

Research Skills 2

Task 2

Prepared by
Abdulaziz Alarfaj



Part
01

Research Title - عنوان البحث

Assignment : view of Research Paper in Terms of

Title, introduction and problem statement.

Article Chosen :

“Cybersecurity: What About U.S. Policy?” by Lawrence J. Trautman

<https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm?abstractid=2548561>

Part 01

١. عنوان البحث

1. Title Evaluation

Title: *"Cybersecurity: What About U.S. Policy?"*

Strengths:

Clarity: Directly signals the focus (U.S. cybersecurity policy gaps).

Engagement: The phrase "What About?" implies unresolved issues, inviting reader interest.

Weaknesses:

Lack of Specificity: Omits temporal scope (e.g., "2002–2014") and methodology (e.g., "A Legal Analysis").

Overly Broad: Could hint at specific constituencies (e.g., "Private Sector Challenges").

Suggestion:

"U.S. Cybersecurity Policy Gaps (2002–2014): A Legislative and Constituency Analysis"

Part
02

٢. مقدمة البحث

2. Introduction Critique**Summary:**

Trautman opens with the 2014 legislative milestone, highlights policy inertia since 2002, and uses the Ebola analogy to stress systemic risks.

Strengths:

Structure: Follows a classic arc: context → problem → significance.

Evidence: Cites authoritative sources (NSA, Harvard, NIST).

Weaknesses:

Ambiguity: Fails to explicitly state research questions/objectives.

U.S.-Centrism: Ignores global parallels (e.g., EU's GDPR or China's cyber governance).

Suggestion:

Add a clear thesis statement (e.g., *"This paper evaluates why U.S. cybersecurity policy stagnated post-2002 and how 2014 laws address critical infrastructure vulnerabilities"*).

Part
03

٣. مشكلة البحث

3. Research Problem Assessment**Presentation Method:**

The problem is framed through:

Data: Cybercrime costs (\$100B/year), high-profile breaches (Sony, Target).

Expert Testimony: Quotes from NSA Director, SEC officials.

Policy Gaps: Contrasts 2002 vs. 2014 laws.

Strengths:

Relevance: Ties threats to economic/national security impacts.

Novelty: Focuses on understudied 2014 legislative turning point.

Weaknesses:

Solution Bias: Presumes federal intervention is the only path; neglects market-driven or grassroots approaches.

No Counterarguments: Omits critiques of surveillance overreach (e.g., Snowden leaks).

Part
04

٤. التقييم العام والتوصيات

Key Recommendations for Improvement

Title: Add specificity (timeframe, methodology).

Introduction: State objectives explicitly and globalize context.

Research Problem: Address alternative solutions and limitations.