

Project title

The Impact of Lean Methodology on Operating Cycle
Time

Student name

Abdulkarim Ranjha Al Ahmed - 222001493

Graduation Project – 2

Faculty of Management - Midocean University

Supervisor

Dr. Abdulrahim Nader

Faculty member- Faculty of Management- Midocean University

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Introduction	2
1.1. Research Problem.....	6
1.1.1. Theoretical Gaps	6
1.1.2. Practical Gaps.....	7
1.2. Research Significance.....	8
1.2.1. At the theoretical level	8
1.2.2. At the practical level	9
1.3. Research Objectives	10
1.4. Research Questions	10
1.5. Research Hypotheses.....	11
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review.....	12
2.1. Lean Methodology	13
2.2. Operating Cycle Time	14
2.3. Relationship Between Lean Methodology and Operating Cycle Time.....	15
2.4. Conclusion of the Literature Review	16
3. Chapter 3: References & Appendix	18
3.1. References	19
3.2. Appendix	20
3.2.1. Appendix 1: Questionnaire for Research Variables	20
3.2.2. Appendix 2: Table of Research Instruments	21

1. Chapter 1: Introduction

Research Background

In every industry, time is a crucial factor that determines how efficiently a company operates. The operating cycle time, which indicates the total time needed to complete a process from start to finish, has a greater impact on productivity, cost, and customer satisfaction. Organisations that manage to reduce their cycle time often benefit from faster delivery, more effective resource use, and increased competitiveness.

However, many companies struggle with long cycle times caused by excess steps, delays, inferior coordination, or a lack of continuous improvement. These issues lead to wasted resources, higher costs, and slower customer response. As a result, businesses are under steady pressure to find better ways to streamline operations and eliminate inefficiencies. One approach that has proven operative in improving time performance is the deficient methodology.

The research problem addressed in this study is that although deficient methods are widely discussed and applied, there is still minimal understanding of how deficient principles specifically assist in reducing the operating cycle time in different business environments.

Former studies have explored the benefits of deficient methodology across several industries, particularly in manufacturing and service operations. According to Womack and Jones (2003), deficient thinking helps organisations “do further with less” by eliminating waste and improving process flow. Similarly, Liker (2004) highlighted how The Toyota Way successfully uses deficient tools to reduce lead time and improve efficiency.

Different researchers, such as Shah and Ward (2007), identified deficiency as a multidimensional concept that combines tools like Just in Time, continuous improvement (Kaizen), and value stream mapping. These tools help identify non-value-adding activities and improve workflow speed. Studies in the healthcare and logistics sectors have also shown that deficient practices reduce waiting times and enhance gross service quality. Although these studies confirm the gross benefits of deficient methods, few have directly focused on measuring their impact on operating cycle time the specific duration from process initiation to completion. This leaves room for further research and a deeper understanding.

While the existing literature provides powerful evidence that lean methods improve performance, many of the studies focus principally on cost reduction or product quality instead of time efficiency. There is also minimal research connecting specific deficient tools to measurable changes in cycle time. Some studies present general results without explaining how each deficient element, such as workflow redesign or continuous flow, really shortens the process. Another gap is that little research examines manufacturing environments, but deficient principles can also be applied in service sectors such as healthcare, education, and transportation. The lack of relevant studies across sectors makes it difficult to fully understand the broader impact of deficiencies on cycle time.

This research contributes to notional discussions about how deficient methods influence performance dimensions beyond cost and quality, specifically focusing on time. It provides a framework for analysing the relationship between deficient tools and time-based performance outcomes. For business professionals, particularly managers and process improvement teams, the study highlights hard-nosed insights on how deficient principles can be applied efficaciously to shorten cycle times. Understanding which tools, like Just in Time or Value Stream

Mapping, work best will help organisations redesign their workflows and improve efficiency. This is particularly fundamental for industries where time is a competitive advantage, such as manufacturing, logistics, and services.

This research is to investigate the impact of deficient methodology on operating cycle time and to identify which deficient tools contribute to reducing process duration. The study seeks to explore how principles such as waste reduction, continuous improvement, and value creation can be applied to achieve faster, smoother operations. By examining the connection between deficient implementation and cycle time reduction, this research aims to provide hard-nosed recommendations for organisations that want to enhance efficiency and responsiveness. The last goal is to demonstrate that deficient methodology, when properly applied, can serve as a strong strategy for improving time-based performance and sustaining long-term competitiveness.

