

Analysis of the 2020 Redlands Distribution Center Fire: A Case Study in E-commerce Crisis Communication and Industrial Risk Management

The destruction of the 600,000-square-foot distribution facility at 2255 West Lugonia Avenue in Redlands, California, on June 5, 2020, represents a pivotal moment in the history of e-commerce logistics and industrial fire safety.¹ Operated by the global logistics provider Kuehne + Nagel (K+N) to service Amazon's extra-large item inventory, the facility's total loss prompted a massive multi-agency response, significant environmental concerns, and a complex crisis communication challenge for two of the world's most prominent corporate entities.³ This report provides an exhaustive analysis of the crisis through its three primary stages—pre-crisis, crisis response, and post-crisis recovery—while evaluating the social media sentiment, public reactions, and the regulatory fallout that continues to shape the Inland Empire's logistics landscape.¹

Pre-Crisis Phase: The Strategic Landscape and Inherent Vulnerabilities

The pre-crisis environment for the Redlands facility was defined by the rapid expansion of "Big Box" warehouses in the Inland Empire, a region that serves as the primary artery for Southern California's supply chain.³ This growth was accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which saw a surge in e-commerce demand just as the global supply chain was facing unprecedented strain.¹⁰

The Logistics Hub of the Inland Empire

Redlands sits at a critical junction of the Interstate 10 and Interstate 215 freeways, providing direct access to the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.³ The facility at 2255 West Lugonia Avenue was a concrete tilt-up structure, a common architectural choice for massive warehouses due to its cost-efficiency and speed of construction.¹ At the time of the fire, the building was approximately four years old, having been completed in 2016.¹⁴

The facility was specifically designed to handle "non-sortable" inventory—items too large or heavy for standard automated sorting systems.¹ This included mattresses, exercise equipment, furniture, and large electrical apparatus.¹ The storage of such items creates an immense "fuel load," which refers to the total amount of combustible material in a given space.¹³ In a

600,000-square-foot facility, this fuel load is substantial enough to overwhelm traditional fire suppression if not managed by advanced systems.¹³

The 3PL Partnership Model: Amazon and Kuehne + Nagel

A defining characteristic of the pre-crisis state was the contractual relationship between Amazon and Kuehne + Nagel.³ While the warehouse carried the branding and trailers of Amazon, it was legally and operationally managed by K+N.³ This third-party logistics (3PL) model allows Amazon to maintain a lean internal infrastructure while leveraging the expertise of global freight forwarders.³ However, from a crisis communication perspective, this creates a "split persona" for the facility. The public perceives it as an Amazon building, but the operational responsibility for safety protocols, employee training, and facility maintenance rests with the 3PL provider.³

Kuehne + Nagel's regulatory history prior to the fire reveals a pattern of interaction with safety and environmental agencies. While many of these are standard for a company of its size, they indicate the complexities of managing high-volume industrial sites.¹⁹

Entity	Offense Type	Year	Penalty Amount	Agency
Kuehne + Nagel	Price-fixing	2013	\$28,000,000	Federal
Kuehne + Nagel	Aviation Safety	2014	\$91,000	FAA
Kuehne + Nagel	Workplace Safety	2014	\$6,345	OSHA
Kuehne + Nagel	Workplace Safety	2020	\$5,400	OSHA
Kuehne + Nagel	Air Pollution	2024	\$10,000	CA-SCAQMD

The 2020 OSHA violation, occurring the same year as the fire, suggests that safety oversight was an ongoing focus for the site's management.¹⁹ Furthermore, the facility was equipped with an Early Suppression, Fast Response (ESFR) sprinkler system, which was supposed to be "state-of-the-art".³ The pre-crisis assumption by both the city and the operators was that this system would be sufficient to contain any localized ignition.³

Socio-Political Context: The 2020 Unrest

The fire occurred on June 5, 2020, ten days after the death of George Floyd in Minneapolis.⁴ Southern California was experiencing widespread protests and civil unrest.⁴ This environmental factor significantly heightened the stakes of the initial crisis communication.⁸ Any major industrial fire at a prominent corporate facility would immediately be scrutinized for links to the protests.⁴ For the City of Redlands, providing a clear, non-political explanation for the fire's origin was a top priority to prevent further local escalations.⁴

Crisis Response Phase: The Battle at 2255 W. Lugonia Ave.

