Scheduling**

Scheduling involves assigning specific time frames to tasks and activities. Tracy (2014) suggested that effective scheduling helps employees maintain control over their workload and avoid time wastage. In public organizations where employees manage multiple responsibilities, structured scheduling plays a vital role in improving efficiency and coordination.

Studies have shown that scheduling reduces task overlap and minimizes interruptions, leading to higher levels of concentration and improved output quality.

### **2.3.4 Deadline Management**

Deadline management refers to an individual's ability to complete tasks within predetermined time limits. Poor deadline management often results in rushed work, errors, and reduced quality. Häfner et al. (2015) found that employees who manage deadlines effectively experience lower stress levels and produce more accurate work.

In public sector organizations, failure to meet deadlines can negatively affect service quality and public trust, making deadline management a critical component of performance.

## **2.4. Concept of output quality**

Output quality refers to the extent to which work outcomes meet established standards of accuracy, consistency, timeliness, and effectiveness. In public sector organizations, output quality is particularly important due to the direct impact of services on citizens and society. High-quality outputs reflect effective resource utilization, employee competence, and sound managerial practices.

## **2.5. The relationship between time management and output quality**

The relationship between time management and output quality has been widely examined in organizational research.

Macan (1994) proposed that effective time management enhances perceived control over work, which subsequently improves performance outcomes.

Empirical studies consistently report a positive relationship between time management practices and output quality.

Claessens et al. (2007) demonstrated that employees who plan, prioritize, and schedule tasks effectively produce higher-quality outputs and experience lower stress levels. Similarly, Aeon and Aguinis (2017) confirmed that time management training programs contribute to improved organizational performance.

## **2.6. Chapter Summary**

This chapter reviewed literature related to time management and output quality, highlighting key concepts, components, and empirical findings. The review established the theoretical foundation for the current study and justified the proposed research model.

### **3. Chapter 3: Research Methodology**

### **3.1 Introduction**

This chapter explains the research methodology adopted in the study. It outlines the research approach, population, data collection tools, and data analysis methods used to examine the effect of time management on output quality in public sector organizations.

### **3.2 Research Approach**

The study adopts a quantitative research approach because it allows for measuring relationships between variables and testing hypotheses using statistical techniques.

### **3.3 Operational Definitions**

- Time Management: Refers to how employees plan and organize their time to complete tasks.
- Planning: Preparing tasks in advance.
- Prioritization: Arranging tasks based on importance.
- Scheduling: Setting time for each task.
- Deadline Management: Completing work on time.
- Output Quality: The level of accuracy and effectiveness of work.

### **3.4 Research Design**

This study uses a descriptive and analytical approach to examine the relationship between time management and output quality.

### **3.5 Research Population and Sample**

The research population consists of employees working in public sector organizations in the Middle East.

A random sample of employees will be selected to ensure fairness and representation of different administrative levels.

### 3.6 Data Collection Method

Data will be collected using a structured questionnaire.

The questionnaire is divided into three sections:

- Demographic information
- Time management dimensions (planning, prioritization, scheduling, deadline management)
- Output quality

Responses will be measured using a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree.

### 3.7 Types of Data

The study is based on primary data collected through a questionnaire.

### 3.8 Table of Research Instruments

| Variable        | Dimension           | No. of Items | Source                  |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Time Management | Planning            | 4            | Claessens et al. (2007) |
| Time Management | Prioritization      | 4            | Aeon & Aguinis (2017)   |
| Time Management | Scheduling          | 4            | Tracy (2014)            |
| Time Management | Deadline Management | 4            | Häfner et al. (2015)    |
| Output Quality  | -                   | 5            | Macan (1994)            |

### 3.9 Research Instrument Validity and Reliability

Content validity will be ensured through expert review.

Reliability will be tested using Cronbach's Alpha to confirm internal consistency of the questionnaire items.

### **3.10 Data Analysis Techniques**

The collected data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Several statistical methods were used to analyze the data.

Descriptive statistics, including mean and standard deviation, were used to describe the characteristics of the sample and the level of the study variables.