1.1. Research Problem

In near organisations today, one of the large challenges is reducing the time it takes to complete a full process from receiving an order to delivering the final product or service. This total time is called the operating cycle time. A long operating cycle time often leads to slower customer response, higher functional costs, and lower productivity. To solve this issue, many companies have started using the deficient methodology, which focuses on improving efficiency by removing waste and simplifying work processes. Deficient practices such as Just in Time, continuous improvement (Kaizen), and value stream mapping are designed to speed up operations and increase value for customers.

1.1.1. Theoretical Gaps

Although the deficient methodology has been widely discussed in theoretical and expert literature, few studies focus principally on its impact on cost reduction, quality improvement, and waste elimination. While these outcomes are important, there has been less attention given to the time dimension, specifically the effect of deficiency on operating cycle time. Many active theories describe deficiency as a philosophy of continuous improvement and value creation. However, few have distinctly explained how deficient principles directly influence time performance or how different deficient tools interact to shorten process duration. For example, while Just in Time aims to reduce delays and waiting, and value stream mapping identifies bottlenecks, there is still a limited theoretical explanation that connects these concepts to measurable reductions in total cycle time.

Another notional gap exists in understanding the balance between efficiency and flexibility. Some researchers argue that focusing too much on speed may reduce flexibility in responding to unexpected changes, but current theories do not distinctly define how organisations can maintain both. Therefore, the notional gap this study addresses lies in the lack of a perspicuous framework that links deficient methodology with operating cycle time improvement. By exploring this relationship, the research seeks to strengthen the notional foundation of deficient practices and contribute to a deeper understanding of time-based performance in operations management.

1.1.2. Practical Gaps

While many organisations have adopted deficient methodology to improve their performance, the results are often contradictory or short-term. In practice, several companies introduce deficient tools such as 5S, Kaizen, or Just in Time without fully understanding how these tools should work together to reduce the operating cycle time. As a result, some improvements happen at the beginning but are not uninterrupted over time. Another hard-nosed gap is that many managers focus principally on cost savings instead of on time efficiency. They may measure how deficient reduces expenses or waste, but they do not always measure the total process time from start to finish. Without decent measurement and follow-up, it becomes difficult to know whether the deficient initiatives are truly improving the operating cycle time or just ever-changing individual steps in the process.

In addition, different industries apply differently. What works in manufacturing may not work in services such as healthcare, logistics, or education. Many organisations copy deficient tools from different sectors without adapting them to

their own processes, which limits their effectiveness. There is also a skills and awareness gap. Employees sometimes see deficiency as an extra task instead of a continuous mindset, which causes ineffective implementation and unrefined commitment. The lack of training and leadership support further reduces the semipermanent success of deficient programs.

Therefore, the independent hard-nosed gap lies in the minimal understanding of how to apply deficient practices efficaciously and sustainably to reduce operating cycle time. This study aims to highlight these real-world challenges and suggest ways organisations can bridge the gap between deficient theory and actual practice.

1.2. Research Significance

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to both notional understanding and hard-nosed application of deficient methodology in improving functional performance, particularly in reducing operating cycle time. Many organisations are knowledgeable of deficient principles, but not all distinctly understand how these principles can be used to make processes quicker and more efficient. This study aims to fill that gap by providing insights that are invaluable for both researchers and professionals.

1.2.1. At the theoretical level

From a notional point of view, this research contributes to the active body of knowledge on deficient management and functional efficiency. While many previous studies have focused on the effects of deficiencies on cost, waste, or quality, this study highlights their relationship with time performance. It helps to

build a clearer understanding of how deficient tools such as Just in Time, continuous flow, and value stream mapping (VSM) directly influence cycle time reduction.

By exploring this connection, the study adds a modern dimension to deficient theory linking process improvement methods to measurable time outcomes. This can help future researchers develop further true models and frameworks that explain how deficiencies contribute to faster, more nimble operations.

1.2.2. At the practical level

On a hard-nosed level, this research will assist managers, supervisors, and process improvement teams in understanding how to apply deficient principles efficaciously in their organisations. Many companies struggle to sustain improvements after introducing deficiencies because they lack a clear understanding of which practices have a significant impact on time efficiency.

The findings of this study will offer hard-nosed guidance on selecting and implementing satisfactory deficient tools to reduce delays, eliminate waste, and shorten the gross operating cycle time. This can lead to quicker service delivery, higher productivity, lower functional costs, and improved customer satisfaction.

In addition, the study can serve as a reference for training and continuous improvement programs, helping organisations build a stronger culture of efficiency and teamwork. It will also encourage leaders to measure success not only by cost or output, but also by the time it takes to complete each process, a key factor for long-term competitiveness.

1.3. Research Objectives

The objectives of this research are:

1. To explain the concept and principles of lean methodology.
2. To examine the factors that influence operating cycle time.
3. To analyse the impact of lean methodology on operating cycle time.
4. To identify lean practices that effectively reduce cycle time.
5. To provide recommendations for improving operational efficiency using lean methodology.