The crisis response began in the predawn hours of June 5, 2020. The speed with which the incident escalated from a localized fire to a three-alarm catastrophe challenged the limits of the regional emergency services.¹

Incident Timeline and Fireground Operations

The timeline of the fire demonstrates a rapid deterioration of structural integrity and fire suppression effectiveness.

Timestamp	Event Description	Resource Impact
5:23 a.m.	Fire pump running signal received by alarm company. ¹⁷	Initial automated detection.
5:24 a.m.	Manual pull station activated at the fire alarm control panel. ¹⁷	Human confirmation of fire.
5:26 a.m.	First alarm dispatch: Engines 264, 261, 263, 231, 252; BC704. ¹⁷	Initial response team.
5:30 a.m.	911 calls report massive smoke and flames at the warehouse. ²	Public notification.
5:39 a.m.	Second alarm dispatched; BC704 reports smoke visible from I-10. ¹⁷	Escalation to mutual aid.
5:42 a.m.	Third alarm dispatched; Units from neighboring agencies arrive. ³	Regional emergency surge.
5:45 a.m.	Confirmation that all 100 employees have safely evacuated. ¹	Life safety milestone.
6:15 a.m.	Westbound I-10 freeway closed due to smoke and hazard. ¹	Infrastructure impact.
11:00 a.m.	Incident Command reports fire is under control; defensive posture. ³	Containment milestone.
2:00 p.m.	Concrete walls and roof sections continue to collapse. ²	Structural total loss.

The first-arriving units encountered a fire that was already "heavily involved".¹³ Fire Chief Jim Topoleski noted that the speed of the spread was "highly unusual" for a building of its size and type.³ Despite the presence of 16 separate sprinkler systems, the fire was not suppressed.¹⁷ Witnesses, including employee Chris Smith, claimed that the sprinklers and alarms were not

active in their section of the building.³

Life Safety and Evacuation Narratives

The most critical success of the crisis response was the safe evacuation of all 100 workers.¹ However, the human experience of this evacuation provided the first crack in the corporate safety narrative. Aquila Canteen, an employee inside at the time, described a scene of chaos: "All I could hear is my supervisors yelling, 'Fire,' and 'Get out of the building as fast as you can.' And all I could see was people scattering".³ This testimony suggested that while the life-safety outcome was positive, the reliance on verbal warnings rather than automated alarms was a significant failure of the facility's internal crisis protocols.³

Multi-Agency and Regional Coordination

The response required the cooperation of over half a dozen fire departments.⁴ Because the facility's private hydrant loop became ineffective due to the sheer number of operating sprinkler heads/zones, water pressure on the fireground was a major concern.¹⁷ Firefighters had to establish long hose lays from municipal hydrants to support the aerial master streams.¹⁷ The environmental response was led by the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD), which issued smoke advisories for the High Desert as the plume moved over the mountains.⁹ Air quality in cities like Adelanto and Victorville reached unhealthy levels, forcing residents to stay indoors and recirculate air.⁹ This expanded the "crisis zone" from the immediate vicinity of Redlands to a regional health concern.⁹

Crisis Communication Analysis: Strategic Positioning and Messaging

The crisis communication strategies of Amazon, Kuehne + Nagel, and the City of Redlands were designed to minimize reputational damage and clarify the nature of the event in a volatile public atmosphere.³

Amazon's "Vendor-Centric" Defensive Strategy

Amazon's primary communication objective was to preserve the core brand's reputation for safety and reliability by emphasizing the third-party nature of the facility.³ Spokeswoman Lisa Levandowski provided a carefully crafted statement that became the template for Amazon's public posture⁴:

