

Research Issues

RSC811-Assignment 8

Prepared by
Abdulaziz Alarfaj



Part

1

Research Title : العنوان

The Article: "Cyber Governance Studies in Ensuring Cybersecurity"

The title introduces an important and relevant topic in today's digital era. It presents an interesting area of research—cybersecurity governance—which is highly relevant due to the rise in cyber threats across global infrastructures.

However, the title lacks specificity in defining the research structure. Key research variables such as the independent, dependent, mediator, or moderator variables are not mentioned. Furthermore, it is not clear whether the study targets a specific industry, sector, or geographic application, which would have helped ground the study's relevance and focus.

<https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1365/s43439-021-00045-4.pdf>

Introduction : المقدمة

The introduction provides a broad background on the importance of cybersecurity governance, highlighting the rising frequency and sophistication of cyberattacks and the need for structured governance mechanisms.

It sets a compelling rationale for the research but does not clearly outline the main constructs or variables to be studied.

Although governance is mentioned, the introduction could benefit from a sharper focus on the core components of governance, such as accountability, decision-making frameworks, and regulatory compliance, to prepare the reader for the study's scope.

Problem Statement : مشكلة الدراسة

The problem is introduced by emphasizing the lack of a consistent, global framework for cyber governance.

The paper draws attention to the fragmentation and variability in existing governance structures. However, the problem is not formally stated as a specific research question or set of questions.

Ideally, the problem should have been broken into sub-questions that align with the literature gaps identified. This would have provided a more structured approach to addressing the gaps and proposing potential frameworks or recommendations.

Significance of the Study : أهمية الدراسة

Theoretical: The study's theoretical significance lies in its effort to synthesize multiple perspectives on cyber governance and highlight existing fragmentation. It contributes to the body of knowledge by compiling insights from a variety of disciplines and proposing a comprehensive view of governance challenges.

Practical: On the practical side, the study underscores the importance of inclusive, multi-stakeholder governance, but does not delve into specific, actionable proposals for decision-makers. Greater emphasis on how these insights could influence national policy or corporate cybersecurity strategies would enhance its practical utility.

Objectives of the Study : أهداف الدراسة

The primary objective is to review existing literature to understand and conceptualize cyber governance challenges. While this is a reasonable objective for a conceptual paper,

the lack of operational definitions for the core governance dimensions (e.g., risk management, compliance, enforcement mechanisms) limits its ability to generate actionable insights. The objectives could have been expanded to include the identification of best practices or the formulation of a proposed governance model.

Concepts and Definitions : مفاهيم وتعريفات الدراسة

The paper attempts to distinguish between governance and management, which is crucial in cybersecurity contexts.

However, more precise definitions of other key concepts (e.g., resilience, compliance, accountability) would have strengthened the conceptual framework. Additionally, the article does not include a discussion of sub-dimensions or constructs within governance that would allow for deeper analytical categorization.

الدراسات السابقة: Literature review

The literature review references a wide array of sources and databases, showing comprehensive coverage of the topic.

However, the synthesis remains mostly descriptive. It does not critically compare models, identify trends, or build toward a framework or theoretical proposition.

Part

8

Hypotheses : فرضيات الدراسة

There is no visual or conceptual framework presented.

A graphical model showing the relationship between governance structures, stakeholder roles, regulatory factors, and cybersecurity outcomes would have greatly improved the clarity and coherence of the study. This would also have helped in hypothesizing causal or correlational relationships for future testing.

Part

9

Study Model : نموذج الدراسة

There is no visual or conceptual framework presented.

A graphical model showing the relationship between governance structures, stakeholder roles, regulatory factors, and cybersecurity outcomes would have greatly improved the clarity and coherence of the study.

This would also have helped in hypothesizing causal or correlational relationships for future testing.

Methodology and Statistical Analysis: منهجية الدراسة و التحليل الاحصائي

The methodology is qualitative, based on a narrative literature review. It utilizes secondary sources from international databases.

There is no empirical sampling or data collection, and no statistical analysis is conducted. While this approach is suitable for an exploratory study, the lack of methodological rigor (e.g., inclusion/exclusion criteria for reviewed studies, coding strategies) makes it difficult to assess the reliability and validity of the review findings.

Discussion of Results and Recommendations : مناقشة النتائج والتوصيات

The results focus on identifying fragmentation in cyber governance approaches and the lack of unified global standards.

The study calls for collaborative, inclusive governance frameworks involving both public and private actors. While these are valuable insights, the recommendations are broad and not accompanied by implementation guidelines. The article would benefit from more specific proposals, such as policy models, institutional reforms, or international treaties, to support the practical application of the findings.

Overall Assessments :

The article contributes to the academic discourse on cybersecurity governance by providing a comprehensive literature-based perspective.

It successfully highlights the need for cohesive, cross-sectoral collaboration in governance efforts.

However, the lack of empirical data, specific hypotheses, and a conceptual model limits its overall impact. The study opens the door for future research to build and test governance frameworks and to assess their effectiveness in diverse operational contexts.

Article link :

<https://www.sce.carleton.ca/faculty/wainer/papers/1-s2.0-S0268401222000548-main.pdf>