1.4. Research Questions

Main Research Question

- How does lean methodology affect operating cycle time in organisations?

Sub-Research Questions

- What lean tools are commonly used in organisations?
- How does operating cycle time influence organisational performance?
- Which lean practices contribute most to reducing operating cycle time?
- What challenges do organisations face when implementing lean methodology?
- How can lean methodology be applied more effectively to achieve sustainable cycle time reduction?

1.5. Research Hypotheses

- H1: There is a statistically significant relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time.
- H2: Lean methodology has a positive impact on reducing operating cycle time.
- H3: Effective implementation of lean practices improves operational efficiency

2. Chapter 2: Literature Review

Introduction

The literature review is an essential part of any research study, as it provides a clear understanding of existing knowledge related to the research topic. This chapter reviews previous studies, theories, and concepts related to lean methodology and operating cycle time. The purpose of this review is to identify how these variables have been discussed in the literature, highlight key findings, and identify gaps that justify the need for this research.

This chapter is structured into several sections. First, it discusses lean methodology as a key independent variable. Second, it explains the concept of operating cycle time as the dependent variable. Third, it examines the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time based on previous research. Finally, the chapter concludes by summarizing key insights and identifying gaps in the literature.

2.1. Lean Methodology

Lean methodology is a management philosophy that focuses on improving efficiency by eliminating waste and maximising value for customers. The concept of lean originated from the Toyota Production System (TPS), which was developed to improve productivity, reduce costs, and enhance quality in manufacturing processes. According to Ohno (1988), waste refers to any activity that consumes resources but does not add value to the final product or service.

Womack and Jones (2003) describe lean thinking as an approach that helps organisations achieve more with fewer resources. Lean methodology emphasizes understanding customer value, mapping value streams, creating smooth process

flow, and continuously improving operations. These principles aim to reduce delays, unnecessary activities, and inefficiencies within processes.

Lean methodology includes several tools and practices that support continuous improvement. One of the most important tools is Just-in-Time (JIT), which focuses on producing only what is needed, when it is needed, and in the required quantity. JIT helps reduce waiting time, inventory levels, and production delays. Another important practice is Kaizen, which encourages continuous small improvements involving employees at all levels of the organization.

Value Stream Mapping (VSM) is another widely used lean tool. It helps organizations visualize the entire process flow, identify bottlenecks, and distinguish between value-adding and non-value-adding activities. By using VSM, organizations can redesign processes to improve flow and reduce cycle time. Additionally, process standardisation ensures that tasks are performed consistently, reducing variation and improving efficiency.

Although lean methodology was originally developed for manufacturing, it has been widely adopted in service industries such as healthcare, logistics, education, and transportation. Researchers have shown that lean practices can improve service delivery speed, reduce waiting times, and enhance customer satisfaction. However, the success of lean implementation depends on organizational culture, leadership support, and employee involvement.

2.2. Operating Cycle Time

Operating cycle time refers to the total time required to complete a process from its starting point to its final output. In manufacturing, it includes the time taken from

receiving raw materials to delivering finished products. In service industries, operating cycle time may include the time from receiving a customer request to completing the service.

Long operating cycle times often indicate inefficiencies such as waiting, rework, poor coordination, and lack of standardization. According to operations management literature, reducing cycle time improves productivity, lowers costs, and increases customer satisfaction. Organizations with shorter cycle times can respond more quickly to market changes and customer needs.

Several factors influence operating cycle time, including process design, resource availability, employee skills, and management practices. Poor workflow design and excessive handoffs between departments can increase delays and extend cycle time. In contrast, streamlined processes and effective coordination help reduce unnecessary waiting and improve process speed.

Operating cycle time is considered a key performance indicator in operations management because it reflects how efficiently an organization uses its resources. Many organisations use cycle time measurements to evaluate process performance and identify improvement opportunities. However, without proper improvement strategies, cycle time reduction efforts may not be sustainable.

2.3. Relationship Between Lean Methodology and Operating Cycle Time

Many studies have examined the impact of lean methodology on organizational performance. Researchers generally agree that lean practices improve efficiency,

reduce waste, and enhance quality. However, fewer studies focus specifically on the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time.

Liker (2004) explains that lean tools such as continuous flow and pull systems help reduce waiting time and process interruptions, which directly affect operating cycle time. Similarly, Shah and Ward (2007) argue that lean production systems improve process integration and coordination, leading to faster operations.