- **Gratitude and Safety:** "We are glad everyone is safe, and thankful for the efforts of the local firefighters and first responders".³
- **Operational Distancing:** "This site was operated by a third party and we will support them throughout this process".³
- **Customer Assurance:** The company explicitly stated that it expected "minimal" impact

on customer deliveries, as orders would be shifted to other fulfillment centers.³ This strategy aimed to frame the event as a localized operational failure of a partner, rather than a systemic failure of Amazon's own infrastructure.⁸ By prioritizing the "minimal customer impact" narrative, Amazon sought to reassure investors and the broad consumer base that the fire was a manageable logistics hiccup rather than a catastrophic break in the supply chain.⁸

Kuehne + Nagel's Internal and Logistics Focus

Kuehne + Nagel's communication, led by Dominique Nadelhofer, focused more on the human and logistics impact of the total loss.⁴ Their messaging emphasized the mobilization of support for the 100 affected employees and confirmed that the facility was dedicated to Amazon.⁴ As the direct employer, K+N bore the brunt of questions regarding the failure of the building's fire suppression systems and the chaotic nature of the evacuation.³

The City of Redlands: Public Order and De-Escalation

The City of Redlands, represented by City Manager Charles Duggan Jr., had a distinct communication goal: maintaining civil order.⁴ The city's immediate and forceful denial of any connection between the fire and the George Floyd protests was a strategic move to prevent Redlands from becoming a flashpoint for further unrest.⁴ Duggan's statement, "It is definitely not protest-related. Something happened on the inside of the building that ignited the fire," was designed to neutralize political speculation.⁴

Public Reactions and Social Media Sentiment Analysis

Public reaction to the fire was shaped by the massive visual impact of the smoke plume and a pre-existing cultural skepticism toward Amazon's labor practices.⁵

Quantitative Data on Public Engagement

While the specific number of social media posts was not provided, the "views" on local news articles (e.g., City News Group) and the high engagement on platforms like Reddit indicate significant regional and industry interest.²

Outlet	Metrics / Sentiment Indicators	Key Focus Area
Reddit (r/FulfillmentByAmazon)	High interaction; mixed skepticism and humor. ¹⁸	Liability and Reimbursement.
Twitter (Visual Media)	Widespread sharing of drone and helicopter footage. ⁵	Spectacle and Traffic Impact.
Local News (City News Group)	200-600+ views per article; community focus. ²	Local Safety and Health.

Employee Interviews (CBS LA)	Viral clips of Aquila Canteen and Chris Smith. ³	Safety System Failure.
------------------------------	---	------------------------

The Seller Community: Trust and Liability

On Reddit, the "FulfillmentByAmazon" community engaged in a technical and cynical debate over the fire's implications for third-party sellers.¹⁸ Sellers expressed concern that Amazon might use the "third-party operator" status of the warehouse to dodge liability for lost inventory.¹⁸ One user argued, "If I pay you to store something for me, and then you offload that service to a third party who fails you... You have failed me and owe me compensation".¹⁸ Others noted that while Amazon typically reimburses for lost items, the "catastrophic" scale of this fire might lead to lengthy 60-90 day resolutions.¹⁸

This sentiment highlights a deeper crisis of trust within the Amazon ecosystem. For professional sellers, the Redlands fire was not just a logistics issue but a demonstration of the risks inherent in a system where the "prime" brand does not always own the infrastructure it uses to fulfill its promises.⁷

General Public: "Amazon Fire" vs. "Warehouse Fire"

Despite the best efforts of Amazon's PR team to distance the brand from the operational failure of Kuehne + Nagel, the public overwhelmingly referred to the event as the "Amazon Warehouse Fire".¹ This reflects the "brand dominance" effect: when a consumer-facing giant is involved, the nuances of B2B contracts and 3PL operations are lost on the general population.⁸ The visual presence of Amazon Prime trucks engulfed in flames reinforced this branding, making the "distancing" strategy less effective in the court of public opinion.³