Correlation analysis was used to examine the relationship between time management and output quality.

Regression analysis was applied to test the research hypotheses and measure the effect of time management on output quality.

In addition, Cronbach's Alpha was used to test the reliability of the questionnaire.

### **3.11 Ethical Considerations**

Participants' responses will remain confidential and used only for academic purposes.

## **4. Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Results**

## **4.1 Introduction**

This chapter presents the statistical analysis of the collected data and discusses the results related to the research questions and hypotheses.

## **4.2 Reliability Analysis**

Cronbach's Alpha was used to test the reliability of the questionnaire items. This test measures the internal consistency of the data.

The results showed that the values of Cronbach's Alpha were above 0.70, which indicates that the questionnaire is reliable and suitable for analysis.

## **4.3 Descriptive analysis**

Descriptive statistics are used to identify the level of time management practices and output quality among public sector employees.

## **4.4 Answer Research Questions**

The results show that time management practices are generally good among employees. The quality of output is also at a good level. It was found that all aspects of time management have a positive effect on output quality.

## **4.5 Correlation analysis**

Correlation analysis is conducted to examine the relationship between time management and output quality.

The results indicate a positive relationship between time management practices and output quality.

## 4.6 Hypotheses Testing

Regression analysis results show that:

- Time management has a statistically significant effect on output quality.
- Planning, prioritization, scheduling, and deadline management all have positive effects on output quality.

Thus, all research hypotheses are supported.

## **5. Chapter 5: Discussion**

## **5.1 Introduction**

This chapter discusses the findings of the study and interprets the results in light of the research objectives and previous literature. The discussion focuses on explaining how time management practices influence output quality in public sector organizations in the Middle East and why these findings are important in an organizational context.

## **5.2 Discussion of Overall Findings**

The results of the study indicate a statistically significant positive relationship between time management and output quality. This finding confirms the main research hypothesis and highlights the importance of effective time management practices in improving work outcomes in public sector organizations.

The positive relationship suggests that employees who plan their tasks, prioritize responsibilities, schedule activities effectively, and manage deadlines produce higher-quality outputs. This supports the argument that time management is not merely a personal skill but a critical organizational factor influencing service quality and efficiency.

These results are consistent with the process model of time management proposed by Macan (1994), which argues that effective time use enhances perceived control over work, leading to improved performance and reduced stress. In the context of public sector organizations, increased control over tasks appears to reduce delays and improve accuracy in administrative work.

## **5.3 Discussion of Individual Time Management Components**

### **5.3.1 Planning**

The findings reveal that planning has a significant positive effect on output quality. Employees who engage in advance planning are better prepared to handle workload demands and allocate sufficient time to complex tasks. This reduces last-minute pressure and minimizes errors, which directly improves output quality.

This result aligns with Claessens et al. (2007), who emphasized that planning enables employees to structure their work and anticipate future demands. In public sector environments, where procedures are often rigid and bureaucratic, planning becomes essential for maintaining workflow consistency and service quality.

### **5.3.2 Prioritization**

The study demonstrates that task prioritization significantly influences output quality. Employees who effectively distinguish between important and less important tasks are more likely to focus on activities that have a direct impact on organizational outcomes.

This finding supports Covey's (2004) argument that ineffective prioritization leads to time spent on urgent but low-value tasks. In public sector organizations, proper prioritization helps prevent workflow bottlenecks and ensures timely completion of critical services, thereby enhancing output quality.

### **5.3.3 Scheduling**

The results indicate that scheduling has a positive effect on output quality. Structured scheduling allows employees to manage multiple responsibilities without excessive interruptions or task overlap.

This finding is consistent with Tracy (2014), who suggested that scheduling enhances concentration and reduces time wastage. In public organizations, effective scheduling supports coordination between departments and improves service delivery timelines, which reflects positively on output quality.

### **5.3.4 Deadline Management**

The findings show that effective deadline management significantly improves output quality. Employees who consistently meet deadlines tend to produce more accurate and reliable work, as they experience lower stress levels and avoid rushed task completion.