Value Stream Mapping has been widely recognized as an effective tool for identifying time delays within processes. By eliminating non-value-adding activities, organisations can significantly reduce cycle time. Just-in-Time practices also help reduce delays caused by excess inventory and overproduction.

Despite these findings, many studies focus on cost savings and quality improvement rather than time-based performance. Some researchers treat cycle time as a secondary outcome rather than a main performance indicator. This creates a gap in the literature regarding how lean methodology directly influences operating cycle time and which lean practices have the strongest impact.

Additionally, most studies focus on manufacturing environments, with limited research in service industries. This limits the generalizability of findings and highlights the need for further research across different sectors.

2.4. Conclusion of the Literature Review

This chapter reviewed existing literature related to lean methodology and operating cycle time. The review showed that lean methodology plays a significant role in improving operational efficiency by eliminating waste and improving process flow. Operating cycle time was identified as a critical performance indicator that reflects process efficiency and responsiveness.

Although previous studies confirm the benefits of lean practices, there is limited focus on their direct impact on operating cycle time. Many studies emphasise cost and quality improvements while neglecting time-based performance. This gap in the literature justifies the need for the current study.

By examining the relationship between lean methodology and operating cycle time, this research aims to contribute to both theoretical understanding and practical application. The findings are expected to help organisations apply lean practices more effectively to achieve sustainable cycle time reduction.

3. Chapter 3: References & Appendix

3.1. References

- Liker, J. K. (2004). *The Toyota way: 14 management principles from the world's greatest manufacturer*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Ohno, T. (1988). *Toyota production system: Beyond large-scale production*. Portland, OR: Productivity Press.
- Shah, R., & Ward, P. T. (2007). Defining and developing measures of lean production. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(4), 785–805.
- Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (2003). *Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your corporation*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T., & Roos, D. (1990). *The machine that changed the world*. New York, NY: Harper Perennial.
- Slack, N., Brandon-Jones, A., & Burgess, N. (2019). *Operations management* (9th ed.). Harlow, UK: Pearson Education.
- Heizer, J., Render, B., & Munson, C. (2020). *Operations management: Sustainability and supply chain management* (13th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Antony, J., Snee, R., & Hoerl, R. (2017). *Lean Six Sigma: Yesterday, today and tomorrow*. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*.

3.2. Appendix

3.2.1. Appendix 1: Questionnaire for Research Variables

Instructions to Respondents

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements using the scale below:

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

Section A: Lean Methodology (Independent Variable)

Dimension 1: Waste Elimination

1. My organization actively identifies non-value-adding activities.
2. Waste reduction is a continuous priority in our processes.
3. Employees are encouraged to eliminate unnecessary steps in workflows.

Dimension 2: Continuous Improvement

4. Continuous improvement is embedded in our organizational culture.
5. Employees regularly suggest process improvements.
6. Management supports ongoing improvement initiatives.

Dimension 3: Process Standardization

7. Operational processes are clearly documented and standardized.
8. Standard procedures are consistently followed.
9. Standardization has reduced process variation in our organization.

Dimension 4: Just-in-Time Practices

10. Materials and resources are delivered when needed.

- 11.Inventory levels are carefully controlled.
- 12.Just-in-Time practices have reduced delays in operations.

Section B: Operating Cycle Time (Dependent Variable)

Dimension 1: Process Duration

- 13.Process completion time has decreased in recent years.
- 14.Workflows are completed within expected timeframes.
- 15.Process delays are minimal in our organization.

Dimension 2: Waiting Time

- 16.Waiting time between process steps is minimal.
- 17.Bottlenecks are quickly identified and resolved.
- 18.Process interruptions are rare.

Dimension 3: Operational Efficiency

- 19.Our organization responds quickly to customer demands.
- 20.Operational processes run smoothly without unnecessary delays.
- 21.Lean practices have improved overall process speed.

3.2.2. Appendix 2: Table of Research Instruments

Variable	Dimension	Number of Items	Measurement Scale	Source
Lean Methodology	Waste Elimination	3	5-point Likert Scale	Adapted from Womack & Jones (2003); Liker (2004)
Lean Methodology	Continuous Improvement	3	5-point Likert Scale	Adapted from Lean literature

Variable	Dimension	Number of Items	Measurement Scale	Source
Lean Methodology	Process Standardization	3	5-point Likert Scale	Operations Management Studies
Lean Methodology	Just-in-Time	3	5-point Likert Scale	Lean Production Research
Operating Cycle Time	Process Duration	3	5-point Likert Scale	Operations Performance Literature
Operating Cycle Time	Waiting Time	3	5-point Likert Scale	Time-Based Performance Studies
Operating Cycle Time	Operational Efficiency	3	5-point Likert Scale	Project & Operations Management Research