The Investigative Phase: Arson, Suspicion, and Mechanical Failure

As the smoke cleared, the focus shifted from suppression to forensic investigation. The results of this phase were both surprising and controversial, as they introduced the possibility of criminal intent into what initially seemed like a structural or mechanical failure.¹³

The "Suspicious" Fire Narrative

In October 2020, five months after the fire, the Redlands Fire Department and the ATF took the dramatic step of offering a \$5,000 reward for information.²² The investigation had shifted to consider arson as a potential cause.²² This change in narrative had significant implications for the crisis management of Amazon and K+N. If the fire was an act of arson, it could mitigate legal liability for the companies by reframing the catastrophe as a criminal act rather than a failure of corporate safety maintenance.⁸

Technical Failure: The Sprinkler Paradox

The technical investigation focused on the failure of the building's Early Suppression, Fast Response (ESFR) sprinkler system.³ These systems are designed to deliver massive amounts of water in the early stages of a fire, effectively "punching through" the heat of a high-pile storage blaze.¹³

A report from the Fire Engineering journal and the "Big Box Warehouse Fires" presentation revealed several critical technical findings¹³:

- **Inoperative Systems:** It appeared that the fire protection systems were "off" or overwhelmed immediately.¹⁰
- **High Fuel Load:** The storage of mattresses and large electronics created a fuel load that required every component of the safety system to work perfectly.¹
- **Hydrant Failure:** The private hydrant loop on the site was rendered ineffective because so many sprinkler zones were open, dropping the overall water pressure.¹⁷
- **Addressable Alarms:** The building's alarm system was modern but limited in its distribution of horn/strobe devices, which might explain why employees like Chris Smith did not hear alarms in their specific areas.¹⁷

The "Big Box Warehouse Fires" message was clear: "No Fire Department can effectively fight a heavily involved Big Box Warehouse and emerge with a significant save without proper, active fire protection systems".¹³ This puts the onus of industrial safety squarely on the building's owner and operator, rather than the first responders.¹³

Comparative Crisis: The Rugeley, UK Fire

The Redlands fire bears striking similarities to a 2017 fire at an Amazon warehouse in Rugeley, UK.²⁹ In that case, an employee was arrested for deliberately starting a fire that caused £12m in damage.²⁹ Unlike Redlands, the UK facility's sprinkler system worked effectively, preventing the fire from consuming the entire building.²⁹ The comparison suggests that while "suspicious" fires or arson attempts are a known risk in the logistics industry, the total destruction of the Redlands facility was a result of the *failure* of the built-in safety systems to function as they did in the Rugeley incident.¹⁷

Post-Crisis Recovery: Rebuilding and Regulatory Change

The post-crisis phase for Redlands and the logistics industry was marked by a period of physical reconstruction and a radical shift in California's labor and safety laws.⁶

The Physical Reconstruction of 2255 W. Lugonia Ave.

Despite the \$200 million loss, the strategic value of the Redlands location remained undeniable.¹ The destroyed building was dismantled and replaced.²

Feature	Pre-Fire Facility (2016)	Post-Fire Rebuild (2022)
Square Footage	600,000 ¹⁴	601,335 - 606,133 ³¹
Primary Function	Amazon 3PL (K+N) ³	Industrial / Distribution ³²
Loading Docks	(Standard High Count)	84 Dock High Doors ³²
Status	Destroyed ¹	Completed / For Lease ³¹
Ownership	Kuehne + Nagel (as operator) ²	Teachers Insurance & Annuity Assoc. ³¹

The rapid rebuilding (completed by 2022) demonstrates the resilience of the Inland Empire warehouse market. However, the new facility entered a much more stringent regulatory environment.⁶