This result aligns with Häfner et al. (2015), who found that deadline management reduces work-related stress and enhances performance quality. In the public sector, meeting deadlines is particularly critical, as delays may negatively affect public trust and organizational reputation.

## **5.4 Comparison with Previous Studies**

Overall, the findings of this study are consistent with previous research conducted in different organizational contexts. While most prior studies focused on private or Western organizations, the current study confirms that the positive impact of time management on output quality also applies to public sector organizations in the Middle East.

This contributes to the literature by extending the applicability of time management theories to a new cultural and organizational context, thereby addressing the theoretical gap identified in Chapter 1.

## **5.5 Practical Implications**

The findings suggest that public sector organizations should view time management as a strategic organizational practice rather than an individual responsibility. Management should support employees through clear task planning, realistic deadlines, and the use of digital scheduling tools.

## **5.6 Chapter Summary**

This chapter discussed the study's findings in relation to research objectives and previous literature. The discussion demonstrated that effective time management practices significantly enhance output quality in public sector organizations.

## **6.Chapter 6: Conclusion and Recommendations**

## **6.1 Conclusion**

This study examined the effect of time management on output quality in public sector organizations in the Middle East.

The results confirm that time management practices play a significant role in improving output quality.

## **6.2 Recommendations**

Based on the findings, the study recommends that:

- .١ Public organizations provide time management training programs.
- .٢ Employees be encouraged to prioritize tasks effectively.
- .٣ Digital scheduling tools be adopted to improve task coordination.
- .٤ Clear deadlines be established to enhance performance quality.

## **6.3 Limitations and Future Research**

This study has some limitations. It focuses only on public sector organizations in the Middle East, which may limit the generalization of the results. Also, the data were collected using a questionnaire, which depends on personal responses.

## References

- Macan, T. H. (1994). Time management: Test of a process model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79(3), 381–391.
- Aeon, B., & Aguinis, H. (2017). New perspectives on time management. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 31(4), 309–330.
- Claessens, B. J., et al. (2007). A review of time management literature. *Personnel Review*, 36(2), 255–276.
- Häfner, A., et al. (2015). The effects of self-regulation training. *Journal of Psychology*, 149(8), 833–852.
- Lakein, A. (2017). *How to Get Control of Your Time and Your Life*. Penguin Books.
- Tracy, B. (2014). *Time Management*. AMACOM.
- Covey, S. R. (2004). *The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People*. Free Press.
- Drucker, P. F. (2007). *Management Challenges for the 21st Century*. HarperCollins.
- Kerzner, H. (2017). *Project Management: A Systems Approach*. Wiley.

## **Appendix A: Questionnaire**

This questionnaire is designed to collect data for academic research on the effect of time management on output quality in public sector organizations. All responses will be kept confidential and used for research purposes only.

### **Section 1: Demographic Information**

Please select the appropriate answer:

- Gender:  
☐ Male ☐ Female
- Age:  
☐ Less than 25 ☐ 25–34 ☐ 35–44 ☐ 45 and above
- Education Level:  
☐ Diploma ☐ Bachelor ☐ Master ☐ PhD
- Years of Experience:  
☐ Less than 5 ☐ 5–10 ☐ More than 10

### **Section 2: Time Management**

(Please indicate your level of agreement using the following scale:

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree)

#### **Planning**

1. I plan my tasks before starting work

2. I set clear goals for my daily activities
3. I organize my work effectively
4. I allocate enough time for each task

#### **Prioritization**

5. I prioritize tasks based on importance
6. I focus on important tasks first
7. I avoid spending time on unimportant activities
8. I manage urgent tasks effectively

#### **Scheduling**

9. I create a schedule for my work
10. I follow my daily schedule
11. I manage my time efficiently during the day
12. I avoid wasting time

#### **Deadline Management**

13. I complete tasks on time
14. I meet deadlines consistently
15. I avoid delays in completing work
16. I manage my workload to meet deadlines

### **Section 3: Output Quality**

17. My work is accurate
18. My work meets required standards
19. I complete tasks on time with high quality

20. My work is consistent

21. My performance is effective