Legislative Fallout: AB 701 and the Warehouse Quota Law

The Redlands fire and subsequent worker complaints about safety culture contributed to a political groundswell in California.⁶ In 2021, the state passed AB 701, the Warehouse Quota Law, which was the first of its kind in the nation.⁶ This law directly addressed the "push for speed" that many workers cited as a factor in safety negligence.⁶

In May 2024, Amazon was fined nearly \$6 million for violating this law at two Southern California warehouses: ONT8 in Moreno Valley and ONT9 in Redlands.⁶ The Labor Commissioner's Office found that Amazon had failed to provide written notice of quotas to workers, which led to injuries and unsafe work speeds.⁶

Facility	Location	Fine Amount	Key Violation
ONT8	Moreno Valley	\$4,700,000	Undisclosed Quotas ³⁰
ONT9	Redlands	\$1,200,000	Undisclosed Quotas ⁶

While ONT9 is a different building than the one that burned in 2020, its location in the same city and the same regional cluster highlights how the 2020 fire changed the regulatory climate for all Amazon-linked operations in the area.⁶ The "crisis of speed" identified by workers during the fire's evacuation became the "crisis of transparency" that led to millions in state fines.³

The Evolution of the 3PL Safety Model

The fire forced a re-evaluation of the 3PL safety model. If a partner like Kuehne + Nagel fails to maintain safety systems, the primary brand (Amazon) still suffers the bulk of the reputational and legislative backlash.³ In the years following the fire, Amazon has made more public efforts to highlight its safety investments, though these have been met with skepticism by organizations like the Strategic Organizing Center (SOC), which noted that Amazon's injury rates remained high through 2024.⁷

Conclusion: Strategic Implications for Media Crisis

Analysis

The 2020 Redlands warehouse fire is more than an industrial accident; it is a case study in the limitations of corporate "distancing" and the power of brand association. The analysis yields several nuanced conclusions for crisis managers and logistics executives.

First, in the e-commerce era, the physical asset is secondary to the digital brand. Amazon's efforts to frame the fire as a "Kuehne + Nagel incident" were factually accurate but communicatively ineffective. The public associates the cargo (Amazon Prime boxes) and the trucks with the brand, regardless of who manages the facility's floor. Future crisis strategies must account for this "brand-cargo fusion" by taking earlier and more visible responsibility for partner safety standards.

Second, the failure of a "state-of-the-art" ESFR system demonstrates a dangerous gap between architectural compliance and operational readiness. The finding that systems were potentially "off" during the blaze suggests that corporate oversight of 3PL partners must extend into granular maintenance checks and real-time monitoring of safety infrastructure. The \$200 million loss at Redlands was a failure of maintenance and oversight, not just a failure of engineering.

Third, the transition of the crisis from a physical event (the fire) to a legislative one (AB 701) shows how a single failure can trigger a paradigm shift in an entire industry's regulatory framework. The Redlands fire provided the emotional and visual evidence needed for California legislators to move against the "push for speed" in warehouses. This highlights the long-term "policy risk" of safety crises: they do not just end with insurance payouts; they often lead to new, permanent costs of doing business through increased regulation and state oversight.

Finally, the \$5,000 arson reward and the "suspicious" tag on the fire serve as a reminder that the narrative of a crisis is often a battleground of accountability. By introducing the possibility of a criminal actor, the companies involved were able to create a "shadow of doubt" regarding their own negligence. However, the subsequent \$6 million in labor fines in the same region suggests that the state of California remained focused on the systemic issues of warehouse operations, regardless of the fire's specific point of origin.

For media crisis analysts, the Redlands case remains the gold standard for understanding how to navigate a multi-layered industrial catastrophe in an age of brand dominance, social media skepticism, and aggressive regulatory reform. The facility at 2255 West Lugonia Avenue may have been rebuilt, but the reputational and legislative legacy of that June morning continues to define the future of the American supply chain.

Works cited

1. Amazon warehouse fire Redlands - Pinfa, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.pinfa.eu/news/amazon-warehouse-fire-redlands/>
2. Amazon Warehouse Fire Covers Redlands in Smoke - City News Group,

- accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.citynewsgroup.com/articles/amazon-warehouse-fire-covers-redlands-in-smoke>
3. Massive 3-Alarm Fire Erupts At Amazon Distribution Center In Redlands - CBS Los Angeles, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.cbsnews.com/losangeles/news/massive-3-alarm-warehouse-fire-erupts-amazon-redlands/>
 4. Fire destroys Southern California warehouse linked to Amazon - TribLIVE.com, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://triblive.com/news/world/fire-destroys-southern-california-warehouse-linked-to-amazon/>
 5. Massive Fire Engulfs Third-Party Amazon Fulfillment Center in California - Gizmodo, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://gizmodo.com/massive-fire-engulfs-third-party-amazon-fulfillment-cen-1843924938>
 6. California hits Amazon with fines under warehouse worker law - CalMatters, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://calmatters.org/california-divide/2024/06/warehouse-workers-california-amazon-fine/>
 7. Failure to Deliver - Strategic Organizing Center, accessed April 3, 2026, https://thesoc.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/May-2025_Strategic-Organizing-Center_Report-x-Failure-To-Deliver.pdf
 8. A Lesson from Amazon: The Power of Strategic Communication and Crisis Management | by Monica Joy Taylor | Medium, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://medium.com/@launchfundgrow/a-lesson-from-amazon-7c79beaf1bb6>
 9. News Headlines 06/05-08/2020 - San Bernardino County, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.sbcounty.gov/uploads/SBCFire/documents/ofm/newsclips/20200605-08.NC.pdf>
 10. Fire Destroys Warehouse of Amazon Supply Partner - Industrial Distribution, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.inddist.com/supply-chain/news/21136415/fire-destroys-warehouse-of-amazon-supply-partner>
 11. Crisis Management And Strategic Responses Of Amazon Company To Covid-19 Pandemic, accessed April 3, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356799602_Crisis_Management_And_Strategic_Responses_Of_Amazon_Company_To_Covid-19_Pandemic
 12. Amazon Distribution Center catches fire in Redlands, CA | cbs8.com, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.cbs8.com/article/news/local/amazon-distribution-center-catches-fire-in-redlands-ca/509-a2e66422-34af-464d-acd2-855194143168>
 13. BIG BOX WAREHOUSE FIRES, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://tmfpd.us/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Item-9A.3-Big-Box-Fires-PRESENTED.pdf>
 14. 2255 W Lugonia Ave, Redlands, CA 92374 - LoopNet, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.loopnet.com/Listing/2255-W-Lugonia-Ave-Redlands-CA/4471027/>
 15. Fire Destroys a 600,000 Square-Foot Warehouse in Redland - pharmaceutical raw materials both for vet and human, accessed April 3, 2026, <http://www.cnarshine.com/ru/news/58.html>
 16. Fire destroys warehouse used to ship Amazon packages in Southern California -

- KVUE, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.kvue.com/article/news/nation-world/amazon-warehouse-fire-redlands-california/507-d40917db-5646-4be8-bb75-82e433e74a70>
17. Lessons Learned from a Large-Loss Fire Involving an ESFR Sprinkler System, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.fireengineering.com/fire-safety/lessons-learned-from-a-large-loss-fire-involving-an-esfr-sprinkler-system/>
 18. Amazon warehouse burns to the ground in Redlands, California. (June 5th, 2020) - Reddit, accessed April 3, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/FulfillmentByAmazon/comments/gxbe31/amazon_warehouse_burns_to_the_ground_in_redlands/
 19. kuehne-and-nagel | Violation Tracker - Good Jobs First, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://violationtracker.goodjobsfirst.org/parent/kuehne-and-nagel>
 20. Violation Tracker Global: Individual Record, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://violationtrackerglobal.goodjobsfirst.org/violation-tracker-global/united-states-kuehne-nagel-inc-0>
 21. Violation Tracker - Good Jobs First, accessed April 3, 2026, https://violationtracker.goodjobsfirst.org/?parent=&major_industry_sum=&primary_offense_sum=&agency_sum=&agency_sum_st=&hq_id_sum=&company_op=st&arts&company=&major_industry%5B%5D=&case_category=&all_offense%5B%5D=&penalty_op=%3E&penalty=&govt_level=&agency_code%5B%5D=&agency_code_st%5B%5D=&pen_year%5B%5D=&pres_term=&free_text=&case_type=&ownership%5B%5D=&hq_id=&naics%5B%5D=&state=CA&city=&page=426&order=company&sort=asc
 22. Fire Safety: Are you protected against a Fire? - Quality Material Handling Inc., accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.qmhinc.com/fire-safety-amazon-fire/>
 23. Fire destroys Southern California warehouse linked to Amazon - 570 News, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://kitchener.citynews.ca/2020/06/05/fire-destroys-southern-california-warehouse-linked-to-amazon/>
 24. Massive Kuehne & Nagel/Amazon DC in Southern California destroyed by fire, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.warehouseautomation.ca/news-notes-1/2020/6/5/massive-distribution-center-in-southern-california-engulfed-in-flames-jk3xz>
 25. Public-relations pros: Amazon's belated rebuttal to the NYT 'recasts the foundation of crisis PR' - GeekWire, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.geekwire.com/2015/public-relations-pros-amazons-belated-rebuttal-to-nyt-recasts-the-foundation-of-crisis-pr/>
 26. \$5K Reward For Information About Massive Fire That Destroyed Amazon Distributor's Warehouse In Redlands - CBS News, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.cbsnews.com/losangeles/news/5k-reward-information-massive-fire-destroyed-amazon-distributor-warehouse-redlands/>
 27. ATF Offers \$5K Reward for Information on Amazon Warehouse Arson in Redlands, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.atf.gov/news/reward-notice/atf-offers-5k-reward-information-amazon-warehouse-arson-redlands>

28. Amazon Warehouse Fire In Redlands: \$5,000 Reward Announced | Banning, CA Patch, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://patch.com/california/banning-beaumont/amazon-warehouse-fire-redlands-5-000-reward-announced>
29. Amazon warehouse fire – employee blamed | Analysis | StrategicRISK Global, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.strategic-risk-global.com/catastrophe-risk/amazon-warehouse-fire-employee-blamed/1425904.article>
30. California fines Amazon \$6M for alleged violations of warehouse quota law at 2 warehouses, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.foxbusiness.com/economy/california-fines-amazon-6m-alleged-violations-warehouse-quota-law-2-warehouses-state>
31. 2255 W LUGONIA AVE Redlands CA 92374-5049 APN: 0292033160000 | Crexi.com, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.crexi.com/property-records/2255-LUGONIA-REDLANDS-CA-92374/1f8baee1fceb9cf94f210abb05305c44a7c0a7ee>
32. 2255 W Lugonia Ave, Redlands, CA 92374 - LoopNet, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.loopnet.com/Listing/2255-W-Lugonia-Ave-Redlands-CA/22202159/>
33. 2255 W Lugonia Ave Redlands, CA 92374 - Industrial Property for Sale on Showcase.com, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.showcase.com/2255-w-lugonia-ave-redlands-ca-92374/22202159/>
34. Amazon fined for unsafe working conditions at San Bernardino warehouse, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.communityforwardredlands.com/amazon-cited-for-unsafe-working-condition-at-san-bernardino-warehouse/>
35. Labor Commissioner Cites Amazon Nearly \$6 Million for Violating California's Warehouse Quotas Law, accessed April 3, 2026, <https://www.dir.ca.gov/DIRNews/2024/2024-46.html>